1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
3	
4	x
5	IN RE: ZYPREXA :
6	PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION, :
7	•
8	:
9	
1.0	
11	
12	•
13	
14	
15	U.S. Courthouse Brooklyn, New York
16	December 20, 2006
17	4:00 p. m.
18	4:00 p. m.
19	
20	
21	
22	TRANSCRIPT OF PHONE CONFERENCE
23	BEFORE THE HONORABLE BRIAN M. COGAN, DISTRICT COURT
2 4	JUDGE.
25	

1	APPEARANCES:
2	
3	For the Plaintiffs:
4	LANIER LAW FIRM, PLLC Tower 56
5	TAC BOOK SECH STREET, DEA FIGURE
6	New York, New York 10022 BY: EVAN M. JANUSH, ESQ. RICHARD D. MEADOW, ESQ.
7	
8	ALEXANDER, HAWES & AUDET, LLP. 221 Main Street
9	Suite 1460
10	BY: WILLIAM M. AUDET, ESQ.
11	-
12	
13	For Eli Lilly & Company:
14	PEPPER, HAMILTON, LLP 3000 Two Logan Square
15	Eighteenth and Arch Streets. Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103
16	BY: SEAN P. FAHEY, ESQ.
17	LANE, POWELL
18	301 West Northern Lights Boulevald
19	Anchorage, Alaska 99503 BY: BREWSTER H. JAMIESON, ESQ.
20	
21	
22	·
23	Special Master:
24	PETER H. WOODIN, ESQ. 280 Park Avenue
25	West Building, 28th Floor New York, New York 11017

1		ESENTINO		GOTT	STEIN:
2	JOHN	MCKAY,	ESQ.		
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15			<b>X</b> .		
16			KII		
17					
18					
19					
2 0					
21					
22		_	122		
23		ORTED E		מ מי	MR
24		A SCHMI		K, K	7.174
25	USI	C - EDI	1 X		

```
THE COURT:
                          Good afternoon.
 1
     ready to proceed?
 2
                          We are, Your Honor.
              MR. FAHEY:
 3
              THE COURT: All right. This is In
 4
          Zyprexa Products Liability Litigation,
 5
     re:
     MDL Number 1596. We are on the record.
 6
     counsel please state their appearances?
              MR. FAHEY:
                          This is Sean Fahey on
 8
     behalf of Eli Lilly and Company.
 9
              MR. JANUSH: Rick Meadow and Evan
10
     Janush from the Lanier Law Firm, plaintiffs.
11
              THE COURT: Anyone else?
12
              MR. AUDET: Bill Audet, A-U-D-E-T.
13
     I'm a member of the Zyprexa Plaintiffs'
14
     Steering Committee.
15
              MR. MCKAY: John McKay, Anchorage,
16
              I am appearing especially on behalf
17
     of Mr. James Gottstein, without waiving any
18
     jurisdictional objections, Your Honor.
19
              THE COURT: All right.
20
              MR. WOODIN: And, Judge, you have
21
     Peter Woodin, Special Discovery Master.
22
```

23

24

25

Lisa S. Cox, CCR, RMR Official Court Reporter

have Brewster Jamieson with Lane, Powell,

local counsel for Eli Lilly in Anchorage,

MR. JAMIESON: And also, Judge, you

```
Alaska.
1
              THE COURT: Mr. Fahey, why don't we
2
                      Tell me where we are.
    start with you?
3
              MR. FAHEY: Your Honor, with your
4
    permission, I would like to have Special
5
    Master Woodin provide an update on the
6
    compliance with Your Honor's order of Monday.
 7
              THE COURT: That's fine.
 8
              MR. WOODIN: Your Honor, Judge, this
 9
    morning, as of this morning, I had not
10
     received from Mr. Gottstein the documents that
11
    he had been ordered to return to me.
12
    not received a list of parties to whom he's
13
    disseminated the documents, and I had not
14
    received any information about the particular
15
    documents that were given to the individuals
16
     or organizations. All three of those things
17
     in your order, you directed him to provide to
18
     me, either immediately or within 24 hours.
19
                I sent him an email. Actually, I
20
     sent his counsel an email and copied him and
21
     counsel for both the PSC and Lilly on that
22
     email, requesting -- noting that I had not
23
     received any of these materials or
24
     information, and asking Mr. Gottstein's
25
```

ing seed for the parents of the first of the seed of t

- 1 counsel to either inform me whether or not
- 2 Mr. Gottstein intends to comply with the
- 3 order, and if so, when I could expect
- 4 compliant. I have had no further update with
- 5 respect to that email. So, I pass it on, Your
- 6 Honor.
- 7 THE COURT: All right. Before we
- 8 hear from Mr. McKay, anyone else need to be
- 9 heard?
- MR. FAHEY: No, Your Honor.
- THE COURT: All right. Mr. McKay,
- 12 you're sounding like you're coming through a
- 13 lot clearer than last time we spoke, and I'm
- 14 glad to hear that.
- 15 Why don't you tell me where we are
- 16 with regard to compliance with the order?
- MR. MCKAY: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 18 My understanding of the nature of this hearing
- 19 is simply to visit the status on the nature of
- 20 compliance with the order, is that correct?
- THE COURT: That's correct.
- MR. MCKAY: Your Honor, what I can
- 23 tell you is that -- and for the record, I'll
- 24 just note the continuing objection that
- 25 Mr. Gottstein has concerning the issue of

```
1 jurisdiction over him in this matter. That it
```

- 2 is his intention to fully cooperate with the
- 3 Court and the parties in responding to your
- 4 order, and he has been, and continues to, and
- 5 so if I can let you know exactly what he has
- 6 been doing, and any remaining issues, I will
- 7 do that.
- 8 First of all, after the hearing,
- 9 the form of proposed order was circulated, and
- 10 I attempted to comment on that, and also to
- 11 offer a stipulated agreement, after discussion
- 12 with counsel, that would resolve these issues,
- 13 but basically was told that my comments were
- 14 not -- no one was interested in comment from
- 15 me, and there was nothing to discuss.
- so, without waiting for the
- 17 issuance of a formal order, my client
- 18 communicated with those with to whom he had
- 19 disseminated the materials. My understanding
- 20 is that he had sent copies to a number of
- 21 people. He communicated with them, asked that
- 22 they be turned over to the Special Master,
- 23 with directions on how to do that. And he
- 24 also copied the Special Master and counsel
- 25 with these communications.

1	So while it may be technique
	correct that Mr. Woodin has not been provided
2	a list, in list form, Mr. Gottstein has been
3	doing other things, using his time to try to
4	doing other things, doing novided the
5	comply with the order. He's provided the
6	information that will be on the list, that
7	he's trying to prepare for the Special Master,
8	but he's already provided the names. He just
9	has not provided them to him in list form, as
	opposed to providing him with copies of all
10	the communications that went to all of these
11	people identifying who they were, because that
12	
13	has already been done.
14	THE COURT: Mr. McKay, let me
15	interrupt you, so I'm sure I understand.
16	You're saying he has sent Mr. Woodin emails or
17	copied him on emails containing the names of
18	the neople who got the information, so your
	position is that Mr. Woodin, in fact, has the
19	names of those people?
20	MR. MCKAY: Yes, Your Honor. He did
21	that. He did that first, so that any further
22	that. He did that first, out there that
23	possibility of things being out there that
2 4	might Lilly might feel has prejudiced their
าะ	position would be taken care of.

```
MR. WOODIN: Your Honor, if I may
1
    interject -- this is Peter Woodin. I did
2
    receive -- I was copied on about ten or 12
3
    emails that Mr. Gottstein sent to various
    individuals.
5
             THE COURT: Okay.
6
               Continue, Mr. McKay.
7
             MR. MCKAY: And to his knowledge, he
8
    has contacted everyone to whom he sent these.
9
    I can tell you that I discussed it with him
10
     thoroughly, and that he believes in good faith
11
     that he has done that. If, by chance, he
12
     thinks of anybody else, he will obviously
13
     immediately do the same with them, and contact
14
     counsel and the Special Master, but it's not
15
     like he's fully satisfied that, and done that.
16
                In addition to that, after the
17
     hearing, Your Honor, before Your Honor on
18
     Monday, counsel stayed on the phone with the
19
     Discovery Master, and had a brief discussion,
20
     and in that discussion, it was agreed that
 21
     instead of Mr. Gottstein in Anchorage sending
 22
      documents to Mr. Woodin -- I believe in New
 23
      York -- that instead, we could provide them
 24
      Mr. Jamieson here in town, who is local
 25
```

1 counsel for Eli Lilly in Anchorage. And

- 2 shortly after the hearing, I put in a call to
- 3 Mr. Jamieson to talk about making arrangements
- 4 for doing that and to address a couple of
- 5 questions that he needed to be answered in
- 6 connection with that. He didn't have an
- 7 opportunity to call me back. I followed up
- 8 with a later call, and in fact, to this day, I
- 9 have not received a return call on that.
- Nonetheless, Mr. Gottstein has now
- 11 gone ahead, without waiting for an answer,
- 12 that he has delivered to Mr. Jamieson's office
- 13 copies of DVDs containing the documents that
- 14 he has. There are a couple of remaining
- 15 copies that he has in electronic form, which
- 16 I'll address in a minute, but any copies that
- 17 he has -- he basically had copies in three
- 18 different formats. One was documents that
- 19 Dr. Egilman had sent to him. As I understand
- 20 it, these documents came in two different
- 21 days, two days in row, partly in one day and
- 22 partly on another day. He has those documents
- 23 on a DVD, and sent them in that form, I
- 24 believe, to these people that he contacted to
- 25 get them returned. He had apparently one or

- 1 two documents from that that he had put on a
- 2 flash drive, you know. Is Your Honor familiar
- 3 with what that is?
- 4 THE COURT: I am.
- 5 MR. MCKAY: All right. And when we
- 6 were talking to make sure that he was
- 7 completely retrieving these from every
- 8 possible place that he might have copies of
- 9 these documents, he brought this up. He
- 10 remembered that he had a copy of a document on
- 11 that, and he believe he's copied that document
- on a DVD for the plaintiffs, as well.
- And then the other thing is that he
- 14 has a copy on his computer. The copies that
- 15 were not on his computer have been turned over
- 16 to local counsel for Lilly. One of the
- 17 questions I was trying to get answered from
- 18 Lilly's attorney, that I would like to so
- 19 acknowledge and get an opportunity, but I
- 20 haven't been able to do it before that, is
- 21 whether it's sufficient to simply certify for
- 22 him to erase these from his computer, certify
- 23 that that's been done, or whether he wants
- 24 another copy of what's on the computer, which
- 25 he's already gotten on DVD form to be made,

1 before he erases it from the computer. So

- 2 that's one question. So you know that there
- 3 is still a copy that we still need to address
- 4 that's on his computer.
- 5 The other thing is that, in
- 6 addition, Mr. Gottstein is aware that his
- 7 computer system is routinely backed up, and
- 8 he's taken steps to reach a technician.
- 9 Mr. Gottstein is -- there's a long-scheduled
- 10 trip that he was about to leave on, so he's
- 11 been diligently working to get this taken care
- 12 of before he goes -- but he contacted a
- 13 computer technician -- and I don't know if the
- 14 parties had even thought of this -- but he's
- 15 trying to make sure if there is any backup
- 16 copy of this in existence, that that is also
- 17 wiped clean. So he's taking steps to do that.
- 18 He has not been able to do that himself, and
- 19 he so far, has not been able to get the
- 20 technician to do that, but he is taking good
- 21 faith steps to set that in motion, and it will
- 22 be done.
- And then in addition, there's a
- 24 document that he had started that he believes
- 25 that he started to create. He's a solo

1 practitioner, and I can tell you that he is

- 2 working many long hours to try and do this, to
- 3 the disadvantage of other commitments. He's
- 4 been doing what he can. But he believes that
- 5 he started a document sometime in the past,
- 6 before all this came up, trying to create for
- 7 himself a word-searchable version of this
- 8 document, PDF document. He went on his
- 9 computer to try to find that document, in the
- 10 interest of making sure he had everything that
- 11 was being sought. And at that time -- at this
- 12 time, he's still been unable to locate it on
- 13 his computer. Assuming that it does exist and
- 14 assuming he can find it, he will destroy that
- 15 document. But I want you to know that it may
- 16 exist, and he has not -- if it does exist,
- 17 he's not yet located it.
- And then finally, the biggest
- 19 difficulty that he's encountered at this point
- 20 is an apparently conflicting provision of the
- 21 order which requires him to immediately turn
- 22 over any copies that he has, and any related
- 23 documents, and at the same time, to give an
- 24 accounting in the form of an identifying
- 25 documents by Bates Stamp Number. There are

14

1 two problems with this, Your Honor. He does

- 2 not have any sort of an index of these
- 3 documents by Bates Stamp, and apparently, my
- 4 understanding is there are over 800 files, and
- 5 it's an enormous task to try to identify these
- 6 documents by Bates Stamp. In addition, it
- 7 would require that he retain a copy in order
- 8 to be able to do this. He is undertaking to
- 9 comply, but this is one of the problems, that
- 10 he can't both immediately have given this back
- 11 and be using it to try to comply with the
- 12 order, to try to identify it by Bates Stamps.
- 13 It seems like there are simpler ways of doing
- 14 this, than to identify documents by a Bates
- 15 Stamp system, that he has not had any reason
- 16 to initiate himself, that he doesn't have an
- 17 index for.
- So I would suggest that perhaps the
- 19 Court could clarify that either it's not
- 20 necessary to do that, or that he could order
- 21 to parties to provide him a Bates Stamped
- 22 index that would facilitate compliance with
- 23 that part of the order.
- THE COURT: All right. Let he hear
- 25 from either Mr. Woodin or Mr. Fahey on this.

```
MR. FAHEY: Your Honor, I just want
1
    to take the issues in the order that they were
2
    presented by Mr. McKay.
3
                         This is Mr. Fahey, right?
              THE COURT:
4
                          With respect to the
              MR. FAHEY:
5
    contact to individuals that Mr. Gottstein
6
    might have further disseminated these
7
    documents to, on that issue -- there is two
8
    issues I would like to follow up on.
9
                The first is that a number of the
10
     email addresses that Mr. Gottstein has emailed
11
     to do not identify the recipient. It might be
12
     something like "Jen" or "Mad" at something,
13
     where there's really no description of who was
14
     the recipient of the document, who the
1.5
     intended recipient of the document was. So I
16
     still think that, despite the emails that
17
     Mr. Gottstein has sent out, we do still need a
18
     list to understand who the intended recipients
19
     of these documents were.
20
                 Second of all, I would like -- and
21
     I think it's necessary for Mr. Gottstein at
22
     some point, hopefully by the end of the day,
23
     to certify that he has sent out emails to all
24
     the people he is currently aware of.
25
```

The factors of the second of t

received another series of emails yesterday.

Before and green the case of the estimate and

- 2 morning, and then received another one today.
- 3 And so, up until Mr. McKay just said what he
- 4 said, we had no idea when this list would stop
- 5 being generated.
- The second issue, which I think is
- 7 more concerned to the compliance with the
- 8 order, is that when Mr. Gottstein contacts the
- 9 individuals who he has sent the materials to,
- 10 he expresses concern about whether the order
- 11 is appropriate, whether it's binding on him,
- 12 tells the recipient that he disagrees with it.
- 13 The most recent email, it says -- he said,
- 14 "And it seems inevitable we will be taking
- 15 steps to challenge the order's validity, " and
- 16 then he says, "But in the meantime, it should
- 17 be complied with." So we're concerned that
- 18 the message that the recipient of these
- 19 disseminated documents is receiving is that
- 20 mixed message, quite frankly, Your Honor, and
- 21 we would like there to be no confusion about
- 22 what this Court ruled, and we, briefly, don't
- 23 think there's any confusion that this Court
- 24 clearly has jurisdiction over Mr. Gottstein,
- 25 based on his conduct.

1	The second issue relating to the
2	materials if Mr. Gottstein has a third
3	party that is doing the deletion of his backup
4	files, we would like them to Mr. Gottstein
5	can immediately delete the documents from his
6	computer, and we would like the third party to
7	certify that that deletion has occurred, and
8	also certify once the backup materials are
9	eliminated, that that has also occurred.
10	You know, we were just notified,
 1 1	you know, minutes before this call that the
12	documents had, in fact, that were in hard copy
13	were sent to our local counsel's office, and
14	I'm pleased to hear that.
15	MR. JAMIESON: Sean, Brewster
16	Jamieson. I have only received copies of CDs.
17	I did not receive any hard copy documents.
18	MR. MCKAY: I don't believe there are
19	any hard copy documents.
20	MR. FAHEY: That's what I understood.
21	I understood that.
22	And then, Your Honor, with your
23	permission, I would address the question of
24	Mr. McKay. It may be able to cut through the
4	74 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Lisa S. Cox, CCR, RMR Official Court Reporter

Bates label issue.

25

THE COURT: Why don't you?

- MR. FAHEY: If Mr. Gottstein was able
- 3 to give us an understanding of what he sent to
- 4 each of these recipients -- if he sent
- 5 different things to each recipient, then we
- 6 may still have the issue with Bates labeling.
- 7 If all he did was copy the universe of
- 8 documents to all recipients, then that may be
- 9 something that can be handled in a different
- 10 way.
- THE COURT: Mr. McKay, do you know
- 12 the answer?
- MR. MCKAY: I think so, for our
- 14 purposes, Your Honor, and I appreciate that.
- 15 That was why I was trying to contact
- 16 Mr. Jamieson, see if we could cut through
- 17 this.
- 18 My understanding is that the
- 19 documents -- Dr. Egilman sent some documents
- 20 to him on day one, and that some additional
- 21 documents, some additional portion of the
- 22 documents on day two.
- MR. FAHEY: Day one is which day?
- MR. MCKAY: The reason I'm saying
- 25 that is I'm not entirely sure which day it

1 was. OPERATOR: The following participant 2 has joined the conference: Unknown caller. 3 THE COURT: Well, Mr. Unknown Caller 4 5 MR. JANUSH: This is -- sorry, Judge. 6 This is Evan Janush. I had gone into my own 7 office and left Mr. Meadow. I apologize. В Thank you, Mr. Janush. THE COURT: 9 MR. MCKAY: Your Honor, what I was 10 explaining was that the question was what 11 documents -- my understanding is that 12 whichever date they came in -- I think it was 13 Monday and Tuesday, whether it could have been 14 Tuesday and Wednesday, whatever day they came 15 in, on the first day the documents came in, 16 Mr. Gottstein sent -- I think created a DVD 17 from the documents that had come 18 electronically, and sent them out to some 19 people. 20 On the second day, he sent 21 documents to some other people. At that time, 22 some additional documents had come from 23 Dr. Egilman. So those were included on the

the affigure has a few orders of a second of

Lisa S. Cox, CCR, RMR Official Court Reporter

DVDs that went to the second round of people.

24

25

St 1/12 - 2 th

```
So my understanding is that the
DVDs came either in one batch of documents or
the other batch of documents. One would be
```

- the other patch of documents

  4 the universe, the other, what had come in the
- 5 first day. I think it's easy enough to
- 6 identify them in that fashion, without going
- 7 through, you know, hours or hundreds of hours
- 8 of recording thing with Bates Stamps that may
- 9 or may not correspond to something you already
- 10 have, and seems like an unnecessary exercise.
- 11 If we could agree on that, that would be
- 12 helpful.
- MR. FAHEY: There's nothing that we
- 14 have. We still don't know what documents were
- 15 disseminated, so if you can identify which
- 16 recipients received a portion of the documents
- 17 and which recipients received the bolus of
- 18 documents, and we can confirm, based on the
- 19 DVDs you sent over, which is which, we may be
- 20 able to alleviate the issue of the Bates
- 21 Numbers.
- MR. MCKAY: Let me say this,
- 23 Mr. Fahey. I don't know whether it will be
- 24 possible to do that, and I simply don't know
- 25 because I don't know if Mr. Gottstein knows.

```
To the best of his ability, he will give you that information. If he doesn't know or remember exactly who got which one, you know, that's something that we'll just to have deal
```

5 with, but what I can tell you is that what we

6 can tell you is that these are the recipients,

7 and to answer your question about the list,

8 we're happy to provide you and will provide

9 you with the list. And I understand that your

10 comment that some of the emails addresses may

ll not be clear, and we'll certainly remedy that

12 promptly. He's working on this, and he is one

13 person, and trying to do the things that he

14 can here.

But I can guess what I'm saying is
that what we can tell you is that he can
identify to the best of his ability, which
people got which documents, but I don't think
the additional requirement of identifying the
documents that one set or the other of these

21 people got by Bates Stamp Number is going to

22 be necessary or productive, and hopefully, we

23 can agree on that. We can say this set of

24 documents went to some people. This set of

25 documents went to other people. To the best

- of our knowledge, these are the people to whom
- 2 those two different sets of documents went.
- 3 Is that adequate?
- 4 MR. FAHEY: I think so. The other
- 5 issue, though, is we're aware that I believe
- 6 at least someone from the New York Times had
- 7 access to a database remotely. So we would
- 8 need to know the recipients or anybody who
- 9 accessed that database remotely and could have
- 10 downloaded documents to their own servers.
- MR. MCKAY: That's correct, and I
- 12 am -- the short answer is, we will get you
- 13 whatever information there is to be gotten to
- 14 you, we will get that to you. And I
- 15 understand that -- I will find out from
- 16 Mr. Gottstein what the data was that was
- 17 available for the Judge.
- Judge, for your information, my
- 19 understanding is that there was a set of these
- 20 documents on a computer that was accessible by
- 21 someone at the New York Times, through
- 22 Mr. Gottstein. For your information, it was
- 23 not put on the computer on the way that it was
- 24 available to the public. It required a
- 25 password to get in. It was something that was

1 not generally available. My understanding is

- 2 that it's not something that might have been
- 3 accessed by anybody in the world, and to the
- 4 extent that there is a record of who accessed
- 5 it, we're attempting to obtain that
- 6 information for you, as well.
- 7 THE COURT: All right. Anything
- g further?

- 9 MR. FAHEY: No. I think, Your Honor,
- 10 it just leaves the issue of the mixed message
- ll that we believe Mr. Gottstein is sending in
- 12 his communication relating to compliance with
- 13 the paragraph of the order requiring him to
- 14 take all necessary steps to obtain the
- 15 documents' return.
- THE COURT: Yes. I understand that
- 17 concern. I'm not sure what you propose we do
- 18 about it. It does seem to me that, whether
- 19 stemming from that or just stemming from the
- 20 original dissemination of the documents, you
- 21 may have monetary relief that you want to seek
- 22 from Mr. Gottstein at some point.
- It seems clear from the way that
- 24 you have described the emails going out that
- 25 he has at least directed the people to comply,

1 and I think what you're suggesting is that

- 2 he's done it in a kind of backhanded way,
- 3 which might dissuade them from actually
- 4 complying, and I suppose if you want to move
- 5 against him because he has attempted to find a
- 6 way to evade the intent of the order, you can
- 7 do that, but since the messages have gone out,
- 8 what would you propose we do about it at this
- 9 point.?
- 10 MR. FAHEY: I would just ask that the
- 11 that perhaps the jurisdictional issue be
- 12 resolved. That appears to be one of the
- 13 largest hurdles to Mr. Gottstein's concern
- 14 about the validity of this order. I think the
- 15 Second Circuit law is very clear on the issue
- 16 that where a person intentionally conspires,
- 17 as Your Honor found, to violate an order that
- 18 relates to the District, then that infers
- 19 jurisdiction on the person.
- MR. MCKAY: May I respond to that?
- 21 THE COURT: You can respond, but
- 22 perhaps I'll anticipate your response. You
- 23 know, Mr. Gottstein has preserved his
- 24 objection to that, but as far as I'm
- 25 concerned, that is not an issue. The fact of

- 1 the matter is, it's not just Second Circuit
- 2 law, it's Supreme Court law. So, you know, I
- 3 see my order as being effective until the
- 4 Second Circuit tells me otherwise, and I'm
- 5 not -- I see no more power I have other than
- 6 to say that.
- 7 MR. FAHEY: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 8 MR. MCKAY: And I would appreciate a
- 9 brief opportunity to respond, Your Honor.
- THE COURT: Go ahead.
- MR. MCKAY: First of all, I take
- 12 exception to any suggestion that Mr. Gottstein
- 13 has been doing anything other than acting in
- 14 complete good faith. He's not trying to
- 15 factor anything here. I also note for the
- 16 record that it is my understanding, having
- 17 been present at the proceedings and having
- 18 looked at the order that you issued, that you
- 19 did not make a finding that Mr. Gottstein has
- 20 engaged in a conspiracy. And in fact, I don't
- 21 believe that he did -- but the fact that you
- 22 didn't make a finding is a statement that was
- 23 just made.
- The reason that he -- and I should
- 25 also note that had we been given prior notice

1 and any kind of a written application stating

- 2 the grounds for the relief sought, it might
- 3 have been easier to address some of these
- 4 issues.
- But, that said, I think when
- 6 somebody who is not a party to your lawsuit,
- 7 your multi-district litigation, receives an
- 8 order arising out of something he did in a
- 9 case in Alaska, subpoenaing documents, that
- 10 Eli Lilly could have said to Dr. Egilman,
- 11 certain objections, don't provide that.
- 12 Obviously, there will be a question about the
- 13 timing of that -- but in any event, he has
- 14 taken action in an Alaska case to obtain
- 15 documents that he believes are pertinent to
- 16 that litigation. When, in the context of
- 17 that, a Judge in New York tells him that he
- 18 has violated an order in a New York case that
- 19 he not a party to, it, at least, in fairness,
- 20 raises a reasonable question about that issue.
- 21 And without --
- THE COURT: Mr. McKay, Mr. McKay, let
- 23 me interrupt you, please. Okay. I understand
- 24 your position on the record, and I'm not going
- 25 to argue the legal point with you. The

```
1 findings I made are on the record as
```

- 2 previously stated, and they're in the
- 3 injunction order that was previously issued.
- 4 MR. MCKAY: Yes, Your Honor, and they
- 5 don't include any finding of a conspiracy, and
- 6 my sole point here is to say that, Your Honor,
- 7 Mr. Gottstein is not arguing with you here.
- 8 He is not trying to -- in fact, he is trying
- 9 to fully comply, and I think you can see from
- 10 what I have said today, that he has been,
- 11 fully complying with what you have ordered
- 12 regardless of any objections that he might
- 13 have. But I want to -- he did not want to
- 14 prejudice his position that he might still
- 15 wish to assert, on reflection, without waiting
- 16 to comply with your order, and so by sending
- 17 out -- are you still there.?
- 18 THE COURT: Still here.
- 19 MR. MCKAY: So without -- by sending
- 20 out these emails without any reference to
- 21 reserving his objection, he was concerned that
- 22 he might waive that. But he also made a it
- 23 very clear that there was a Court Order. I
- 24 think he referenced the Court Order, gave the
- 25 people access to the Court Order, so that it

- 1 was clear what the Court had done. So it was
- 2 not in any way an effort to keep people from
- 3 knowing or believing that the Court had issued
- 4 an order, and exactly what it said.
- THE COURT: All right. Let me just
- 6 say, I don't have the emails in front of me,
- 7 and I'm not going to make any ruling against
- 8 him based on the description of the emails
- 9 that counsel had given me. It does seem to
- 10 me, based on the description, that he at least
- 11 told the recipients --
- 12 OPERATOR: The following participant
- 13 has joined the conference.
- MR. WOODIN: Peter Woodin. Your
- 15 Honor --

- 16 THE COURT: Yes, I know. You got
- 17 dropped and you're back.
- MR. WOODIN: Yeah.
- THE COURT: Okay. It does seem to
- 20 me, Mr. McKay, that at the very least, there
- 21 was no need for him to assert his position to
- 22 third parties, and it may have been
- 23 ill-advised for him to do so. But I am not
- 24 making any findings on that, at this point.
- 25 That will be for Judge Weinstein, when he gets

l back, if indeed there is a written application

2 for specific sanctions.

era a se legg a raja y <sub>se</sub> leger sila**se** a A

- I do hear you, Mr. McKay, in
- 4 talking about the compliance efforts that have
- 5 been made. It is clear that some level of
- 6 compliance efforts have been made. The only
- 7 thing I need to know is, you know, we now
- 8 have, as far as I'm concerned, passed the
- 9 deadline on the list of people who are
- 9 deadline on the supposed to 10 supposed to be recipients, who are supposed to
- 11 have been identified. And I understand your
- 12 point that we have got email names, that
- point that we have a solution that we have solve you're willing to tell us who those recipients
- 14 are -- but by close of business tomorrow, so
- 15 there is no ambiguity, I want that list sent
- 16 to Mr. Woodin, okay?
- 16 to Mr. WOOdin, Mr. MCKAY: That will be done, Your
- 18 Honor. Your Honor, just so you know, Mr.
- 19 Gottstein, this morning, hours ago, early this
- 20 morning, had advised Mr. Woodin in response to
- 21 his email, that he was preparing that list for
- 22 him, and would try to get it to him today.
- THE COURT: Okay.
- MR. MCKAY: So there is no objection
- 25 to that. He fully intends to comply with

```
that, and I appreciate you hearing us out on
1
    the others issues.
2
                                     That's fine.
             THE COURT: All right.
3
               All right. Anything further?
             MR. FAHEY: Your Honor, I would just
5
    ask that Mr. Gottstein, through his counsel,
6
    certify by the end of day today that any
7
    documents on his computer have been deleted.
8
             THE COURT: Any problem with that,
9
    Mr. McKay? That's a two-line declaration.
1.0
             MR. MCKAY: Your Honor, subject to
11
    the understanding that we all, I believe, have
12
    now, that there's no need for him to retain
13
    them so that he can -- subject to the
14
    understanding that there is no longer any
15
     requirement that he identify documents by
16
     Bates Stamp Number or otherwise, we have no
```

were to eradicate the documents. I believe if 20 that requirement is no longer there, then he

problem with that, but it would be impossible

to comply with that part of the order if he

21 can identify them by referring to the two sets 22

of documents. 23

17

18

19

THE COURT: You understand that, Mr. 24

Fahey, right? 25

 $(x_{n+1}, y_n) = \{y_n \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid x_{n+1} = x$ 

```
Yes. The only thing I
              MR. FAHEY:
1
    want to clarify is when he says, "Or
2
    otherwise, " I know he's already told us that
 3
    he will identify which groups of recipients
 4
    which received which batch of documents.
                          To the best of his
              MR. MCKAY:
 6
     ability, he will do that.
 7
              THE COURT: All right, gentlemen.
 8
     I'm here tomorrow, if you need me further, and
 9
     Judge Weinstein will be back on Tuesday.
10
              MR. JAMIESON: For the record, this
11
     is Brewster Jamieson in Alaska. Could I have
12
     a direction as to whom I could send these CDs
13
     that were delivered to me at the beginning of
14
     this call.?
15
              THE COURT: Mr. Woodin.
16
              MR. JAMIESON: I'll do that by FedEx
17
     tonight.
18
              MR. WOODIN: Very good.
19
                          Excuse me. May I ask one
              MR. MCKAY:
20
     other question, to make sure we're completely
21
```

Lisa S. Cox, CCR, RMR Official Court Reporter

clear on this? The question that I wanted to

ask a couple days ago, so we could comply with

this, is there any reason that we can't simply

do as Mr. Fahey's just suggested, and certify

22

23

24

25

- that the documents on the computer have been
- 2 erased? I mean, we don't need to make another
- 3 copy of what's on the computer if we've
- 4 already sent this out.
- 5 THE COURT: My understanding is that
- 6 you do not, no.
- 7 MR. FAHEY: And you're representing
- 8 that they're the identical copies of the
- 9 documents that have already been sent to our
- 10 office.
- MR. MCKAY: What I'm doing is, I will
- 12 make sure that whatever representation is to
- 13 be made will be made, you know, as far as what
- 14 the documents are. I need to check with
- 15 Mr. Gottstein to make sure exactly which
- 16 documents were sent to your office, and what
- 17 they contain exactly -- but I will make sure
- 18 that if you don't already have a copy of these
- 19 documents, that you will get them.
- 20 THE COURT: Right. In other words,
- 21 what has been produced, need not be produced.
- 22 What has not, if anything, needs to be
- 23 produced quickly.
- MR. MCKAY: Understood.
- 25 THE COURT: All right. Thank you

1	all.	ΙÍ	Id	on't	spea	k t	о у	ou, i	nave a	a nappy
2	holida	y.								
3			MR.	FAH	EY:	Tha	nk	you,	Your	Honor.
4			MR.	MCK	AY:	Tha	nk	you,	Your	Honor.
5		( P	HONE	CON	FERE	NCE	WAS	s con	CLUDE	D.)
6										
7									¥	
8									¥i	
9										
10										
ll						8				
12										
1 3										
1 4										
15										
16							35			
17				E.					٠	
18										
19	8									
2 0										
21										
2 2										
2 3										
2 4										
8 -87										