	1606
1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
2	EASTERN DIVISION
3 4	WENDY B. DOLIN Individually and as Independent Executor of the Estate of STEWART DOLIN, deceased,
5	Plaintiff,
6	vs. ) Chicago, Illinois
7	SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION
8	Corporation, March 27, 2017
9	Defendant. ) 9:15 o'clock a.m.
10	VOLUME 8 A
11	<u>TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS</u> BEFORE THE HONORABLE WILLIAM T. HART
12	
13	For the Plaintiff:
14	BAUM, HEDLUND, ARISTEI & GOLDMAN, P.C. BY: R. Brent Wisner
15	Michael L. Baum 12100 Wilshire Boulevard
16	Suite 950 Los Angeles, California 90025
17	(310) 207-3233
18	RAPOPORT LAW OFFICES, P.C. BY: David E. Rapoport
19	Matthew S. Sims 20 North Clark Street
20	Suite 3500 Chicago, Illinois 60602
21	(312) 327-9880
22	Court reporter:
23	Blanca I. Lara, CP, CSR, RPR
24	219 South Dearborn Street Room 2504
25	Chicago, Illinois 60604 (312) 435-5895

1	Appearances (continued:)
2	
3	For Defendant GlaxoSmithKline:
4	KING & SPALDING
5	BY: Todd P. Davis
6	Andrew T Bayman Heather Howard 1180 Peachtree St Ne
7	Atlanta, Georgia 30309 (404) 572-4600
8	KING & SPALDING LLP
9	BY: Ursula M. Henninger Suite 3900
10	100 N Tryon Street Charlotte, NC 28202
11	(704) 503-2631
12	SNR DENTON US, LLP
13	BY: Alan Scott Gilbert 233 South Wacker Drive
14	Suite 7800 Chicago, Illinois 60606
15	(312) 876-8000
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	























		Ross - redirect by Wisner 1619
	1	A. Good morning.
	2	Q. I hope you had a good weekend.
	3	A. I did.
	4	Q. We're going to get you out of here pretty quickly, all
09:28:18	5	right?
	6	A. All right.
	7	Q. So let's go back to where we stopped on redirect. And I
	8	want to talk specifically about the 2006 Paxil label, okay?
	9	A. Okay.
09:28:28	10	MR. WISNER: Permission to publish Joint Exhibit 5,
	11	Your Honor.
	12	THE COURT: You may proceed.
	13	(Exhibit published to the jury.)
	14	BY MR. WISNER:
09:28:34	15	Q. Doctor, this is a copy of 2006 label, right?
	16	A. Yes.
	17	Q. And this is the label that GSK referenced several times
	18	during your cross-examination, do you recall that?
	19	A. Yes.
09:28:45	20	Q. And do you recall there being a lengthy discussion about
	21	various letters sent to the FDA and e-mails about including
	22	some language from this label in the 2007 onward label, do you
	23	recall that?
	24	A. Yes.
09:29:01	25	Q. And do you recall that this specific language that we were

		Ross - redirect by Wisner 1620
	1	talking about, they wanted to put inside the class label, do
	2	you recall?
	3	A. Yes.
	4	Q. Okay. And I asked you some questions yesterday on
09:29:14	5	Thursday about about this label. And just to be clear, do
00.20.11	6	you have an opinion about whether or not this label, this 2006
	7	label is false and misleading?
	8	A. I do have an opinion on that.
	9	Q. What is your opinion, Doctor?
09:29:28	10	A. It is false and misleading, definitely.
	11	Q. Okay. So let's go to the clinical worsening section.
	12	We talked briefly during your direct about this, but I
	13	just want to clarify. You mentioned the word "disease
	14	management," do you recall that?
09:29:45	15	A. I do.
	16	Q. What is disease management and how is that any way
	17	different than a drug warning?
	18	A. So it's advise recommendations on taking care of a disease
	19	regardless in how you're treating a patient, whether for
09:30:02	20	depression if you're using psychotherapy, if you're using drug
	21	therapy, if you're doing other things.
	22	It's if I can give an analogy. It's a little bit
	23	like when you go to the Department of Motor Vehicles you get
	24	the booklet that says heres the rules of the road, come to a
09:30:26	25	complete stop when you see a stop sign, when there's a red

		Ross - redirect by Wisner 1621
	1	light. And that's fine, but it doesn't tell you the car that
	. 2	you happen to be driving could blow up if it gets rear-ended.
	-	Q. So then the disease management language is how to drive the
	4	car; and the car could blow, that's the Paxil-specific drug
09:30:46	5	induced?
	6	A. That's exactly right.
	7	Q. So this is the paragraph that was sort of at issues during
	8	cross, do you recall that, Doctor?
	9	A. I do.
09:30:54	10	Q. And we briefly touched on this but I wanted to just
	11	highlight it again. It says right here:
	12	" in the older age groups, age 25 through 64
	13	years, and greater than have 65 years, no such
	14	increase was observed."
09:31:06	15	Do you see that?
	16	A. Ido.
	17	Q. And that's referring to an increased risk in suicidal
	18	behavior, right?
	19	A. Yes, that's correct.
09:31:12	20	Q. Is that a true statement?
	21	A. No, it is not.
	22	Q. Now we keep talking about suicidality and suicidal
	23	behavior. Is there a difference between suicidality and
	24	suicidal behavior?
09:31:23	25	A. There's a big difference.

1 Q. What's the difference?

A. So suicidality includes -- so behavior as people killing
themselves, trying to kill themselves, or making a plan to kill
themselves, like, you know, purchasing a gun, for example.

5 Suicidality includes another concept, which is6 thinking about killing oneself.

And the importance of distinguishing between those two
is what we call suicidal ideation. The importance of that is,
suicidal ideation is very common in people who are depressed.
Fortunately, most people who have suicidal ideation don't go on
to suicidal behavior. They don't make plans, they don't try to
kill themselves, and they don't kill themselves.

If you look at suicidal ideation, it's not wrong to
look at it, but it's important to understand it. It's going to
drown out any effects that a drug might have on suicidal
behavior, the more severe kinds of suicide related adverse
events.

18 If I can go back to my car analogy for a minute. It's 19 a little bit like saying, well, we're going to consider not 20 only look at the cars that are driving that blow up and get 21 rear-ended, but also the cars that are parked. And when we at 22 those cars that are parked, there doesn't seem to be any 23 problem in terms of that that particular kind of car blowing 24 up.

09:33:09

25

So going back to this, you've got suicidal ideation

09:31:46

09:32:08

09:32:33

09:32:53

Ross ·	-	redirect	by	Wisner
--------	---	----------	----	--------

		1623
	1	where there is a low risk of going forward to very serious
	2	things and that drowns out any effects. So we're really
	3	interested here in the more serious things.
	4	Q. So when GSK focuses on suicidality, Doctor, is that an
09:33:28	5	appropriate way of discussing the suicide risk?
	6	A. By itself, no. It's not wrong to look at it. You
	7	certainly should, but the focus should be on the more serious
	8	aspects of suicidal behavior.
	9	Q. And when we talk about suicidal behavior, people planning,
09:33:47	10	taking actual actions, actually doing suicidal acts, is that
	11	risk greater in depressed people who take Paxil versus
	12	depressed people who don't?
	13	MR. BAYMAN: Objection, Your Honor. No foundation.
	14	It's beyond the scope of his expertise.
09:34:02	15	THE COURT: Overruled.
	16	BY THE WITNESS:
	17	A. Yes.
	18	BY MR. WISNER:
	19	Q. Now, it says in this label, that we were discussing in 2006
09:34:11	20	and again, the content here was also sent directly to
	21	doctors, is that right, Doctor?
	22	A. In that letter that GSK sent out, yes.
	23	Q. Okay. And in that letter it also says here, "all events
	24	were suicide attempts," do you see that, Doctor?
09:34:31	25	A. Yes.

		Ross - redirect by Wisner
		1624
	1	Q. Is it true that in all the major depressive clinical trials
	2	there were no suicides?
	3	A. No, that is not true.
	4	Q. So this statement right here, I believe you testified
09:34:44	5	earlier, is, in your opinion, false?
	6	A. Yes.
	7	Q. Okay. Now, Doctor, at this point, in the older age groups,
	8	age 25 to 64, right, you said that was disputed in a
	9	publication, is that right?
09:35:03	10	A. GSK had a publication, which I talked about, that was based
	11	on their 2006 analysis. It was submitted for publication in
	12	2008 and published in 2011 showing there was a very significant
	13	increase in risk for individuals age 25 to 64.
	14	MR. WISNER: Your Honor, permission to publish
09:35:26	15	Plaintiff's Exhibit 285, page 8 of it.
	16	THE COURT: You may proceed.
	17	MR. BAYMAN: Your Honor, this is just a rehash in what
	18	he has covered on Thursday.
	19	THE COURT: Are we covering again the same ground that
09:35:36	20	was covered?
	21	MR. BAYMAN: Same article.
	22	MR. WISNER: No, I have something new to add that
	23	directly responds to their cross-examination.
	24	THE COURT: All right. You may proceed.
09:35:43	25	(Exhibit published to the jury.)

		Ross - redirect by Wisner 1625
	1	BY MR. WISNER:
	2	Q. All right, Doctor, so we're looking here at page 8 of
	3	Plaintiff's Exhibit 285. And this is where that chart that had
	4	the definitive suicidal behavior is on, Doctor, is that right?
09:35:58	5	MR. WISNER: I'll blow it up properly.
	6	BY THE WITNESS:
	7	A. I think it may actually be on a previous page.
	8	BY MR. WISNER:
	9	Q. Oh, you're right. Let me pull up the actual page.
09:36:10	10	(Brief pause).
	11	BY MR. WISNER:
	12	Q. So this is Plaintiff's Exhibit 285 and it's on page 7, do
	13	you see that, Doctor?
	14	A. Yes.
09:36:18	15	Q. And this is the part where it talks about suicidal behavior
	16	and the bottom part it discusses patients 25 through 65, is
	17	that right?
	18	A. Yes.
	19	Q. And, specifically, we have this risk right here
09:36:33	20	(indicating). We talked about this on direct. It's 8 events
	21	in the Paxil group and zero events in the placebo group, do you
	22	see that?
	23	A. Yes.
	24	Q. And it says "infinity," right?
09:36:45	25	A. Yes.

		Ross - redirect by Wisner 1626
	1	Q. Now, is there an actual way of calculating
	2	MR. BAYMAN: Your Honor, this has been covered on
	3	direct already.
	4	THE COURT: It was, sir, but it won't hurt to cover a
09:36:52	5	bit more.
	6	MR. WISNER: I just want to ask this next question.
	7	THE COURT: All right.
	8	BY MR. WISNER:
	9	Q. Is it accurate to say that the risk is infinity or is there
09:37:00	10	a way to actually calculate an odds ratio that gives you a real
	11	number?
	12	MR. BAYMAN: Objection. This was not a disclosed
	13	opinion, beyond the scope of his expertise, Your Honor.
	14	THE COURT: Overruled.
09:37:12	15	BY THE WITNESS:
	16	A. So just to clarify. These numbers specifically deal with
	17	patients taking Paxil or placebo who were age 25 to 64. This
	18	is not all patients, these are people older, 25 or older.
	19	Before I get to your question, if I could, as you
09:37:34	20	said, there's 8 events in that group. And as I said last week,
	21	even though the company said in their label that 8 of the 11
	22	suicide episodes of definitive suicidal behavior were in
	23	people 18 to 30, here it says 8 events of suicidal behavior in
	24	people 25 to 64. And that's the point I was making that it
09:38:08	25	depends you know, if you cut the data up, one way you can

		Ross - redirect by Wisner 1627
	1	make it look younger or older and therefore there's no age
	2	description.
	3	But anyway, I'm sorry, Mr. Wisner, to get to your
	4	question, there is actually a way of doing this, what's called
09:38:22	5	the continuity correction.
	6	Q. And what does that entail, briefly?
	7	A. It's a mathematical technique where if you were to just
	8	divide the Paroxetine percentage, a fraction proportion, which
	9	is .29 and but the placebo .00, you'd be dividing by zero; you
09:38:41	10	can't do that.
	11	So what you do you is you add 0.5 to each of these
	12	numbers. So instead of "8" you have 8.5. Instead of "2713,"
	13	you have 2713.5. Instead of "0," you have 0.35. And then
	14	1567, 1567.5.
09:39:00	15	Q. And the continuity analysis, is that standard statistical
	16	process when you have zero?
	17	A. Yes.
	18	Q. And when you do that analysis, what's the odds ratio here?
	19	A. The odds ration is 9.7. So almost a ten-fold increase in
09:39:17	20	risk for people aged 25 to 64.
	21	Q. And that ten-fold increase for patients 25 through 64, is
	22	that statement anywhere found in the 2006, 2007 or any Paxil
	23	label?
	24	A. No.
09:39:33	25	Q. Do you think and this data was submitted to the journal

		Ross - redirect by Wisner 1628
	1	in what year?
	2	A. 2008.
	3	Q. And that was before Stewart Dolin's death?
	4	A. That is correct.
09:39:44	5	Q. When was it published?
	6	A. 2011.
	7	Q. That's after Stewart Dolin's death?
	8	A. Yes.
	9	Q. All right. Let's move on then to defense exhibit 25.
09:39:59	10	Now, this is a document that
	11	MR. WISNER: Permission to publish Your Honor?
	12	(Exhibit published to the jury)
	13	THE COURT: Yes.
	14	BY MR. WISNER:
09:40:03	15	Q. This is a document that the defendants showed you on
	16	cross-examination, is that right, Doctor?
	17	A. Yes.
	18	Q. And this is a suicide and death report submitted by GSK to
	19	the FDA in December of 1999, is that right?
09:40:14	20	A. Yes.
	21	Q. Okay. Now, this death report, if we go into it, it has a
	22	listing of all the suicides. And the first table highlights
	23	suicides that occurred in the central database, do you see
	24	that?
09:40:29	25	A. Yes.

		Ross - redirect by Wisner 1629
	1	Q. And it says here that there were 6 suicides, do you see
	2	that?
	3	A. Yes.
	4	Q. Now, when it says "central database," there's also a
09:40:38	5	locally funded study as well, right?
	6	A. Correct.
	7	MR. BAYMAN: Your Honor, objection. This was covered
	8	on Thursday. We're going back over the same ground.
	9	MR. WISNER: This is foundation to the new stuff, Your
09:40:48	10	Honor. We'll get there very quickly.
	11	THE COURT: All right. Proceed.
	12	BY MR. WISNER:
	13	Q. So the locally funded studies, those are performed by
	14	companies affiliated with GSK, is that right?
09:40:58	15	A. Correct.
	16	Q. And in that table, there were a total of 5 completed
	17	suicides, do you see that?
	18	A. Yes.
	19	Q. So in total, what is the number of these are completed
09:41:15	20	suicides in people taking Paxil in the clinical trial database
	21	as of 1999?
	22	A. Yes.
	23	Q. What's the total number?
	24	A. Total number would be 11.
09:41:25	25	Q. Okay. Now, let's focus here on table 1 for a second.

		Ross - redirect by Wisner 1630
	1	This is the completed suicides in GSK's clinical
	2	trials, is that right?
	3	A. Yes.
	4	Q. Now, what clinical trials, types of clinical trials are
09:41:39	5	included in that number?
	6	A. So I believe these are both active-controlled and
	7	placebo-controlled trials. So some patients got Paroxetine and
	8	in the active-controlled trials they got another
	9	antidepressant. In the placebo-controlled, those are different
09:42:01	10	kind of trial, some patients got a placebo.
	11	Q. All of these patients were in double-blind trials, is that
	12	right?
	13	A. Correct.
	14	Q. All of these patients were in controlled clinical trials,
09:42:13	15	is that right?
	16	A. Randomized-controlled trials, yes.
	17	Q. And all of these patients were in GSK's own database, is
	18	that right?
	19	A. Yes.
09:42:20	20	MR. BAYMAN: Objection; leading.
	21	THE COURT: Proceed.
	22	BY THE WITNESS:
	23	A. I'm sorry, Your Honor. Yes.
	24	BY MR. WISNER:
09:42:25	25	Q. Now we again have a problem of a number versus zero, do you

		Ross - redirect by Wisner 1631
	1	see that?
	2	A. Ido.
	3	Q. Did you calculate using the continuity analysis, the odds
	4	ratio here?
09:42:35	5	A. I did.
	6	Q. What was it?
	7	MR. BAYMAN: Objection; outside the scope of his
	8	report, the scope of his expertise.
	9	THE COURT: Overruled.
09:42:41	10	BY THE WITNESS:
	11	A. The odds ratio was 3.5.
	12	BY MR. WISNER:
	13	Q. Now, to be clear, when we say 3.5, we're talking about a
	14	350 percent increase in completed suicides in depressed
09:42:57	15	patients taking Paxil versus either another drug or placebo
	16	MR. BAYMAN: Objection.
	17	BY MR. WISNER:
	18	Q is that right?
	19	THE COURT: You are leading, you know.
09:43:07	20	MR. WISNER: Fair enough.
	21	THE COURT: Let the witness tell the facts.
	22	MR. WISNER: Sure.
	23	THE COURT: Just ask him about it.
	24	MR. WISNER: Yes, Your Honor.
09:43:11	25	BY THE WITNESS:

		Ross - redirect by Wisner 1632
	1	A. So if
	2	BY MR. WISNER:
	3	Q. Hold on. Let me ask the question.
	4	A. I'm sorry, sir.
09:43:15	5	Q. What does that 3.5 increase risk or odds ratio indicate?
	6	A. So if you take all these controlled trials which are done
	7	with similar populations, using the same data collection
	8	methods, and you combine the patients who got Paxil, on the one
	9	hand, and those who got a control, whether it was placebo or an
09:43:42	10	active comparator, and that's completely valid thing to do from
	11	clinical trials and the regulatory standpoint, the chances that
	12	somebody is going to commit suicide are 350 percent if they're
	13	taking Paxil compared to one of these other, either placebo or
	14	active control.
09:44:07	15	Q. And we've talked about ideation, we talked about behavior.
	16	Here, what are we talking about?
	17	A. We're talking about people killing themselves.
	18	Q. People who actually die?
	19	A. Yes.
09:44:17	20	Q. And of these 6 and of the 5 that we looked at a second ago
	21	with in the locally funded trials, of those 11 people who died
	22	taking Paxil, were any one of those people included in GSK's
	23	2006 analysis?
	24	A. No.
09:44:36	25	Q. Were any of those people included in the FDA's 2006

		Ross - redirect by Wisner 1633
	1	analysis?
	2	A. No.
	3	Q. Now, we spend some time looking at the label for Paxil, do
	4	you recall that, Doctor?
09:45:00	5	A. Yes.
	6	Q. And it seemed like it was a fairly lengthy document, do you
	7	remember that?
	8	A. Yes.
	9	Q. Has there been any change in the way labels are presented
09:45:11	10	or formatted by federal regulation?
	11	MR. BAYMAN: Objection, Your Honor. This his beyond
	12	the time period that's at issue in this case. It doesn't tie
	13	to the drug in this case.
	14	THE COURT: At this point I have to sustain that
09:45:23	15	objection.
	16	BY MR. WISNER:
	17	Q. When did that format labeling change?
	18	A. 2006.
	19	Q. So this is before the class labeling in 2007?
09:45:30	20	A. Correct.
	21	Q. Okay. And that new format
	22	MR. BAYMAN: Your Honor, objection. This new format
	23	does not apply to this drug, so it's a misleading.
	24	MR. WISNER: Can I ask the question?
09:45:40	25	THE COURT: Yes. You're in the timeframe now.
		1

		Ross - redirect by Wisner 1634
	1	BY MR. WISNER:
	2	Q. In 2007 could GSK have implemented the new format?
	3	A. To clarify, the new format was introduced after a lot of
	4	work, it's called a physician labeling rule. And it makes drug
09:46:00	5	labels much clearer.
	6	Anybody who has looked at one of these old-stype
	7	labels, and Paxil is an example of this, I need a new pair of
	8	glasses just like every time I've looked at a certain number of
	9	these.
09:46:11	10	So it starts out with highlights, what the drug is
	11	used for, what the dose is, and what the serious side effects
	12	are, that do you get warned about. You can go right to it, and
	13	so it is much clearer. And that's based not just on what the
	14	FDA thinks, there was an enormous amount of work.
09:46:31	15	MR. BAYMAN: Objection, Your Honor. I move to strike.
	16	This is no where disclosed in his expert report or in any of
	17	his opinions in the case. This is a brand new opinion.
	18	MR. WISNER: So far he hasn't said. He is just giving
	19	facts.
09:46:41	20	THE COURT: Well, let's go to sidebar on this.
	21	(Proceedings heard at sidebar on the record.)
	22	
	23	
	24	
09:47:10	25	







		Ross - redirect by Wisner 1638
	1	
	2	
	3	(Proceedings resumed within the hearing of the
	4	jury.)
09:50:32	5	BY MR. WISNER:
	6	Q. Dr. Ross, did GSK have the opportunity to change the Paxil
	7	label to the new format?
	8	A. Yes.
	9	Q. Did they ever propose doing so?
09:50:42	10	A. Not that I'm aware of.
	11	Q. All right, Doctor, you were cross-examined for nearly an
	12	entire day. You were asked a lot of questions by GSK's
	13	counsel, do you recall that?
	14	A. Yes.
09:51:04	15	Q. Have your opinions in any way changed because of that
	16	cross-examination?
	17	A. No.
	18	Q. Did you
	19	MR. WISNER: And if I may, Your Honor, permission to
09:51:15	20	publish the summary of opinions that was previously published
	21	at the beginning of his direct.
	22	MR. BAYMAN: I think that's cumulative and we object.
	23	THE COURT: No, the objection is sustained.
	24	MR. WISNER: Okay.
09:51:32	25	BY MR. WISNER:

		Ross - redirect by Wisner 1639
	1	Q. Doctor, do you still believe that there's an increase
	2	associated risk of adult suicidal behavior for patients taking
	3	Paxil versus those not?
	4	A. I do.
09:51:48	5	Q. Do you still believe that GSK was not up front with the FDA
	6	about that risk?
	7	A. I believe that very strongly.
	8	Q. Do you believe that GSK had the ultimate responsibility to
	9	change that label once they knew of that risk?
09:52:04	10	A. Yes.
	11	Q. Do you believe that GSK exercised or fulfilled that
	12	responsibility during the entire 30-year period when this drug
	13	was being marketed by GSK?
	14	A. No.
09:52:14	15	Q. Now, when it comes to the issue of suicide risk, do you
	16	believe that risk emerged as early as 1992, is that right?
	17	A. Actually, I would say that the data showing an increased
	18	risk was available to GSK in 1989 when they submitted to the
	19	NDA.
09:52:36	20	Q. And under federal regulations, is the defendant, or GSK,
	21	allowed to tell physicians things that are not in the label?
	22	MR. BAYMAN: Your Honor, this is entirely cumulative.
	23	This is just
	24	THE COURT: Yes. Sustained.
09:52:48	25	MR. BAYMAN: Thank you.

		Ross - further recross by Bayman 1640
	1	BY MR. WISNER:
	2	Q. Assuming that the suicide risk you testified the suicide
	3	risk was not in the label starting in 1992 and did not make its
	4	way into the label to the present, is that right?
09:52:59	5	A. That is correct.
	6	Q. In your opinion, could the marketing efforts by GSK
	7	directly to physicians have discussed that suicide risk because
	8	it was not in the label?
	9	A. No.
09:53:14	10	MR. WISNER: Pass the witness, Your Honor.
	11	MR. BAYMAN: Briefly, Your Honor.
	12	THE COURT: Very brief.
	13	MR. BAYMAN: Yes.
	14	FURTHER RECROSS EXAMINATION
09:53:22	15	BY MR. BAYMAN:
	16	Q. Dr. Ross, you gave a few minutes ago some opinions about
	17	suicidality and suicidal behavior, correct?
	18	A. Yes.
	19	Q. You're not an expert in suicidality, correct?
09:53:41	20	A. I don't claim to be.
	21	Q. And you don't have a degree in statistics, correct?
	22	A. Besides the training and experience that I have at FDA for
	23	10 years, no.
	24	Q. No degree, correct?
09:53:59	25	A. That is correct.

		Ross - further recross by Bayman 1641
	1	Q. No degree in biostatistics, correct?
	2	A. No.
	3	Q. And you talked about suicidal ideation and the difference
	4	with suicidal behavior. Isn't it correct that the FDA was
09:54:15	5	looking not just at suicidal ideation, but rather, suicidal
	6	ideation and behavior, correct, in its analysis?
	7	A. Among other things, yes.
	8	Q. GSK was also looking at suicidal ideation and behavior in
	9	its analysis, correct?
09:54:30	10	A. That's correct.
	11	Q. Not just ideation, correct?
	12	A. Yes.
	13	Q. You mentioned on Thursday in the redirect that akathisia
	14	might be what's called newly acquired information that would
09:54:49	15	warrant a label change, correct?
	16	MR. WISNER: Objection; misstates his testimony.
	17	BY THE WITNESS:
	18	A. I don't recall.
	19	BY MR. BAYMAN:
09:54:54	20	Q. You don't recall talking about akathisia?
	21	A. I remember we talked about it, I don't remember the
	22	specific thing that you're saying.
	23	Q. Well, you mentioned akathisia in your report as a potential
	24	mechanism by which antidepressants can induce suicide along
09:55:08	25	with others, correct?
		Ross - further recross by Bayman 1642
----------	----	--
	1	A. I believe so.
	2	Q. But you don't outline anywhere in your report where there's
	3	any scientific confirmation that any of these possible
	4	mechanisms has been confirmed, correct?
09:55:20	5	A. No.
	6	Q. And that's why you used in your report the term
	7	"potential," because it's simply that these are biologically
	8	plausible mechanisms, correct?
	9	A. With the understanding that adding information of this sort
09:55:36	10	to the label does not require that a causal relationship be
	11	proved, no.
	12	Q. My question was, these these are possible mechanisms
	13	that have been discussed in the literature, but none of them
	14	have been scientifically confirmed, correct?
09:55:50	15	A. That's correct.
	16	Q. You mentioned on Thursday that you said FDA regulations are
	17	a floor, you remember that?
	18	MR. WISNER: Objection; misstates his testimony.
	19	BY THE WITNESS:
09:56:04	20	A. I don't recall the exact wording. It's been 3 days. So I
	21	do remember we discussed the issue of a floor, but I don't
	22	remember exactly what my testimony was.
	23	BY MR. BAYMAN:
	24	Q. Doctor, there's nothing in your report where you say FDA
09:56:18	25	regulations are minimum standards, correct?

		Ross - further recross by Bayman 1643
	1	MR. WISNER: Objection; misstates his testimony. The
	2	floor related to the class labeling. He's misstating what he
	3	said.
	4	THE COURT: Well, you may inquire, sir.
09:56:28	5	MR. BAYMAN: Thank you.
	6	BY THE WITNESS:
	7	A. In terms of I don't use those explicit words, that's
	8	correct.
	9	BY MR. BAYMAN:
09:56:39	10	Q. Are you aware of any situation, since 2005, involving an
	11	SSRI, an antidepressant, or a psychiatric medication where the
	12	FDA has determined that reasonable evidence of an association
	13	exists between suicidality, suicide attempt, suicidal ideation,
	14	or behavior based on data that is other than randomized double
09:57:06	15	blind placebo-controlled trials?
	16	A. Not that I'm aware of.
	17	Q. And with respect to you recall talking about the chart
	18	that you showed the jury with 47 Paroxetine events and 1
	19	placebo event?
09:57:35	20	A. With the understanding that it was actually Mr. Wisner who
	21	showed that exhibit, yes.
	22	MR. WISNER: Your Honor, this was all on direct. He's
	23	limited to redirect and that was never discussed in redirect.
	24	THE COURT: That wasn't discussed on redirect, sir.
09:57:50	25	BY MR. BAYMAN:
		1

		Ross - further recross by Bayman 1644
	1	Q. You did on redirect you mentioned the and Mr. Wisner
	2	just showed you the label with respect to GSK's 2006 MDD
	3	analysis, correct?
	4	A. There are statements in there from that on
00.50.40	5	actually, given the discrepancy between the results of that
09:58:16	6	analysis and the statements in the label, I'm not sure how to
	7	answer that.
	8	Q. Well, you remember discussing the 2006 GSK analysis,
	9	
	9 10	correct, and the finding on MDD? A. Yes.
09:58:29	11	
	12	Q. Other than that single finding in MDD patients in the 2006
	12	analysis, you're not aware of any analysis of randomized
		placebo-controlled date conducted by anyone that shows a
	14	statistically significant increased risk of either suicidality,
09:58:49	15	suicidal ideation or behavior or suicidal behavior in adult
	16	patients over 30 using Paroxetine, are you?
	17	A. So I respectfully ask if you can ask that you're
	18	using a bunch of overlapping terms here. I'm not trying to be
	19	difficult, but I don't understand your question because there's
09:59:15	20	so many things that are overlapping: Suicidality, suicidal
	21	behavior and ideation, and so on. Suicidality is a term that,
	22	as I said in my deposition, that everyone is recommending that
	23	we get away from.
	24	Q. Are you aware other than the finding of the MDD patients
09:59:33	25	in GSK's 2006 analysis, you're not aware of any analysis of

Ross - further recross by Bayman

	1	randomized placebo-controlled data conducted by anyone that
	2	shows a statistically significant increased risk of either
	3	suicidal ideation or behavior or suicidal behavior in adult
	4	patients over 30 using Paroxetine, correct?
09:59:54	5	A. With the understanding that that certainly would not be the
	6	only data source one would look at and that GSK actually
	7	published that analysis that was submitted in 2008, no.
	8	Q. And you mentioned that analysis, that was written up in the
	9	Carpenter report, you're aware that GSK's analysis was posted
10:00:21	10	on its website as far back as 2006, correct?
	11	A. From a regulatory perspective, that's irrelevant.
	12	Q. That's not my question.
	13	A. I actually wasn't aware of that.
	14	Q. And you were shown some data involving the article where
10:00:51	15	you did a continuity correction, is that right?
	16	A. I'm sorry, when you say sorry, when you say "the
	17	article."
	18	Q. The Carpenter article.
	19	A. Yes.
10:01:01	20	Q. And then you were also shown the data from what was called
	21	the death reports where you did a continuity correction to get
	22	a 3.5 odds ratio?
	23	A. Yes.
	24	Q. That's compared to other drugs, correct?
10:01:15	25	A. So that's compared to other drugs and placebo taken

		Ross - further recross by Bayman 1646
	1	together. The Carpenter, however, I believe is against
	2	placebo.
	3	Q. I'm asking you about the death report.
	4	A. I'm sorry, you mentioned both Carpenter and the death
10:01:37	5	report, so I was responding to both.
10:01:37	6	In the death report, you're correct, that was active
	7	
		comparator and placebo.
	8	Q. But you didn't include the number of suicides and active
	9	comparators in those trials, did you?
10:01:51	10	A. No.
	11	Q. You recall, just a minute ago, you were shown the language
	12	from the GSK 2006 label that was the change made by changes
	13	being affected, correct?
	14	A. Yes.
10:02:13	15	MR. BAYMAN: Can you put that up, Roger, the 2006
	16	label with the MDD finding.
	17	(Brief pause).
	18	(Exhibit published to the jury.)
	19	BY MR. BAYMAN:
10:03:09	20	Q. While he pulls that up, do you recall being shown the
	21	language that the majority of suicide attempts in the 2006
	22	analysis in MDD patients, 8 out of 11, were in younger adults
	23	age 18 through 30, and you were asked if that was an accurate
	24	statement, correct?
10:03:24	25	A. Yes.

		Ross - further recross by Bayman 1647
	1	Q. And you said no, it was not, correct?
	2	A. Correct.
	3	(Brief pause).
	4	BY MR. BAYMAN:
10:03:55	5	Q. That's the small type, but that's the data we were talking
	6	about, correct?
	7	A. Correct.
	8	Q. Okay.
	9	MR. BAYMAN: Can you pull up
10:04:08	10	BY MR. BAYMAN:
	11	Q. Can you go to Tab 1? Do you have your notebook there?
	12	Your expert report?
	13	A. I'm sorry, I don't have any binders up here.
	14	(Binder tendered to the witness).
10:04:24	15	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
	16	BY MR. BAYMAN:
	17	Q. Look at figure 1, page 16 in your expert report.
	18	(Brief pause).
	19	BY THE WITNESS:
10:04:48	20	A. Do you have a page number, by any chance?
	21	BY MR. BAYMAN:
	22	Q. Page 16.
	23	A. 16. Thank you. Okay.
	24	Q. You did a figure where you distributed the age of
10:04:59	25	Paroxetine treated patients with suicide attempts, correct?

		Ross - further recross by Bayman 1648
	1	A. Well, I didn't I plotted them, I wouldn't say
	2	distributed.
	3	Q. Okay. It's called Figure 1, correct?
	4	A. Yes.
10:05:12	5	Q. And if we can count
	6	MR. BAYMAN: Your Honor, may I show that table to the
	7	jury?
	8	THE COURT: Yes.
	9	MR. BAYMAN: Thank you.
10:05:17	10	(Exhibit published to the jury.)
	11	BY MR. BAYMAN:
	12	Q. If we look up here, if we look at the total study
	13	population that was patients age 18 to 30, there are, in fact,
	14	8 age 30 and below, correct?
10:05:33	15	A. That's a correct statement.
	16	Q. And 8 is the majority of 11, correct?
	17	A. It is not the majority, sir. It is a majority. As I just
	18	pointed out, you could also just as easily say there were 8 out
	19	of 11, 25 to 64.
10:05:50	20	And, you know, to say if a few minutes ago you
	21	asked me you told me that this had been posted on GSK's
	22	website. If I said 8 out of 11, the majority were in the
	23	younger patients, that actually would be false and misleading.
	24	Q. Dr. Ross, it was accurate for GSK to say that the vast
10:06:13	25	majority of adverse events in the study population for suicide

## Ross - further recross by $\operatorname{Bayman}$

	1	attempt occurred at 30 years or younger, correct?
	2	A. No, sir. The with if you say "the majority," you're
	3	talking about something that is askew population. That would
	4	be true if everything were clustered towards younger patients,
10:06:36	5	but that's not what we see here.
	6	The median is 29, half the patients are above that
	7	age, half are below. You cannot say accurately that it is the
	8	majority. That's a question of slicing the data to give you a
	9	result that you want.
10:06:53	10	Q. Do you have your deposition up there?
	11	A. Ah, I'm not sure I do.
	12	MR. WISNER: Your Honor, I believe this is prefaced by
	13	a short recross. This is well beyond the scope at this point
	14	of the redirect and this has taken forever.
10:07:11	15	MR. BAYMAN: This is my last series of inquiry, Your
	16	Honor.
	17	THE COURT: All right. Proceed.
	18	(Binder tendered to the witness).
	19	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
10:07:21	20	BY MR. BAYMAN:
	21	Q. Turn to page 375, Line 5.
	22	(Brief pause).
	23	BY THE WITNESS:
	24	A. Yes.
10:07:45	25	BY MR. BAYMAN:
		4

		Ross - further recross by Bayman 1650
	1	Q. You were asked:
	2	"Question: And it was accurate for GSK to say
	3	that the vast majority of the adverse events in
	4	the study population for suicide attempt
10:07:54	5	occurred at 30 years or younger."
	6	And your answer was "yes," correct?
	7	A. That's correct.
	8	Q. Now, I noticed your chart here ends at age 52, correct?
	9	A. Yes.
10:08:05	10	Q. Okay. You know that there were patients in the MDD studies
	11	included in that analysis that went up to age 91, correct?
	12	A. Yes.
	13	Q. You didn't list those on your chart, though, beyond age 52,
	14	did you?
10:08:17	15	A. This is a graph of suicide attempts, not of all the
	16	patients. You could extend, certainly extend that out, but it
	17	would not change the median, which, by the way, is almost
	18	identical to the mean. This is like a belt-shaped curve. So
	19	you, you could extend it out to a million and it wouldn't
10:08:37	20	change the distribution of ages of people who tried to kill
	21	themselves.
	22	MR. WISNER: I object to this document, Your Honor.
	23	THE COURT: Well, I don't know what the document is,
	24	sir.
10:09:00	25	MR. BAYMAN: May I approach, Your Honor?

		Ross - further recross by Bayman 1651
	1	(Document tendered to the Court.)
	2	MR. BAYMAN: Your Honor, the second page. He just
	3	said you could extend it out and it wouldn't make any
	4	difference. I just want to show this graph.
10:09:17	5	THE COURT: Is this your document or his document?
	6	MR. BAYMAN: The first one is his, the second one is
	7	ours where we extended it out.
	8	THE COURT: No, sir, you are beyond the scope now,
	9	anyway. The objection is sustained.
10:09:27	10	MR. BAYMAN: Okay.
	11	BY MR. BAYMAN:
	12	Q. Is it your testimony that if we extended this graph out all
	13	the way to age 91 it would not show an clustering of suicide
	14	attempts below age 30?
10:09:40	15	A. You could try and use a visual trick to make it look like
	16	that, but the median would remain unchanged.
	17	Q. And as a result of the analysis that we talked about, the
	18	2006 analysis that have you done any statistical analysis to
	19	determine the likelihood that this distribution of suicide
10:10:01	20	attempts is due to chance and not due to the influence of
	21	increased risk in younger patients?
	22	A. Actually, that is such an interesting question, because
	23	there was so much data based on Defense Exhibit 25 on patients,
	24	older patients, who committed suicide that was not reported to
10:10:25	25	the FDA and not included in these analyses that artificially

		Ross - further recross by Bayman 1652
	1	lowered the apparent age.
	2	So if you looks at those suicides that were not
	3	included in these analyses, the average age of those patients
	4	was 47. By excluding those patients, you shift the apparent
10:10:44	5	risk towards younger patients.
••••	6	Q. My question was, have you done a statistical analysis?
	7	A. Of whether this is due to chance?
	8	Q. And not due to the influence of increased risk in younger
	9	patients.
10:10:57	10	A. Actually, I didn't have to. Dr. Carpenter and his group
	11	published a paper, as I said, addressing that.
	12	So they looked at that issue. They calculated the P
	13	value about whether this was due to chance alone, and they
	14	found that they got a significant it was statistically
10:11:24	15	significant result, in other words, not due to chance. So I
10.11.27	16	didn't have to do that, GSK did it for me.
	17	Q. We'll hear from the GSK who co-authored that paper in the
	18	trial.
	19	You would agree with me that strike that.
10 11 01	20	
10:11:34		Is it your testimony that FDA would approve a label
	21	that has language in one place that contradicts class labeling
	22	in a different place?
	23	A. That contradicts it? No, I have not said that, sir.
	24	Q. You would agree that a label cannot be internally
10:11:47	25	inconsistent, correct?

		Ross - further recross by Bayman 1653
	1	A. Internally inconsistent? Ideally it shouldn't be.
	2	Q. Now, you testified just a minute ago that that labeling
	3	supplement that GSK requested by CB in 2006 was false and
	4	misleading, correct?
10:12:07	5	A. Yes.
	6	Q. But you will agree with me that the FDA informed GSK, in
	7	April 2006, that it had not no objection to GSK's plan to
	8	proceed with implementing that language and to send out a Dear
	9	Healthcare Provider letter regarding the new language,
10:12:27	10	correct?
	11	A. Ah, I actually don't know what response FDA provided to GSK
	12	prior to their approval letter in May 1st, 2007, to the
	13	submission. I don't know if there were any proceeding
	14	communications from FDA, one way or the other.
10:12:46	15	Q. So you don't know that FDA said it didn't have any
	16	objection for GSK to proceed with the CB label change and to
	17	sent out a Dear Healthcare Provider letter?
	18	A. The way the CB supplements work is that if the FDA does not
	19	the FDA doesn't have to say anything. If the company has
10:13:07	20	not heard back from the FDA within 30 days, they're free to
	21	proceed, but the FDA doesn't have to say anything, one way or
	22	the other.
	23	Q. You haven't reviewed that correspondence about what the FDA
	24	did as a regulatory expert in this case?
10:13:22	25	MR. WISNER: Objection; misstates the roll of the FDA

		Ross - further recross by Bayman 1654
	1	completely. Move to strike.
	2	THE COURT: What
	3	MR. WISNER: He just called the FDA a regulatory
	4	expert.
10:13:32	5	THE COURT: I think we're beyond the scope.
	6	MR. BAYMAN: I called him a regulatory expert.
	7	THE COURT: We're beyond the scope of redirect.
	8	Recross is very limited.
	9	MR. BAYMAN: Well, he said it was false and
10:13:40	10	misleading, Your Honor, and I was just trying to see if he knew
	11	what the FDA said about whether it was false or misleading and
	12	he says he wasn't reviewed it.
	13	MR. WISNER: So then why are you asking the question
	14	over and over.
10:13:50	15	MR. BAYMAN: I just want to see is that right.
	16	BY MR. BAYMAN:
	17	Q. You have not reviewed that correspondence about what the
	18	FDA said about GSK's proposed CB in 2006, is that correct?
	19	A. I haven't seen any documents related to that.
10:14:03	20	Q. And as of 2006, the FDA did not issue a final determination
	21	on whether it would accept GSK's CB supplemental or not,
	22	correct?
	23	A. To the best of my knowledge, no.
	24	Q. And they did not ultimately approve that CB because they
10:14:24	25	suggested their own language, correct?

		Ross - further recross by Bayman 1655
	1	A. For class labeling, yes.
	2	Q. And you agree that by approving the final labeling in 2007,
	3	FDA determined the statements in Paxil's label are neither
	4	false nor misleading, correct?
10:14:40	5	A. Based on the information that they hadexcuse meyes.
	6	MR. BAYMAN: I've no further questions, Your Honor.
	7	THE COURT: All right.
	8	MR. WISNER: I don't know if this witness should be
	9	excused or not.
10:15:02	10	THE COURT: I think he should be excused.
	11	MR. BAYMAN: One further question, Your Honor.
	12	BY MR. BAYMAN:
	13	Q. And the FDA approved the format of that labeling in 2007
	14	also, correct?
10:15:11	15	A. I'm sorry, what do you mean by "the format."
	16	Q. Well, you talked about an old format and a new format. FDA
	17	approved the formatting of that label in 2007, correct?
	18	A. GSK is not subject to the new format. They can use it if
	19	they like, if they choose to make their warnings clear.
10:15:31	20	Q. But my question is, FDA approved the label in the format in
	21	which it was submitted, correct?
	22	A. Yes.
	23	Q. And that same language in the labeling, you talked about
	24	30 years, those same warnings in the labeling are there today,
10:15:45	25	correct, with respect to suicidality?

Ross - further recross by Bayman

1656

	1	A. I'm actually not aware of specific warnings about Paxil in
	2	the label with regard to anything about suicide, with the
	3	exception of, as we've discussed last week, the inclusion of
	4	emotional lability, which is the code used for suicide
10:16:08	5	attempts, that's in the adverse reaction section, but other
	6	than that, I'm not aware of any Paxil-specific warnings.
	7	Q. No, my question was, the labeling that was approved in 2007
	8	and the language with respect to the suicide warnings, that is
	9	still the same language that is in the labeling today based on
10:16:25	10	your review of the January 2017 label last week, correct?
	11	A. GSK has not updated the label to include what it knows
	12	about Paxil-induced suicide, you're correct.
	13	Q. And nor has FDA changed the label, correct?
	14	MR. WISNER: Your Honor, Objection; asked and
10:16:43	15	answered.
	16	THE COURT: Yes. I think it was covered.
	17	Thank you very much.
	18	You are excused. Thank you very much, Doctor.
	19	THE WITNESS: Thank you so much, Your Honor.
10:16:47	20	THE COURT: You may leave.
	21	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
	22	(Witness excused.)
	23	THE COURT: Call your next witness, please.
	24	MR. RAPOPORT: That would be Martin Sachman, Your
10:16:56	25	Honor, who is here and will be in the room momentarily.

		Sachman - direct by Rapoport 1657
	1	(Brief pause)
	2	MR. RAPOPORT: We have a book with a courtesy copy of
	3	his deposition transcripts and the exhibits that are planned to
	4	be used for the witness.
10:17:10	5	(Brief pause).
	6	(Binder tendered to the Court.)
	7	THE COURT: Doctor, step up here, please.
	8	(Brief pause).
	9	THE COURT: Right around there, Doctor (indicating).
10:17:32	10	MR. RAPOPORT: I should
	11	THE COURT: Place raise your right hand, sir.
	12	(Witness duly sworn.)
	13	THE COURT: You may take the wand.
	14	You may proceed, sir.
10:17:51	15	MR. RAPAPORT: Thank you, Your Honor. I was just
	16	looking for the portable mike.
	17	(Brief pause)
	18	MARTIN SACHMAN, PLAINTIFF'S WITNESS, SWORN
	19	DIRECT EXAMINATION
10:17:52	20	BY MR. RAPOPORT:
	21	Q. Good morning.
	22	A. Good morning.
	23	Q. Please tell the folks your name.
	24	A. Martin Sachman.
10:18:31	25	Q. And how long have you been living in Chicago?

		Sachman - direct by Rapoport 1658
	1	A. All of my life.
	2	Q. What do you do for a living?
	3	A. I'm a physician and internist.
	4	Q. We'll get to that in a little while, but I want to talk,
10:18:42	5	ask questions about your personal life a little bit first.
	6	Going back to before July 15 of 2010, who was your
	7	closest friend?
	8	A. Stu Dolin.
	9	Q. You're the first witness in the case that has had a chance
10:18:59	10	to discuss Mr. Dolin.
	11	Let's start by tell the folks a little bit about what
	12	he was like.
	13	A. Stu was a very reserved, quiet, intelligent, loving man. I
	14	never heard him raise his voice over our 25-year relationship.
10:19:19	15	We were like brothers. We spent weekends together, travel
	16	together. He was my closest friend. A loving person and a
	17	loving family man.
	18	Q. Are you married?
	19	A. Yes, I am.
10:19:31	20	Q. What is your wife's name?
	21	A. Cheryl.
	22	Q. How long you and Cheryl been married?
	23	A. 35 years.
	24	Q. Did you have children together?
10:19:38	25	A. Yes.

		Sachman - direct by Rapoport 1659
	1	Q. How many of those?
	2	A. 2.
	3	Q. And what are their names and ages?
	4	A. Jason is 33 and Gena 30.
10:19:45	5	Q. Was Stu married?
	6	A. Yes.
	7	Q. Who was he married to?
	8	A. Wendy.
	9	Q. And, roughly, for how long?
10:19:53	10	A. Oh, longer than we have. Closer to 40 maybe years, I
	11	think.
	12	Q. Now, you mentioned that it was roughly a 25-year
	13	relationship at the time that Mr. Dolin's life ended?
	14	A. Yes.
10:20:06	15	Q. How did it begin, in general?
	16	A. It began we met each other on a trip we were both on.
	17	His parents had been patients of mine. And I was talking about
	18	being away for a little bit, and we got to talking, and it
	19	turned out we'd be on the same trip. So we sought each other
10:20:24	20	out.
	21	Q. And over what were you couple friends? Friends
	22	together, the four of you?
	23	A. Yes.
	24	Q. Did you also spend time with Mr. Dolin individually as
10:20:34	25	guys?

		Sachman - direct by Rapoport 1660
	1	A. Absolutely.
	2	Q. And what would you say your frequency of contact, we won't
	3	take the entire span, but let's say for the 5 years before he
	4	passed away, with what frequency would you see him?
10:20:47	5	A. I would say we saw them as couples at least once a month,
	6	maybe more, sometimes a little less, but frequently.
	7	Q. Okay. The folks haven't had a chance to really see a good
	8	photograph of Mr. Dolin. And I know we have one of those
	9	prepared. We're having a couple of technical difficulties. So
10:21:09	10	I'm going to ask my team
	11	MR. WISNER: We can that.
	12	MR. RAPOPORT: Oh, you can.
	13	MR. WISNER: Yes.
	14	MR. RAPAPORT: Great. Because we can just use the
10:21:15	15	Elmo, too.
	16	(Brief pause)
	17	BY MR. RAPAPORT:
	18	Q. Okay. We have some images and other things that we can use
	19	soon.
10:21:27	20	All right. We have up what is Plaintiff's Exhibit
	21	Number 1. Who are we looking at here?
	22	A. Stu Dolin.
	23	Q. And, roughly, how old would you say he was in that picture?
	24	A. Mid 50's, maybe. Mid 50's.
10:21:43	25	Q. Is that pretty much what he looked like around the time of

		Sachman - direct by Rapoport 1661
	1	his death?
	2	A. Yes, it is.
	3	Q. Is that a fair and accurate image of Mr. Dolin?
	4	A. Absolutely.
10:21:53	5	Q. Okay. Now, what was his let me back up. What are some
	6	examples of the kinds of things you and he and your families
	7	would do together?
	8	A. You know, other than just sometimes just hanging at each
	9	other's houses
10:22:10	10	THE COURT: Doctor, you're going to have to stay
	11	closer to that microphone. Keep your voice up. We want to
	12	hear everything you say.
	13	BY THE WITNESS:
	14	A. Other than dinners together the four of us, sometimes our
10:22:21	15	entire families would be together. Sometimes he and I would
	16	just go to a sports game, hang, watch sports, go to sports
	17	events, things like that.
	18	BY MR. RAPAPORT:
	19	Q. Tell the folks a little bit about Stu and Wendy's children.
10:22:35	20	A. Her children, they have two. A son Zack and daughter Bari.
	21	Zack is a computer designer. And Bari lives in New York in
	22	real estate.
	23	Q. Great. And they're friends with your own kids?
	24	A. Yes.
10:22:59	25	Q. Now, what do you do for a living?

		Sachman - direct by Rapoport 1662
	1	A. I'm a physician.
	2	Q. How long have you been a physician?
	3	A. Practicing about 38 years.
	4	Q. We put together a quick summary of your qualifications.
10:23:17	5	MR. RAPAPORT: And, Your Honor, with your permission
	6	I'll read that to the jury.
	7	THE COURT: Read that to the jury, right.
	8	MR. WISNER: (Reading:)
	9	Dr. Sachman's qualifications: Dr. Martin
10:23:27	10	Sachman is a physician who is licensed to
	11	practice medicine in Illinois. He earned his
	12	undergraduate degree in Liberal Arts and
	13	Sciences from the University of Illinois in
	14	1970, after which he intended Chicago Medical
10:23:43	15	School where he graduated in 1975. After
	16	earning his medical doctorate degree, Dr.
	17	Sachman completed an internship and residency in
	18	internal medicine at Michael Reese Hospital. He
	19	has been Board Certified by the American Board
10:24:01	20	of Internal Medicine since 1978. Dr. Sachman's
	21	office is in Northbrook, Illinois, and he has
	22	privileges at the four North Shore University
	23	Health System Hospitals."
	24	
10:24:14	25	BY MR. RAPAPORT:

		Sachman - direct by Rapoport
		1663
	1	Q. Now, just a few questions. First of all, I know hospital
	2	systems change names. What four hospitals are those?
	3	A. These are Highland Park Hospital, Evanston Hospital,
	4	Glenbrook and Skokie.
10:24:30	5	Q. What is it to be an internal medicine doctor such as
	6	yourself?
	7	A. Well, I'm a general internist, so I take care of all the
	8	medical problems of an adult. I don't take care of children.
	9	My practice begins at about age 16 or so. We take care of all
10:24:47	10	kinds of general medical problems, from heart disease to
	11	endocrinology to GI problems.
	12	Q. Was Mr. Dolin one of your patients?
	13	A. Yes, he was.
	14	Q. Was Mrs. Dolin one of your patients?
10:25:00	15	A. Yes, she is.
	16	Q. Do you have other friends or family members that are
	17	patients?
	18	A. Yes.
	19	Q. Is that unusual in the practice of internal medicine when
10:25:07	20	you live in the same community as your practice?
	21	A. Not at all.
	22	Q. Do you know any internal medicine doctors who have those
	23	kind of circumstances that don't have some friends and family
	24	as patients?
10:25:19	25	A. Sure. We all take care of friends and family.

		Sachman - direct by Rapoport
		1664
	1	Q. And are there any ethical rules within your profession that
	2	prohibits that kind of thing?
	3	A. None.
	4	Q. Now, we have admitted into evidence already Joint
10:25:36	5	Exhibits 11 and 12.
	6	Joint Exhibit 11 would be your medical records for
	7	Mr. Dolin and Joint Exhibit 12 would be pharmacy records
	8	concerning certain prescriptions, okay?
	9	A. Yes.
10:25:51	10	Q. So before getting and those are Joint Exhibits 11 and
	11	12. Have you prepared some summaries of those in order to take
	12	what might be more volumes information and distill it down to
	13	the bottom line?
	14	A. Yes. Yes, I have.
10:26:10	15	Q. And we've marked as those as Plaintiff's Exhibit 68 and 69
	16	for purposes of our trial, is that correct?
	17	A. Yes.
	18	Q. Is Exhibit 68 a fair and accurate summary of your office
	19	visits with Mr. Dolin?
10:26:23	20	A. Yes, they are.
	21	Q. And is Exhibit 69 a fair and accurate summary of the
	22	prescriptions for SSRI medications?
	23	A. Yes, it is.
	24	Q. Okay. Great.
10:26:38	25	MR. RAPOPORT: At this time, Your Honor, we would like

		Sachman - direct by Rapoport 1665
	1	to move these into 68 and 69 into evidence as summaries of
	2	voluminous material.
	3	THE COURT: They may be received.
	4	MR. RAPOPORT: Thank you, Your Honor.
10:26:48	5	(Plaintiff's Exhibits 68 and 69 were received in
	6	evidence.)
	7	MR. RAPOPORT: Thank you, Your Honor. And I see we're
	8	going to use the Elmo to display these.
	9	Maybe I'll just take some assistance.
10:26:58	10	(Brief pause)
	11	BY MR. RAPAPORT:
	12	Q. All right. We're going to place up the first one, 68. I
	13	know you have a small copy in front of you.
	14	All right. Here we have a summary of your medical
10:27:30	15	records for Mr. Dolin, Joint Exhibit 11. Would you roughly
	16	walk us through that.
	17	A. This is just a summary of office encounters with Stewart
	18	over the course of those 6 years or so.
	19	Most of the time he was just in for a physical exam.
10:27:49	20	He was basically a healthy person. A couple of those visits
	21	were because he was ill with minor illness, and the last entry
	22	is just a note I made of a conversation.
	23	Q. Okay. And that note on July 8th of '10 related to the
	24	Paxil that brings us here today, correct?
10:28:09	25	A. Yes. Yes.

		Sachman - direct by Rapoport 1666
	1	Q. Now, let's go ahead and put 69 up for a moment.
	2	(Exhibit published to the jury.)
	3	BY MR. RAPAPORT:
	4	Q. And 69 is a summary of Joint Exhibit 12 being prescription
10:28:24	5	records from Parkway or is it Parkview drugs?
	6	A. Parkway.
	7	Q. Parkway Drugs. So what are we looking at here?
	8	A. This is a summary of prescriptions that I wrote for Stewart
	9	between '05 and 2010, first was for Paxil and then there's a
10:28:47	10	series of entries for Zoloft, and the last was for Paxil.
	11	Q. And we'll talk in some more detail about this, but just to
	12	zero in.
	13	MR. RAPAPORT: And I'm going to have Mr. Wisner put
	14	his finger on June 27 of '10.
10:28:59	15	(Brief pause).
	16	BY MR. RAPAPORT:
	17	Q. Great. Gets a little green arrow on it.
	18	So that is the prescription
	19	A. Yes.
10:29:10	20	Q that we were talking about today?
	21	A. Yes, it is.
	22	Q. Now, what I'd like to do is take Joint Exhibit 12, and I'm
	23	simply going to rely on the excellent team here, Joint
	24	Exhibit 12.
10:29:23	25	I'm not sure who is going to do that one, but what I'd

		Sachman - direct by Rapoport 1667
	1	like to show is that Paxil record from the pharmacy for
	2	June 27. We'll have it up in a moment.
	3	(Brief pause).
	4	(Exhibit published to the jury.)
10:29:40	5	BY MR. RAPAPORT:
	6	Q. Okay. There we go.
	7	Now, I'm going to blow up so we can all see it.
	8	This is a record from the pharmacy rather than from
	9	your medical office, would that be correct?
10:30:19	10	A. That's correct.
	11	Q. And when you make a prescription, there are various ways
	12	that this would be that this can be communicated to a
	13	pharmacy, is that correct?
	14	A. That's correct.
10:30:30	15	Q. That you were showing me this morning before court, you
	16	actually have a device in your pocket that has something to do
	17	with the whole process of getting certain prescriptions, right?
	18	A. Correct.
	19	Q. Would you show folks what that is.
10:30:45	20	A. This is a little FAB that we use to electronically
	21	prescribe prescriptions. I can e-mail a prescription to a
	22	pharmacy and I hit this little button (indicating) and a random
	23	number comes up, and then I hit a four-coded number, which is
	24	my identification, and we can prescribe controlled substances
10:31:06	25	with this FAB from a distance.

		Sachman - direct by Rapoport 1668
	1	
	1 2	Q. Okay. So it's security like some of us see with banks.
	2 3	A. Right.
	3 4	Q. If you have the right to prescribe meds, this is kind of a
40.04.40	4 5	security system?
10:31:16		A. Exactly.
	6 7	Q. Okay. Now, in your office records, do you have any
	7 。	indication of making a written prescription for the Paxil that
	8	brings us here today?
	9	A. You know, I don't know if it was written or called in.
10:31:31	10	Q. Okay. You really don't remember?
	11	A. I remember the prescription being authorized, though.
	12	Q. Are you aware of any better record of what was ordered by
	13	you on June 27th of '10 other than the one that we have up
	14	here?
10:31:45	15	A. No.
	16	Q. And would you put that all that jargon into plain English
	17	for people and tell us what story that document tells?
	18	A. Well, this highlighted entry is for prescription that I
	19	wrote for Stewart Dolin for Paxil, 10 milligrams. It was for
10:32:01	20	30 tablets and the direction was to take one tablet daily.
	21	Q. All right. Now, did you care whether it was filled with a
	22	generic or the name brand?
	23	A. I did not and he did not.
	24	Q. Is there any difference, that you know of?
10:32:25	25	A. Functionally, no.

1 Q. Now, when was it that he was supposed to begin taking this 2 drug that was filled on June 27th? 3 A. Right. He wasn't going to take this drug until we again 4 I had started him actually on a different SSRI about spoke. 5 ten or so days before, that was Zoloft. And he had taken 10:32:43 Zoloft before and had done well on that. 6 7 This time he didn't feel well. He was nonspecifically 8 just not right, he felt off. And we didn't know what it was, 9 but we thought it might be the Zoloft. So at that time we 10 stopped the Zoloft, I wrote the prescription for Paxil, and 10:33:03 11 instructed him not to take it until we spoke. And then after 12 several days he began to feel better. 13 Q. All right. And so we'll talk about all that in a little 14 more detail, but right now what I've done here is put this 15 other thing with some emphasis from the same drug pharmacy 10:33:19 16 record. What are we looking at there? We can see the date of 17 June 18 of '10. 18 A. This is a different prescription for Zoloft that I 19 20 referenced a second ago. 10:33:36 21 Q. All right. Now, I want to take a sidestep away from care 22 and treatment of Stewart Dolin and talk with you about your own 23 knowledge and history with use of antidepressant medications. 24 So that's the next topic. 25 A. Okay. 10:33:57

1669

		Sachman - direct by Rapoport 1670
	1	Q. So let's take that all the way back to your initial
	2	exposure to antidepressant medications. Would that have been
	3	medical school, internship?
	4	A. Probably early practice, maybe residency.
10:34:15	5	Q. Okay. Now, back in those days, was it common or uncommon
	6	for internal medicine doctors to be giving antidepressant
	7	medications?
	8	A. In the beginning of my career it was much less common.
	9	Q. Who was doing that kind of prescribing?
10:34:34	10	A. Mostly psychiatrists. We referred people much sooner to
	11	psychiatrists in those days, even with people who had more
	12	minor sorry, minor episodes of depression. The drugs
	13	weren't as user friendly, so to speak. We weren't as
	14	comfortable with them. And the drugs out there actually had a
10:34:58	15	lot of other side effects different than SSRIs that made them
	16	more difficult to use.
	17	Q. Now, going back to the early years of your practice with
	18	were you seen very much either depression or anxiety in your
	19	practice?
10:35:16	20	A. Some, I think I think in the later years more, after
	21	several years of practice and in the years, more recent years
	22	there's more, more anxiety, a little more depression. People
	23	seem more stressed, there's more chronic stress in the world,
	24	it seems. People talk about it more freely. So the simple
10:35:39	25	answer is, we see a lot more of it, for sure.

		Sachman - direct by Rapoport 1671
	1	Q. And were you seeing withdraw it.
	2	Can you please explain to folks a little bit, first
	3	with anxiety, how that ranges, you know, from a little to a
	4	lot? How do you think about that in medicine?
10:35:57	5	A. Well, you know, you sort of talk to the patient, you judge
	6	how the patient appears to you in what they tell you.
	7	Anxiety can be just someone saying that they can't
	8	sleep to, you know, to a range where they can't function at
	9	work, where they can't think straight, where they're physically
10:36:18	10	moving around, can't sit still, psychomotor anxiety,
	11	nervousness inside and obvious motion and nervousness on the
	12	outside.
	13	Q. And is there any simple language that can be used to
	14	describe you know, it's really a continuum
10:36:36	15	A. Correct.
	16	Q. You have things that aren't much on one end to things that
	17	are a big deal. And I'm intentionally using just loose lay
	18	language because I want to find out from you what would be more
	19	technical terms for that kind of a continuum when you talk
10:36:53	20	about anxiety.
	21	A. Well, a simple way to term it is simple just nervous, that
	22	word works still in lay terms and in medical terms. And, you
	23	know, chronic stress and anxiety can lead to depression.
	24	There's this term akathisia, which is a psychomotor kind of
10:37:10	25	anxiety, which I referenced, where people are quietly anxious,

		Sachman - direct by Rapoport
		1672
	1	they're emotionally upset, they can't think straight, and they
	2	can't be still, they're moving around, sweaty, can't sit still,
	3	can't be still, can't think straight.
	4	Q. All right. And let's talk about the range of similar sort
10:37:31	5	of a thing, a continuum of depression. You have a lot of
	6	different things under that label, don't you?
	7	A. Yes.
	8	Q. Go ahead and just describe the kind of swing from not much
	9	to a lot there.
10:37:43	10	A. We see patients every day in the office who are depressed
	11	about something, some simple thing, we call that a active
	12	depression where something happens in their life, a situation
	13	or situations that depress them. And these people are not
	14	seriously depressed, they're reacting to a situation. And
10:38:04	15	they're usually easily treated with a medication.
	16	People who are more clinically depressed, who have a
	17	major depressive disorder, act differently. They can't they
	18	tell you different things. They can't sleep, they can't eat.
	19	They're physically ill within a variety of symptoms. And these
10:38:22	20	are people who who shouldn't be treated, I don't believe, by
	21	an internist, who should be referred to a psychiatrist. The
	22	people who have simple reactive depressions are those who
	23	internists can say we treat.
	24	Q. Now, coming back to this sort of change in both your habits
10:38:42	25	and really your profession of internal medicine in particular

		Sachman - direct by Rapoport 1673
	1	where it moved toward more of that being done by internal
	2	medicine or is it fair to say family practice doctors?
	3	A. Yes.
	4	Q. So, roughly, when did that become more common in your life?
10:39:01	5	A. Well, it truly with the advent of the SSRIs coming on
	6	the market.
	7	Q. And you folks here know more than the average person now
	8	about SSRIs. Let's get it, though, from how it came to you in
	9	your real life.
10:39:19	10	So when these drugs and we all know the timeframe
	11	of the early '90s, maybe 1990 or a little bit before for Prozac
	12	and then others. So when you're out in your medical practice,
	13	and back in those days, you know, how many patients would you
	14	be seeing a day on a workday?
10:39:37	15	A. Back in those days, 20'ish, give or take a couple.
	16	Q. And you've always had patients that are hospitalized as
	17	well?
	18	A. Yes.
	19	Q. So your day would, you know, involve some hospital visits
10:39:52	20	sometimes?
	21	A. Correct.
	22	Q. Otherwise, seeing a bunch of patients.
	23	So and other than seeing patients, would you have the
	24	opportunity to see drug representatives that were coming over
10:40:05	25	and telling you about their new drugs?

		Sachman - direct by Rapoport 1674
	1	A. Yes.
	2	Q. Is that a common kind of occurrence in the medical practice
	3	like yours?
	4	A. Very common.
10:40:12	5	Q. And you've been in a few different practice situations, did
	6	that occur in every one of them?
	7	A. Yes.
	8	Q. With respect to Paxil in particular, was GSK sending over
	9	sales representatives over the years to talk with you about
10:40:29	10	Paxil regularly?
	11	A. Yes.
	12	Q. Now, we have marked as exhibit Plaintiff's Exhibit 272,
	13	just a few pages of some of that sort of activity. And you
	14	have a copy of it in front of you as well, is that correct?
10:40:45	15	A. Yes.
	16	THE COURT REPORTER: Counsel, could you move the
	17	microphone closer to you.
	18	MR. RAPAPORT: Sure.
	19	(Brief pause).
	20	MR. RAPAPORT: Let me get to a place where you can
	21	hear me better.
	22	MR. DAVIS: Your Honor, I don't believe there's a
	23	foundation that's been laid for use of this.
	24	THE COURT: THE COURT: I don't know what is ahead of
10:41:17	25	us. I need a few more questions to understand.

		Sachman - direct by Rapoport 1675
	1	MR. WISNER: Yeah, which I was doing.
	2	MR. DAVIS: It wasn't on the screen.
	3	MR. RAPAPORT: Yeah, I wasn't putting up anything on
	4	the screen.
10:41:25	5	BY MR. RAPAPORT:
	6	Q. So with respect to Exhibit 272, you've had a chance to look
	7	at that agreed?
	8	A. Agreed.
	9	Q. And you can see, by looking at it, that it's documentation
10:41:38	10	of various visits from drug representatives from GSK over a
	11	period of time that's described in the exhibit, correct?
	12	A. Yes.
	13	Q. And the period, the first date on there
	14	MR. DAVIS: Excuse me, Mr. Rapoport.
10:41:56	15	Your Honor, I don't believe a foundation has been laid
	16	with this witness to show that this witness has knowledge of
	17	it, can speak to it, or has any background information about
	18	this particular document.
	19	MR. RAPOPORT: I can do better.
10:42:07	20	THE COURT: Well, I haven't seen the document.
	21	Do you have it?
	22	MR. RAPOPORT: Yeah, it's in your book, Your Honor.
	23	It's 272.
	24	THE COURT: Okay.
10:42:17	25	(Brief pause).

		1
		Sachman - direct by Rapoport 1676
	1	MR. RAPOPORT: While you're looking, I'll keep asking
	2	questions.
	3	BY Mr. Rapoport:
	4	Q. So, Doctor, you know from your own personal knowledge about
10:42:31	5	drug reps coming in and the sort of things that happened in the
	6	those discussions, correct?
	7	A. Yes.
	8	Q. You don't keep records necessarily in your own side of this
	9	of how often they come in, right?
10:42:46	10	A. Correct.
	11	Q. But you know sometimes in the early years they buy lunch,
	12	right?
	13	A. Yes.
	14	Q. And some of that kind of thing is a little less nowadays,
10:42:58	15	agreed?
	16	A. Correct.
	17	Q. But there are drug reps that are coming into your office
	18	fairly constantly, are they not?
	19	A. Yes.
10:43:05	20	Q. And you would understand that these drug reps are reporting
	21	back to the mother ship things like how often they saw you,
	22	right?
	23	A. Correct.
	24	Q. Like how many free samples they gave you, right?
10:43:16	25	A. Right.

		Sachman - direct by Rapoport 1677
	1	Q. And in looking at this record, you didn't prepare this
	2	record, agreed?
	3	A. Agreed.
	4	Q. This is a record that I've represented to you was produced
10:43:25	5	by GSK in this lawsuit, right?
	6	A. Yes.
	7	Q. Do you have any reason to think that GSK would be
	8	inaccurately reporting how often they sent a sale rep in to see
	9	you?
10:43:38	10	A. No.
	11	MR. RAPOPORT: Your Honor, at this time I move to
	12	admit Exhibit 272 into evidence.
	13	MR. BAYMAN: I still don't believe they've laid the
	14	foundation for this witness to talk about anything on that
10:43:49	15	particular document, Your Honor.
	16	THE COURT: These are the notes of the salesman as and
	17	distinguished form the doctor's own notes, aren't they?
	18	Mr. Rapoport: They are, but they also record when the
	19	person was there and how many samples were left, which is what
10:43:59	20	I'm about
	21	MR. DAVIS: I don't believe they need that particular
	22	document to ask those particular questions and they still
	23	haven't laid the foundation that this witness can speak to
	24	what's on this particular document.
10:44:08	25	THE COURT: Well, ask the witness questions and use
		Sachman - direct by Rapoport 1678
----------	----	---
	1	
	1	this to refresh his recollection if you want to, but he didn't
	2	prepare it, it's not his record, sir.
	3	MR. RAPOPORT: Yeah, totally agreed.
	4	BY Mr. Rapoport:
10:44:20	5	Q. Let's just go through it.
	6	So you have it in front of you, correct?
	7	A. Yes.
	8	Q. All right. So the total period of time covered by this is
	9	from October 5th of '05 oh, excuse me. I started in the
10:44:31	10	wrong place.
	11	MR. DAVIS: Mr. Rapoport, excuse me.
	12	Your Honor, to refresh recollection, I believe the
	13	witness gets the document, then the witness the document is
	14	taken away after a witness's recollection is refreshed, and
10:44:49	15	then the witness testifies.
	16	MR. RAPOPORT: Your Honor, I'll move on. I'll move.
	17	I don't blame him for not wanting to know how many samples they
	18	give.
	19	MR. DAVIS: Your Honor, Mr. Rapoport is free to ask
10:44:59	20	that question of the witness and the witness can testify from
	21	his own personal knowledge.
	22	THE COURT: Proceed.
	23	MR. DAVIS: Thank you.
	24	MR. RAPOPORT: Thank you.
10:45:09	25	BY Mr. Rapoport:
10.43.08	20	

		Sachman - direct by Rapoport
		1679
	1	Q. All right. So with regard to this particular document,
	2	have you had a chance to see the timeframe that it covers?
	3	A. Yes, I have.
	4	Q. The first date on there is January 8th of 2004, is that
10:45:23	5	correct?
	6	A. Yes.
	7	MR. DAVIS: Your Honor, I don't mean to belabor this,
	8	but there's still no foundation laid of this witness needs this
	9	document to refresh his recollection or has personal knowledge
10:45:38	10	of the contents.
	11	THE COURT: Proceed.
	12	MR. DAVIS: Thank you, Your Honor.
	13	MR. RAPOPORT: Thank you.
	14	BY Mr. Rapoport:
10:45:43	15	Q. The last date covered by this particular exhibit is
	16	August 30th of 2006, correct?
	17	A. Yes, it is.
	18	Q. And if we just eyeball the first page of it, there are a
	19	dozen different visits documented on that page, correct?
10:46:07	20	A. Yes.
	21	Q. And if we go down, the thing is 5 pages long, correct?
	22	A. Yes.
	23	Q. And page 2 and 3 and 4 all have about a dozen entries on
	24	it, don't they?
10:46:25	25	A. Yes.

		Sachman - direct by Rapoport 1680
	1	Q. And the fifth page has only 3 entries, agreed?
	2	A. Agreed.
	3	Q. Now, various of these entries document that you were left
	4	samples of Paxil, correct?
10:46:39	5	A. Yes.
	6	Q. So, for example, the first documented thing here says that
	7	you were left 8 packages, 7 pills each
	8	MR. DAVIS: Objection. Leading, Your Honor.
	9	THE COURT: All right. The objection is sustained.
10:46:54	10	Mr. Rapoport: Okay.
	11	THE COURT: I understand that this is taken from Mr.
	12	Obenland's notes, otherwise to do this.
	13	Mr. Rapoport: Okay.
	14	THE COURT: To ask the witness whether he agrees with
10:47:05	15	it or not is really not important. It's what's shown in the
	16	record and not whether he agrees or disagrees. So the
	17	objection is sustained. Proceed, please.
	18	BY Mr. Rapoport:
	19	Q. The point and, you know, maybe get at that, is over the
10:47:19	20	period from 1992 through the time that Mr. Dolin died, you were
	21	regularly receiving free samples of Paxil from GSK, correct?
	22	A. Yes.
	23	Q. They typically came what kind of packages were these?
	24	A. Yes, I remember they were in boxes, small boxes with
10:47:43	25	tablets in them.

		Sachman - direct by Rapoport 1681
	1	Q. Typically a one-week supply?
	2	A. Often.
	3	Q. And what were you being encouraged to do with these?
	4	A. Well, you're encouraged to start a patient on the drug and
10:47:55	5	start them off with samples.
	6	Q. Now, you first had an opportunity to see a label for Paxil
	7	use right when the drug came out, would that be correct?
	8	A. Yes.
	9	Q. And we have marked the Paxil label from 1992 as Plaintiff's
10:48:18	10	Exhibit 48. And it's already in evidence in our case.
	11	So would you did you have occasion to read that
	12	recently?
	13	A. Yes; recently.
	14	Q. Now, sir, did that label have any warning in it that
10:48:39	15	informed you, as a treating doctor, that there was a risk of
	16	drug-induced suicide that had been discovered in the clinical
	17	trials of Paxil?
	18	A. No.
	19	Q. Now, I want to just call your attention to the label that
10:48:54	20	was in effect when on June 27th of '10 when you wrote the
	21	prescription for Stewart Dolin.
	22	You've had a chance to look that label over, have you
	23	not?
	24	A. Oh, yes.
10:49:05	25	Q. Was there any warning in that label that told you that

		Sachman - direct by Rapoport 1682
	1	people over 24 were at risk of a drug-induced suicide from
	2	Paxil?
	3	A. Absolutely not.
	4	Q. Would you if you knew that, would you have ever
10:49:26	5	prescribed Paxil for Mr. Dolin?
	6	A. Absolutely no.
	7	Q. Why not?
	8	A. Because I wouldn't have wanted to risk that ultimate side
	9	effect with that drug. There were other choices I could've
10:49:37	10	used.
	11	Q. All right. Now, let's go ahead, and I'm going to put up
	12	again the board that shows various prescriptions of SSRI's that
	13	you gave to Mr. Dolin and the next thing I'd like to do is walk
	14	through that with you.
10:49:55	15	And while that is being set up, I want to ask
	16	something different. Let's hypothetically assume that I was a
	17	patient in your office, but that it's 2010, and that you've
	18	talked to me and you formed an impression that I had some mild
	19	to moderate anxiety, you did not believe that I was depressed,
10:50:20	20	and you had decided that you were going to recommend Paxil.
	21	Got the scenario?
	22	A. Yeah.
	23	Q. If you would, please recreate in the courtroom, as if we're
	24	all sitting there in your office watching this interaction
10:50:34	25	between you and I, tell me what it is, based on your usually

customs and practices, that you would've explained to me about
 that drug.

3 A. Well --

10:50:47

Q. Based on what you knew then, not what you know now.
A. Yes. You know, we'd have discussion on what the issues
were. I would access the level of anxiety that you may have.
And explain to you that drugs like Paxil are very good for
anxiety. I would explain to you how the drug worked and what
to expect in the initial days of treatment.

10 I would say to you that you could feel a little more 10:51:07 11 You could even feel a little depressed even if you anxious. weren't depressed to start with. You could have some physical 12 13 symptoms, headache, nausea, diarrhea. And I would instruct you 14 to call me if there's any symptom at all that's different. 15 Q. All right. And coming back briefly to the 1992 label, was 10:51:26 16 there anything in that label that told you that people were, 17 anybody of any -- people were at an increased risk of suicidal 18 behavior--in other words, before I asked you about suicide but now I'm including suicidal behavior, attempts--was there 19 20 anything in there that warned you that people were at an 10:51:49 21 increased risk of suicide attempts in the '92 label? 22 Α. No. Because of the drug. 23 Q. 24 Α. No.

10:51:59

25 Q. And similar question for the 2010 label. Was there

1683

anything in the 2010 label that told you, as a doctor, that
people above 24 in that label were at an increased risk of
suicide attempts?

4 A. Not at all.

10:52:12
Q. All right. Now, have you told us everything that in a
normal discussion with a patient you would've -- and the
setting we described, clinically, that you would've told them
about the whole discussion of recommending Paxil?

9 A. I think so. And in a patient who I would access as mildly
10 anxious, I think that was a fair summary of what I would talk
11 to them about.

12 Q. All right. And at the other end of the spectrum, if you 13 had somebody in your office that you thought was horribly 14 depressed and at risk in a big way, how did you deal with that? 15 A. Well, what I would do and what I have done, as I would make 16 an immediate referral to a psychiatrist, call him on the phone, 17 get the person an appointment. Refer a patient to an emergency 18 room if I felt the situation was really imminent. You react to 19 the situation and do what you need to do to protect the patient 20 based on your best assessment.

Q. All right. Now let's go ahead and display I think it's
Exhibit 69. And let's walk through these prescriptions of
SSRIs for Stu Dolin in the order that they are on the board.

24 So going back to October 3rd of 2005, the prescription 25 record, same kind that we've looked at, but this is simplified,

10:52:36

10:52:54

10:53:14

10:53:41

		Sachman - direct by Rapoport
		1685
	1	would show that you prescribed 10 milligrams of Paxil, and that
	2	this was refilled by Mr. Dolin until the last refill, 10/29/06,
	3	correct?
	4	A. Yes. Sorry, yes.
10:54:00	5	Q. So about a year, a little bit more than a year, almost
	6	13 months.
	7	Please tell the jury everything you can remember about
	8	what triggered that prescription.
	9	A. Right. So I was hoping you'd ask me that. Stewart was an
10:54:16	10	extremely successful attorney. He was a mentor in his firm.
	11	He worked on cases and at times he had a lot of stress related
	12	to his work. He was he was over the top sometimes in terms
	13	of how busy he was.
	14	And he had recurrent situational anxiety. And it just
10:54:42	15	turned out that these drugs used in short increments always
	16	helped him. Got him through the anxiety period and he went
	17	back to functioning just fine without any medication.
	18	Q. Okay. So that jumped ahead and kind of covered a whole lot
	19	of it, and that's okay, but let's break it up a little bit
10:55:00	20	more.
	21	So this one on October 3rd of '05, do you have a
	22	definitive memory of that yourself or is that a vague thing in
	23	your past?
	24	A. No, I don't have a definitive memory of that particular
10:55:15	25	prescription or date, but I have a definitive memory of all the

		Sachman - direct by Rapoport
		1686
	1	things that went on with my best friend.
	2	Q. Yes. And so let's start with what diagnosis you would have
	3	had, if anything, that led to the prescription of Paxil back in
	4	October of '05.
10:55:32	5	A. It would be a recurrent scenario kind of, where he would be
	6	anxious because of pressures at work. It was always pressure
	7	at work, too many things going on at once, and he would become
	8	increasingly anxious about those kinds of things.
	9	Q. And how did that work out the first time with Paxil?
10:55:55	10	A. He did very well.
	11	Q. Fair enough.
	12	Now, what then I see we have a gap of not quite a
	13	year, but there's a prescription of Zoloft for the first time
	14	in June of '07 for 50 milligrams a day. Tell us about that.
10:56:11	15	A. It was it was most likely a similar situation. Time
	16	management, stresses at work. I can't exactly tell you, in all
	17	honesty, why we used Zoloft that date rather than Paxil, but,
	18	again, he had a good response to it.
	19	Q. All right. And with respect to the documentation in your
10:56:35	20	medical records, tell us a little bit about what's in there and
	21	what's not in there with respect to the anxiety situation that
	22	you were treating.
	23	A. Well, I think you're referring to documentation in my
	24	record.
10:56:54	25	Q. Yeah.

	1	A. My record may be lacking in document in Stewart's case more
	2	so than documenting an average patient, because I had a lot of
	3	personal contact with him that may not be entered into the
	4	record. We talked about these situations socially on a weekend
10:57:14	5	often and the results of those conversations often didn't make
	6	it back to the chart.
	7	Q. Okay. So is there any better record than the pharmacy
	8	record for indicating what prescriptions you did write for
	9	SSRIs?
10:57:29	10	A. No, this is very accurate. As my recollection is, I don't
	11	think they used any other pharmacy. That was their
	12	neighborhood pharmacy.
	13	Q. Now, do you they're called antidepressants, is that a
	14	fair label for what these things are?
10:57:47	15	A. You know, it's a it's fair to a point. I and a lot of
	16	us, others like me, use these for anxiety even more than
	17	depression. And they work well for that also. I never thought
	18	Stewart was depressed in any of these instances, from '05 up
	19	through 2010. It was always anxiety and he responded as
10:58:14	20	situation in which an anxious person would.
	21	Q. Now, in looking in retrospect, obviously in real time you
	22	were doing these things one day at a time, but looking at it in
	23	retrospect, it appears to be an on and off thing with these
	24	meds, right?
10:58:31	25	A. Correct.

		Sachman - direct by Rapoport 1688
	1	Q. What's that about? Tell us about that.
	2	A. I think it's more of the same, Mr. Rapoport. Periods where
	3	he would be a little bit more overwhelmed with work, his
	4	responsibilities, and these were very effective for him in
10:58:49	5	helping him.
	6	Q. And when he would get off, what would be either your
	7	considerations or his in moments in time that are shown in the
	8	right-hand column where he got off?
	9	A. He would he would tell me that things were quieting
10:59:04	10	down, he felt much better. And we would just wean him off
	11	slowly and make sure he had no withdrawal from stopping the
	12	drug and making sure he had no rebound anxiety. And he was
	13	able to come off each time for a period.
	14	Q. Okay. And was it either your preference or his that if
10:59:24	15	things are going fine, that he be off the meds instead of on
	16	them?
	17	A. It was mostly his call.
	18	Q. All right.
	19	A. Can I there was a caveat. I want to say that there was
10:59:36	20	maybe a time or two when I kind of overruled him a little bit.
	21	If things are better for a week, you don't make a rash
	22	adjustment to stop a medication. You make sure they stay okay
	23	for a little bit. Patients are always anxious to get off
	24	medication. Sometimes you just have to slow things down and
10:59:55	25	make sure they're ready.

		Sachman - direct by Rapoport 1689
	1	Q. So if I understand that correctly then, when we look at the
	2	right-hand column where the medications were stopped at various
	3	points, these would be moments in time where you and he were in
	4	agreement that he should get off the med?
11:00:13	5	A. For sure.
	6	Q. But the other dates reflect dates where you believe he
	7	should be on the med, and, in some instances, he might've
	8	wanted to come off it sooner?
	9	A. Yeah, but he was he was pretty agreeable to to my
11:00:28	10	advice.
	11	Q. Okay. So let's talk some, then, about your record, your
	12	note on July 8th of 2010, which is found in Exhibit 11, I
	13	believe.
	14	(Brief pause).
11:01:05	15	BY Mr. Rapoport:
	16	Q. Is that the correct entry, then, July 11th of '10?
	17	A. Yes.
	18	Q. Now, the first thing that I would like for you to do is
	19	just read what that says, but convert any abbreviations into
11:01:27	20	full English so that we can understand what's written here.
	21	A. Okay. So the date is 7/8/10. "Tel" indicates a telephone
	22	entry, telephone conversation:
	23	" patient with increased work-related anxiety
	24	did well on Paxil in the past."
11:01:48	25	It says:

		Sachman - direct by Rapoport 1690
	1	"Paxil 10 milligrams daily. Call if problems.
	2	Call in 10 to 14 days regardless."
	3	Q. Okay. Now, on July 27th, we've already seen that a
	4	prescription was given by you or on your authority to Parkway
11:02:11	5	Drugs for the very same thing that is documented here, correct?
	6	A. Yes.
	7	Q. And walk us through. You may have touched upon this before
	8	but a little slower, walk us through what led up to the
	9	prescription on June 27th and why this entry is in here for
11:02:29	10	July 8th.
	11	A. What I said before, and maybe I jumped the gun, was that I
	12	had originally prescribed Zoloft at this particular period for
	13	him. He wasn't feeling well, very nonspecifically. More
	14	physical than emotional, but couldn't put his finger on. So we
11:02:49	15	just stopped the Zoloft thinking maybe it was a reaction to the
	16	Zoloft.
	17	At the same time I gave him a prescription for Paxil,
	18	10 milligrams, and told him not to start it and that we would
	19	decide together when to start the Paxil.
11:03:02	20	He was off the Zoloft. And on this particular date,
	21	we talked. Having been off the Zoloft for 10, 12, 14 days, I
	22	thought it was safe to start the Paxil, and that's what this
	23	reflects.
	24	Q. Okay. And so is it your well, in reflecting back on
11:03:22	25	July 8th, do you believe that you spoke to Mr. Dolin that day?

		Sachman - direct by Rapoport 1691
	1	A. Yes.
	2	Q. And do you have a specific memory of what you said and what
	3	he said or are you deriving this from your usual procedures in
	4	what you believe most likely happened?
11:03:43	5	A. No, I think I think I remember talking to him.
	6	Q. Okay.
	7	A. He you know, he was feeling okay physically and I told
	8	him to start the Paxil. And I reminded him of my usual
	9	instructions to patients, that if he begins to feel worse in
11:04:02	10	any way, physically or emotionally, he should call me right
	11	away. If not, I said, call me in 10 to 14 days.
	12	Q. Okay?
	13	A. Now, it's possible I would've talked to him before 10 to
	14	14 days, but at least for professionally to document, at least
11:04:17	15	in that period.
	16	Q. Okay. So in a sense, he already had the pills because he
	17	filled the prescription on June 27th and this is the thumbs up
	18	to okay and start.
	19	A. To go ahead and start that prescription.
11:04:28	20	Q. Now, the records that are in evidence already, but the jury
	21	hasn't had a chance to see them, contain an entry by
	22	Dr. Sahlstrom that is dated, I believe, July 12th that
	23	indicates that he started taking the Paxil the Saturday
	24	before
11:04:50	25	MR. DAVIS: Excuse me, Mr. Rapoport.

		Sachman - direct by Rapoport 1692
	1	MR. RAPOPORT: I withdraw the question.
	2	MR. DAVIS: Your Honor, I believe there's no
	3	foundation for that question.
	4	MR. RAPOPORT: It's a withdrawn question.
11:04:57	5	MR. DAVIS: Thank you.
	6	BY Mr. Rapoport:
	7	Q. If Mr. Dolin started taking the pills on July 10th instead
	8	of July 8th, would that have been any problem you with?
	9	A. Two days later?
11:05:10	10	Q. Right.
	11	A. Of course not.
	12	Q. And why not?
	13	A. Well, the the the point in in not allowing him to
	14	start the Paxil right on top of the Zoloft, we always want a
11:05:25	15	washout period and not use two SSRIs at the same time. So any
	16	time he would start after an adequate washout period would be
	17	safe.
	18	Q. All right. Let's move forward in time then, from July 8th
	19	of 2010, to the next time that you remember seeing or talking
11:05:44	20	with Mr. Dolin.
	21	A. That was probably two days before his death.
	22	Q. All right. So his death was on July 15th, a Thursday.
	23	A. Yes.
	24	${\tt Q}$ . And what were the circumstances that brought you together
11:05:58	25	that Tuesday night?

		Sachman - direct by Rapoport 1693
	1	A. We had gone to a mutual friend's father's memorial service.
	2	He, Wendy and I were there, my wife was out of town at a
	3	meeting. So we spent some time there and then we went to
	4	dinner.
11:06:15	5	Q. All right. And please describe, to the best of your
	6	ability, what you remember about Mr. Dolin from that night.
	7	A. Ah, there was really nothing special about that night in
	8	terms of his he was just Stu. His demeanor was as usual,
	9	our conversation was as usual. He was calm. I was I had
11:06:36	10	some issues, some administrative issues in my office and I was
	11	trying to pick his brain for some advice.
	12	Q. Okay. Different topic: I want to zero in for a few
	13	minutes on each member of Mr. Dolin's immediate family
	14	THE COURT: This was July 12th that you saw him?
11:06:55	15	THE WITNESS: 13th, sir.
	16	THE COURT: 13th. Okay. That was the last time you
	17	saw him?
	18	THE WITNESS: Yes.
	19	BY Mr. Rapoport:
11:07:02	20	Q. I want to zero in on some different issues and focus
	21	initially on Wendy.
	22	Please tell the folks what kind of husband Stewart was
	23	to Wendy.
	24	A. He you know he by nature, Stu was just a very loving
11:07:19	25	guy. He was very, very supportive of Wendy and his kids. They









		Sachman - direct by Rapoport 1698
	1	
	2	
	3	
	4	
11:31:15	5	
	6	
	7	(The following proceedings were had in the
	8	presence of the jury in open court:)
	9	THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much, ladies
11:32:35	10	and gentlemen. Please be seated. And we will resume.
	11	You may proceed, sir.
	12	BY Mr. Rapoport:
	13	Q. All right. Before the break we were talking about the
	14	impact from your observations of the loss of Mr. Dolin on his
11:32:48	15	wife.
	16	A. Yes.
	17	Q. Now, did you complete your thoughts about that?
	18	A. I think so.
	19	Q. Okay. Great. Then I want to ask the same question for
11:32:56	20	Zack and for Bari, which one would you prefer to start with?
	21	A. Either.
	22	Q. Okay. Take your choice and go ahead.
	23	A. Well, you know, it's hard to separate them. Stu was very
	24	involved with both of his kids. They both looked up to him.
11:33:12	25	He was an advisor to both of them. A father, an advisor, a

		1699
	1	supporter. They're sort of making their way without him.
	2	Wendy is doing a great job, as best she can, on her own.
	3	Q. What is it that your group of friends do, if anything, to
	4	try to help this family?
11:33:34	5	A. Well, I am fortunate to be involved with a great group of
	6	people, as is Wendy. She has a large group of friends and
	7	family. And we're very well supportive of her because we love
	8	her. She is a very generous, giving person. And we all sort
	9	of make sure she's as best she can be.
11:33:55	10	Q. Let me ask you a few questions about what you know, if
	11	anything, about the talk therapy that Stewart was getting over
	12	the years.
	13	A. I do not know much about it.
	14	Q. Tell us everything you did know about it.
11:34:08	15	A. I knew at various times he was talking to him. I honestly
	16	don't recall knowing their names. I don't recall being told
	17	any of the content of the visits, to be hones.
	18	Q. Okay. And you were generally aware that he was seeing some
	19	people for
11:34:21	20	A. Yes, in a very remote way.
	21	Q. Okay. Now, please tell us a little bit about the privacy
	22	aspect of patients who are either getting talk therapy or who
	23	are on antidepressant medications.
	24	A. Well, unless there are specific permission to talk to the
11:34:45	25	therapist, the therapist talk to the internist and vice versa,

		Sachman - direct by Rapoport 1700
	1	not much communication happens, in general, between the
	2	psychotherapist and the internist.
	3	Q. Did you feel well in touch with Mr. Dolin during the time
	4	that you were both his friend and his doctor?
11:35:04	5	A. I did. I thought I knew him very well.
	6	Q. Are you comfortable with your own judgments throughout?
	7	A. Yes.
	8	Q. What was your reaction when you heard what happened to him?
	9	A. Absolute shock.
11:35:16	10	Q. Why? Tell us about it.
	11	A. Because this situation this particular time was no
	12	different than any of the others, in my mind. He was going
	13	through one of his stress periods because of his work
	14	responsibilities. He seemed to be getting through it like he
11:35:34	15	did the other times. At no time did I ever judge him to be
	16	depressed in any way. He was anxious. Something I become
	17	I'd become to be used to with Stu and I knew it would pass
	18	again.
	19	Q. Doctor, please tell the jury what the importance is of
11:35:53	20	honesty by pharmaceutical companies in their labels that
	21	communicate to people like you.
	22	A. Well, you know, physicians, myself and millions of other
	23	physicians, every day try to protect people, try to help people
	24	and protect them. We rely on truth and honesty from
11:36:14	25	pharmaceutical companies and to falsify information or hold

		Sachman - direct by Rapoport 1701
	1	back information is totally criminal. It affects the lives of
	2	our patients.
	3	MR. BAYMAN: Your Honor, I move to strike the last
	4	comment about some kind of criminality.
11:36:28	5	THE COURT: That may go out.
	6	MR. DAVIS: Ask the jury to disregard.
	7	THE COURT: That may go out.
	8	MR. DAVIS: Thank you.
	9	THE COURT: Proceed.
11:36:32	10	BY Mr. Rapoport:
	11	Q. If you were not done, please proceed, otherwise
	12	A. No, I just think that we depend on honesty in reporting
	13	research and data. How can we treat people effectively and
	14	safely if we can't depend on that.
11:36:47	15	Q. Doctor, just a couple of last questions here. That's a
	16	nice tie you're wearing, tell us about it.
	17	A. It was Stewart's (crying).
	18	Q. Why are you wearing that?
	19	A. As a memory.
11:36:59	20	Q. I'm sorry?
	21	A. Just to remember him today (crying). Sorry.
	22	Q. Do you have anything else of his on you?
	23	A. Belt.
	24	Q. You were his best friend. Are you putting spin on your
11:37:16	25	testimony here to try to help him or just telling the truth?

		Sachman – cross by Davis 1702
	1	A. Just the truth. There's nothing to it's very simple
	2	truth (crying).
	3	MR. RAPOPORT: Thank you very much. I don't have any
	4	other questions. Thank you.
11:37:54	5	MR. DAVIS: MR. DAVIS: Your Honor, may I approach?
	6	THE COURT: Yes. To my law clerk.
	7	(Exhibits tendered to the Court.)
	8	MR. DAVIS: Handing a notebook of exhibits, as well as
	9	some slides that may be used with Dr. Sachman.
11:38:09	10	(Brief pause).
	11	MR. DAVIS: May I approach the witness, Your Honor?
	12	THE COURT: Yes, sir.
	13	MR. DAVIS: Thank you.
	14	(Binder tendered to the witness).
11:37:27	15	CROSS EXAMINATION
	16	BY MR. DAVIS:
	17	Q. Dr. Sachman, do you need water or anything else before we
	18	start?
	19	A. No. Thank you.
11:38:26	20	Q. Here are a notebook that I'm going to ask you some
	21	questions about.
	22	(Binder tendered to the witness).
	23	BY MR. DAVIS:
	24	Q. Good afternoon good morning.
11:38:34	25	A. Hi.

		Sachman - cross by Davis
		1703
	1	Q. My name is Todd Davis. You and I met at your deposition
	2	some time ago, do you remember that?
	3	A. Yes.
	4	Q. Nice to see you again, Dr. Sachman.
11:38:42	5	A. You too.
	6	Q. Let's talk a little bit about the time period that you
	7	prescribed Paroxetine for Stewart Dolin.
	8	The first record of any prescription that you wrote
	9	for Mr. Dolin for either Paxil or Paroxetine is October 3,
11:38:58	10	2005, right?
	11	A. Yes.
	12	Q. Okay. And if you need help in referring to the
	13	(Brief pause).
	14	MR. DAVIS: I think you took my notebook.
11:39:29	15	MR. WISNER: Oh, is this yours (indicating)?
	16	MR. DAVIS: Yeah, I think you took my notebook.
	17	MR. WISNER: I'm sorry.
	18	MR. DAVIS: Sorry about that. I looked and it had
	19	disappeared.
11:39:36	20	MR. WISNER: Sorry.
	21	BY MR. DAVIS:
	22	Q. If you need help referring to the pharmacy records, they're
	23	behind Tab 1, okay?
	24	A. I got them.
11:39:43	25	Q. Your medical records that have been marked as a Joint

		Sachman – cross by Davis 1704
	1	Exhibit are behind Tab 2. I'm going to try to walk you through
	2	some of these records. And I'll refer you to the tab and I'll
	3	refer you to the Joint Exhibit number, all right.
	4	Okay. So the first time that you wrote Paxil or
11:40:04	5	Paroxetine prescription for Mr. Dolin was October 3, 2005,
	6	right?
	7	A. Yes.
	8	Q. And that prescription was for 10 milligrams per day, right?
	9	A. Yes.
11:40:16	10	Q. All the prescriptions that you wrote for Mr. Dolin for
	11	Paroxetine were for 10 milligrams per day, right?
	12	A. That could be. I don't know.
	13	Q. I'm sorry?
	14	A. I I imagine so. I don't know, though, that offhand.
11:40:29	15	Q. Why don't you look
	16	A. This prescription was for 10 milligrams.
	17	Q. Why don't you look behind Tab 1, which is Joint Exhibit 12.
	18	If you go to the very
	19	MR. DAVIS: Could we pull that up.
11:40:46	20	(Exhibit published to the jury.)
	21	BY MR. DAVIS:
	22	Q. It's Joint Exhibit 12. And if you go to page JX12-014.
	23	A. Got it.
	24	Q. Second to the last page.
11:41:13	25	Do you see on the left-hand side there, in this Joint

		Sachman - cross by Davis 1705
	1	Exhibit you see on the left-hand side there's a whole
	2	listing of Paroxetine prescriptions that Mr. Dolin filled? Do
	3	you see that?
	4	A. Yes.
11:41:27	5	Q. And all of those are 10 milligrams, right?
	6	A. Yes, they are.
	7	Q. And if turn to the very first page of Exhibit 12, which is
	8	the prescription that you wrote on June that you wrote on
	9	June 27th, 2010, that was also for 10 milligrams, right?
11:41:45	10	A. Yes.
	11	Q. Okay. And you're not aware of another prescription for Mr.
	12	Dolin for Paxil or Paroxetine that was higher than 10
	13	milligrams, are you?
	14	A. No.
11:41:54	15	Q. All right. Thank you.
	16	You prescribed Paroxetine in October of 2005 to Mr.
	17	Dolin because his primary symptom was anxiety, and Paxil had a
	18	specific indication for general anxiety disorder, right?
	19	A. Yes.
11:42:10	20	Q. And you thought it would be a good medication for him,
	21	given what he was suffering from?
	22	A. Correct.
	23	Q. And you told the jury that Paxil was very good for anxiety,
	24	right?
11:42:22	25	A. Yes.

		Sachman – cross by Davis 1706
	1	Q. And when initiated Paroxetine treatment for Mr. Dolin in
	2	October of 2005, you believed that the pressures he was having
	2	at work were becoming, as you put it, more than he could handle
	4	without help, correct?
11:42:38	5	A. Correct.
11.42.30	6	Q. And despite his success that you told the jury about,
	7	despite his success as a lawyer, he still took antianxiety
	8	medication, right?
	9	A. Correct.
11:42:58	10	Q. Now, if you look at the Elmo, please. If I could turn your
	11	attention back to Plaintiff's Exhibit PTX069.
	12	Do you see this particular document that you were
	13	shown by Mr. Rapoport?
	14	A. Yes.
11:43:14	15	Q. Now, this lists prescriptions that Mr. Dolin filled for
	16	either generic Paroxetine or generic sertraline?
	17	A. Yes.
	18	Q. This is not the prescription Mr. Dolin filled, is it?
	19	There's more?
11:43:34	20	A. Would there be prescriptions in order to fill that period
	21	of time.
	22	Q. Sure. For example, what's not listed on here is all the
	23	refills that Mr. Dolin had for both Paroxetine and sertraline,
	24	right?
11:43:46	25	A. Right. It's obvious. Right.

		Sachman - cross by Davis 1707
	1	Q. Let me talk a little bit about those refills, if we can.
	2	Well, let me back up. After you prescribed Paroxetine
	3	for Mr. Dolin on October 3, 2005, you saw him 12 days later in
	4	the office, right?
11:44:06	5	A. I have to look.
	6	BY MR. BAYMAN:
	7	Q. I think if you turn to PTX 068.
	8	A. Yes.
	9	Q. Right here (indicating)?
11:44:24	10	A. That's correct.
	11	Q. He had an annual physical, right?
	12	A. Right.
	13	Q. And that took place on October 16th?
	14	A. Correct.
11:44:28	15	Q. And it's actually 2003, not 2004, right excuse me.
	16	2004. I'm sorry, let me back up.
	17	A. 2004 is correct.
	18	Q. I'm sorry. October 15, 2005, is the 12 days after you
	19	prescribed Paroxetine to Mr. Dolin, right?
11:44:46	20	A. Right.
	21	Q. That one right there (indicating).
	22	And at that visit, after Mr. Dolin is on the
	23	medication for 12 days, he reports to you that he's feeling
	24	fine?
11:44:57	25	A. He was feeling better.

		Sachman - cross by Davis 1708
	1	Q. Yes?
	2	A. Yes.
	3	Q. Okay. And he did not report to you that after starting
	4	Paroxetine, that he had any problems or side effects from the
11:45:18	5	medication at that visit, did he?
	6	A. He did not.
	7	Q. Yeah. And if we look at if you go back to Joint
	8	Exhibit 12, which is the pharmacy records, and you turn back to
	9	the page we looked at earlier, JX12-014, which is the listing
11:45:36	10	of all the Paroxetine prescriptions, right?
	11	MR. DAVIS: If you can pull that up, Mr. Holtzen.
	12	BY THE WITNESS:
	13	A. Yes.
	14	BY MR. DAVIS:
11:45:44	15	Q. We count here that he had he refilled the medication 30
	16	pills each time, right? On each
	17	A. Yes.
	18	Q. And he refilled it 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12
	19	additional times, correct?
11:46:03	20	A. (Nodding.)
	21	Q. Yes?
	22	A. Correct. Sorry.
	23	Q. And so for the total number of pills, Paroxetine pills that
	24	Mr. Dolin would've taken per these prescriptions, would've been
11:46:11	25	150 pills, right?

		Sachman - cross by Davis 1709
	1	A. Taken for 12 months.
	2	Q. Yes?
	3	A. Yes.
	4	Q. And at no time during that 150 pill-taking by Mr. Dolin,
11:46:23	5	did he report to you that he had any problems or side effects
	6	from Paroxetine?
	7	A. Right.
	8	Q. I'm sorry?
	9	A. Yes, he did not.
11:46:30	10	Q. Thank you.
	11	If we can go back to Joint Exhibit 12
	12	MR. RAPOPORT: Your Honor, I hate to object, but the
	13	year still has 365 days and I don't think it's 150.
	14	THE COURT: Proceed.
11:46:45	15	BY MR. DAVIS:
	16	Q. I'm sorry. My math may be a little bit off. If we have
	17	30 we have 30 tablets times 13 prescriptions, that's
	18	actually 390 days, right?
	19	A. Yes, it is.
11:46:58	20	Q. So he takes Paroxetine for over a year and he doesn't
	21	report any problems or side effects, right?
	22	A. Right.
	23	Q. Okay.
	24	MR. DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Rapoport, for fixing my
11:47:13	25	math.

		Sachman - cross by Davis 1710
	1	BY MR. DAVIS:
	2	Q. And we go to this prescription history, look at the one
	3	where he fills a prescription on April 1, 2006.
	4	MR. DAVIS: Can you put that up, Mr. Holtzen.
11:47:30	5	BY MR. DAVIS:
	6	Q. Do you see that where he fills the prescription?
	7	Do you see that?
	8	A. Which day are you talking about?
	9	Q. If you look 7 down from the top, you see there's a
11:47:44	10	prescription that he fills of Paroxetine, 10 milligrams, on
	11	April 1, 2006, right?
	12	A. Right.
	13	Q. Yes?
	14	A. Yes.
11:47:51	15	Q. Okay. And then the next refill of pills is on May 6, 2006,
	16	right?
	17	A. Yes.
	18	Q. And so if Mr. Dolin took Paroxetine as prescribed, he
	19	would've been off the medication for about 4 days, right,
11:48:06	20	between the refills?
	21	A. It if he actually ran out of pills. I mean yes, it
	22	looks that way.
	23	Q. Yes. And let's take a look at the August 27, 2006, refill
	24	of 30 pills that's also there.
11:48:21	25	Do you see that?

		Sachman - cross by Davis 1711
	1	A. Yes.
	2	Q. Okay. And his 30 pills of 10 milligrams per day would have
	3	run out on September 26th, 2006, if he took it as prescribed,
	4	one pill a day?
11:48:35	5	A. Would you say the date again, please?
	6	Q. His pills of 10 milligrams per day would have run out on
	7	September 26, 2006, if he took the one pill per day as
	8	prescribed?
	9	A. Yes.
11:48:47	10	Q. So Mr. Dolin's next refill for his Paroxetine was
	11	<b>O</b> ctober 29, 2006, right?
	12	A. Yes.
	13	Q. And so he would've been without Paroxetine for about
	14	33 days if he had taken it as prescribed, right?
11:49:04	15	A. Possibly.
	16	Q. Yeah. That is kind of what this shows, right?
	17	A. Yeah.
	18	Q. Okay. And at no time during any of these times that we've
	19	just went over where he may not have taken a dosage or he
11:49:20	20	missed doses, did he report any problems or side effects with
	21	Paroxetine, right?
	22	A. Correct.
	23	Q. And when you prescribe the medication to Mr. Dolin, you
	24	prescribe Paroxetine and you allow you probably prescribe
11:49:36	25	Paroxetine and you allow the generic to be dispensed, correct?

		Sachman – cross by Davis 1712
	1	A. Yes.
	2	Q. And you can't remember if you wrote Paroxetine or Paxil on
	3	the prescription, right?
	4	A. My head would've been to write the brand.
11:49:50	5	Q. Okay. Can you look back at the very end of your deposition
	6	that's in the notebook. Can you look at page 24, Line 12
	7	through 16.
	8	MR. RAPOPORT: Your Honor, forgive me. I object on
	9	relevance grounds since whether it's generic or a label doesn't
11:50:19	10	make any difference.
	11	MR. DAVIS: I believe this was brought up on direct,
	12	Your Honor, about what he prescribed.
	13	THE COURT: Right. You may proceed.
	14	MR. DAVIS: Thank you.
11:50:25	15	BY THE WITNESS:
	16	A. What page is it?
	17	BY MR. DAVIS:
	18	Q. Yes, it's page 24 Lines 12 through 16.
	19	A. In this book that you handed me?
11:50:34	20	Q. Yes, sir. It's at the very end of your deposition. Very
	21	last tab.
	22	A. Oh, I see. Okay.
	23	(Brief pause).
	24	BY THE WITNESS:
11:50:44	25	A. Okay.

		Sachman - cross by Davis 1713
	1	BY MR. DAVIS:
	2	Q. Can you look at page 24, lines 12 through 16.
	3	(Brief pause).
	4	BY MR. DAVIS:
11:51:02	5	Q. Are you there?
	6	MR. RAPOPORT: I object, Your Honor. Improper
	7	impeachment.
	8	THE COURT: Well, I guess I'll have to look at it.
	9	Let me see it, please.
11:51:14	10	(Binder tendered to the Court.)
	11	THE COURT: You may read the whole page if you want
	12	to.
	13	MR. DAVIS: All right.
	14	THE COURT: The objection will be sustained.
11:51:50	15	MR. DAVIS: May I read it, Your Honor?
	16	THE COURT: You read the whole page. Read it all.
	17	MR. DAVIS: Sure.
	18	Beginning at what line, Your Honor?
	19	THE COURT: Start at the top.
11:51:57	20	MR. DAVIS: Sure.
	21	BY MR. DAVIS:
	22	Q. (Reading:)
	23	"Question: Is that what you remember
	24	prescribing?
11:52:00	25	"Answer: That's about when yeah, I mean
		Sachman - cross by Davis 1714
----------	----	---
	1	it's close enough. My recollection tells me it
	2	was a little bit later, but that's close
	3	enough."
	4	"Question: Okay. And did you, when you
11:52:09	5	prescribed the drug to Stewart, did you describe
	6	Paxil or did you prescribe Paroxetine?"
	7	"Answer: Probably Paroxetine. I mean, I
	8	allowed the generic to be dispensed."
	9	"Question: Fair enough. But when you wrote
11:52:21	10	the prescription, I don't know how how do you
	11	do the prescription writing, generally?"
	12	"Answer: I can't remember if I wrote
	13	Paroxetine or Paxil."
	14	"Question: Okay. If you look down here"
11:52:34	15	MR. DAVIS: Is that enough, Your Honor, or do you want
	16	me to continue? Because the rest is about sertraline.
	17	THE COURT: That's enough.
	18	MR. DAVIS: Okay.
	19	BY MR. DAVIS:
11:52:41	20	Q. Did I read that testimony from you that was taken under
	21	oath?
	22	A. Yes.
	23	Q. Thank you.
	24	And other than the prescriptions for Paroxetine filled
11:52:51	25	at Parkway Drugs pharmacy, which is now Walgreens, you're not

		Sachman - cross by Davis 1715
	1	aware of Stewart Dolin filling any other prescriptions for
	2	Paxil or Paroxetine, are you?
	3	A. No.
	4	Q. And you're not aware of Mr. Dolin ever receiving Paroxetine
11:53:05	5	or Paxil in any other way other than through a filled
	6	prescription at the pharmacy, right?
	7	A. Yes.
	8	Q. Okay. You mentioned in direct that you had a close
	9	relationship with Mr. Dolin, both as a patient and as a friend,
11:53:17	10	right?
	11	A. Correct.
	12	Q. And Mr. Dolin would call you about medical issues that he
	13	might be having, right?
	14	A. Right.
11:53:27	15	Q. And, in fact, there were times that he will call and
	16	complain of things that were just simple things on a weekend or
	17	at night around 10:00 o'clock and you would take care of it,
	18	right?
	19	A. Yes.
11:53:38	20	Q. And by taking care of it, you would either give him a
	21	prescription or you would give him some medical advise, right?
	22	A. Correct.
	23	Q. And during the visits that you had with Stewart Dolin that
	24	you've reflected in your medical records, after starting him on
11:53:54	25	Paroxetine you routinely asked him how he was doing on that

		Sachman - cross by Davis 1716
	1	medication, true?
	2	A. Yes.
	3	Q. That was part of your stated practice to ask that question,
	4	right?
11:54:05	5	A. Yeah, I would ask him how he was doing, regardless.
	6	Q. Stewart Dolin and you had the kind of relationship where he
	7	would share any side effects or ill feelings that he had while
	8	on the medication and that included Paxil, Paroxetine,
	9	sertraline or Zoloft, right?
11:54:22	10	A. I would imagine.
	11	Q. And when Stewart Dolin came in for periodic assessments by
	12	you, from 2005 to the last time you saw or spoke with him, he
	13	never voiced any problems or concerns about being on Paxil or
	14	Paroxetine, did he?
11:54:41	15	A. No.
	16	Q. No, he did not?
	17	A. No, he did not.
	18	Q. Isn't it a fact the reason why Mr. Dolin went back on
	19	Paroxetine in 2010 is because he had done well on it
11:54:56	20	previously, right?
	21	A. Correct.
	22	Q. And, in fact, Mr. Dolin always reported to you that
	23	Paroxetine was working effectively for him?
	24	A. Yes.
11:55:05	25	Q. And, in fact, you believe that Paroxetine was effectively
11:54:41	<ol> <li>11</li> <li>12</li> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> </ol>	Q. And when Stewart Dolin came in for periodic assessments by you, from 2005 to the last time you saw or spoke with him, he never voiced any problems or concerns about being on Paxil or Paroxetine, did he? A. No. Q. No, he did not? A. No, he did not? A. No, he did not. Q. Isn't it a fact the reason why Mr. Dolin went back on Paroxetine in 2010 is because he had done well on it previously, right? A. Correct. Q. And, in fact, Mr. Dolin always reported to you that Paroxetine was working effectively for him? A. Yes.

		Sachman - cross by Davis 1717
	1	treating Mr. Dolin's anxiety?
	2	A. Yes, I did.
	3	Q. If you could turn back to Tab 1 to the sertraline records.
	4	If you go to page JX12-011.
11:55:33	5	MR. DAVIS: And Mr. Holtzen, can we pull that up,
	6	please.
	7	BY MR. DAVIS:
	8	Q. Let me know when you're there, Dr. Sachman.
	9	A. JX?
11:55:49	10	Q. Yeah, JX12-011.
	11	(Brief pause).
	12	BY MR. DAVIS:
	13	Q. Are you in Tab 1?
	14	A. I thought I was. I'm not.
11:56:22	15	(Brief pause).
	16	BY THE WITNESS:
	17	A. There we go.
	18	BY MR. DAVIS:
	19	Q. Okay.
11:56:32	20	A 0?
	21	Q. 011. JX 12-011.
	22	It's about 5 pages from the back.
	23	Are you there?
	24	A. Yes.
11:56:43	25	Q. Do you see that on January 22, 2007, you prescribed

		Sachman - cross by Davis 1718
	1	sertraline to Mr. Dolin, right?
	2	A. Yes.
	3	Q. And that's what he started taking the medication, right?
	4	A. Yes.
11:56:54	5	Q. And if you look there, you see that there are 5
	6	prescriptions for sertraline of 30 tablets of sertraline 50
	7	milligrams, correct?
	8	A. Correct.
	9	Q. And if we added that up, that would be 150 tablets taken
11:57:12	10	over 150 days, right?
	11	A. Yes.
	12	Q. Okay. And Mr. Dolin didn't report any side effects or
	13	problems with Zoloft or sertraline as of 2007 for any of these
	14	150 tablets that he took during this time period?
11:57:29	15	A. Correct.
	16	Q. And if you look if you look on JX 12010, which is a page
	17	before, you see that there's another prescription for
	18	sertraline, do you see that?
	19	A. Yes.
11:57:49	20	Q. And, in fact, if you count them up, there's 8 prescriptions
	21	of filling 60 tablets of sertraline at 50 milligrams between
	22	October 16, 2007, and September 1, 2008?
	23	A. Right.
	24	Q. And so if we looked at and counted up that number of pills,
11:58:10	25	we'd have 480 tablets, correct?

		Sachman - cross by Davis 1719
	1	A. Something like that.
	2	Q. Okay. Close enough?
	3	A. Yes.
	4	Q. And the next visit, office visit that you had with
11:58:21	5	Mr. Dolin was on December 15, 2007, right?
	6	A. Yeah.
	7	Q. Okay. And at that office visit, Mr. Dolin reported that he
	8	was doing fine and that's what you wrote down in your medical
	9	chart, correct?
11:58:35	10	A. Yes.
	11	Q. And Mr. Dolin never reported to you that he had any
	12	suicidal thoughts at that December 15, 2007, office visit, did
	13	he?
	14	A. No.
11:58:46	15	Q. And if he had if he had suggested that or said that, you
	16	would've wrote that down on your chart, right?
	17	A. Yes.
	18	Q. And your notes reflect
	19	MR. DAVIS: If we could call that up, Mr. Holtzen,
11:58:59	20	Joint Exhibit 11, at 11-002, which is Dr. Sachman's medical
	21	records.
	22	BY MR. DAVIS:
	23	Q. You have a notation in here in your medical records that
	24	says "Zoloft 50, BID." And BID just means twice a day,
11:59:14	25	correct?

		Sachman – cross by Davis 1720
	1	A. Correct.
	2	Q. So here, when he comes in at this time, Mr. Dolin has been
	3	taking two tablets of 50 milligrams of generic sertraline two
	4	times a day or excuse me
11:59:26	5	A. Two tablets.
	6	Q. Or excuse me. Two tablets
	7	A. A day.
	8	Q. A day. Yes, thank you.
	9	And it was your belief at the time that generic
11:59:36	10	sertraline was benefitting Mr. Dolin?
	11	A. Yes.
	12	Q. And effectively treating his anxiety?
	13	A. Correct.
	14	Q. If we go to the next page of the pharmacy records, behind
11:59:49	15	Tab 1, JX 12-007.
	16	And this is another filling of generic sertraline of
	17	50 milligrams, 60 tablets, and he's supposed to take 2 tablets
	18	a day, right?
	19	A. Yes. Yes.
12:00:25	20	Q. Okay. And if you look down the next sertraline,
	21	prescription that was filled if you look at November 29.
	22	Right there (indicating).
	23	Here we've got 6 times that Mr. Dolin fills
	24	prescriptions for generic sertraline, and at 60 pills each
12:00:49	25	time, and he's supposed to take two pills a day, correct?

		Sachman - cross by Davis 1721
	1	A. Yes.
	2	Q. Okay. And throughout the time that he was taking
	3	sertraline, all the way through the end of 2009, he didn't
	4	report any problems or side effects from the medication,
12:01:11	5	correct?
	6	A. Correct.
	7	Q. And so if we add up the number of pills that Mr. Dolin took
	8	for generic sertraline over time, we come up to about 900
	9	pills, right?
12:01:20	10	A. Maybe.
	11	Q. I'm sorry?
	12	A. A lot of pills.
	13	Q. Yes. And those pills lasted well over a year if he took
	14	them as prescribed, correct?
12:01:29	15	A. Yes.
	16	Q. Okay. And if you look at if we can go to page 6 of JX
	17	12, which is behind Tab 1.
	18	(Brief pause).
	19	BY MR. DAVIS:
12:01:51	20	Q. Are you there?
	21	MR. DAVIS: If we can pull that up Mr. Holtzen.
	22	BY MR. DAVIS:
	23	Q. We got a listing of prescriptions here for Mr. Dolin. He
	24	has a fill on December 26th, 2008, correct?
12:02:03	25	A. Yes.

		Sachman - cross by Davis 1722
	1	Q. And then that that filling was for 60 sertraline tablets
	2	and he was to take two tablets each day, correct?
	3	A. Yes.
	4	Q. And the next prescription of sertraline that he filled was
12:02:18	5	on May 2, 2009, do you see that?
	6	A. Yes.
	7	Q. And so if he took the sertraline as prescribed, he would
	8	have run out in January of 2009
	9	A. We may have stopped treatment in between those two dates.
12:02:30	10	Q. Do you know which if that was the case?
	11	A. Unless it's in the record, I couldn't recall it.
	12	Q. Okay. So for whatever the reason, there's a break in when
	13	he's taking sertraline that's between January of 2009 until the
	14	refill of sertraline in May of 2009, correct?
12:02:47	15	A. Correct.
	16	Q. And so he takes he's on a dose of two 0 milligram
	17	tablets of sertraline per day and he drops to zero, correct?
	18	A. Doesn't necessarily drop to zero all at once.
	19	Q. He tapers off
12:03:03	20	A. If he went off, he tapered off.
	21	Q. Okay. And so at no time when that dosage was decreasing
	22	over that time did Mr. Dolin come back and report to you that
	23	he had any problems or side effects from sertraline and
	24	tapering off and lowering the dose?
12:03:18	25	A. Correct.

		Sachman - cross by Davis 1723
	1	Q. Okay. And throughout the time period he took sertraline,
	2	you believe it was effectively treating his anxiety?
	3	A. Yes.
	4	Q. Okay. Doctor, I want to turn our attention now to some
12:03:37	5	information about your practice that you have.
	6	You operate what's called a concierge or boutique
	7	medical practice?
	8	A. Correct.
	9	Q. That name of that practice is called Martin N. Sachman &
12:03:52	10	Associates, right?
	11	A. Yes.
	12	Q. And you've operated that concierge or boutique practice
	13	from September of 2005, correct?
	14	A. Correct.
12:04:00	15	Q. And there's a yearly fee of \$1,800 to be a member of this
	16	concierge or boutique practice?
	17	A. Correct.
	18	Q. Stewart Dolin became a patient of that concierge or
	19	boutique medical practice when you started it in 2005?
12:04:19	20	A. Correct.
	21	Q. And the concierge medical practice that you started in 2005
	22	was quite different from the medical practice that you had
	23	before in terms of the patient volume, correct?
	24	A. Yes.
12:04:29	25	Q. And the other things that happened that were different was,

		Sachman – cross by Davis 1724
	1	it was a much smaller practice because you had about 5 times as
	2	many patients before than what you had when you cut it down to
	2	the boutique practice, correct?
	4	A. Yes.
40.04.44	5	Q. Patients in the boutique practice could be seen for an
12:04:41	6	appointment the same day they asked for one, right?
	7	A. Yes.
	8	Q. And you are available to a patient in your boutique medical
	9	practice, such as Stewart Dolin, 24 hours a day, 7 days a week,
12:04:55	10	right?
12.04.55	11	A. Correct.
	12	Q. And a patient, such as Stewart Dolin, could call you up at
	13	any time that he or she wanted to?
	14	A. Yes.
40.05.00	15	Q. And call-backs to patients in this boutique practice are
12:05:00	16	
	17	made the same day and you don't wait a day or two to give a call back to the patient?
	18	A. That's correct.
	19	Q. And you described it as a very hands-on practice?
10.05.44	20	A. Yes.
12:05:14	20	
	21	Q. And your current patient population includes adults over
		the age of 24 and even adults as high as the age of 90?
	23	A. Even higher.
	24 25	Q. Or higher, yes.
12:05:26	25	A. (Laughing).

	Sachman - cross by Davis 1725
1	Q. And you mentioned something in your direct testimony with
-	Mr. Rapoport about the drugs that the medications that
	preceded the SSRIs, do you remember that discussion?
	A. Yes.
	Q. And I think the medications you're talking about was a
	class of drugs called tricyclic antidepressants or TCA's,
	right?
	A. Yes.
-	Q. And those medications were more difficult because they had
	side effects that had to be managed, correct?
	A. Correct.
	Q. And, for example, the side effects of the TCA's made it
	more difficult for a patient to stay on the medication and get
	the benefits of the medication?
	A. That is true.
16	Q. And when you are weighing a decision to prescribe an
	antidepressant, an antidepressant to a particular patient, you
	weigh the risks of the side effects against the potential for
	effectiveness of the medication, right?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. And you believe that there are some side effects for
22	antidepressants, generally, that are potentially very serious,
23	right?
24	A. Correct.
25	Q. And before you prescribe an antidepressant to a particular
	17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

		Sachman - cross by Davis 1726						
	1	patient, you have to be convinced that the probability of						
	2	effectiveness outweighs the risk of a serious side effect,						
	3	right?						
	4	A. Right.						
12:06:42	5	Q. And, in fact, you have to be convinced, as well as you can						
	6	be convinced by your own interpretation of availability data,						
	7	right?						
	8	A. Correct.						
	9	Q. And before prescribing an antidepressant to a patient for						
12:06:54	10	the first time, you receive that patient's informed consent for						
	11	the medication, right?						
	12	A. Yes.						
	13	Q. And by that you mean you do that in an informal way in						
	14	which you describe the drug, it's major side effects, and what						
12:07:14	15	to expect in terms of benefits, right?						
	16	A. Yes.						
	17	Q. And with regard to antidepressants, when you're speaking to						
	18	a patient about potentially prescribing that medication, you go						
	19	over the potential side effects associated with that						
12:07:26	20	medication, correct?						
	21	A. Yes.						
	22	Q. All right. Dr. Sachman, I'm going to read you a statement						
	23	and I'm going to ask you some questions about it, okay.						
	24	First, here's the statement:						
12:07:34	25	"The following symptoms, anxiety, agitation,						

		1727							
	1	panic attacks, insomnia, irritability,							
	2	akathisia, psychomotor restlessness, hypomania							
	3	and mania have been reported in adult and							
	4	pediatric patients being treated with							
12:07:52	5	antidepressants for major depressive disorder,							
	6	as well as for other indications, both							
	7	psychiatric and nonpsychiatric. Although a							
	8	causal link between the emergence of such							
	9	symptoms in either the worsening of depression							
12:08:09	10	and/or the emergence of suicidal impulses has							
	11	not been established, there is concern that such							
	12	symptoms may represent precursor to emerging							
	13	suicidality."							
	14	Got all of that?							
12:08:23	15	A. Got it.							
	16	Q. Okay. To you, this is just a statement of the most common							
	17	side effects seen with these drugs, SSRIs, true?							
	18	A. Yes.							
	19	Q. And if you turn to Joint Exhibit 6, that's behind Tab 3,							
12:08:49	20	and if you turn to page JX 6005, third full paragraph, you see							
	21	that this statement was in the Paroxetine and Paxil labeling in							
	22	2005 before you first prescribed it to Mr. Dolin, right?							
	23	A. Yes. Yes.							
	24	MR. DAVIS: Can we pull up the graph, Mr. Holtzen.							
12:09:27	25	(Exhibit published to the jury.)							

1727

		Sachman - cross by Davis 1728							
	1	BY MR. DAVIS:							
	2	Q. So this is the statement that we were just talking about							
	3	that's in the February 2005 labeling, correct? For Paxil,							
	4	right?							
12:09:36	5	A. Yes.							
	6	Q. Okay. And then if you turn now to Tab 4, which is Joint							
	7	Exhibit 1, the June 2010 Paxil labeling, and if you turn to							
	8	page 12, fourth full paragraph.							
	9	(Brief pause).							
12:09:48	10	BY MR. DAVIS:							
	11	Q. Are you there?							
	12	A. Fourth paragraph, did you say?							
	13	Q. Yes. It's page 12, fourth full paragraph.							
	14	A. Yes.							
12:10:11	15	Q. Do you see that that exact statement that we put up on $f$							
	16	screen and that we read earlier is in the June 2010 Paxil							
	17	labeling?							
	18	A. Yes.							
	19	Q. Okay. And if we pull up both of them and have the							
12:10:25	20	statement side by side, you see that these are identical,							
	21	correct?							
	22	A. Yes.							
	23	Q. Okay.							
	24	And you recognize that as part of your							
12:10:41	25	responsibilities as a physician, that you have to keep up with							

		Sachman - cross by Davis 1729								
	1	the labeling as it exists for certain medications, and that's								
	2	for all medications, correct?								
	3	A. Correct.								
	4	Q. And one of the ways you do that is by going to the								
12:10:54	5	Physicians Desk Reference and looking at the labeling for								
	6	prescription medication that's located there, right?								
	7	A. Right.								
	8	Q. And you also pay attention to pronouncements by the Food								
	9	and Drug Administration about changes to labeling for								
12:11:07	10	medication?								
	11	A. Yes.								
	Q. You've done that throughout the course of your career as a									
	13	physician, haven't you?								
	14	A. Yes.								
12:11:13	15	Q. You pay attention and read their healthcare provider								
	16	letters that are sent to you that discuss changes in the								
	17	labeling for prescription medications, right?								
	18	A. Yes.								
	19	Q. And, in fact, you have a practice in your office that if a								
12:11:28	20	Dear Healthcare Provider letter comes in to you, it's put on								
	21	your desk rather than in the basket, right?								
	22	A. Yes.								
	23	Q. And that's the practice that you've asked your office staff								
	24	to do since you've been prescribing medications, right?								
12:11:42	25	A. Correct.								

		Sachman – cross by Davis 1730							
	1	Q. And when you review Dear Healthcare Provider letters you							
	2	incorporate the information in those Dear Healthcare Provider							
	3	letters into your prescribing additions, true?							
	4	A. Yes.							
12:11:52	5	Q. I'm sorry?							
	6	A. Yes. Sorry.							
	7	Q. And you don't ignore Dear Healthcare Provider letters							
	8	because there might be something important in them?							
	9	A. Yes.							
12:12:00	10	Q. And with respect to the information that's in the							
	11	prescription medications labeling, you just don't pay attention							
	12	to what's in the black box for that prescription medication,							
	13	but you instead read the entire labeling?							
	14	A. Right.							
12:12:15	15	Q. I'm sorry?							
	16	A. Yes.							
	17	Q. And you if you're not comfortable and familiar with the							
	18	entire labeling of a prescription medication, you don't							
	19	prescribe that medication, did you?							
12:12:26	20	A. No. This label says nothing about suicidality in people							
	21	over 24.							
	22	MR. DAVIS: Your Honor, I move to strike. There's no							
	23	question pending before the witness.							
	24	THE COURT: Proceed. Yes.							
12:12:41	25	MR. DAVIS: Thank you.							

		Sachman - cross by Davis 1731						
	1	BY MR. DAVIS:						
	2	Q. You have followed the practice, if you're not comfortable						
	3	with an entire labeling or prescription medication, you don						
	4	prescribe the medication. You've followed that practice your						
12:12:53	5	entire career, have you not?						
	6	A. Right.						
	7	Q. Can you turn to May the May 2004 Dear Healthcare						
	8	Provider letter that's behind Tab 5, it's Joint Exhibit 7.						
	9	You let me know when you're there, Dr. Sachman.						
12:13:19	10	(Brief pause).						
	BY THE WITNESS:							
12 A. What page was it on?								
	13	BY MR. DAVIS:						
	14	Q. That's Tab 5. Behind Tab 5. It's Joint Exhibit 7.						
12:13:29	15	5 A. Okay.						
	16 Q. The May 2004 Dear Healthcare Provider attached labelin							
	17	A. Yes.						
	18	Q. Are you there?						
	19	A. Yes.						
12:13:36	20	Q. You don't have any reason to disagree that GSK sent this						
	21	Dear Healthcare Provider letter and labeling to you, true?						
	22	A. True.						
	23	Q. And let's if we can go back we have a timeline here.						
	24	If you can set this up in terms of when you got this Dear						
12:13:54	25	Healthcare Provider letter of May 2004.						

		Sachman - cross by Davis 1732							
	1								
	1	You have a prescription of October 2005, which is the							
	2	first prescription of Paroxetine to Mr. Dolin and you received							
	3	a Dear Healthcare Provider letter before that, correct?							
	4	A. Correct.							
12:14:06	5	Q. And this letter says that on March it says:							
	6	" on March 22, 2004, the FDA issued a public							
	7	health advisory cautioning physicians, their							
	8	patients, and families about the need to closely							
	9	monitor all patients being treated with							
12:14:27	10	antidepressants."							
	11	Correct?							
	12	A. Correct.							
	13	Q. And this document discusses how patients who were started							
	14	on an antidepressant, such as Paroxetine or Paxil, may							
12:14:37	15	experience worsening of their depression and/or the emergence							
	16	of suicidal ideation and behavior such as suicidality, correct							
	17	A. Yes.							
	18	Q. And the GSK letter, the May 2004 Dear Healthcare Provider							
	19	letter says, in the last sentence of the first paragraph:							
12:14:56	20	" these changes include a new warning							
	21	recommending close observation of adult and							
	22	pediatric patients treated with antidepressants							
	23	for worsening depression or the emergence of							
	24	suicidality, particularly at the beginning of							
12:15:12	25	treatment or at the time of increases or							

		Sachman – cross by Davis 173				
	1	decreases."				
	2	True?				
	3	A. True.				
	Q. And this was not new information to you and you knew it at					
12:15:23	5	the time that you received the letter, correct?				
	6	A. Yes.				
	7	Q. I'm sorry?				
	8	A. Yes.				
	9	Q. And on page of this May 2004 Dear Healthcare Provider				
12:15:31	10	letter, it asks you to familiarize yourself with these				
11 revisions to the Paxil labeling, correct?						
	A. Yes.					
	13	Q. And following your standard practice, you did that?				
	14	A. Yes.				
12:15:39	15	Q. And on the third page of the May 2004 Dear Healthcare				
	16 Provider letter there is a section called "Warnings, Cli					
	17	Worsening Suicide Risk," correct?				
	18	A. Yes.				
	19	Q. The second sentence of that section states, quote:				
12:15:57	20	"Although there has been a long-standing concern				
	21	that antidepressants may have a role in inducing				
	22	worsening of depression and the emergence of				
	23	suicidality in certain patients, a causal role				
	24	for antidepressants and inducing such behaviors				
12:16:14	25	has not been established. Nevertheless patients				

		Sachman - cross by Davis 1734							
	1	treated with antidepressants should be observed							
	2	closely for clinical worsening and suicidality,							
	3	especially at the beginning of a course of drug							
	4	therapy, or at the time of dose changes, either							
12:16:29	5	increases or decreases."							
	6	That's what it says, right?							
	7	A. Yup. Yes.							
	8	${\tt Q}$ . And this language that we just read is not limited to							
	9	patients age 24 or less, is it?							
12:16:43	10	A. No.							
	11	Q. No, it's not?							
	12	A. No, it's not.							
	13	Q. This May 2004 Dear Healthcare Provider letter sent to you							
	14	by GSK also goes on to state in that first paragraph, under							
12:16:54	15	Warnings, that, quote:							
	16	"Consideration should be given to changing the							
	17	therapeutic regimen, including possibly							
	18	discontinuing the medication, in patients whose							
	19	depression is persistently worse or whose							
12:17:07	20	emergent suicidality is severe, abrupt in onset,							
	21	or was not part of the patient's presenting							
	22	symptoms."							
	23	True?							
	24	A. That's what it says.							
12:17:17	25	Q. You knew that information in May of 2004, correct?							

		Sachman - cross by Davis 1735							
	1	A. Yes.							
	2	Q. And the next paragraph in this May Dear Healthcare Provider							
	3	letter sent by GSK to you states, quote:							
	4	"Because of the possibility of comorbidity							
12:17:30	5	between major depressive disorder and other							
	6	psychiatric and nonpsychiatric disorders, the							
	7	same precautions should be observed when							
	8	treating patients with major depressive disorder							
	9	should be observed when treating patients with							
12:17:43	10	other psychiatric and nonpsychiatric disorders."							
	11	Correct?							
	12	A. Yes.							
	13	${\tt Q}$ . And you knew that in May 2004 because that was just common							
	14	knowledge, correct?							
12:17:53	15	A. That's right.							
	16	Q. And you agree, that in May 2004, the information under the							
	17	Clinical Worsening and Suicide Risk section of the May 2004							
	18	Dear Healthcare Provider letter was known to the medical							
	19	community, true?							
12:18:06	20	A. Yes.							
	21	Q. And, in fact, you believe most physicians, including							
	22	yourself, know that when you initiate the drug or change the							
	23	dose let me start that again.							
	24	In fact, you believe that most physicians, including							
12:18:21	25	yourself, know when you initiate the drug or change the dose,							

		Sachman – cross by Davis 1736							
	1	there is an increase risk of depression and anxiety and an							
	2	increase in anxiety, correct?							
	3	A. Yes.							
	4	Q. These warnings alert doctors and physicians to be on the							
12:18:44	5	lookout for increasing suicidality in patients who are taking							
	6	an antidepressant, such as Paroxetine or Paxil, true?							
	7	A. Yes.							
	8	Q. The warnings change also advise the doctor, such as							
	9	yourself, to look out for certain symptoms, right?							
12:19:00	10	A. Correct.							
	11	Q. Those symptoms included anxiety, agitation, panic attacks,							
	12	impulsivity, akathisia, or psychomotor restlessness, right?							
	13	A. Right.							
	14	Q. You know what akathisia is, don't you?							
12:19:13	15	A. Yes.							
	16	Q. And you're familiar with it, you've been familiar with it							
	17	since your medical training, correct?							
	18	A. Yes.							
	19	Q. And you understand it to be the onset let me back up.							
12:19:23	20	You described akathisia to the jury in your direct							
	21	examination, right?							
	22	A. Yes.							
	23	Q. And you understand it also to be the onset of increasing							
	24	very uncomfortable anxiety, right?							
12:19:38	25	A. Correct.							

Sachman	-	cross	by	Davis
---------	---	-------	----	-------

		1/3/
	1	Q. These warning changes also alerted physicians, and
	2	healthcare providers such as yourself, to have discussions with
	3	family and caregivers of patients who are being treated with
	4	antidepressants for major depressive disorder or other
12:19:55	5	indications that they should be alerted about the need to
	6	monitor patients for the emergence of agitation, irritability,
	7	and the other symptoms described in the revised labeling, as
	8	well as the emergence of suicidality and to report such
	9	symptoms immediately to healthcare providers?
12:20:15	10	A. Correct.
	11	Q. That was your practice in May of 2004 to do that, correct?
	12	A. Yes.
	13	Q. And under the Information For Patient Section, the May 2004
	14	labeling states:
12:20:27	15	"Patients and their families should be
	16	encouraged to be alert to the emergence of
	17	anxiety, agitation, panic attacks, insomnia,
	18	irritability, hostility, impulsivity, akathisia,
	19	hypomania, mania, worsening of depression, and
12:20:45	20	suicidal ideation, especially early during
	21	antidepressant treatment."
	22	Correct?
	23	A. Yes.
	24	Q. And, in fact, it also went on to say:
12:20:54	25	" symptoms should be reported to the

		Sachman - cross by Davis 1738
	1	patient's physician, especially if they are
	2	severe, abrupt in onset, or were not part of the
	3	patient's presenting symptoms."
	4	A. Correct.
12:21:04	5	Q. And for each part of the May 2004 revised labeling we have
	6	discussed, it does not say that it only applies to patients
	7	age 24 or less, does it?
	8	A. It does not say that.
	9	Q. I'm sorry?
12:21:18	10	A. It does not say that.
	11	Q. You told Stewart Dolin to be on the lockout for the signs
	12	and symptoms that are described in the May 2004 labeling in the
	13	Dear Healthcare Provider letter, true?
	14	A. Yes.
12:21:30	15	Q. You told him to be on the lookout for worsening of his
	16	condition, true?
	17	A. Uh-huh. Yes.
	18	Q. You did that when you first started him on Paroxetine in
	19	2005, yes?
12:21:40	20	A. Yes.
	21	Q. And while you did not numerate or identify every single one
	22	of the symptoms in the labeling to be on the lookout for, you
	23	enumerated or identify several so he would get the idea,
	24	correct?
12:21:54	25	A. Correct.

		Sachman - cross by Davis 1739
	1	Q. And you were trying to convey to Mr. Dolin that after he
	2	started Paroxetine or Paxil, that if his symptoms or his
	3	conditions worsened, as outlined in the warnings from May 2004,
	4	to let you know?
12:22:08	5	A. Correct.
	6	Q. You did the same with your sertraline and Zoloft patients
	7	as well, did you not?
	8	A. Yes.
	9	Q. And when you first initiated treatment of Paroxetine for
12:22:17	10	Mr. Dolin in 2005, you also had a discussion with him about how
	11	his family and other caregivers needed to know that he was on
	12	the medication and if he had any of these signs or symptoms so
	13	that they could be alerted to them as well, correct?
	14	A. Yes.
12:22:34	15	Q. Mrs. Wendy Dolin knew when he was on the medication when
	16	you started him on Paroxetine in 2005, correct?
	17	A. Yes.
	18	Q. And you had discussions Mrs. Dolin where you said to her
	19	that you told her that her husband was on Paxil or Paroxetine
12:22:53	20	or Zoloft or sertraline and you need to look out for these
	21	signs and symptoms, correct?
	22	A. Yes. These were done in an informal way, probably
	23	socially, not in the office.
	24	Q. And even though this was done in an informal or social way,
12:23:07	25	you did not make an effort to minimize or down-play the

		Sachman - cross by Davis 1740
	1	significance of what's prescribed in the labeling, did you?
	2	A. No.
	3	Q. And you had the conversation with Mrs. Dolin at the
	4	beginning I think you said at the beginning of Mr. Dolin's
12:23:23	5	treatment with Paroxetine in 2005, right?
	6	A. Yes.
	7	Q. And Mrs. Dolin absolutely did not voice any objection or
	8	opposition to Mr. Dolin taking Paroxetine or Paxil, did she?
	9	A. No.
12:23:38	10	Q. No, she did not?
	11	A. No, she did not.
	12	Q. And at the time when you started the medication,
	13	Paroxetine, you were trying to convey to Mr. Dolin that there's
	14	a possibility or the potential of an increased risk of the
12:23:53	15	signs and symptoms that are outlined in this warning sent to
	16	you in May of 2004, true?
	17	A. I told him in an informal way he could feel worse, being
	18	more anxious or feeling depressed. I did not outline every
	19	symptom you enumerated.
12:24:09	20	Q. Okay. Could you come back to my question. And I'll read
	21	it again so you can have it fresh in your head.
	22	At the time when you started the medication of
	23	Paroxetine, you were trying to convey to Mr. Dolin that there's
	24	a possibility or the potential of an increased risk of the
12:24:25	25	signs and symptoms that are outlined in the warnings sent to

		Sachman - cross by Davis 1741
	1	you in May of 2004?
	2	MR. RAPOPORT: Your Honor, I object to the form and
	3	the implication that the doctor didn't answer the question that
	4	counsel asked before.
12:24:36	5	MR. DAVIS: I don't believe he's answered the
	6	question, Your Honor.
	7	MR. RAPOPORT: He's made no motion to strike an answer
	8	and he's behaving as if the doctor didn't answer is question.
	9	MR. DAVIS: I don't believe he's answered.
12:24:45	10	THE COURT: Proceed. Go forward.
	11	You may answer.
	12	BY THE WITNESS:
	13	A. The answer is that I spoke to him about the possibility of
	14	him becoming more anxious and/or depressed in the initiation of
12:24:57	15	therapy with Paxil or Zoloft, whether it's Paxil or Zoloft.
	16	BY MR. DAVIS:
	17	Q. Would you be so kind as to turn to your deposition, which
	18	is the last tab in your notebook. Could you turn to that,
	19	please, and turn to page 189.
12:25:14	20	MR. RAPOPORT: Lines, please?
	21	MR. DAVIS: Lines 5 through 12.
	22	(Brief pause).
	23	BY THE WITNESS:
	24	A. What page did you say? I'm sorry.
12:25:25	25	BY MR. DAVIS:

		Sachman - cross by Davis 1742
	1	Q. 189, Dr. Sachman.
	2	(Brief pause).
	3	BY MR. DAVIS:
	4	Q. Lines 5.
12:25:42	5	A. Okay.
	6	Q. Through 12.
	7	Are you there?
	8	A. I see it.
	9	Q. Were you asked this question and did you give this answer
12:25:49	10	under oath:
	11	"Question: And were you trying to convey at the
	12	time that you had the discussion with Mr. Dolin
	13	that that when you start this medication,
	14	Paxil or Paroxetine, there's the possibility or
12:26:02	15	the potential of an increased risk of the signs
	16	and symptoms that are outlined in this warning?"
	17	And your answer was, "right."
	18	A. Right.
	19	Q. Did I read that correctly?
12:26:16	20	A. You did. And I would correct it somewhat to say that at
	21	the time of the deposition I was a bit anxious, nervous about
	22	the deposition, a bit worried about my own liability in this
	23	case. And thinking back over it, I probably did not go through
	24	every one of those detailed symptoms. I did it in a more
12:26:36	25	general way, as I've stated before.

		Sachman - cross by Davis 1743
	1	Q. Doctor
	2	THE COURT: Proceed. Put another question.
	3	MR. DAVIS: Yes.
	4	THE COURT: Put another question.
12:26:46	5	MR. DAVIS: Yes.
	6	BY MR. DAVIS:
	7	Q. When you answered that question in your deposition, you
	8	didn't say you were confused or didn't understand it, did you?
	9	A. No.
12:26:52	10	Q. And, in fact, you swore to tell the truth at your
	11	deposition?
	12	A. Yes.
	13	Q. And when you said you were worried about some liability on
	14	your part, you were worried about some lawsuit being filed
12:27:07	15	against you?
	16	A. Yeah, possibly.
	17	Q. Okay.
	18	A. A person died. I prescribed the drug.
	19	Q. After you said this to Mr. Dolin, about how he had to be
12:27:26	20	how you were trying to convey to him that there's a possibility
	21	or the potential of increased risk of the signs and symptoms
	22	that are outlined in the warning sent to you in May of 2004,
	23	Mr. Dolin acknowledged to you that he understood that, correct?
	24	A. Are you asking me the same question? I don't understand
12:27:45	25	the question now.

		Sachman - cross by Davis 1744
	1	Q. This time I'm asking, after you conveyed the information to
	2	him about what we just talked about
	3	A. He understood the information as I conveyed it.
	4	Q. Yes. And if Mr. Dolin had not acknowledged to you the
12:27:56	5	information that you told him, you would not have continued him
	6	on the medication, true?
	7	A. Correct.
	8	Q. And if you go to pages 10 if you look at the labeling
	9	that's attached to the May 2004 Dear Healthcare Provider
12:28:12	10	letter, the same information that's in the Dear Healthcare
	11	Provider letter about risk of suicidality or suicidal thoughts
	12	or behavior is in the actual labeling, true?
	13	A. Yes.
	14	Q. Okay. And through this labeling, of May of 2004, GSK
12:28:31	15	disclosed to you that possible or potential side effects or
	16	adverse reactions after starting Paroxetine or Paxil were the
	17	symptoms that are outlined in the Dear Healthcare Provider
	18	letter and the labeling, including the labeling section under
	19	Clinical Worsening of Suicide Risk, true?
12:28:53	20	A. True.
	21	Q. And the warnings that were included in the May of 2004 Dear
	22	Healthcare Provider letter, along with the accompanying revised
	23	labeling, included the risk of suicidal thoughts or behavior,
	24	true?
12:29:13	25	A. True.

	Sachman - cross by Davis 1745
1	Q. I'm sorry?
2	A. True.
3	Q. And if we could turn to the next tab, which is Tab 3. It's
4	Joint Exhibit Number 6 I'm sorry, it's Joint Exhibit 6.
5	Do you see that Joint Exhibit 6 is a February 2005
6	Dear Healthcare Provider letter that GSK sent?
7	A. Right. Yes.
8	Q. And you received this Dear Healthcare Provider letter as
9	well around February 2005, right?
10	A. Right.
11	Q. And you received it also before you initiated treatment for
12	Stewart Dolin for Paxil or Paroxetine in October of 2005,
13	correct?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. Okay.
16	THE COURT: All right. I'm going to recess at this
17	time until 1:30 this afternoon.
18	Ladies and gentlemen, you're excused until 1:30.
19	(The following proceedings were had out of the
20	presence of the jury in open court:)
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 20 21 22 23 24



	Sachman - cross by Davis	1747
1	to 1:30 o'clock p.m.)	
2	* * * * * * * *	
3		
4		
5	I CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING IS A CORRECT TRANSCRIPT FROM	THE
6	RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS IN THE ABOVE-ENTITLED MATTER	
7		
8		
9		
10	/s/Blanca I. Lara March 27, 2017	
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		