## EXHIBIT 21

Page 1

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA
ORLANDO DIVISION

IN RE:

SEROQUEL PRODUCTS LIABILTY LITIGATION

CASE NO. 6:06-md-01769-ACC-DAB

MDL DOCKET NO. 1769

CONFIDENTIAL

January 10, 2008

Videotaped Oral Deposition of JOHN ANTHONY SCHWARTZ, Ph.D., held in the offices of Golkow Technologies, Inc., One Liberty Place, 51st Floor, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania beginning at approximately 9:08 a.m., before Ann V. Kaufmann, a Registered Professional Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter, Approved Reporter of the U.S. District Court, and a Notary Public.

GOLKOW TECHNOLOGIES, INC.
One Liberty Place, 51st Floor
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103
877.370.3377

Γ		T	
	Page 290		Page 292
1	Q. Had you received the data	1	A. Yes.
2	from study 43 that you had also	2	Q. So it says: "All 7 values
3	promised?	3	for the clinically significant glucose
4	A. I don't recall.	4	are high"?
5	Q. Do you know whether you had	5	A. Yes. And this, I recall
6	given any of the data from study 41 or	6	now, set off issues because we didn't
7	43 to the Japanese marketing company?	7	based on the previous safety profile for
8	A. The answer, I don't know	8	Seroquel, we did not expect this. So we
9	that. And if we hadn't, that's because	9	suspected there was something wrong with
10	we hadn't thoroughly understood the data	10	the testing.
11	to provide to the HFC. So we need to	11	Q. Or something wrong with the
12	ensure that we thoroughly understood	12	drug; right?
13	what the data means prior to provision	13	A. Well, it wasn't indicative
14	to the health authorities.	14	of our previous experience with the
15		15	-
i	Q. I show you Schwartz Exhibit No. 25.	16	drug.
16		17	Q. Okay. So this set off some
17	A. Uh-huh.	18	alarm bells?
18	(Below-described document	i .	A. Yes.
19	marked Schwartz Exhibit 25.)	19	Q. Okay. And this
20	THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.	20	A. In terms that we had to
21	BY MR. BLIZZARD:	21	further investigate the fasting glucose
22	Q. Is this an e-mail that's	22	test.
23	dated in December of 2002? I'm sorry	23	Q. Okay. Well, while you were
24	yes, 2002.	24	investigating it, did you notify the FDA
	Page 291		Page 293
1	A. Yes, that's correct.	1	about what Joy Russo told Martin Jones?
2	Q. It's dated December 20,	2	A. Referring
3	2002; correct?	3	MR. SCHOON: Objection,
4	A. Correct.	4	form.
5	Q. And it's from Joy Russo;	5	A to Exhibit 25?
6	right?	6	Q. Yes.
7	A. Correct.	7	A. Not that I'm aware.
8	Q. Do you know who Joy Russo	8	Q. Did you tell doctors who
9	is?	9	were prescribing Seroquel or patients
10	A. I believe she was a data	10	who were taking Seroquel this
11	manager at the time.	11	information?
12	Q. Okay. And she is writing	12	A. Again, this was preliminary
13	to Martin Jones, who is a statistician	13	results. It wasn't consistent with our
14	working on Seroquel studies?	14	previous experience with Seroquel and we
15	A. Correct.	15	suspected that there was an issue with
16	Q. And this was regarding	16	-
17		17	the fasting glucose test.
18	glucose for trial 41; correct?  A. Correct.	18	Q. Okay. Well, just to be on
1		1	the safe side, did you think it would be
19	Q. And does it say "Hi,	19	prudent to let people know that there
20	Martin"	20	was this result out there and that you
21	A. Yes, it does.	21	would need to study it further?
22	Q "Allvalues for the	22	MR. SCHOON: Objection,
	clinically significant glucose are	23	form.
23 24	high"?	24	A. We wanted to make sure we

1		T	
	Page 294		Page 296
1	thoroughly understood the situation	1	responsible for notifying the senior
2	before notifying people.	2	executive team?
3	Q. Okay. Why wouldn't you	3	A. I assume it might have been
4	want to notify them?	4	Alex Oldham. But, again, we wouldn't
5	A. Pardon?	5	have notified them until we fully
6	Q. Why wouldn't you want to	6	understood the situation.
7	notify them that there was this issue	7	Q. Okay. Now, how did you
8	and that there would be further study	8	propose to more fully understand the
9	done?	9	information?
10	A. We wanted to ensure that we	10	A. As I understood it, the
11	clearly understood the issue. And what	11	team went back and looked at the timings
12	we did find is the fasting glucose test,	12	when the fasting glucose blood samples
13	actually the subjects weren't fasted, so	13	were taken. And as I recall, the
14	they were inconclusive results or	14	timings indicated that the samples or
15	actually erroneous results, when you	15	the subject would not have been fasted.
16	assume a test is being the subject is	16	Q. Is that the only way you
17	fasting when indeed they aren't.	17	looked at this issue?
18	Q. All of the data here was	18	A. That's the only one I'm
19	not on fasting blood glucose, was it?	19	aware of.
20	A. I believe it was.	20	Q. Okay. Do you know about
21	Q. Well, you used different	21	study 125?
22 23	values. You didn't use the fasting	22	A. Yes.
24	blood glucose value to judge whether	23	Q. Okay. What is study 125?
24	somebody's glucose was high; correct?	24	A. 125 is a metabolism study
	Page 295		Page 297
1	A. I don't know what values	1	to better understand the metabolic
2	were used. That wasn't part of my work.	2	profile of Seroquel and other atypicals.
3	Q. Do you remember the value	3	Q. Okay. And does it look at
4	200 milliliters per deciliter?	4	glucose levels?
5	A. No, I don't.	5	A. Yes.
6	Q. Okay. Did you approve of	6	Q. So is it a study that
7	this information not being given to FDA?	7	resulted or came out of the results of
8	MR. SCHOON: Objection,	8	study 41?
9	form.	9	A. Yes. As I understand it,
10	A. I don't think we ever	10	is that we felt we needed a better
11	discussed giving the information to the	11	controlled situation to fully
12	FDA that I recall.	12	characterize the metabolic profile of
13	Q. Okay.	13	Seroquel because we could not get
14 15	A. And because we wanted to	14	properly fasted blood samples.
16	ensure we clearly understood the situation.	15	(Below-described document
17		16 17	marked Schwartz Exhibit 26.)
18	Q. Okay. Did the global	I	BY MR. BLIZZARD:
19	product team know about this information?	18 19	Q. I'm going to hand you what
20	A. Yes.	20	I've marked as Schwartz Exhibit No. 26. A. Uh-huh.
21	Q. Did the senior executive	21	
22	team know about this information?	22	Q. Let's look first on the
23	A. That I don't know.	23	last page, which is the first e-mail in this chain.
24	Q. Who would have been	24	
4 -	Z. WIIO WOULD HAVE DEEH	24	A. Okay.