

Forced Psychiatric Drugging in Alaska

James B. (Jim) Gottstein
Law Project for Psychiatric Rights
jim@psychrights.org
<http://PsychRights.Org/>

February 3, 2005

Alaska Bar Assn Health Law Section

1

“Today we can be certain of only one thing: The day will come when people will look back at our current medicines for schizophrenia and the stories we tell to patients about their abnormal brain chemistry, and they will shake their head in utter disbelief.”

Mad in America (2002) by award winning medical/ science writer
Robert Whitaker

February 3, 2005

Alaska Bar Assn Health Law Section

4

Objectives:

- ◆ Education
 - Large Amount of Info on Website:
<http://psychrights.org>
- ◆ Recruit *Pro Bono* Attorneys
 - Will Provide Technical Assistance
 - Some \$ for costs available
 - Covered by E&O Insurance

February 3, 2005

Alaska Bar Assn Health Law Section

2

- ◆ Myth: Once a Schizophrenic always a schizophrenic.

– Reality: **Half to two thirds of patients, including very chronic cases can recover.**

- ◆ Myth: Patients must be on Medication all their lives.

– Reality: **A small percentage at most may need medication indefinitely.**

Empirical Correction of Seven Myths About Schizophrenia with Implications for Treatment.

February 3, 2005

Alaska Bar Assn Health Law Section

5

Psych Drugs: Toxic Waste?

- ◆ No Proven Efficacy
 - Outcomes have worsened since their introduction
 - Living in an Industrial Country Best Predictor of not Recovering from Schizophrenia -- World Health Organization
- ◆ Exacerbate Psychiatric Problems
- ◆ Addictive – Cause Permanent Brain Changes
- ◆ Extremely Harmful
- ◆ Shorten Lives
- ◆ Can be Fatal

February 3, 2005

Alaska Bar Assn Health Law Section

3

Representation

“Traditionally, lawyers assigned to represent state hospital patients have failed miserably in their mission”

Houston Law Review January, 1991 *Health Law Issue*
COMPETENCY, DEINSTITUTIONALIZATION, AND HOMELESSNESS: A STORY OF MARGINALIZATION
Michael L. Perlin

February 3, 2005

Alaska Bar Assn Health Law Section

6

Before PsychRights Appealed *Myers* (Pending) No Appeal had Ever Been Prosecuted on Behalf of Any Patient at API.

February 3, 2005

Alaska Bar Assn Health Law Section

7

Civil Forced Drugging Standards

- ◆ State Laws may define Federal Rights (*Mills v. Rogers*)
 - Incompetent to Decline (Refuse)
 - “Professional Judgment” (*Youngberg v. Romeo/Rennie v. Klein*)
 - “Best Interests”
 - Where Junk Science Comes In
 - “Substituted Judgment”
 - “Least Restrictive Alternative”
- ◆ But *see Sell*

February 3, 2005

Alaska Bar Assn Health Law Section

10

The Faces of Forced Medication in Alaska

- ◆ Civil Commitment
- ◆ Kids
- ◆ Parents
- ◆ In Prison
- ◆ Competence to Stand Trial
- ◆ Outpatient Commitment

February 3, 2005

Alaska Bar Assn Health Law Section

8

The Reality

Courts accept . . . testimonial dishonesty, . . . specifically where witnesses, especially expert witnesses, show a “high propensity to purposely distort their testimony in order to achieve desired ends.” . . .

Experts frequently . . . and openly subvert statutory and case law criteria that impose rigorous behavioral standards as predicates for commitment . . .

This combination . . . helps define a system in which (1) dishonest testimony is often regularly (and unthinkingly) accepted; (2) statutory and case law standards are frequently subverted; and (3) insurmountable barriers are raised to insure that the allegedly “therapeutically correct” social end is met . . . In short, the mental disability law system often deprives individuals of liberty disingenuously and upon bases that have no relationship to case law or to statutes.

The ADA and Persons with Mental Disabilities: Can Sanist Attitudes Be Undone? by Michael L. Perlin, *Journal of Law and Health*, 1993/1994, 8 JLHEALTH 15, 33-34.

February 3, 2005

Alaska Bar Assn Health Law Section

11

Civil Commitment/Forced Drugging

- ◆ Forced Drugging Petition Along with Commitment
- ◆ Assembly Line Forced Drugging Decisions
 - 10 to 15 minutes per
- ◆ Decline Medication → Deemed Incompetent → Court Order
 - *Myers* Case – Best Interests
- ◆ No Expert on Patient’s side
- ◆ No Legitimate Science on State’s

February 3, 2005

Alaska Bar Assn Health Law Section

9

Kids

- ◆ The ultimate in powerlessness
- ◆ Virtually none of the drugs approved for kids
 - No One Knows Implications of Polypharmacy
- ◆ Damaging Developing Brains
- ◆ Parents Not Told the Truth About Drugs
- ◆ Disability Law Center Currently Investigating Drugging Practices at North Star.

February 3, 2005

Alaska Bar Assn Health Law Section

12

Parents

Kids Taken Away:

- If Don't Take The Drugs
- If Do Take The Drugs

February 3, 2005

Alaska Bar Assn Health Law Section

13

Competence to Stand Trial

◆ *Sell v. U.S.*, 539 U.S. 166, 123 S.Ct. 2174 (2003)

- Court Must Decide:

1. "Important governmental interests at stake."
2. "Involuntary medication will significantly further those concomitant state interests."
3. "Involuntary medication is necessary to further those interests. The court must find that any alternative, less intrusive treatments are unlikely to achieve substantially the same results."
4. "Administration of the drugs is medically appropriate, i.e., in the patient's best medical interest in light of his medical condition. The specific kinds of drugs at issue may matter here as elsewhere. Different kinds of antipsychotic drugs may produce different side effects and enjoy different levels of success."

February 3, 2005

Alaska Bar Assn Health Law Section

16

What is Happening to Our Kids in State Custody?

•Studies in Other States Show High Percentage Inappropriately Drugged and Some Have Died as a Result.

Have a Case Where Grandmother Wants to Know if Grandaughter Being Drugged

February 3, 2005

Alaska Bar Assn Health Law Section

14

Sell Criteria are General Constitutional Principals that Ought to Apply Across the Board

February 3, 2005

Alaska Bar Assn Health Law Section

17

In Prison

◆ *Washington v. Harper*, 494 U.S. 201, 110 S.Ct. 1028 (1990): Allows Administrative Proceeding but Right to:

1. An unbiased, independent decision maker.
2. Notice.
3. Be present at an adversary hearing.
4. Present and cross-examine witnesses.

◆ Must Be Medically Appropriate (except Emergency)

◆ *Bavilla v. State of Alaska*

- Got Department Dead to Rights Violating *Harper*
- Dismissed on Sovereign Immunity Grounds
 - Admissions waiting for new case (Bavilla declined to pursue appeal or new case)

February 3, 2005

Alaska Bar Assn Health Law Section

15

Outpatient Commitment

◆ Legal Outpatient Commitment Not Used Much Here (as far as know)

◆ Non legal coercion pervasive

- Housing
- SSDI
- Medicaid
- APA
- Other Services
- Etc.

February 3, 2005

Alaska Bar Assn Health Law Section

18

Pro Bono Attorneys Needed

- ◆ Psych Rights Will:
 - Provide E&O Insurance
 - Pick Cases
 - Provide Great Deal of Technical Assistance
 - Memos, Pleadings, Studies, Strategy, Etc.
 - Some Funding
 - Depositions (unheard of)
 - Expert Witness Fees
 - Help With or Even Take the Appeals

February 3, 2005

Alaska Bar Assn Health Law Section

19

Pro Bono or . . . Send Money Tax Deductible

February 3, 2005

Alaska Bar Assn Health Law Section

20

Suggested Reading

- ◆ *Mad in America* by Robert Whitaker
- ◆ *Toxic Psychiatry* by Peter Breggin
- ◆ *The Hidden Prejudice* by Michael Perlin
- ◆ *Schizophrenia: A Scientific Delusion?* by Mary Boyle
- ◆ *Escape From Psychiatry* by Clover
- ◆ *How to Become a Schizophrenic* by John Modrow

February 3, 2005

Alaska Bar Assn Health Law Section

21