THE WALL STREET JOURNAL.

Remember Me Log In

Set My Home Page | Customer Service

HEALTH BLOG

My Online Journal

Multimedia & Online Extras

Markets Data & Tools

Classifieds

WSJ's blog on health and the business of health. Blog Search:

Today's Newspaper

< Merck CEO Clark Faces Fresh Tests -- Previous | SEE ALL POSTS FROM THIS BLOG | Next -- California Tardy in Tracking Drugs to Co[...] > March 25, 2008, 8:17 am Visit WSJ.com's Health Page

Zyprexa: Balancing Serious Side Effects With Serious Illness

Posted by Jacob Goldstein

Lawyers for the state of Alaska have spent weeks arguing in an Anchorage courthouse that Eli Lilly hid the dangers of its antipsychotic drug Zyprexa — a claim Lilly denies.

In a state courtroom one floor down, a less remarked upon case earlier this month illuminated the complex questions patients and doctors have to wrestle with when deciding whether to use imperfect but often helpful antipsychotic drugs to treat profound mental illness.

A 55-year-old man named William Bigley, in court for a hearing to decide whether he should be sent against his will to a psychiatric hospital, told the judge that Zyprexa and

similar antipsychotics were 'poison' and he did not need them, the New York Times reports. "I'm fine," he said. He also said he knows President Bush, owns a private jet and has seen flying saucers.

Bigley has been diagnosed with paranoid schizophrenia, and he's been in and out of treatment for more than 20 years. He's taken Zyprexa, Risperdal, Haldol and Thorazine, among other antipsychotic drugs. But he hasn't been known to be violent or

In Alaska, a patient has to be violent, suicidal or a danger to himself to be hospitalized against his will. So the judge in Bigley's case let him go, against the recommendations of doctors who believed his erratic behavior could easily get him into physical trouble with the police or others.

Meanwhile, the legal fight continues over whether Lilly concealed Zyprexa's side effects — which can include weight gain and an increased risk of diabetes — to protect sales of the multi-billion-dollar drug.

Permalink | Trackback URL:

Save & Share: Share on Facebook | Del.icio.us | Digg this | Email This | Print

Read more: Mental Health, Drugs

More related content

Report offensive comments to healthblog@wsj.com

This is all much ado about nothing. Lilly should have immunity from suit and should not even be questioned by outside scientists! This action is an outrage. The people at Lilly would never put a drug on the market that was not safe and effective. There should be a law against even questioning their positions on this wonderdrug.

Comment by Tort Reform - March 25, 2008 at 8:43 am

Tort Reform's comments are right on target. If we can't trust Eli Lilly, next thing you know, people will start calling Vice President Dick Cheney an evil person who has total disdain for the American people.

Quoting Dick Cheney's response when ABC's Martha Raddnitz asked him to comment on a recent poll that 2/3 of Americans don't like the way the war in Iraq is going:

Cheney, after a five second pause, "So."

Comment by Anonymous - March 25, 2008 at 9:00 am

I assume you're being sarcastic.

Comment by HA! - March 25, 2008 at 9:03 am

I'm a psychologist and have seen wonders worked by Zyprexa. At the same time, there are serious side effects and diabetes risk is one of them. Diabetes is so much more dangerous for psychotic individuals who are already very disorganized and cannot manage their lives never mind a persistent day-to-day disease like diabetes. Hence, as with most drugs, there are risks and benefits that have to be balanced. In considering this issue, having THE FACTS about risks and benefits is the only way to talk click here. intelligently.

Comment by Doug - March 25, 2008 at 9:44 am

Zyprexa qualifies for a MedGuide warning:

- (1) The labeling would definitely help prevent serious adverse events;
- (2) Few patients would be willing to take the drug and risk developing diabetes given that numerous studies have shown Zyprexa to be no more effective than the older class of antipsychotics that do not cause diabetes; and
- (3) Most patients on Zyprexa would learn that the drug is not FDA approved to treat the condition they have.

Zyprexa is considered by the Doctors in my clinic hometown Bangor Maine as the Worst offender of the atypicals.

Comment by Daniel Haszard - March 25, 2008 at 9:50 am

Recent Comments

- Ray Halls on Hard Lessons from Vytorin's 'Trial Out of Hell'
- Anonymous on <u>Hard Lessons from</u> Vytorin's 'Trial Out of Hell'
- George Reeves on The Talk of the Cardiology Meeting: Vytorin
- I Noah on Hard Lessons from Vytorin's 'Trial Out of Hell'
- Ortho Watcher on Device Makers Post Payments to Docs Online

advertisement



Recent Posts

- Hard Lessons from Vytorin's 'Trial Out of
- Vytorin Postgame: Worse Than Expected
- Allergan and J&J Pump Up Weight-Loss
- Lilly's Lechleiter: Walker, Talker and Blogger
- Hospital Quality Measurement Leaves the 'Pong Era'
- The Talk of the Cardiology Meeting: Vytorin
- Pfizer Exec Arrested On Child Pornography Charges
- Dennis Quaid Acts on Medical Errors
- Harvard Neurosurgeon Accused of Gender
- Who Will Follow Wyeth in Sales Rep Layoffs?

Subscribe

RSS -- subscribe to updated headlines to read from anywhere on the Web. For more about RSS,

Mealth Blog

ABOUT THIS BLOG



WSJ's Health Blog offers news and analysis on health and the business of health. The lead writer

is Jacob Goldstein. He came to The Wall Street Journal from the Miami Herald, where he was a medical writer. Scott Hensley, who covered the drug industry as a reporter for the Journal for

1 of 2 3/31/2008 8:30 AM Visit WSJ.com's Health Page

Return To Top

WSJ Digital Network:

MarketWatch | Barrons.com | RealEstateJournal AllThingsDigital | Dow Jones News Alerts | MORE

DOWJONES

2 of 2