IN THE SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE STATE OF ALASKA THIRD JUDICIAL DISTRICT AT ANCHORAGE

IN THE MATTER OF:

The Necessity for the Hospitalization of William S. Bigley

Case No. 3AN-08-01252 PR

case 110: 31m 00 01232 110

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE

THE HONORABLE WILLIAM MORSE

Pages 1 - 26, inclusive
October 28, 2008
9:39 a.m.

APPEARANCES:

For William Bigley: James Gottstein, Esq. For the State of Alaska: Laura Derry, Esq. (by telephone)

Page 2 Page 4 ANCHORAGE, ALASKA; TUESDAY, OCTOBER 28, 2008; 9:39 a.rh. 1 MR. GOTTSTEIN: Your Honor, if I may, could the

5

6

16

1 2 ---oOo---

3 (9:39:39)

4 THE COURT: We're on the record in

3AN-08-01252 PR. Mr. Gottstein is in the courtroom, and

on the telephone I have whom?

MS. DERRY: This is Laura Derry from the

attorney general's office. I represent the psychiatric 8

9 institute.

THE COURT: All right. Let me articulate my 10

understanding of where we are and see if I'm procedurally 11

12 accurate. Okay?

MR. GOTTSTEIN: Your Honor, there's a 13

preliminary matter first, which is, I notice that the --14

it was noted that it's a closed proceeding, and actually 15

this is a public proceeding. 16

THE COURT: What is the -- is there a statute or 17

a court rule that says one way or the other whether it's a 18

public proceeding?

20

23

7

MR. GOTTSTEIN: There is -- with respect to

AS 47.30.839 petition, there's no rule one way or the

22 other. AS 47.30.735(b)(3) --

THE COURT: Wait. Slow down, slow down.

MR. GOTTSTEIN: 839. 24

25 THE COURT: On a -- there's nothing in 47.30.839

Page 3

regarding --

2 MR. GOTTSTEIN: Having it open or closed.

3 THE COURT: -- open or not?

4 MR. GOTTSTEIN: Correct.

5 THE COURT: Is there a fallback one that applies

6 generally to --

MR. GOTTSTEIN: Well, we're going to go through

the whole thing. I've actually briefed this to the

9 Supreme Court recently --

10 THE COURT: I know. But just talk more slowly

because I don't -- I can't hear the numbers that come 11

rattling out.

13 MR. GOTTSTEIN: Okay. So AS 47.30.735(b)(3) --

14 THE COURT: Okay. (B)(3). Okay.

15 MR. GOTTSTEIN: Okay. At the -- and that's

pertaining to involuntary commitments. At the hearing 16

17 before Master Lack, it was determined that the hearing

18 would be open to the public pursuant to that.

19 THE COURT: Does the State have any -- any

20 disagreement with this being an open procedure -- an open

21 hearing today?

22 MS. DERRY: No, Your Honor.

23 THE COURT: All right. It will be open. I will

24 ask the parties at future hearings their position on

whether it should be open or closed.

sign be removed from the --

3 THE COURT: Is it up there? Yes. Why don't you

4 do me the favor of taking it down.

MS. DERRY: Your Honor, is Mr. Bigley in the courtroom?

7 THE COURT: No, he's not.

8 As I understand where we are, is several days

9 ago, I think the 20th perhaps, there was a hearing before

10 Master Lack on the State's petition for a 30-day

11 commitment. And that was -- he recommended that that take

12 place, that that petition be granted, and there was at the

13 time a petition for court approval of the administration

of psychotropic medication pursuant to 47.30.839, and he 14

15 did not issue any ruling on that.

I then listened to the procedure -- listened to

17 the CD of the hearing and granted the petition, and

knowing there was a -- the commitment petition, and then 18

19 knowing that there was a petition regarding medication,

20 set out a hearing for tomorrow. I'm not sure exactly when

21 it happened, but sometime over the weekend, or perhaps

first thing yesterday, the State, as I understand it, 22

23 withdrew that original petition for medication.

24 And I have been given a faxed copy of a petition

25 that was filed yesterday, which I assume is a brand new

petition, and Master Duggan ordered that there would be a

hearing on the petition using tomorrow's date that was

already in place, then appointed the public defender

agency as counsel for the respondent and appointed OPA as

the visitor, which -- and then this morning I was handed a

packet from Mr. Gottstein asking for a variety of things,

7 but most imminently, an order requesting that tomorrow's

8 hearing be canceled on this new petition.

So I guess I need to back up a little bit here.

I probably need to get the public defender agency on the

11 phone.

9

10

12

Mr. Gottstein, go ahead.

13 MR. GOTTSTEIN: A couple things. I noticed as I

14 was looking in the courtroom that Ms. Derry mentioned

that -- or asked about Mr. Bigley, and I think he's 15

actually entitled to be here. I'm, with some reluctance, 16

17 willing to proceed without that, but anyway, note that.

THE COURT: I won't go forward on anything 18

19 substantively without Mr. Bigley being present, but given

20 the fact that we just had to figure out what are the

21 operative petitions and documents and what is the State's

22 intent and what do we do with tomorrow's hearing, I

23 thought it made sense just to at least have this status

24 hearing.

25 MR. GOTTSTEIN: Yes. And I understand that, and Page 6 Page 8

1 that's why I'm willing to go forward with some reluctance.

I have not, as far as -- I have not received a 3 copy of the new petition, at least as of when I headed

4 over here.

2

5 THE COURT: Let's wait three seconds and I will 6 give you a copy.

7 And has the State received Mr. Gottstein's packet of motions? 8

9 MR. GOTTSTEIN: Your Honor, yes. I hand-delivered it about -- I don't know -- 8:45, I believe. 11

12 MS. DERRY: I haven't received it to my office, Your Honor. I'm sorry. 13

14 THE COURT: Well, I'll tell you what it 15 contains. Obviously we're not -- there's a motion to vacate tomorrow's hearing. 16

17 MS. DERRY: Uh-huh.

18 THE COURT: Based on -- well, it sort of lays 19 out the sequence of events in more detail than I've just

20 described. In essence it says it's happening too quickly.

21 MS. DERRY: Uh-huh.

22 THE COURT: And there is also a motion for summary judgment and a variety of affidavits and materials 23

in support of the motion for summary judgment. That's 24

obviously something that we're not quite going to deal

things that actually required that she followed the

statutory guidelines and emergency medicated Mr. Bigley 2

3 early in the morning on Monday.

THE COURT: That was yesterday?

MS. DERRY: Yes, Your Honor. I'm sorry. Was that Your Honor? I'm not sure who was speaking to me.

THE COURT: Yes.

MS. DERRY: And so -- and that was yesterday.

9 And so we actually have to move forward because the doctor

10 and API's position is that Mr. Bigley will continue to be

in crisis and will continue to need treatment. And based 11

on the statute, we do need to proceed with the medications 12

13 petition in order to protect the due process interests of

14 Mr. Bigley because emergency medications have been

15 given --

23

5

7

8

16 THE COURT: Just let me read 838. I've skimmed 17 it before, but I'm not sure what happened.

18 Am I reading this correctly? This says that the 19 facility can, in an emergency, give him an initial dosage

20 and may authorize additional, as-needed doses, and then -the physician can act in the emergency first for 24 hours 21

22 and then renew it for 72.

MS. DERRY: Yes, Your Honor.

24 THE COURT: And so --

25 MS. DERRY: And the way that I interpret that

Page 7

with. 1

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

20

What does the State -- does the State have a position regarding the timing of the hearing for the most recent petition? And let me just throw one other thing in. My -- I'm looking at 47.30.839 itself, which seems to require this hearing to take place within 72 hours after the petition has been filed.

MS. DERRY: Yes, yes --

THE COURT: What's the State's position of when -- when this hearing should take place?

10

11 MS. DERRY: Your Honor, I would first just like to back up so that you understand what happened on Friday,

was that on Friday I did ask that the petition for the 13

medications be withdrawn. It was our intention to help -well, the API's position was to help Mr. Bigley hopefully 15

16 restabilize and then to be released and to continue on

17 with the life that he has been leading as of late, but --

18 THE COURT: Actually, yesterday I signed the 19 order dismissing the first petition.

MS. DERRY: Right. Okay.

21 THE COURT: I have not distributed that yet.

22 MS. DERRY: Okay. And then yesterday I called

23 to check to see what had happened over the weekend, and the hospital, Dr. Khari, was actually very worried about

him and concerned and he had decompensated and was doing

Page 9 statute is that the doctor could authorize several dosages

within that first 24-hour period and make that be, you

know, a scheduled dosage in order to maintain that crisis

period within that 24 hours, and then so essentially there

could be three 24-hour crisis periods, but in honor of

protecting Mr. Bigley's interests and the fact that we are

7 having legal issues with this matter, the doctor hasn't

8 been doing that.

9 What she has been doing is trying to get him to the point where he could sleep and eat and not because

he's been doing things that are actually harmful to

himself. And so she hasn't been requesting that multiple

medications be given to him. She just gave him one dosage

14 yesterday in order to try to help stabilize him and get

15 him sleep.

16 THE COURT: So we're about to pass the 24-hour 17 period, and we're about to move into the second period, 18 which is the second two-thirds of the 72 hours?

19

MS. DERRY: Yes, Your Honor.

20 THE COURT: Okay. I see nothing in 838 that has 21 anything to do with when the Court has to hold an 839 petition. So when does the State think there has to be a

22 23 hearing on the 839 petition?

24 MS. DERRY: Well, we -- the State's position is

that we would try to move within that 72 hours because if 25

Page 10 Page 12

- 1 you are -- if we continue to hold Mr. Bigley and try to
- 2 offer him other modalities for treatment, yet he continues
- 3 to be in crisis, that we would be worried that we're
- 4 looking outside of protecting his due process and we would
- 5 have to continue to issue emergency medications until
- 6 there were a hearing and --
- 7 THE COURT: So when was the -- when was the
- 8 second petition filed? I mean, I've got it the 27th. But
- 9 what time?
- MS. DERRY: Yesterday morning, probably by
- 11 10:00.
- THE COURT: If we just use that as a tentative
- 13 time, 10:00 a.m. yesterday, so the 72 hours passes at
- 14 10:00 a.m. on the 30th.
- MS. DERRY: Yes. And our concern, Your Honor,
- 16 is that in trying to protect the best interests and the
- 17 staff at API of what they feel they need to do, is
- 18 medically necessary for them, and they are obligated to
- 19 protect life and to try to treat him, that just relying on
- 20 emergency medications is actually -- that that becomes a
- 21 legal question of are we actually violating his due
- 22 process by not having a hearing, but then in order to try
- 23 to help him, the emergency medications are being given.
- 24 THE COURT: So you want the hearing to take
- 25 place tomorrow?

Page 11

- 1 MS. DERRY: I would like the hearing to take
- 2 place tomorrow, Your Honor, yes.
- 3 THE COURT: And what happens, Mr. Gottstein, in
- 4 your view if I'm stuck with a statute that says the
- 5 hearing has to take place within 72 hours and you're
- 6 telling me that that's too soon for you to be able to
- 7 prepare?
- 8 MR. GOTTSTEIN: Your Honor, I think that that
- 9 statute needs to be read in light of Myers and
- 10 Weatherhorn, and Weatherhorn I cited in my motion,
- 11 basically says that Mr. Bigley's liberty interests with
- 12 respect to the forced medication is in not having it, and
- 13 therefore, the protections should not be sacrificed in the
- 14 interests of speed. Okay.
- THE COURT: When do you think is the soonest
- 16 that we can have the petition hearing?
- 17 MR. GOTTSTEIN: I -- I believe I -- I think
- 18 next -- a week from today, Your Honor, because I need to
- 19 conduct discovery.

20

- THE COURT: What do you need to do?
- 21 MR. GOTTSTEIN: I need to -- I need to review
- 22 the chart and I need to take some depositions of --
- THE COURT: What -- have you -- I know you've
- 24 been involved with Mr. Bigley at some point in the past,
 - 5 and I don't know the details of that, so -- and I

- appreciate that his condition apparently is changing
- 2 weekly and possibly daily. So have you had access to
- 3 charts up to some point?
- 4 MR. GOTTSTEIN: I've got some of 2007 charts and
- 5 I don't believe I've had any 2008 charts in spite of --
- 6 THE COURT: Has he been -- has he been at API,
- 7 let's say, in October, other than just the last couple of
- days, that you know of?
- 9 MR. GOTTSTEIN: I don't know. Well, it's been
- 10 about a week, I think, that he's been there, so a little
- 11 over a week.
- THE COURT: So are you going to be requesting
- 13 essentially the charts that have been generated in, what,
- 14 the past month?

15

18

1

4

- MR. GOTTSTEIN: 2007 and 2008.
- THE COURT: And you already have it up to where,
- 17 roughly? I realize you're going from memory.
 - MR. GOTTSTEIN: I don't -- I don't know, Your
- 19 Honor. I have a fair amount through -- till the first of
- 20 September 2007, but there may be gaps in it.
- 21 THE COURT: Let's assume that you need
- 22 everything from the past year. Do you have any sense as
- 23 you stand here now of how many admissions that might be?
- 24 Is it one or two or is it 50? I'm trying to get a rough
- 25 sense of how large these charts might be.

Page 13

- MR. GOTTSTEIN: Your Honor, first off, I would
- 2 really like to have two thousand -- all of 2007 and
- 3 2008 so I get a good picture.
 - THE COURT: Well, let me ask you --
- 5 MR. GOTTSTEIN: I don't know -- I don't know how
- 6 many admissions that he's had. It may be -- and Ms. Derry
- 7 might know.
- 8 THE COURT: I'm going to ask her.
- 9 MR. GOTTSTEIN: I think it's probably -- in the
- 10 past how long did you ask?
- THE COURT: Let's say in the last year. Or tell
- 12 me if you only know in the last six months. I don't care.
- MR. GOTTSTEIN: I think it's probably half a
- 14 dozen in 2008, but I'm not sure.
 - THE COURT: All right. That gives me --
- MR. GOTTSTEIN: A lot of them were very short,
- 17 though.

15

23

- THE COURT: Do you think that that's roughly
- 19 right? Half dozen admissions, some a matter of a day or
- 20 two and others perhaps longer?
- MS. DERRY: I'm sorry. Was that addressed to
- 22 me, Your Honor?
 - THE COURT: Yes.
- MS. DERRY: Your Honor, it's actually -- my
- concern here, the date that I would like to really worry

Page 14

1 about is the date from April 2008 to the present, which is 2 what the --

3 THE COURT: The question I posed to you is, do 4 you know how often he has been admitted in the past year? 5

MS. DERRY: Well, I can tell you with certainty that since April of this year that he has been there at least ten times, at API.

THE COURT: And are those -- to your current 9 knowledge, do you think that those are mostly 24, 48-hour

admissions or are they longer? 11 MS. DERRY: Of those ten that I mentioned, Your 12 Honor, they -- I'm not sure. I couldn't tell you the exact amount of time that he was there. Some of them were

two or three days for sure, and others were simply 14 screenings, because all ten of those were initiated by the police department because those were after Mr. Bigley had 17 been arrested.

18

THE COURT: Okay. Let's assume that I order at 19 least that API turn over the last year of charts.

20 MS. DERRY: Uh-huh.

21 THE COURT: Is that something that you think

22 API could comply with in the next day?

23 MS. DERRY: Would it be possible to give

Mr. Gottstein access to them and not have the staff 24

necessarily make the copies? Because we -- as far as the

various hearings will likely impact his commitment status

Page 16

Page 17

2 and thus -- if I'm understanding you, the PDs are his

3 commitment lawyers and you're his medication lawyer?

4 MR. GOTTSTEIN: That's correct, Your Honor.

5 THE COURT: So I'm going to have the PDs

participate -- require them to participate unless they

balk, because I think that there's an overlap. So

let's -- let's say that Mr. Gottstein says, due process

9 trumps the 72-hour statutory provision and we should have a hearing next Tuesday, the 4th of November, is the State

11 prepared to respond to that assertion now?

12 MS. DERRY: Your Honor, I would ask for it not 13 to be on a Tuesday because then I have all of the other API cases in the afternoon and it's very difficult for me 14 15 to find coverage.

16 However, the State's position on this is that if that's what the Court should find that it indeed -- that 17 18 the due process does trump the 72 hours, that the

19 hospital's position will be to continue to treat

20 Mr. Bigley as they see medically appropriate following the

21 typical standard of care which may include --

22 THE COURT: You're putting it in the wrong 23 sequence.

24 MS. DERRY: I'm sorry?

25 THE COURT: Your position can't be whatever the

Page 15

State's position, Mr. Gottstein is entitled to those

records as Mr. Bigley's attorney for the medications

position, and we have nothing to hide. It's a matter 3

of -- I can't tell you what the staffing situation is for

5 making copies, but having access to the files would be

6 appropriate.

7

13

6

7

THE COURT: What do you want?

8 MR. GOTTSTEIN: Your Honor, I need copies to 9 work with.

10 THE COURT: I'm going to give him immediate 11 access, but I'm also going to require API to start generating copies. 12

MS. DERRY: Yes, Your Honor.

14 THE COURT: And just let me ask: It's not clear 15 to me -- Mr. Gottstein, what's your position in terms of

the role of the public defender agency? I know Master 16

17 Duggan appointed them, probably as a matter of routine.

Is your relationship with Mr. Bigley such that you are his 18

19 exclusive and sole attorney going forward or are you here 20

on a more limited basis?

21 MR. GOTTSTEIN: I'm his attorney, his exclusive 22 and sole attorney with respect to any forced medication 23 petitions or even efforts.

24 THE COURT: So I'm going to have the public 25 defender appear as well because whatever happens at these

Court rules. Your position has to be, here's your

position, and then I'm going to hear your position, I'm

3 going to hear Mr. Bigley's position, and then I'm going to

make a decision.

5 MS. DERRY: Okay. My position, Your Honor, is

6 that the hospital would like to move forward as quickly as

7 possible because our concern that Mr. Bigley's due process

rights actually may be at risk because emergency

9 medications may have to be continued after the 72 hours

runs out on Wednesday morning, and so we would ask that we

11 could move forward tomorrow. 12 THE COURT: Let's assume, just for purposes of

13 my sort of thinking through these conflicting due process 14 assertions, that I say the hearing takes place next Monday 15 or next Wednesday.

16 MS. DERRY: Uh-huh.

17 THE COURT: I -- am I correct in assuming that 18 the State will basically medicate Mr. Bigley to the extent 19 that they think is medically appropriate and legally

20 authorized under 838 between now and then?

21 MS. DERRY: If that is the -- what's absolutely 22 necessary, Your Honor, because that has -- that is what occurred, is that in honor of this question about the stay

23 and trying to work through the legal side of this but also

to protect Mr. Bigley's health and mental illness, the

Page 18 Page 20

1 hospital has made it so that the emergency medication is 2 absolutely the last resort when they feel they can't do

3 anything else to protect him.

4

5

MR. GOTTSTEIN: Your Honor, in the past, API has administered medication pursuant to that 838 without the

legal predicate being -- existing. And I'd be very

surprised if the actual legal requirement for that

medication exists. And so that's one of the things that I

really need to be able to discover, is what actually --

what actually happened. So, I mean, it really puts me in

11 a difficult position because, you know, they come in and

say all these things and then many times it turns out not

13 to be true, and so I really have to have an opportunity to

14 be able to explore that.

15 THE COURT: I'm going to give you an opportunity

16 to certainly gather the charts, to immediately inspect the 17 charts, and to get physical copies of them in a short but

18 reasonable period of time. Obviously there's a little bit

19 of copying turnaround time, but you can certainly have

20 access to what I assume will be the most relevant ones.

21 The ones that are generated here in the last 24 hours,

72 hours, three weeks, are clearly more -- are likely to

be more significant than the ones that were generated in

24 January of '08, but you can have access to all of them.

25 And what the State does under 838 authority 1 interfering with the physicians on the floor. 2

MS. DERRY: Uh-huh.

3 THE COURT: But he can get them within a matter of hours as they're generated. And I'll leave it to you,

Mr. Gottstein, unless you want me to play some role. You

are going to conduct some discovery, and I will leave it

to you and the State to arrange that.

MR. GOTTSTEIN: Thank you, Your Honor. It does 9 seem like I should say one other thing, which it concerns

me to proceed in the face of the Supreme Court stay, and

11 my --

12 THE COURT: Let me tell you my thoughts on that.

I'm going to hold this hearing on Wednesday. I'm going to 13

14 make a decision based on the now current medical world

15 that Mr. Bigley finds himself in. If I deny the petition,

16 I don't have to deal with the stay. If I grant the

17 petition, I'm going to then hear from each side about what

18 is the impact of the earlier stay, which I think is five

19 months old, based on -- based on whatever happens five

20 months ago, whether that stay has any legal impact on the

21 current -- or on my granting of the petition, if that's in

22 fact what I do. So we'll address the relationship of the

23 old stay and any current medication or -- only after I

24 grant the order.

25 And I've been thinking, let's -- I'm not making

Page 19

1 is -- I'm not going to make any rulings in advance,

because by definition there's at least the possibility

that his medical state changes, and what might be 3

4 appropriate yesterday may or may not be appropriate

tomorrow, and I'm not going to do anything on the 838 5

6 thing yet. But is there a preference from the State's

7 perspective over Monday or Wednesday? 8

MS. DERRY: One moment, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I'm going to -- Mr. Gottstein, the

10 same to you. Is there a preference?

11 MR. GOTTSTEIN: Yes. I think I need to have it

12 Wednesday because --

9

13

THE COURT: Okay. All right.

MS. DERRY: Wednesday is fine, Your Honor, if 14

15 that's what Mr. Gottstein would prefer.

16 THE COURT: I'm going to grant Mr. Bigley's 17 motion to cancel tomorrow's hearing. I'm going to set

18 that up at API for Wednesday the 5th of November on the

19 existing petition filed October 27th. Mr. Gottstein

20 has -- shall be granted access to the charts immediately,

and that's -- he will have access to them as they continue

to be generated. I realize he can't stand there and watch

them while they write things down, but as they are

written, he needs to be -- as they are created, he gets

pretty rapid access to them, as long as he's not

any ruling, but obviously if I were to say, petition

granted, I have two basic options. I either say the old

stay trumps, or this order and the new facts situation

trumps. If I do that, if I say the latter, that the new

order supercedes the stay of an old order, because the old

order is obsolete, if you will, then I'm likely to grant a

very brief stay to allow Mr. Bigley, if he chooses, to go

to the Supreme Court and say stay the new medication

order. And that way the Supreme Court will have the

benefit of a more current record and can decide what it

11 wants to do with a new medication order, if that's in fact

12 what I do. Okay?

13 So I'm saying that just so both sides can sort

14 of know that's my thinking. I'm not ruling that way, but

since this is all going to be happening relatively 15

16 quickly, I think it's better for me to reveal my tentative

17 thoughts so that you folks can inform me of any applicable

18 facts, statutes, court rules, you know, constitutional

19 provision that I should know about.

MS. DERRY: Yes, Your Honor.

21 MR. GOTTSTEIN: Thank you, Your Honor. That all

22 seems very reasonable.

I -- one other thing that's actually written

down, and it occurred to me, it seems to me that in light

of this being a public proceeding, that really any hearing

Page 21

20

23

Page 24 Page 22

1 should be held here, because it's not really possible for a public hearing to be held behind the locked doors at 3 API.

4 THE COURT: As of now the hearing is going to 5 take place at API. I'm not familiar with the -- his particular needs or the logistical ability of API. But as 7 of now it's taking place at API. I will explore at least that possibility of having it done here. Obviously that would require him being transported over here. I'm not sure that's appropriate and/or necessary, but I'll let -as of now, it's at API, and if you want to present to me additional facts between now and then as to why it should be here, I'll consider them. 13

14 THE CLERK: What time?

15 THE COURT: Oh, the hearing that was set for tomorrow was starting at 9:00 a.m. I'm going to, at least 16 17 at this point, say the hearing on the 5th starts at 18 9:00 a.m. as well. I'm going to simply contact API, see

19 if that works. I realize that there's a hearing room over

there that is used occasionally for other purposes, so I need to see if that room is available. If it is not, then

I'll do something else and I will also hold in abeyance

and request that the hearing take place in the

24 Nesbit courtroom building.

2

7

9

25 Is there anything else from the State? 1 they're --

2 THE COURT: Both parties will hand-deliver pleadings to each other and file pleadings in chambers,

and that means in the Superior Court, not at API, not in

probate court. Superior Court. Upstairs in my chambers.

6 MS. DERRY: And I'm sorry. I missed that last part. You said to hand-deliver also to your chambers,

Your Honor?

9 THE COURT: You need to -- both sides need to 10 file any documents in chambers, and both sides need to 11 hand-deliver documents -- or hand-deliver documents to the

other parties. 12

13

16

18

MS. DERRY: Yes, Your Honor.

14 MR. GOTTSTEIN: Your Honor, is it permissible

15 for us to file it probate and then --

THE COURT: You can file it probate --

17 MR. GOTTSTEIN: -- and provide a chambers copy?

THE COURT: That's fine. That's fine. But I

19 want them in my hands, at least a copy, by any deadlines.

20 Okay?

21 MR. GOTTSTEIN: And, Your Honor, one other thing. I was a little confused about the ruling on the 22

23 motion to dismiss. Was that denied?

24 THE COURT: The motion to dismiss, the earlier

25 petition is moot because the State pulled it.

Page 23

1 MS. DERRY: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And Mr. Gottstein, from you?

3 MR. GOTTSTEIN: Your Honor, it does seem to me 4 that the State should respond to the motion for a summary

5 judgment. It seems to me I'm entitled to file one and

entitled to have them respond. 6

THE COURT: The State --

8 MS. DERRY: Your Honor --

THE COURT: -- I mean, since you haven't seen

the document, I'm not going to require you to make a

motion as to the timing of your response, but let's do

this. The State presumably will receive it in your -- I

13 mean, in the attorney's hands here later on today.

14 MS. DERRY: Uh-huh.

15 THE COURT: So I would like a response from the

State tomorrow at noon -- by noon as to when your response 16

17 ought to be due.

MS. DERRY: When it's due -- yes, Your Honor. 18

19 THE COURT: Okay. And then I will let

20 Mr. Gottstein reply briefly as to the due date, and I'll

21 rule on the due date for the motion.

22 MS. DERRY: Yes, Your Honor.

23 THE COURT: Okay.

MR. GOTTSTEIN: Your Honor, it -- the attorney

general's office tends to mail things to me even when

1 MR. GOTTSTEIN: May I just resubmit it?

2 THE COURT: You can file whatever you want to

3 file.

4 MR. GOTTSTEIN: Do you want me to file a new

one? I mean it's -- the only -- I can file a new one, but

it's -- the petition seems --6

7 THE COURT: They're going to be exactly the

8 same, right?

9 MR. GOTTSTEIN: There's one other point that I 10

could probably add to it, which I --

11 THE COURT: You can -- I don't need to have you 12 generate paper for the sake of me having paper. So if you

13 want to file a piece of paper that's saying you're

14 refiling the old motion and adding another paragraph, you

know, that one-page document will suffice. 15

16 MR. GOTTSTEIN: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Is there any questions at

18 all?

17

20

19 MS. DERRY: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you. We'll be in

21 recess.

22 MS. DERRY: Thank you.

23 (End of recording)

(10:15:03)24

25

Page 25

	Page 26	
1	TRANSCRIBER'S CERTIFICATE	
2	TRANSCRIDER'S CERTIFICATE	
3	I, Deirdre J.F. Radcliffe, hereby certify that the	
4	foregoing pages numbered through are a true and	
5	accurate transcript of proceedings in Case No.	
6	3AN-08-01252 PR, In the Matter of WB, transcribed by me	
7	from a copy of the electronic sound recording, to the best	
8	of my knowledge and ability.	
9	of my knowledge and admity.	
10		
11		
12		•
13	Dendie J.F. Radenne, Transcriber	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		