1	
2	
3	IN THE SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE STATE OF ALASKA
4	THIRD JUDICIAL DISTRICT AT ANCHORAGE
5	LAW PROJECT FOR PSYCHIATRIC)RIGHS, an Alaskan non-profit corporation,)
6	Plaintiff,
7	
8	vs. REC'D MAR 1 6 2009
9	STATE OF ALASKA, SARAH PALIN, ) Governor of the State of Alaska, )
10	ALASKA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND) SOCIAL SERVICES, WILLIAM HOGAN,
11	Commissioner, Department of Health and )
12	Social Services, TAMMY SANDOVAL,)Director of the Office of Children's)
13	Services, STEVE McCOMB, Director of the )
14	Division of Juvenile Justice, MELISSA ) WITZLER STONE, Director of the Division of )
14	Behavioral Health, RON ADLER,
15	Director/CEO of the Alaska Psychiatric )
16	Institute, WILLIAM STREUER, Deputy ) Commissioner and Director of the Division of )
	Health Care Services,
17	)
18	Defendants )
19	) Case No. 3AN-08-10115 CI
19	STATE OF ALASKA'S MOTION AND MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF
20	MOTION TO STAY DISCOVERY
21	Pursuant to Alaska Rule of Civil Procedure 77, defendants the State of
22	Alaska; Sarah Palin, Governor of the State of Alaska; the Department of Health and
23	Social Services; William Hogan, in his official capacity as Commissioner of the
24	
25	Department; Tammy Sandoval, in her official capacity as Director of the Office of
26	Children's Services; Steve McComb, in his official capacity as Director of the Division
4	STATE'S MOTION & MEMO IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO STAY DISCOVERY Page 1 of 4 Law Project for Psychiatric Rights v. State, et al. Case No. 3AN-08-10115CI

ATTORNEY GENERAL, STATE OF ALASKA DIMOND COURTHOUSE P.O. BOX 110300, JUNEAU, ALASKA 99811 PHONE: 455-3600

of Juvenile Justice; Melissa Stone, in her official capacity as Director of the Division 3 of Behavioral Health; Ron Adler, in his official capacity as Director of Alaska 4 5 Psychiatric Institute; and William Streur, in his official capacity as Deputy 6 Commissioner of the Department of Health and Social Services (hereinafter 7 collectively "the Department"), hereby move to stay discovery in the above-captioned 8 matter. The plaintiff is currently seeking discovery in this case. However, the 9 Department has filed contemporaneous to the instant motion a dispositive Motion for 10 Judgment on the Pleadings pursuant to Alaska Rule of Civil Procedure 12(c). For the 11 12 following reasons, the Department asks the court to stay discovery pending resolution 13 of the Department's Civil Rule 12(c) motion.

A stay of discovery in litigation is within the discretion of the trial court and appropriate pending the court's decision on a dispositive motion.<sup>1</sup> This is particularly true where—as here—such a motion raises pure questions of law which discovery is not needed to resolve.<sup>2</sup> In such cases, and particularly where—also as here—the pending motion would dispose of the entire case, staying discovery "is an eminently logical means to prevent wasting the time and effort of all concerned, and to

<sup>2</sup> Brazos Valley Coalition for Life, Inc. v. City of Bryan, Tex., 421 F.3d 314, 328 (5<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2005).

STATE'S MOTION & MEMO IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO STAY DISCOVERY Page 2 of 4 Law Project for Psychiatric Rights v. State, et al. Case No. 3AN-08-10115CI

21

22

23

24

25

26

1

2

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See, e.g., Karen L. v. State Dept. of Health and Social Services, Div. of Family and Youth Services, 953 P.2d 871, 880 (Alaska 1998).

make the most efficient use of judicial resources."<sup>3</sup> Courts have granted government
defendants' requests to stay discovery, specifically where "the burden and expense of
the subject discovery outweighed its likely benefit."<sup>4</sup> Such motions have been resolved
in favor of the government movant on threshold issues, based on the reasoning that
unfettered discovery in such a context may impose "an undue burden on public
officials and government agencies."<sup>5</sup>

In this case, a stay of discovery is appropriate because if the court grants the Department's Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings, further discovery as it relates to the Complaint in this matter will be moot.<sup>6</sup> If the Department's motion is denied, the regular course of discovery can resume at that point. But continued discovery while the Department's dispositive motion is pending is a waste of the parties' and the court's already-stretched resources. On February 24, 2009, the undersigned contacted plaintiff to see if the parties could agree to stay discovery pending the outcome of any

<sup>3</sup> See Chavous v. District of Columbia Financial Responsibility and Management Assistance, 201 F.R.D. 1, 2 (D.D.C., 2001) (citing Coastal States Gas Corp. v. Department of Energy, 84 F.R.D. 278, 282 (D. Del. 1979)).

<sup>4</sup> See, e.g., Schism v. U.S., 316 F.3d 1259, 1301 (Fed. Cir. 2002). See also James Madison Ltd. by Hecht v. Ludwig, 82 F.3d 1085, 1091 (D.C. Cir. 1986).

<sup>5</sup> Williamson v. U.S. Dept. of Agriculture, 815 F.2d 368 (5<sup>th</sup> Cir 1987) (citing Halperin v. Kissinger, 606 F.2d 1192 (D.C.Cir.1979), aff'd in pertinent part, 452 U.S. 713 (1981)) (Court properly stayed discovery pending resolution of threshold governmental immunity issues).

<sup>6</sup> The Department's Rule 12(c) Motion seeks dismissal of the Complaint on
the grounds that plaintiff has not presented the court with a justiciable case or
<sup>26</sup> controversy and lacks standing to sue.

STATE'S MOTION & MEMO IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO STAY DISCOVERY Page 3 of 4 Law Project for Psychiatric Rights v. State, et al. Case No. 3AN-08-10115C1

ATTORNEY GENERAL, STATE OF ALASKA DIMOND COURTHOUSE P.O. BOX 110300, JUNEAU, ALASKA 99811 PHONE: 465-3600 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

1	
2	dispositive motions. Plaintiff agreed to postpone one pending deposition by a few
3	
4	weeks but declined to stipulate to the Department's proposed stay.
5	For the foregoing reasons, the Department requests that the court stay
6	discovery pending the court's decision on the Department's contemporaneous Motion
7	for Judgment on the Pleadings.
8	DATED this $12^{\pm}$ day of March, 2009, at Juneau, Alaska.
9	DATIED unsuuy of March, 2009, at Juneau, Anaska.
10	RICHARD A. SVOBODNY ACTING ATTORNEY GENERAL
11	By: Elinen
12	Elizabeth M. Bakalar
13	Assistant Attorney General Alaska Bar No. 0606036
14	By: Amiltan
15	Stacie L. Kraly Chief Assistant Attorney General
16	Alaska Bar No. 9406040
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	a (*** a
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
	STATE'S MOTION & MEMO IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO STAY DISCOVERY Page 4 of 4 Law Project for Psychiatric Rights v. State, et al. Case No. 3AN-08-10115CI

ATTORNEY GENERAL, STATE OF ALASKA DIMOND COURTHOUSE P.O. BOX 110300, JUNEAU, ALASKA 99811 PHONE: 455-3600

11

1	
2	IN THE SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE STATE OF ALASKA THIRD JUDICIAL DISTRICT AT ANCHORAGE
3	THIRD JUDICIAL DISTRICT AT ANCHORAGE
4	LAW PROJECT FOR PSYCHIATRIC )
5	RIGHS, an Alaskan non-profit corporation, )
6	Plaintiff, )
7	vs. )
8	STATE OF ALASKA, SARAH PALIN,
9	Governor of the State of Alaska, ) ALASKA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND )
10	SOCIAL SERVICES, WILLIAM HOGAN,
11	Commissioner, Department of Health and ) Social Services, TAMMY SANDOVAL, )
	Director of the Office of Children's )
12	Services, STEVE McCOMB, Director of the ) Division of Juvenile Justice, MELISSA )
13	WITZLER STONE, Director of the Division of )
14	Behavioral Health, RON ADLER, ) Director/CEO of the Alaska Psychiatric )
15	Institute, WILLIAM STREUER, Deputy )
16	Commissioner and Director of the Division of ) Health Care Services,
17	)
	Defendants )
18	) Case No. 3AN-08-10115 CI
19	ORDER GRANTING STATE OF ALASKA'S MOTION TO STAY DISCOVERY
20	Having reviewed the State of Alaska's and the remaining above-named
21	defendants' Motion to Stay Discovery and any responses thereto, IT IS SO
22	ORDERED:
23	Discovery in this matter is hereby STAYED pending the court's decision
	on the Department's Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings.
24	DATED this day of, 2008.
25	
26	
	Jack W. Smith Superior Court Judge

ATTORNEY GENERAL, STATE OF ALASKA DIMOND COURTHOUSE P.O. BOX 110300, JUNEAU, ALASKA 99811 PHONE: 455-3600