

1). "The monogenic theory of schizophrenia." *Genet. (Basel)*, 8, 50-56.

935). "Zum Ersatz des Weinberg-Verfahrens"; zugleich ein Beitrag zur Erblichkeit des Erkrankungsalters." *Z. ges. Neurol. Psychiat.*, 1935, 103, 230-277.

965). "A study of pairs of sibs with schizophrenia." Ph.D. Thesis, London.

963). "Manic-depressive heredity in schizophrenia." *Brit. J. Psychiat.*, 1963, 103, 230-277.

9). "Über Krankheitsbild und Krankheitsursache bei schizophrenen Geschwistern." *M. D. Diss.*, 103, 230-277.

Psychological Theories of E.C.T.: A Review

By EDGAR MILLER

Since the inception of the use of artificially induced convulsions as a therapeutic agent in 1935 by Meduna and the modification of this method of treatment by the use of electric currents by Cerletti and Bini in 1938, a vast literature has accumulated on this form of psychiatric treatment. Yet, despite this vast literature and the passage of over 30 years of experimental opportunity, no predominant or convincing rationale for the use of electroconvulsive therapy (E.C.T.) has emerged; Meduna's (53) original theory of the incomparability of schizophrenia and epilepsy having been long discredited. E.C.T. remains, therefore, an empirical form of treatment.

The immediate effect of E.C.T. is most noticeable at a physiological level, but it is usually prescribed for its alleged psychological effect on affective symptoms; and hence, in attempting to explain the effects of E.C.T., both physiological and psychological theories will be of interest. However, it is the purpose of the present paper to review only psychological theories of E.C.T.

Theoretical approaches towards explaining the effects of electroconvulsive shock (E.C.S.) on animals will also be considered. As the work on E.C.S. with animals has been more empirical than a corresponding work with humans, it has led to more consistent findings and a sounder basis for theorizing. Hence, theories drawn from animal research may be able to give useful leads for explaining the effects of E.C.T. on humans.

What Needs to be Explained?

Before considering theories in detail it is necessary to be clear about what phenomena are to be explained. Therefore, the main and the most established phenomena will be briefly presented below.

(i) *The Therapeutic Efficacy of E.C.T.*

Although most theories of E.C.T. assume a beneficial therapeutic effect, the evidence for this from well-controlled studies is somewhat equivocal (65). However, at least two controlled studies (44, 68) have indicated that depressed patients treated by E.C.T. have a better clinical outcome than those not so treated. Campbell (12) draws attention to the idea that E.C.T. may be effective in speeding up recovery that is under way rather than by actually causing recovery. There is evidence in favour of this (24) and Slater's (69) reassessment of Karagulla's (42) data is also consistent with this idea.

A large number of studies agree that recovery following E.C.T. is better for affective disorders, especially depression, than for other disorders. Similarly, some recent research (13, 54, 66) has confirmed the common clinical impression that recovery rates are better for endogenous than for exogenous depressions. However, studies comparing the response to treatment of different diagnostic groups have generally not controlled for differences in untreated remission rates.

It seems reasonable to conclude that, compared with most other psychiatric treatments, the therapeutic efficacy of E.C.T. is reasonably well established. Even if Riddell's (65) conclusion that an unequivocal proof is lacking is accepted, there is at least a strong indication that E.C.T. is more effective than no treatment at all, especially in cases of endogenous depression.

(ii) *Memory Disturbances*

Almost all authorities who have considered this problem agree that E.C.T. causes a degree of memory loss for events preceding the treatment. Experimental verification of this has been provided (10, 39), and Cronholm and his associates have made an attempt to explore the parameters of this effect (19, 20, 21).

Research Worker, M.R.C. Psychiatry Unit, London, S.E.5; now Lecturer in Psychiatry, National Taiwan University Hospital, Taipei, Taiwan (London, 1965)

(iii) Confusion

A large number of authors, especially those advocating the intensive use of E.C.T., report that E.C.T. produces confusion, the extent of which seems to depend on the number and frequency of the treatments (10, 30, 43, 72). Paradoxically, besides itself producing confusion, E.C.T. is used effectively in certain conditions, such as severe manic excitement, in which confusion is present as part of the clinical picture. In these latter conditions E.C.T. appears to clear up confusion rather than cause it, but this may well be because the E.C.T. acts upon the cause of the original confusion thus reducing it to a greater extent than any added confusion due to the E.C.T.

(iv) Other Psychological Effects

Investigations of the effects of E.C.T. on a large number of psychological tests, on motor speed and on perception, have also been carried out but the results are often contradictory and do not lead to clear cut conclusions. The important effects of E.C.T. appear to be the first three given above.

A point also worth noting here is the fact that in all forms of convulsive therapy it is the convulsion itself which is necessary for the effects to occur and not some other incidental feature of the treatment situation. This has been demonstrated for both drug induced convulsions (7, 16) and E.C.T. (22).

In the actual discussion of the psychological theories that have been put forward to explain the effects of E.C.T. it seems most convenient to split the theories into two fairly well defined groups:

(a) Those influenced by theories of a psychoanalytic nature.

(b) Others.

(a) Psychoanalytic Theories

A large number of theories have been put forward from within a psychoanalytic framework. These were often initially applied to drug-induced convulsions, but most such theorists made little distinction between drug induced convulsions and E.C.T. (3, 35). As it is the

convulsion itself which seems to be the effective agent in all forms of convulsive therapy it is reasonable to apply theories originally designed to account for the effects of drug-induced convulsions to E.C.T. also.

Among this group of theorists, all agree, either explicitly or implicitly, that E.C.T. has its effects by assisting the process of repression, and is hence opposed to psychoanalysis, which is at recovering repressed material (70). Abernethy goes further than any other theorist with the concept of repression in that he uses it to account not only for the beneficial effects of E.C.T. but also for the amnesia and other negative sequelae of treatment. He claims that these effects are just what he would predict on the basis of strong repression having taken place.

The various psychoanalytic theories differ according to the hypotheses put forward concerning the mechanisms which either cause or accompany repression. The three most common such hypotheses are discussed below and have been used in varying permutations and combinations by the different theorists.

The Regression Hypothesis:

A number of authors (2, 3, 31, 58, 62) have regarded the treatment as producing regression of behaviour to infantile, or even pre-natal levels. Usually the regression is thought of as being psychologically induced by the stress involved in the treatment situation, though Power (62) feels that the regression is physically induced by the convulsion. Power argues that the tonic and clonic phases of the convulsion are similar to movements seen in the foetal behaviour of both man and sheep, and that these therefore represent a return to a foetal level of nervous functioning. Others, holding that the regression is psychologically induced, relate post-convulsive behaviour, such as apparent sucking movements or faecal smearing, to the Freudian stages of psychosexual development (31, 58) and assert that the oedipal conflict is reactivated (73).

As with most hypotheses within this class there has been little attempt at experimental verification. Cameron (11) claims that careful observation of patients following E.C.T. does

ch seems to be the effect of convulsive therapy theories originally designed to explain the effects of drug-induced convulsions. Also,

of theorists, all agree, especially, that E.C.T. has the process of repression, psychoanalysis, which is used material (70). Absence of any other theorist with whom he uses it to account for the effects of E.C.T. and other negative sequelae is that these effects are in conflict on the basis of their own place.

analytic theories differ in their forward concerning either cause or accompanying most common regression is thought to be induced by the treatment situation, though the regression is physiological. Power argues that the phases of the convulsions seen in the foetal development, and that these then hold a foetal level of neurosis, holding that the regression induced, relate postnatally, such as apparent sucking, smearing, to the Freudian development (31, 51) and that conflict is reactivated.

thesis:

ors (2, 3, 31, 58, 62) are not as producing regression to infantile, or even pre-natal regression is thought to be induced by the treatment situation, though the regression is physiological. Power argues that the phases of the convulsions seen in the foetal development, and that these then hold a foetal level of neurosis, holding that the regression induced, relate postnatally, such as apparent sucking, smearing, to the Freudian development (31, 51) and that conflict is reactivated.

hypotheses within this attempt at experiment (11) claims that convulsions following E.C.T.

reveal infantile speech or behaviour in the way that this has been described by child psychologists such as Piaget or Gesell. One basic difficulty with this hypothesis is that it is difficult to test convincingly, as it is always possible for those so predisposed to interpret certain features of the clumsy, semi-voluntary behaviour of a semi-conscious and confused patient as "infantile".

In conclusion, this hypothesis is definitely unproven. Even purely as a description of post-convulsive behaviour regression is not very convincing (11).

The Fear Hypothesis

The central tenet of this hypothesis is that it is fear induced by the treatment, rather than the actual effects of the convulsions, which is the effective agent. This hypothesis has received considerable support (1, 2, 3, 27, 31) and was most strongly advocated when drugs were used to induce convulsions. As Good (31) strongly emphasized, a large number of patients undergoing leptazol (Cardiazol, Metrazol) therapy showed unmistakable signs of fear and it is also likely that many patients undergoing E.C.T. are not without fear. However, to jump from this to the argument that fear is the effective element of treatment is not supported by the experimental evidence.

Cook (17) compared ratings of fear in 275 patients undergoing convulsion therapy with assessments of clinical improvement, and concluded that, if anything, the trend was in the reverse direction to that predicted by this hypothesis. Cook performed no statistical analysis but the writer, using Cook's published data, has been able to show that the reported trend in the reverse direction was significant at the 5 per cent level. Two other investigations (7, 16) using leptazol therapy have used the technique of comparing patients treated in the normal way and with the injection of a similar amount of drug too slowly for a convulsion to occur. In this latter procedure is reported to create as much fear as the standard procedure). Neither of these investigations produced results supporting the fear hypothesis.

A more recent experiment (23) compared

degree of fear as measured by the T.A.T. and other measures with rated improvement in patients treated by E.C.T. and a control group who were anaesthetized but had no convulsion induced. Again no relationship was found between fear and clinical improvement, though the measures of fear used were rather poor and it seems that ratings of fear and improvement were not done independently.

The experimental evidence does not, therefore, support this hypothesis, and one experiment even shows a significant trend in the opposite direction (17). To argue, as does Absence (1), that such experimental results do not disprove this hypothesis, as the fear may be at an unconscious level, is not permissible. Advocates of this hypothesis, such as Absence himself, base their original arguments on the fact that patients do show overt signs of fear.

The Punishment Hypothesis

A third main hypothesis occurring in psychoanalytic theories is to postulate that the patient regards the treatment as a form of punishment (2, 3, 46, 56, 58). Korson (46, p. 41) states that: "The individual delivers himself into the hands of a strict, but in the end forgiving, parent figure, who will mete out punishment justly and allow atonement and delivery from evil. Acceptance of punishment allows the patient to assuage his conscience, fear and anxiety becoming unnecessary once retribution has taken place."

This hypothesis assumes guilt to be a central feature of illnesses treated effectively by E.C.T., and this is in agreement with the general clinical impression that endogenous depressives, who often show strong guilt feelings, respond most favourably to E.C.T. Other aspects of the hypothesis are less satisfactory. It assumes that the patient identifies the doctor with his parent. This, in turn, implies a regression to childhood, at least to a stage at which the child is dependent upon parental sanctions and discipline.

There has been no direct test of this hypothesis, although Lockwood (49) has tested a derivation of it based upon Rosenzweig's (67) classification of responses to frustration. Lockwood argued that introjective subjects should show the best response to E.C.T., but failed to

confirm this in two separate experiments. However, this is not a crucial test, as the punishment hypothesis could be valid without Lockwood's extension of it being valid also. The punishment hypothesis remains, therefore, non-proven.

Summary of Psychoanalytic Theories

The general criticism can be made of nearly all psychoanalytic theories that they rely heavily on factors in the treatment situation other than the convulsion itself (e.g. the patients' fear of treatment), thus ignoring the consistent finding that the convulsion is the effective agent in treatment. In addition they rely on a background theory which is itself unproven. Psychoanalysis seems, therefore, not to be a fruitful starting point for an explanation of the effects of E.C.T.

(b) Non-Psychoanalytic Theories *Somatic Theories*

There are a large number of somatic theories. All have in common the obvious inference that if some fairly permanent behavioural change occurs in the patient as a result of treatment, such a change must be correlated with some somatic change within the nervous system. A large number of suggestions have been made as to the change that occurs (26), but these generally lie outside the scope of this review.

Psychological research has been attracted towards one particular type of somatic theory which assumes that E.C.T. has its effects by damaging nerve cells (29, 73). One group of experimenters (71) found that their subjects, after three E.C.T.s, showed a definite change in Rorschach responses towards the pattern of responses produced by patients with diffuse brain damage. Others have found that short-term response to treatment is correlated with positive results on the amylobarbitone test for brain damage (41).

The worth of such findings, and of findings from similar experiments, is strongly jeopardized by the general unreliability of such indices of brain damage. A more direct approach is obviously to be preferred, and there is some evidence of vascular changes, probably tem-

porary, in both human and animal brains after E.C.T. (34, 50).

The evidence is consistent with the possibility of a small degree of diffuse brain damage being caused by E.C.T. Unfortunately this still leaves the structural theory unproven, as it is necessary to demonstrate that the damage involved is the main agent of behavioural change and is not merely an incidental feature of the treatment.

Theories Involving Amnesia

These predominantly centre around the suggestion that the treatment-induced amnesia might be responsible for the beneficial effects (11, 40, 43, 59, 70). It is often pointed out that amnesia is usually greatest for experiences which come immediately before treatment, and psychotic episodes, being usually recent, are more likely to be affected by amnesia than the more normal experiences from the subject's distant past. Janis (40) also feels that the amnesic action of E.C.T. becomes a new learned defence mechanism enabling the subject to similarly banish stressful experiences occurring subsequent to treatment.

The amnesic effect of E.C.T. is the apparent rationale for treatment by "regressive" E.C.T. (30, 43) or "depatterning" as it is called by Cameron (11). The general principle of regressive E.C.T. is the intensive use of E.C.T. at rates of one or more treatments per day until the patient is in a totally amnesic state, also confused and often doubly incontinent. As the patient recovers, he learns, or is taught, new and better adjusted patterns of behaviour. Several authors have enthusiastically reported the results of this form of treatment on chronic patients, but there has been no attempt at a controlled study. There is only one report of the use of regressive E.C.T. that has come to the conclusion that it is of no value (75).

A variation of this theory is the suggestion that there is a differential loss of material relating to the patients' psychopathology (11, 40). Cameron (11) suggests that as the amnesia following intensive treatment recedes, then further events are recalled to the extent that they are compatible with the emerging framework of the patients' behaviour. If the patient

an and animal brains are
 nsistent with the possibili
 diffuse brain damage bei
 unfortunately this still leav
 unproven, as it is necessar
 the damage involved is th
 aviological change and n
 feature of the treatment.

nesia

ntly centre around th
 treatment-induced amnes
 e for the beneficial effe
 It is often pointed out th
 eatest for experiences whic
 before treatment, and
 being usually recent, a
 fected by amnesia than th
 iences from the subject
 (40) also feels that th
 C.T. becomes a new learn
 enabling the subject
 ssful experiences occurri
 ent.

t of E.C.T. is the appare
 ent by "regressive" E.C.
 rning" as it is called.
 he general principle
 the intensive use of E.C.
 re treatments per day un
 totally amnesic state, a
 doubly incontinent. As t
 : learns, or is taught, n
 d patterns of behavior
 re enthusiastically repor
 rm of treatment on chro
 has been no attempt at
 cre is only one report of
 C.T. that has come to
 ed a similar idea in postulating that the effect
 of no value (75).
 is theory is the suggest
 fferential loss of mater
 ents' psychopathology
 suggests that as the amn
 treatment recedes, th
 recalled to the extent
 : with the emerging fra
 'behaviour. If the patient

erging into a normal pattern of behaviour
 e events related to previous abnormal
 behaviour will be incompatible and hence not
 called. Janis (39) interviewed patients before
 and after E.C.T. and found that, as compared
 with controls, the treated patients showed
 amnesia which was more apparent both for
 recent material and for anxiety provoking
 material. However, an adequate test of the
 hypothesis of differential action of the amnesic
 effect of E.C.T. is almost impossible because of
 the difficulty of controlling for the degree of
 learning of both normal and pathologically
 related material.

Direct experimental investigations of the role
 played by amnesia in the therapeutic effects of
 E.C.T. are not available. Information of some
 relevance comes from work with unilateral
 E.C.T. (47, 52), where the general finding is
 that unilateral E.C.T. can be as therapeutically
 effective as bilateral E.C.T. but gives significantly
 less memory impairment. This suggests that the
 therapeutic effect of E.C.T. does not depend on
 amnesia, but it is a possibility that even the
 bilaterally treated cases had some degree of
 amnesia which was adequate for therapeutic
 efficacy. No untreated controls were used to
 test for this.

The evidence from unilateral E.C.T. points
 away from, but does not definitely disprove,
 theories of E.C.T. based on amnesia. A direct
 experimental test of this theory would be useful.

Other Theories

Other theoretical approaches (35, 57) have
 emphasized the "shock" aspect of shock
 treatment. The treatment is regarded as giving
 the patient some sort of psychological jolt to
 bring him face to face with reality. Foulds (28)
 had a similar idea in postulating that the effect
 of E.C.T. was to break up painful thoughts.
 Foulds' own experiment gave confirmatory
 results, but others (68) were unable to replicate
 his findings.

Hetherington (36) felt that depression is
 marked by motor retardation but also by
 over-activity of thinking. E.C.T. helps the
 depressive by abolishing motor retardation and
 producing psychic retardation. His own ex-

perimental results are consistent with this view,
 but this study has been criticized on the grounds
 that the experimental and control groups were
 not properly matched (12).

Research with Animals

The possibility presents itself that the results
 of work on animals might help towards im-
 proving the rather dismal theoretical picture of
 E.C.T. given above. Accordingly, the major
 trends in theorizing about the effects of E.C.S.
 on animals will be outlined.

Before doing this, certain differences between
 human and animal studies must be noted.
 Firstly, theorizing in animal research has been
 mainly concerned with the phenomenon of
 retroactive amnesia (R.A.) and obviously not
 with therapeutic change. Secondly, E.C.S. is not
 given with an anaesthetic, whereas E.C.T. is.
 Although the convulsion is the effective thera-
 peutic agent in E.C.T. it is possible that the
 anaesthetic has a minor effect as it has been
 shown, on animals, that anaesthetics have an
 R.A. effect of their own (4, 61). There seems no
 good reason to suppose that an electrically
 induced convulsion in an animal is a different
 phenomenon from such a convulsion induced in
 a human and, therefore, providing the relevant
 procedural differences are borne in mind, it is
 reasonable to use theoretical ideas derived from
 animal research to suggest theoretical
 approaches for work with humans.

Neural Consolidation Theory

This is the most prominent theory in E.C.S.
 research with animals, and often other theories
 in this field were inspired by alleged inade-
 quacies in this theory. Briefly, the theory
 assumes that for any memory to become
 established it is necessary for the original
 memory traces, which are only temporary in
 form, to be transferred to a more permanent
 form, i.e. consolidated. An E.C.S. occurring
 within the time period that consolidation is
 taking place will break up the process of
 consolidation, causing R.A. for material not
 already consolidated.

Early experiments (25, 74) showed retention
 to be a negatively accelerated function of the

interval between learning and E.C.S. and were generally accepted as demonstrating the validity of this theory. More recently these early experiments have been criticized, as they used several learning trials, thus giving the early trials time to consolidate before the later trials came along (61). More satisfactory experiments using one learning trial followed quickly by a single E.C.S. (45, 51) have, however, upheld the consolidation theory. Other experiments using the same initial design but going on to give further learning trials and E.C.S.s have concluded that other factors come into operation when several E.C.S.s are given (15, 38). Chevalier (14), again using a one learning trial and single E.C.S. design, has shown that the R.A. effect remains undiminished over 30 days.

Lewis and Maher (48) have brought together a number of results inexplicable by the consolidation theory. One experiment (5) has shown that a series of E.C.S.s given a few days prior to learning have a proactive effect. Others (9) have shown that a series of E.C.S.s given a few days after learning, and hence long after what would generally be considered as the consolidation period, can also disrupt retention. Brady (8) also found that when a learned response was obliterated by a series of E.C.S.s given some time after learning, there was some degree of recovery of the response 30 days after the last E.C.S. As the breaking up of consolidation should give a permanent loss, this last result is also inexplicable by the consolidation theory.

The consolidation theory has been universally upheld by experiments using the one learning trial followed by a single E.C.S. paradigm. However, other experiments give results unaccountable for by this theory. It is of importance from the point of view of subsequent discussion to note that in the latter group of experiments a series of E.C.S.s has always been used; no one has yet demonstrated proactive or retroactive effects after a long delay from a single E.C.S.

Conflict Theory

This is analogous to the fear theory of the psychoanalysts for E.C.T. It suggests that E.C.S. has its effect by being an aversive or fear-

provoking stimulus. Coons and Miller (10) in an experiment replicating some features of an earlier experiment by Duncan (25) obtained results which they interpreted as showing that fear was induced by E.C.S. Unfortunately, the experiment failed to control for the effect of E.C.S. alone, and so the effect of E.C.S. was confused with other variables (37).

Experiments using one aversive learning trial quickly followed by a single E.C.S., in a direct test of this theory, have shown that the amnesic effect of E.C.S. is stronger than any induced fear (45, 51). However, other experiments have found that after a series of E.C.S.s have been given apparently aversive effects of E.C.S. do appear (15, 25).

As the conflict theory cannot account for the results of experiments using the one learning trial and single E.C.S. paradigm, it cannot be used to displace the consolidation theory. Experiments giving several E.C.S.s have found effects attributable to fear but which could be explained in other ways. It would seem reasonable to suppose that undergoing a series of E.C.S.s is an "unpleasant" experience for a rat; the problem is whether any fear occurring is of significance in explaining the effects of E.C.S.

Competing Response Theory

This theory, originally proposed by Adams and Lewis (5) to account for an apparent deficiency in the consolidation theory, assumes that some aspect of the response to the E.C.S. becomes conditioned to stimuli in the surroundings. The most recent statement of the theory is by Lewis and Maher (48), who suggest that the coma following the seizure is due to "protective inhibition" and that components of this inhibition become conditioned to surrounding stimuli in the experimental apparatus.

If this theory is correct, it would be predicted that E.C.S. given in the same location as learning took place would disrupt learning much more than when given in a dissimilar situation. The original proposers (6) tested this and obtained confirmatory results, but in a similar experiment Quartermain *et al.* (64) found that the location in which E.C.S. was given was

ing stimulus. Coons and Miller (25) experiment replicating some features of the experiment by Duncan (25) which they interpreted as showing that the two experiments did differ in the timing and number of E.C.S.s, with Quartermain *et al.* using a longer learning E.C.S. interval and Adams and Lewis using several E.C.S.s and a longer learning E.C.S. interval. In the experiment (64) using one aversive learning E.C.S. followed by a single E.C.S., in contrast to the consolidation theory, have shown that the effect of a longer learning E.C.S. interval and a single E.C.S. is stronger than any other E.C.S.s is used it appears that other experiments come into play which could be attributed to competing responses, as suggested by Adams and Lewis, or explained in other ways.

Conflict theory cannot account for experiments using the one single E.C.S. paradigm. It can displace the consolidation theory. Experiments using one learning trial in other ways. It would seem to suppose that undergoing a single E.C.S. is an "unpleasant" experience. The problem is whether any fear conditioning is significant in explaining the results. A two-factor explanation seems to be supported quickly by a single E.C.S. given evidence in support of the consolidation theory. Where longer E.C.S. intervals and several E.C.S.s are used, results inexplicable by the consolidation theory have been obtained. Hence it is that an E.C.S. given within the period required for the consolidation of memory will disrupt consolidation, but the massing of E.C.S.s results in other effects. The remaining problem is to explain these other effects.

Response Theory

Theory, originally proposed by Davis (5) to account for an effect in the consolidation theory, possible that the additional effects due to the aspect of the response to the E.C.S.s are the result of competing conditioned to stimuli in the subject or fear, but another explanation is the most recent statement of the theory. The giving of several E.C.T.s to humans and Maher (48), who suggest that the seizure is due to "pre-likely that giving several E.C.S.s" and that components result in an analogous state of "confusion" to become conditioned to surround. Confusion would explain the inability of the experimental apparatus. If the theory is correct, it would be possible for the failure to perform learned responses to E.C.S. given in the same location after learning. In the latter case it took place would disrupt learning. In the latter case it is expected that when the confusion had been dispersed the learned response would be confirmed, but in fact, as was found by Brady (8). Pearlman and Quartermain *et al.* (64) found that, using drug-induced convulsions, a similar two-factor explanation

of E.C.S. The loss of learned responses was accounted for in terms of disruption of consolidation with a short learning-convulsion interval and in terms of confusion with a longer learning-convulsion interval.

The difficulties in postulating a state of confusion in animals receiving massed E.C.S.s are twofold. Firstly, such a concept as clouding of consciousness, which is part of the general psychiatric description of confusion, is almost meaningless when applied to animals. However, it would be possible to define confusion in animals operationally in terms of other features of confusion, such as spatial disorientation or possibly impairment of attention. Secondly, it is not yet possible, on the evidence available, to completely eliminate the conflict or competing response theories as explanations of the effects of massed E.C.S.s, but experiments could be designed to test these theories against the hypothesis of confusion.

DISCUSSION

In 1948 Gordon was able to write a paper entitled "Fifty shock therapy theories" (32). Since then, theories have continued to multiply, and it is obvious that the present review has had to be content with a sample of the principal psychological theories. It is also obvious that none of the theories discussed so far is near to being considered adequate. Doubtless the steady proliferation of theoretical speculation is related to the lack of success of earlier attempts.

We are thus left with two further problems for discussion. Firstly, there is the problem of why the theories put forward have been so lacking in success. Secondly, whether it is possible to suggest ways for a more successful theoretical approach.

Why Have Theoretical Attempts Failed?

The reasons for failure appear, basically, to be threefold. Firstly, knowledge of the aetiology of illnesses treated by E.C.T. is minimal. If it were possible to reliably implicate a process or processes, of whatever nature, as being the cause of endogenous depression, then this would give an excellent lead to investigations and theory-building with E.C.T. (Conversely, of course, an

explanation of the effects of E.C.T. would help investigations into the nature and causes of mental illnesses, particularly depression.)

Secondly, and more seriously, the work on the effects of E.C.T. has revealed little well-established information, even when the vast literature on the subject has been carefully combed (63). It is known fairly definitely that E.C.T. is beneficial in cases of endogenous depression, that it has an effect on the recall of past events and that it causes confusion. Systematic exploration of these effects has generally not been attempted; a notable exception being the work of Cronholm and his associates.

A third reason for the lack of success in theorizing is that in many instances the theories put forward have been highly speculative, based on clinical impressions only, and not tied down to experimentally established phenomena. Such theories, besides often being difficult or impossible to test, have no more validity than the impressions or assumptions on which they are based and seem merely to confuse the issue.

Suggestions for Better Lines of Approach

A much-neglected source of inspiration for workers concerned with E.C.T. is the work on the effects of E.C.S. in animals. This is due presumably to the fact that most work on E.C.T. is done by psychiatrists, whilst that on E.C.S. with animals is done mainly by experimental psychologists. The animal work could present a useful model for E.C.T. research, as it is much better executed as far as the experiments are concerned, the relevant parameters are well explored (at least for the R.A. effect) and the theorizing is more closely tied to experimental findings.

The main suggestion coming from the above review of animal research is that of a two-factor theory of E.C.S. in terms of the interruption of memory traces and also a confusion effect produced when several E.C.S.s are administered within a short period of time. That this latter confusion effect may be particularly important in explaining the effects of E.C.T. on human psychiatric patients is also suggested by the frequent mention of confusion in clinical reports of E.C.T. It is possible, for example, that E.C.T.'s effectiveness may be partially spurious,

as a series of E.C.T.s may mask the patient's illness because of the induced state of confusion in much the same sort of way as a series of E.C.S.s given to a rat have been found to mask a learned response. Unfortunately, little experimental research has been done on the effects of E.C.T. confusion. That which has been done (55, 72) has done little more than show its existence experimentally.

Experiments using unilateral E.C.T. (47, 48) are also of theoretical interest. These suggest that memory disturbances and confusion following E.C.T. may be less if the electrodes are placed unilaterally as opposed to the usual bilateral placements. Further experimental exploration of the use of unilateral E.C.T. could contribute greatly to the determination of the role played by memory disturbances, confusion, and possibly other factors, in the therapeutic effects of E.C.T.

CONCLUSIONS

The main, unavoidable conclusion arising out of this review is that we have come very little way towards explaining the effects of E.C.T. Explanations that have been put forward tend to have been speculative and unconvincing. The outstanding fact that emerges is that an explanation of the phenomena can only be as adequate as the data on which it is based. As the experimental data on the effects of E.C.T. are so poor, the biggest contribution of theoretical significance in this field must come from more careful and detailed exploration of the effects of E.C.T. and the parameters on which they depend. Without this, further theoretical development will be gravely hindered, if not impossible.

It is further suggested that help in the solution of the problem may be obtained from utilizing the results of experimentation on animals as such research has certain advantages over that using human patients. Work on unilateral E.C.T. also presents interesting possibilities.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The writer would like to acknowledge the helpful advice of Mr. C. B. Blakemore of the Institute of Psychiatry and Mr. A. R. Dabbs of Oakwood Hospital in the preparation of this paper.

C.T.s may mask the patient's induced state of confusion in the same sort of way as a series of trials on a rat have been found to mask the effect. Unfortunately, little research has been done on patients. That which has been done shows little more than show conclusively.

Using unilateral E.C.T. (47) is of great interest. These suggest disturbances and confusion follow, but be less if the electrodes are used bilaterally as opposed to the unilateral. Further experiments on the use of unilateral E.C.T. are directly to the determination of the effect by memory disturbances, possibly other factors, in the use of E.C.T.

CONCLUSIONS

An avoidable conclusion arises from this is that we have come up with explanations of the effects of E.C.T. as that have been put forward. Speculative and unconvincing. The fact that emerges is that the phenomena can only be explained on the basis of data on which it is based. Data on the effects of E.C.T. suggest the greatest contribution of the data in this field must come from a detailed exploration of the parameters on which we can build. Without this, further theoretical work is gravely hindered, if not impossible.

It is suggested that help in the design of the problem may be obtained from the results of experimentation on animals. Research has certain advantages when applied to human patients. Work on animals also presents interesting problems.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I wish to acknowledge the helpful advice of the Institute of Psychiatry at St. George's Hospital in the preparation of this review.

REFERENCES

- ABSE, D. W. (1940). "The psychology of convulsion therapy." *J. ment. Sci.*, 86, 95-99.
- (1942). "Rationale of convulsion therapy." *Brit. J. med. Psychol.*, 19, 262-270.
- (1944). "Theory of the rationale of convulsion therapy." *Ibid.*, 20, 35-50.
- ABT, J. P., and ESSMAN, W. B. (1961). "Ether induced retrograde amnesia for one trial learning in mice." *Science*, 133, 1477-1478.
- ADAMS, H. E., and LEWIS, D. J. (1962a). "Electroconvulsive shock, retrograde amnesia and competing responses." *J. comp. Physiol. Psychol.*, 55, 299-301.
- (1962b). "Retrograde amnesia and competing responses." *Ibid.*, 55, 302-305.
- BLAUROCK, M. F., LOW, A. A., and SACHS, M. (1939). "Influence of fear, pharmacologic action and convulsion in Metrazol therapy." *Arch. Neurol. and Psychiat.*, 42, 233-236.
- BRADY, J. V. (1952). "The effect of electroconvulsive shock on a conditioned emotional response: the significance of the interval between the emotional conditioning and the electroconvulsive shock." *J. comp. Physiol. Psychol.*, 45, 9-13.
- HUNT, H. F., and GELLER, L. (1954). "The effect of electroconvulsive shock on a conditioned emotional response as a function of the temporal distribution of the treatment." *Ibid.*, 47, 454-457.
- BRENGELMANN, J. C. (1959). *The Effect of Repeated Electroshock on Learning in Depression*. Heidelberg.
- CAMERON, D. E. (1960). "The production of differential amnesia as a factor in the treatment of schizophrenia." *Comp. Psychiat.*, 1, 26-34.
- CAMPBELL, D. (1960). "The psychological effects of cerebral electroshock." In: *Handbook of Abnormal Psychology*. (ed. Eysenck). London.
- CARNEY, M. W. P., KOTH, M., and GARSIDE, R. F. (1965). "The diagnosis of depressive syndromes and the prediction of E.C.T. responses." *Brit. J. Psychiat.*, 111, 659-674.
- CHEVALIER, J. A. (1965). "Permanence of amnesia after a single post trial electroconvulsive seizure." *J. comp. Physiol. Psychol.*, 59, 125-127.
- CHOROVER, S. L., and SCHILLER, P. H. (1965). "Short-term retrograde amnesia in rats." *Ibid.*, 59, 73-78.
- COHEN, L. H. (1939). "The therapeutic significance of fear in the Metrazol treatment of schizophrenia." *Amer. J. Psychiat.*, 95, 1349-1357.
- COOK, L. C. (1940). "Has fear any therapeutic significance in convulsion therapy?" *J. ment. Sci.*, 86, 484-490.
- COONS, E. E., and MILLER, N. E. (1960). "Conflict versus consolidation of memory traces to explain 'retrograde amnesia' produced by E.C.S." *J. comp. Physiol. Psychol.*, 53, 524-531.
- CRONHOLM, B., and BLOMQUIST, C. (1959). "Memory disturbance after electroconvulsive therapy. 2. Conditions one week after a series of treatments." *Acta psychiat. Scand.*, 34, 18-25.
20. — and MOLANDER, L. (1957). "Memory disturbances after electroconvulsive therapy. 1. Conditions 6 hours after electroshock treatment." *Ibid.*, 32, 280-306.
21. — (1964). "Memory disturbances after electroconvulsive therapy. 5. Conditions one month after a series of treatments." *Ibid.*, 40, 212-216.
22. — and OTTOSSON, J. O. (1960). "Experimental studies of the therapeutic action of electroconvulsive therapy in endogenous depression." *Ibid.*, 35, Suppl. 145, 69-97.
23. CRUMPTON, E., BRILL, N. Q., EIDUSON, S., and GELLER, E. (1963). "The role of fear in electroconvulsive treatment." *J. nerv. ment. Dis.*, 136, 29-33.
24. CURRIER, G. E., CULLINAN, C., and ROTHSCHILD, D. (1952). "Results of treatment of schizophrenia in a state hospital: changing trends since the advent of electroshock therapy." *A.M.A. Arch. Neurol. Psychiat.*, 67, 80-88.
25. DUNCAN, C. P. (1949). "The retroactive effect of electroshock on learning." *J. comp. Physiol. Psychol.*, 42, 32-44.
26. FLEMING, J. C. (1956). "An inquiry into the mechanism of action of electric shock treatments." *J. nerv. ment. Dis.*, 124, 440-450.
27. FLESCHER, J. (1942). "The discharging function of the convulsive seizure." *Ibid.*, 96, 274-285.
28. FOULDS, G. A. (1952). "Temperamental differences in maze performance: Part II. The effect of distraction and of electroconvulsive therapy on psychomotor retardation." *Brit. J. Psychol.*, 43, 33-41.
29. FREEMAN, W., and WATTS, J. W. (1944). *Psychosurgery*. Springfield.
30. GLUECK, B. G., REISS, H., and BERNARD, L. E. (1957). "Regressive shock therapy." *Psychiat. Quart.*, 31, 117-136.
31. GOOD, R. (1940). "Some observations on the psychological aspects of cardiazol therapy." *J. ment. Sci.*, 86, 491-501.
32. GORDON, M. (1948). "Fifty shock therapy theories." *Milit. Surgery*, 103, 397-401.
33. HEBB, D. O. (1949). *The Organization of Behaviour: A Neuropsychological Theory*. New York.
34. HEILBRUNN, G., and LIEBERT, E. (1941). "Biopsies on the brain following artificially produced convulsions." *Arch. Neurol. Psychiat.*, 46, 548-552.
35. HEMPHILL, R. E. (1940). "Studies in certain pathophysiological and psychological phenomena in epilepsy." *J. ment. Sci.*, 86, 799-818.
36. HETHERINGTON, R. (1956). "The effects of E.C.T. on the efficiency and retentivity of depressed patients." *Brit. J. med. Psychol.*, 29, 258-269.
37. HUDSPETH, W. J., and GERBRANDT, L. K. (1965). "Electroconvulsive shock: conflict, competition, and neuroanatomical functions." *Psychol. Bull.*, 63, 377-383.
38. — McGAUGH, J. L., and THOMSON, C. W. (1964). "Aversive and amnesic effects of electroconvulsive shock." *J. comp. Physiol. Psychol.*, 57, 61-64.

39. JANIS, I. L. (1950a). "Psychologic effects of electric convulsive therapies (I. Post-treatment amnesias)." *J. nerv. ment. Dis.*, **111**, 359-382.
40. JANIS, I. L. (1950b). "Psychologic effects of electric convulsive treatments (III. Changes in affective disturbances)." *Ibid.*, **111**, 469-489.
41. KAHN, R. L., FISH, M., and WEINSTEIN, E. A. (1956). "Relation of amobarbital test to clinical improvement in electroshock." *Arch. Neurol. Psychiat.*, **76**, 23-29.
42. KARAGULLA, S. (1950). "Evaluation of electric convulsive therapy as compared with conservative methods of treatment in depressive states." *J. ment. Sci.*, **96**, 1060-1091.
43. KENNEDY, C. J. C., and ANCHEL, D. (1948). "Regressive electric-shock in schizophrenics refractory to other shock therapies." *Psychiat. Quart.*, **22**, 317-320.
44. KILOH, L. G., CHILD, J. P., and LATNER, G. (1960). "Endogenous depression treated with Iproniazid: a follow-up study." *J. ment. Sci.*, **106**, 1425-1428.
45. KING, R. A. (1965). "Consolidation of the neural trace in memory: investigation with one trial avoidance conditioning and E.C.S." *J. comp. Physiol. Psychol.*, **59**, 283-284.
46. KORSON, S. M. (1949). "The successful treatment of an obsessive compulsive neurosis with narco-synthesis followed by daily electroshocks." *J. nerv. ment. Dis.*, **109**, 37-41.
47. LANCASTER, N. P., STEINERT, A. R., and FROST, I. (1958). "Unilateral electroconvulsive therapy." *J. ment. Sci.*, **104**, 221-227.
48. LEWIS, D. J., and MAHER, B. A. (1965). "Neural consolidation and electroconvulsive shock." *Psychol. Rev.*, **72**, 225-240.
49. LOCKWOOD, W. (1950). "Some relation between response to frustration (punishment) and outcome of electric convulsive therapy: an experimental study of psychiatric theory." *Comp. Psychol. monogr.*, **20**, 121-186.
50. MADOW, L. (1956). "Brain changes in electroshock therapy." *Amer. J. Psychiat.*, **113**, 337-347.
51. MADSEN, M. C., and MCGAUGH, J. L. (1961). "The effect of E.C.S. on one-trial avoidance learning." *J. comp. Physiol. Psychol.*, **54**, 522-523.
52. MARTIN, W. L., FORD, H. F., McDONALD, E. C., and TOWLER, M. L. (1965). "Clinical evaluation of unilateral E.S.T." *Amer. J. Psychiat.*, **121**, 1087-1090.
53. MEDUNA, L. V. (1935). "Versuche über die biologische Beeinflussung des Ablaufes der Schizophrenie; Kampf und Cardiazolkrampe." *Z. ges. Neurol. Psychiat.*, **152**, 235-262.
54. MENDELS, J. (1965). "Electroconvulsive therapy and depression. II. Significance of endogenous and reactive syndromes." *Brit. J. Psychiat.*, **111**, 682-686.
55. MICHAEL, S. T. (1954). "Impairment of mental function during electric convulsive therapy." *A.M.A. Arch. Neurol. Psychiat.*, **71**, 362-368.
56. MILLET, J. A. P., and MOSSE, E. P. (1945). "Certain psychological aspects of electroconvulsive therapy." *Psychosom. Med.*, **6**, 226-236.
57. MORGAN, J. J. B. (1940). "Shock as a preparatory readjustment." *J. Psychol.*, **10**, 313-321.
58. MOSSE, E. P. (1946). "Electroshock and personality structure." *J. nerv. ment. Dis.*, **104**, 296-302.
59. MYERSON, A. (1943). "Borderline cases treated with electric shock." *Amer. J. Psychiat.*, **100**, 355-356.
60. PACELLA, B. L., BARRERA, S. E., and KALINOWSKI, J. (1942). "Variations in electroencephalogram associated with electric shock therapy of patients with mental disorders." *Arch. Neurol. Psychiat. Chicago*, **47**, 367-384.
61. PEARLMAN, C. A., SHARPLESS, S. K., and JARVIE, M. (1961). "Retrograde amnesia produced by anaesthetic and convulsant agents." *J. nerv. ment. Dis.*, **139**, 109-112.
62. POWER, T. D. (1945). "Psychosomatic regression in therapeutic epilepsy." *Psychosom. Med.*, **7**, 289-290.
63. PRONKO, N. H., SITTERLY, R., and BERG, K. (1965). "Twenty years of shock therapy in America, 1937-1957; an annotated bibliography." *Gen. Psychol. Monogr.*, **62**, 233-329.
64. QUARTERMAIN, D., PAULINO, R. M., and MILLER, N. E. (1965). "A brief temporal gradient of retrograde amnesia independent of situational change." *Science*, **149**, 1116-1118.
65. RIDDELL, S. A. (1963). "The therapeutic efficacy of E.C.T." *Arch. gen. Psychiat.*, **8**, 42-52.
66. ROSE, J. T. (1963). "Reactive and endogenous depressions—response to E.C.T." *Brit. J. Psychiat.*, **109**, 213-217.
67. ROSENZWEIG, S. (1938). "The experimental measurement of types of reaction to frustration." *Explorations in Personality*. (ed. Murray). New York.
68. SHAPIRO, M. B., CAMPBELL, D., HARRIS, A., and DEWSBERRY, J. P. (1958). "Effects of E.C.T. upon psychomotor speed and the 'distract effect' in depressed psychiatric patients." *J. nerv. ment. Dis.*, **104**, 681-695.
69. SLATER, E. T. O. (1951). "Evaluation of electroconvulsion therapy as compared with conservative methods of treatment in depressive states." *Ibid.*, **97**, 567-569.
70. STAINBROOK, E. (1946). "Shock therapy: psychological theory and research." *Psychol. Bull.*, **43**, 21-60.
71. STENIÄCK, A., VITAMÄKI, R. O., and KUKKONEN, J. (1957). "Personality changes in electroconvulsive treatment." *Acta psychiat. neurol. Scand.*, **35**, 345-359.
72. SUMMERSKILL, J., SEEMAN, W., and MEALS, D. (1952). "An evaluation of postelectroconvulsive confusion with the Reiter apparatus." *Amer. J. Psychiat.*, **108**, 835-838.
73. TANNER, H. (1950). "Physiological and psychological factors in electroshock as criteria of therapy." *J. nerv. ment. Dis.*, **111**, 232-238.

E. P. (1945). "Effects of electroshock as a preparation for shock and personal therapy." *Psychiat.*, 104, 296-302.

and KALINOWSKY, J. (1955). "The electroencephalographic therapy of patients." *Neurol. Psychiat.*

and JARVIK, M. (1955). "Amnesia produced by electroshock agents." *J. Psychosom. Med.*, 7, 27.

and BERG, K. (1955). "Electroshock therapy in America." *Psychiat.*, 104, 296-302.

and MILLER, E. (1955). "Temporal gradient of electroshock therapy." *Psychiat.*, 104, 296-302.

and MILLER, E. (1955). "Therapeutic efficacy of electroshock therapy." *Psychiat.*, 104, 296-302.

and MILLER, E. (1955). "Intrinsic and endogenous factors in electroshock therapy." *Brit. J. Psychol.*

Experimental measures of frustration." (ed. Murray). N

D., HARRIS, A., and MILLER, E. (1955). "Effects of electroshock and the 'distracting' patients." *J. Psychol.*

Evaluation of electroshock compared with conventional therapy in depressive states." *Psychiat.*

and MILLER, E. (1955). "Therapy: psychological criteria." *Psychol. Bull.*, 43, 21-60.

D., and KUKKONEN, J. (1955). "Effects of electroconvulsive therapy in electroconvulsive therapy." *neurolog. Scand.*

and MEALS, D. (1955). "The effects of postelectroshock apparatus." *Amer. J. Psychol.*

Physiological and psychological criteria of therapy." *Psychiat.*

THOMSON, R., and DEAN, W. (1955). "A further study of the retroactive effect of E.C.S." *J. comp. Physiol. Psychol.*, 48, 488-491.

75. WEIL, P. L. (1950). "'Regressive' electroplexy in schizophrenia." *J. ment. Sci.*, 96, 514-520.

Edgar Miller, B.Sc., Clinical Psychologist, Oakwood Hospital, Maidstone, Kent; Present address: Unit for Research on Medical Applications of Psychology (University of Cambridge), 5 Salisbury Villas, Station Road, Cambridge

Received 29 March, 1966