Page 1

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE STATE OF ALASKA

THIRD JUDICIAL DISTRICT AT ANCHORAGE

)

IN THE MATTER OF: The Necessity for the Hospitalization of William S.) Bigley

Case No. 3AN-08-1252 PR

Not Confidential *** CONFIDENTIAL * Jim Gottstein

TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING

BEFORE THE HONORABLE WILLIAM F. MORSE Superior Court Judge

> Anchorage, Alaska November 6, 2008 8:35 A.M.

APPEARANCES:

FOR THE STATE: Laura Derry, Esq. Erin Pohland, Esq. Assistant Attorney General 1031 West 4th Avenue, Suite 200 Anchorage, Alaska 99501

FOR THE RESPONDENT: James B. Gottstein, Esq. Law Project for Psychiatric Rights 406 G Street, Suite 206 Anchorage, Alaska 99501

	Page 2		Page 4
1	I-N-D-E-X	1	THE COURT: Okay. I'll (indiscernible). Is
2	DACE	2	there (indiscernible) before we hear additional
3	PAGE	3	evidence?
	KAHNAZ KHARI, MD	4	MR. GOTTSTEIN: I think there's a couple of
4	Direct Examination by Ms. Derry 10	5	things, Your Honor.
5	Direct Examination by Wis. Deny 10	6	I got served with motions to strike and for
	Cross Examination by Mr. Gottstein 33	7	protective order yesterday at 4:30. I don't know if
6	Redirect Examination by Ms. Derry 167	8	those are moot from I don't know why that's
7	5 5	9	something that the Court are those
8	Recross Examination by Mr. Gottstein 185	10	MS. POHLAND: That would be the protective
8 9		11	order that we discussed yesterday morning, Your Honor.
10		12	And it's just when my (indiscernible) staff wanted to
11	EXHIBITS	13	wait until I got back to (indiscernible). I was going
ТТ	F Listing of admissions 57	14	to have (indiscernible).
12		15	THE COURT: So the protective order's been
13 14		16	addressed early yesterday morning. And the motion to
15		17	strike is directed at the written testimony that
16		18 19	Mr. Gottstein
17 18		20	MS. DERRY: No, Your Honor. The motion to
19		20	strike is actually directed at the deposition testimony of the deponent on Monday and Tuesday.
20		22	The
21 22		23	THE COURT: Well, let's deal with that issue
23		24	if and when Mr. Gottstein attempts to utilize it.
24 25		25	MS. DERRY: Okay. Thank you.
23	5 2		
1	Page 3	1	Page 5
1 2	(Transcriptionist's note: When Mr. Bigley was asked a direct question and responded, this has been	1 2	MR. GOTTSTEIN: One of my concerns is I'm
3	transcribed; however, I did not attempt to transcribe		confused as to exactly what I'm prohibited from doing. And so and I, you know, (indiscernible) problem
4	Mr. Bigley speaking in the background during the	4	with that. So I want to know exactly what it is.
5	proceedings.)	5	So for example, would I be prohibited from
6	3AN6108-196	6	posting the motions that they filed yesterday?
7	8:35:38		(Indiscernible.)
8	PROCEEDINGS	8	THE COURT: Be specific. Which motions are
9	THE COURT: All right. We are on record in	9	you talking about?
10	3AN-08-01252 PR. Mr. Bigley, Mr. Hughes, Dr. Khari,	10	MR. GOTTSTEIN: The motion to strike and the
11	and I'm sorry	11	motion for protective order.
11 12	and I'm sorry MS. DERRY: Ms. Derry.	11 12	
	•		motion for protective order.
12	MS. DERRY: Ms. Derry.	12	motion for protective order. THE COURT: (Indiscernible.)
12 13	MS. DERRY: Ms. Derry. MS. POHLAND: Ms. Pohland.	12 13	motion for protective order. THE COURT: (Indiscernible.) MR. GOTTSTEIN: So
12 13 14	MS. DERRY: Ms. Derry. MS. POHLAND: Ms. Pohland. THE COURT: (Indiscernible) and Mr. Bigley	12 13 14	motion for protective order. THE COURT: (Indiscernible.) MR. GOTTSTEIN: So THE COURT: Those are (indiscernible)?
12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. DERRY: Ms. Derry. MS. POHLAND: Ms. Pohland. THE COURT: (Indiscernible) and Mr. Bigley are all present. From yesterday, the Mr. Gottstein and the State should have the log notes and the CD. I think	12 13 14 15 16 17	motion for protective order. THE COURT: (Indiscernible.) MR. GOTTSTEIN: So THE COURT: Those are (indiscernible)? MR. GOTTSTEIN: Yes, I think so. THE COURT: So this is the one that was (indiscernible) yesterday that was required by
12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. DERRY: Ms. Derry. MS. POHLAND: Ms. Pohland. THE COURT: (Indiscernible) and Mr. Bigley are all present. From yesterday, the Mr. Gottstein and the State should have the log notes and the CD. I think the public defender wanted one (indiscernible)?	12 13 14 15 16 17 18	motion for protective order. THE COURT: (Indiscernible.) MR. GOTTSTEIN: So THE COURT: Those are (indiscernible)? MR. GOTTSTEIN: Yes, I think so. THE COURT: So this is the one that was (indiscernible) yesterday that was required by (indiscernible) motion to strike. And the motion for
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MS. DERRY: Ms. Derry. MS. POHLAND: Ms. Pohland. THE COURT: (Indiscernible) and Mr. Bigley are all present. From yesterday, the Mr. Gottstein and the State should have the log notes and the CD. I think the public defender wanted one (indiscernible)? MR. GOTTSTEIN: Yes.	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	motion for protective order. THE COURT: (Indiscernible.) MR. GOTTSTEIN: So THE COURT: Those are (indiscernible)? MR. GOTTSTEIN: Yes, I think so. THE COURT: So this is the one that was (indiscernible) yesterday that was required by (indiscernible) motion to strike. And the motion for protective order is the one that (indiscernible)
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. DERRY: Ms. Derry. MS. POHLAND: Ms. Pohland. THE COURT: (Indiscernible) and Mr. Bigley are all present. From yesterday, the Mr. Gottstein and the State should have the log notes and the CD. I think the public defender wanted one (indiscernible)? MR. GOTTSTEIN: Yes. THE COURT: Are they by any chance going to	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	motion for protective order. THE COURT: (Indiscernible.) MR. GOTTSTEIN: So THE COURT: Those are (indiscernible)? MR. GOTTSTEIN: Yes, I think so. THE COURT: So this is the one that was (indiscernible) yesterday that was required by (indiscernible) motion to strike. And the motion for protective order is the one that (indiscernible) yesterday. Those are the two that (indiscernible).
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. DERRY: Ms. Derry. MS. POHLAND: Ms. Pohland. THE COURT: (Indiscernible) and Mr. Bigley are all present. From yesterday, the Mr. Gottstein and the State should have the log notes and the CD. I think the public defender wanted one (indiscernible)? MR. GOTTSTEIN: Yes. THE COURT: Are they by any chance going to be here, not in this hearing, but in the regular	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	motion for protective order. THE COURT: (Indiscernible.) MR. GOTTSTEIN: So THE COURT: Those are (indiscernible)? MR. GOTTSTEIN: Yes, I think so. THE COURT: So this is the one that was (indiscernible) yesterday that was required by (indiscernible) motion to strike. And the motion for protective order is the one that (indiscernible) yesterday. Those are the two that (indiscernible). MR. GOTTSTEIN: Did you sign it?
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. DERRY: Ms. Derry. MS. POHLAND: Ms. Pohland. THE COURT: (Indiscernible) and Mr. Bigley are all present. From yesterday, the Mr. Gottstein and the State should have the log notes and the CD. I think the public defender wanted one (indiscernible)? MR. GOTTSTEIN: Yes. THE COURT: Are they by any chance going to be here, not in this hearing, but in the regular 30-day hearing?	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	motion for protective order. THE COURT: (Indiscernible.) MR. GOTTSTEIN: So THE COURT: Those are (indiscernible)? MR. GOTTSTEIN: Yes, I think so. THE COURT: So this is the one that was (indiscernible) yesterday that was required by (indiscernible) motion to strike. And the motion for protective order is the one that (indiscernible) yesterday. Those are the two that (indiscernible). MR. GOTTSTEIN: Did you sign it? THE COURT: No, I haven't signed it.
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. DERRY: Ms. Derry. MS. POHLAND: Ms. Pohland. THE COURT: (Indiscernible) and Mr. Bigley are all present. From yesterday, the Mr. Gottstein and the State should have the log notes and the CD. I think the public defender wanted one (indiscernible)? MR. GOTTSTEIN: Yes. THE COURT: Are they by any chance going to be here, not in this hearing, but in the regular 30-day hearing? MS. DERRY: No. The hearing we're on the	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	motion for protective order. THE COURT: (Indiscernible.) MR. GOTTSTEIN: So THE COURT: Those are (indiscernible)? MR. GOTTSTEIN: Yes, I think so. THE COURT: So this is the one that was (indiscernible) yesterday that was required by (indiscernible) motion to strike. And the motion for protective order is the one that (indiscernible) yesterday. Those are the two that (indiscernible). MR. GOTTSTEIN: Did you sign it? THE COURT: No, I haven't signed it. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Oh, from that
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. DERRY: Ms. Derry. MS. POHLAND: Ms. Pohland. THE COURT: (Indiscernible) and Mr. Bigley are all present. From yesterday, the Mr. Gottstein and the State should have the log notes and the CD. I think the public defender wanted one (indiscernible)? MR. GOTTSTEIN: Yes. THE COURT: Are they by any chance going to be here, not in this hearing, but in the regular 30-day hearing?	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	motion for protective order. THE COURT: (Indiscernible.) MR. GOTTSTEIN: So THE COURT: Those are (indiscernible)? MR. GOTTSTEIN: Yes, I think so. THE COURT: So this is the one that was (indiscernible) yesterday that was required by (indiscernible) motion to strike. And the motion for protective order is the one that (indiscernible) yesterday. Those are the two that (indiscernible). MR. GOTTSTEIN: Did you sign it? THE COURT: No, I haven't signed it.

	Page 6		Page 8
1	THE COURT: This is the documents that you're	1	THE COURT: Does the State have any interest
2	talking about	2	in a settlement conference?
3	MR. GOTTSTEIN: Yes.	3	MS. POHLAND: I don't understand what the
4	THE COURT: and I assume the attachment?	4	purpose of the settlement conference would be, because
5	MR. GOTTSTEIN: Yes.	5	I believe it's Your Honor's determination that
6	THE COURT: What is the State's position	6	Mr. Bigley (indiscernible).
7	on let's start with the motion to strike.	7	THE COURT: (Indiscernible.)
8	MS. DERRY: The State's position is that all	8	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: No, Your Honor, we do
9	proceedings in this case should remain confidential	9	not.
10	pursuant to the protective order.	10	THE COURT: (Indiscernible.)
11	We don't actually see the purpose of posting	11	MR. GOTTSTEIN: And then I'd like to just
12	them on Mr. Gottstein's Web site. (Indiscernible)	12	the timing of witnesses, you know, I've got some
13	wants to make an application to the Court and have a	13	witnesses Dr. Wolf, he's available pretty much all
14	chance for argument, as he specified yesterday with	14	day today.
15	regard to some of those proceedings in this case, and	15	And he examined Mr. Bigley at the request of
16	we can argue it at that point (indiscernible).	16	the hospital, I believe. And he was listed on their
17	THE COURT: Let's do this. Let's take this	17	witness list. But he's he's got to be somewhere at
18	up later.	18	1 today. Tomorrow morning's no good. He's only in
19	I assume you're not wanting to post these	19	Anchorage on Monday next week, and so there's that.
20	today?	20	THE COURT: (Indiscernible) that.
21	MR. GOTTSTEIN: Well, Your Honor, what this	21	MR. GOTTSTEIN: Huh?
22	is for example, is the Web page. And I as a	22	THE COURT: Hopefully we can do
23	matter of course, I (indiscernible) post post	23	(indiscernible).
24	things as we go along, just as a matter of course,	24	MR. GOTTSTEIN: Yeah. And then Jerry
25	when they (indiscernible) well, I actually and	25	Jenkins, he is the CEO of Alaska Community Mental
	Page 7		Page 9
1	Page 7 if you want to order me to take them down, I I	1	Page 9 Health Services, and I'd like to be able to kind of
1 2		1 2	
	if you want to order me to take them down, I I		Health Services, and I'd like to be able to kind of
2	if you want to order me to take them down, I I started to post these, too. And I thought, well, I	2	Health Services, and I'd like to be able to kind of get him be able to call him and say, show up in
2 3	if you want to order me to take them down, I I started to post these, too. And I thought, well, I thought you said that this applies to deposition	2 3	Health Services, and I'd like to be able to kind of get him be able to call him and say, show up in half an hour, or whenever.
2 3 4	if you want to order me to take them down, I I started to post these, too. And I thought, well, I thought you said that this applies to deposition testimony, and so that this would be okay. And then I thought, well, gee, I better make sure what it is. But if the motions are listed	2 3 4	Health Services, and I'd like to be able to kind of get him be able to call him and say, show up in half an hour, or whenever. And then Ron Bassman, he's available for
2 3 4 5	if you want to order me to take them down, I I started to post these, too. And I thought, well, I thought you said that this applies to deposition testimony, and so that this would be okay. And then I thought, well, gee, I better make	2 3 4 5	Health Services, and I'd like to be able to kind of get him be able to call him and say, show up in half an hour, or whenever. And then Ron Bassman, he's available for cross examination from 10:30 to 11:30 today. And we can arrange other times. The State didn't didn't want to cross examine him last time. As a matter of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	if you want to order me to take them down, I I started to post these, too. And I thought, well, I thought you said that this applies to deposition testimony, and so that this would be okay. And then I thought, well, gee, I better make sure what it is. But if the motions are listed	2 3 4 5 6	Health Services, and I'd like to be able to kind of get him be able to call him and say, show up in half an hour, or whenever. And then Ron Bassman, he's available for cross examination from 10:30 to 11:30 today. And we can arrange other times. The State didn't didn't want to cross examine him last time. As a matter of fact, (indiscernible) businessman who, for some short
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	if you want to order me to take them down, I I started to post these, too. And I thought, well, I thought you said that this applies to deposition testimony, and so that this would be okay. And then I thought, well, gee, I better make sure what it is. But if the motions are listed here but it's not posted. I didn't put the links on. THE COURT: All right. But (indiscernible).	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Health Services, and I'd like to be able to kind of get him be able to call him and say, show up in half an hour, or whenever. And then Ron Bassman, he's available for cross examination from 10:30 to 11:30 today. And we can arrange other times. The State didn't didn't want to cross examine him last time. As a matter of fact, (indiscernible) businessman who, for some short testimony, maybe we could call them (indiscernible).
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	if you want to order me to take them down, I I started to post these, too. And I thought, well, I thought you said that this applies to deposition testimony, and so that this would be okay. And then I thought, well, gee, I better make sure what it is. But if the motions are listed here but it's not posted. I didn't put the links on. THE COURT: All right. But (indiscernible). MR. GOTTSTEIN: We can wait until the end of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Health Services, and I'd like to be able to kind of get him be able to call him and say, show up in half an hour, or whenever. And then Ron Bassman, he's available for cross examination from 10:30 to 11:30 today. And we can arrange other times. The State didn't didn't want to cross examine him last time. As a matter of fact, (indiscernible) businessman who, for some short testimony, maybe we could call them (indiscernible). THE COURT: Anything else?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	if you want to order me to take them down, I I started to post these, too. And I thought, well, I thought you said that this applies to deposition testimony, and so that this would be okay. And then I thought, well, gee, I better make sure what it is. But if the motions are listed here but it's not posted. I didn't put the links on. THE COURT: All right. But (indiscernible). MR. GOTTSTEIN: We can wait until the end of the day.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Health Services, and I'd like to be able to kind of get him be able to call him and say, show up in half an hour, or whenever. And then Ron Bassman, he's available for cross examination from 10:30 to 11:30 today. And we can arrange other times. The State didn't didn't want to cross examine him last time. As a matter of fact, (indiscernible) businessman who, for some short testimony, maybe we could call them (indiscernible). THE COURT: Anything else? MS. POHLAND: Your Honor, I would just note
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	if you want to order me to take them down, I I started to post these, too. And I thought, well, I thought you said that this applies to deposition testimony, and so that this would be okay. And then I thought, well, gee, I better make sure what it is. But if the motions are listed here but it's not posted. I didn't put the links on. THE COURT: All right. But (indiscernible). MR. GOTTSTEIN: We can wait until the end of the day. THE COURT: The end of the day, just so we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Health Services, and I'd like to be able to kind of get him be able to call him and say, show up in half an hour, or whenever. And then Ron Bassman, he's available for cross examination from 10:30 to 11:30 today. And we can arrange other times. The State didn't didn't want to cross examine him last time. As a matter of fact, (indiscernible) businessman who, for some short testimony, maybe we could call them (indiscernible). THE COURT: Anything else? MS. POHLAND: Your Honor, I would just note for the clerk that on yesterday's transcript, that I'm
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 if you want to order me to take them down, I I started to post these, too. And I thought, well, I thought you said that this applies to deposition testimony, and so that this would be okay. And then I thought, well, gee, I better make sure what it is. But if the motions are listed here but it's not posted. I didn't put the links on. THE COURT: All right. But (indiscernible). MR. GOTTSTEIN: We can wait until the end of the day. THE COURT: The end of the day, just so we can get evidence (indiscernible). And we may let's 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Health Services, and I'd like to be able to kind of get him be able to call him and say, show up in half an hour, or whenever. And then Ron Bassman, he's available for cross examination from 10:30 to 11:30 today. And we can arrange other times. The State didn't didn't want to cross examine him last time. As a matter of fact, (indiscernible) businessman who, for some short testimony, maybe we could call them (indiscernible). THE COURT: Anything else? MS. POHLAND: Your Honor, I would just note for the clerk that on yesterday's transcript, that I'm listed as the attorney for Mr. Bigley. And that would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	if you want to order me to take them down, I I started to post these, too. And I thought, well, I thought you said that this applies to deposition testimony, and so that this would be okay. And then I thought, well, gee, I better make sure what it is. But if the motions are listed here but it's not posted. I didn't put the links on. THE COURT: All right. But (indiscernible). MR. GOTTSTEIN: We can wait until the end of the day. THE COURT: The end of the day, just so we can get evidence (indiscernible). And we may let's use as much of our time today (indiscernible).	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Health Services, and I'd like to be able to kind of get him be able to call him and say, show up in half an hour, or whenever. And then Ron Bassman, he's available for cross examination from 10:30 to 11:30 today. And we can arrange other times. The State didn't didn't want to cross examine him last time. As a matter of fact, (indiscernible) businessman who, for some short testimony, maybe we could call them (indiscernible). THE COURT: Anything else? MS. POHLAND: Your Honor, I would just note for the clerk that on yesterday's transcript, that I'm listed as the attorney for Mr. Bigley. And that would be Mr. Gottstein. I am Erin Pohland, the attorney for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	if you want to order me to take them down, I I started to post these, too. And I thought, well, I thought you said that this applies to deposition testimony, and so that this would be okay. And then I thought, well, gee, I better make sure what it is. But if the motions are listed here but it's not posted. I didn't put the links on. THE COURT: All right. But (indiscernible). MR. GOTTSTEIN: We can wait until the end of the day. THE COURT: The end of the day, just so we can get evidence (indiscernible). And we may let's use as much of our time today (indiscernible). MR. GOTTSTEIN: (Indiscernible).	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Health Services, and I'd like to be able to kind of get him be able to call him and say, show up in half an hour, or whenever. And then Ron Bassman, he's available for cross examination from 10:30 to 11:30 today. And we can arrange other times. The State didn't didn't want to cross examine him last time. As a matter of fact, (indiscernible) businessman who, for some short testimony, maybe we could call them (indiscernible). THE COURT: Anything else? MS. POHLAND: Your Honor, I would just note for the clerk that on yesterday's transcript, that I'm listed as the attorney for Mr. Bigley. And that would be Mr. Gottstein. I am Erin Pohland, the attorney for the State. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 if you want to order me to take them down, I I started to post these, too. And I thought, well, I thought you said that this applies to deposition testimony, and so that this would be okay. And then I thought, well, gee, I better make sure what it is. But if the motions are listed here but it's not posted. I didn't put the links on. THE COURT: All right. But (indiscernible). MR. GOTTSTEIN: We can wait until the end of the day. THE COURT: The end of the day, just so we can get evidence (indiscernible). And we may let's use as much of our time today (indiscernible). MR. GOTTSTEIN: (Indiscernible). THE COURT: Before the end of the day, we'll 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Health Services, and I'd like to be able to kind of get him be able to call him and say, show up in half an hour, or whenever. And then Ron Bassman, he's available for cross examination from 10:30 to 11:30 today. And we can arrange other times. The State didn't didn't want to cross examine him last time. As a matter of fact, (indiscernible) businessman who, for some short testimony, maybe we could call them (indiscernible). THE COURT: Anything else? MS. POHLAND: Your Honor, I would just note for the clerk that on yesterday's transcript, that I'm listed as the attorney for Mr. Bigley. And that would be Mr. Gottstein. I am Erin Pohland, the attorney for the State. Thank you. THE COURT: All right. Anything else?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 if you want to order me to take them down, I I started to post these, too. And I thought, well, I thought you said that this applies to deposition testimony, and so that this would be okay. And then I thought, well, gee, I better make sure what it is. But if the motions are listed here but it's not posted. I didn't put the links on. THE COURT: All right. But (indiscernible). MR. GOTTSTEIN: We can wait until the end of the day. THE COURT: The end of the day, just so we can get evidence (indiscernible). And we may let's use as much of our time today (indiscernible). MR. GOTTSTEIN: (Indiscernible). THE COURT: Before the end of the day, we'll deal with those other issues. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Health Services, and I'd like to be able to kind of get him be able to call him and say, show up in half an hour, or whenever. And then Ron Bassman, he's available for cross examination from 10:30 to 11:30 today. And we can arrange other times. The State didn't didn't want to cross examine him last time. As a matter of fact, (indiscernible) businessman who, for some short testimony, maybe we could call them (indiscernible). THE COURT: Anything else? MS. POHLAND: Your Honor, I would just note for the clerk that on yesterday's transcript, that I'm listed as the attorney for Mr. Bigley. And that would be Mr. Gottstein. I am Erin Pohland, the attorney for the State. Thank you. THE COURT: All right. Anything else? MS. DERRY: Not from the State, Your Honor.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	if you want to order me to take them down, I I started to post these, too. And I thought, well, I thought you said that this applies to deposition testimony, and so that this would be okay. And then I thought, well, gee, I better make sure what it is. But if the motions are listed here but it's not posted. I didn't put the links on. THE COURT: All right. But (indiscernible). MR. GOTTSTEIN: We can wait until the end of the day. THE COURT: The end of the day, just so we can get evidence (indiscernible). And we may let's use as much of our time today (indiscernible). MR. GOTTSTEIN: (Indiscernible). THE COURT: Before the end of the day, we'll deal with those other issues. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Couple other things. One is,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Health Services, and I'd like to be able to kind of get him be able to call him and say, show up in half an hour, or whenever. And then Ron Bassman, he's available for cross examination from 10:30 to 11:30 today. And we can arrange other times. The State didn't didn't want to cross examine him last time. As a matter of fact, (indiscernible) businessman who, for some short testimony, maybe we could call them (indiscernible). THE COURT: Anything else? MS. POHLAND: Your Honor, I would just note for the clerk that on yesterday's transcript, that I'm listed as the attorney for Mr. Bigley. And that would be Mr. Gottstein. I am Erin Pohland, the attorney for the State. Thank you. THE COURT: All right. Anything else? MS. DERRY: Not from the State, Your Honor. Your Honor, I'd like Dr. Khari to testify.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	if you want to order me to take them down, I I started to post these, too. And I thought, well, I thought you said that this applies to deposition testimony, and so that this would be okay. And then I thought, well, gee, I better make sure what it is. But if the motions are listed here but it's not posted. I didn't put the links on. THE COURT: All right. But (indiscernible). MR. GOTTSTEIN: We can wait until the end of the day. THE COURT: The end of the day, just so we can get evidence (indiscernible). And we may let's use as much of our time today (indiscernible). MR. GOTTSTEIN: (Indiscernible). MR. GOTTSTEIN: (Indiscernible). MR. GOTTSTEIN: (Indiscernible). JTHE COURT: Before the end of the day, we'll deal with those other issues. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Couple other things. One is, I just (indiscernible) relate to some of the questions	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Health Services, and I'd like to be able to kind of get him be able to call him and say, show up in half an hour, or whenever. And then Ron Bassman, he's available for cross examination from 10:30 to 11:30 today. And we can arrange other times. The State didn't didn't want to cross examine him last time. As a matter of fact, (indiscernible) businessman who, for some short testimony, maybe we could call them (indiscernible). THE COURT: Anything else? MS. POHLAND: Your Honor, I would just note for the clerk that on yesterday's transcript, that I'm listed as the attorney for Mr. Bigley. And that would be Mr. Gottstein. I am Erin Pohland, the attorney for the State. Thank you. THE COURT: All right. Anything else? MS. DERRY: Not from the State, Your Honor. Your Honor, I'd like Dr. Khari to testify. THE COURT: Please stand and raise your right
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 if you want to order me to take them down, I I started to post these, too. And I thought, well, I thought you said that this applies to deposition testimony, and so that this would be okay. And then I thought, well, gee, I better make sure what it is. But if the motions are listed here but it's not posted. I didn't put the links on. THE COURT: All right. But (indiscernible). MR. GOTTSTEIN: We can wait until the end of the day. THE COURT: The end of the day, just so we can get evidence (indiscernible). And we may let's use as much of our time today (indiscernible). MR. GOTTSTEIN: (Indiscernible). THE COURT: Before the end of the day, we'll deal with those other issues. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Couple other things. One is, I just (indiscernible) relate to some of the questions that I have. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Health Services, and I'd like to be able to kind of get him be able to call him and say, show up in half an hour, or whenever. And then Ron Bassman, he's available for cross examination from 10:30 to 11:30 today. And we can arrange other times. The State didn't didn't want to cross examine him last time. As a matter of fact, (indiscernible) businessman who, for some short testimony, maybe we could call them (indiscernible). THE COURT: Anything else? MS. POHLAND: Your Honor, I would just note for the clerk that on yesterday's transcript, that I'm listed as the attorney for Mr. Bigley. And that would be Mr. Gottstein. I am Erin Pohland, the attorney for the State. Thank you. THE COURT: All right. Anything else? MS. DERRY: Not from the State, Your Honor. Your Honor, I'd like Dr. Khari to testify. THE COURT: Please stand and raise your right hand.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 if you want to order me to take them down, I I started to post these, too. And I thought, well, I thought you said that this applies to deposition testimony, and so that this would be okay. And then I thought, well, gee, I better make sure what it is. But if the motions are listed here but it's not posted. I didn't put the links on. THE COURT: All right. But (indiscernible). MR. GOTTSTEIN: We can wait until the end of the day. THE COURT: The end of the day, just so we can get evidence (indiscernible). And we may let's use as much of our time today (indiscernible). MR. GOTTSTEIN: (Indiscernible). THE COURT: Before the end of the day, we'll deal with those other issues. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Couple other things. One is, I just (indiscernible) relate to some of the questions that I have. I'm going to at some point and if I need 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Health Services, and I'd like to be able to kind of get him be able to call him and say, show up in half an hour, or whenever. And then Ron Bassman, he's available for cross examination from 10:30 to 11:30 today. And we can arrange other times. The State didn't didn't want to cross examine him last time. As a matter of fact, (indiscernible) businessman who, for some short testimony, maybe we could call them (indiscernible). THE COURT: Anything else? MS. POHLAND: Your Honor, I would just note for the clerk that on yesterday's transcript, that I'm listed as the attorney for Mr. Bigley. And that would be Mr. Gottstein. I am Erin Pohland, the attorney for the State. Thank you. THE COURT: All right. Anything else? MS. DERRY: Not from the State, Your Honor. Your Honor, I'd like Dr. Khari to testify. THE COURT: Please stand and raise your right hand. (Oath administered.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 if you want to order me to take them down, I I started to post these, too. And I thought, well, I thought you said that this applies to deposition testimony, and so that this would be okay. And then I thought, well, gee, I better make sure what it is. But if the motions are listed here but it's not posted. I didn't put the links on. THE COURT: All right. But (indiscernible). MR. GOTTSTEIN: We can wait until the end of the day. THE COURT: The end of the day, just so we can get evidence (indiscernible). And we may let's use as much of our time today (indiscernible). MR. GOTTSTEIN: (Indiscernible). MR. GOTTSTEIN: (Indiscernible). THE COURT: Before the end of the day, we'll deal with those other issues. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Couple other things. One is, I just (indiscernible) relate to some of the questions that I have. I'm going to at some point and if I need to do it now, I make the motion now. I move 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Health Services, and I'd like to be able to kind of get him be able to call him and say, show up in half an hour, or whenever. And then Ron Bassman, he's available for cross examination from 10:30 to 11:30 today. And we can arrange other times. The State didn't didn't want to cross examine him last time. As a matter of fact, (indiscernible) businessman who, for some short testimony, maybe we could call them (indiscernible). THE COURT: Anything else? MS. POHLAND: Your Honor, I would just note for the clerk that on yesterday's transcript, that I'm listed as the attorney for Mr. Bigley. And that would be Mr. Gottstein. I am Erin Pohland, the attorney for the State. Thank you. THE COURT: All right. Anything else? MS. DERRY: Not from the State, Your Honor. Your Honor, I'd like Dr. Khari to testify. THE COURT: Please stand and raise your right hand. (Oath administered.) THE WITNESS: I do.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 if you want to order me to take them down, I I started to post these, too. And I thought, well, I thought you said that this applies to deposition testimony, and so that this would be okay. And then I thought, well, gee, I better make sure what it is. But if the motions are listed here but it's not posted. I didn't put the links on. THE COURT: All right. But (indiscernible). MR. GOTTSTEIN: We can wait until the end of the day. THE COURT: The end of the day, just so we can get evidence (indiscernible). And we may let's use as much of our time today (indiscernible). MR. GOTTSTEIN: (Indiscernible). MR. GOTTSTEIN: (Indiscernible). MR. GOTTSTEIN: (Indiscernible). If the COURT: Before the end of the day, we'll deal with those other issues. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Couple other things. One is, I just (indiscernible) relate to some of the questions that I have. I'm going to at some point and if I need to do it now, I make the motion now. I move (indiscernible) court order a settlement conference. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Health Services, and I'd like to be able to kind of get him be able to call him and say, show up in half an hour, or whenever. And then Ron Bassman, he's available for cross examination from 10:30 to 11:30 today. And we can arrange other times. The State didn't didn't want to cross examine him last time. As a matter of fact, (indiscernible) businessman who, for some short testimony, maybe we could call them (indiscernible). THE COURT: Anything else? MS. POHLAND: Your Honor, I would just note for the clerk that on yesterday's transcript, that I'm listed as the attorney for Mr. Bigley. And that would be Mr. Gottstein. I am Erin Pohland, the attorney for the State. Thank you. THE COURT: All right. Anything else? MS. DERRY: Not from the State, Your Honor. Your Honor, I'd like Dr. Khari to testify. THE COURT: Please stand and raise your right hand. (Oath administered.) THE WITNESS: I do. THE COURT: You may be seated. Please
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 if you want to order me to take them down, I I started to post these, too. And I thought, well, I thought you said that this applies to deposition testimony, and so that this would be okay. And then I thought, well, gee, I better make sure what it is. But if the motions are listed here but it's not posted. I didn't put the links on. THE COURT: All right. But (indiscernible). MR. GOTTSTEIN: We can wait until the end of the day. THE COURT: The end of the day, just so we can get evidence (indiscernible). And we may let's use as much of our time today (indiscernible). MR. GOTTSTEIN: (Indiscernible). MR. GOTTSTEIN: (Indiscernible). THE COURT: Before the end of the day, we'll deal with those other issues. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Couple other things. One is, I just (indiscernible) relate to some of the questions that I have. I'm going to at some point and if I need to do it now, I make the motion now. I move 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Health Services, and I'd like to be able to kind of get him be able to call him and say, show up in half an hour, or whenever. And then Ron Bassman, he's available for cross examination from 10:30 to 11:30 today. And we can arrange other times. The State didn't didn't want to cross examine him last time. As a matter of fact, (indiscernible) businessman who, for some short testimony, maybe we could call them (indiscernible). THE COURT: Anything else? MS. POHLAND: Your Honor, I would just note for the clerk that on yesterday's transcript, that I'm listed as the attorney for Mr. Bigley. And that would be Mr. Gottstein. I am Erin Pohland, the attorney for the State. Thank you. THE COURT: All right. Anything else? MS. DERRY: Not from the State, Your Honor. Your Honor, I'd like Dr. Khari to testify. THE COURT: Please stand and raise your right hand. (Oath administered.) THE WITNESS: I do.

	Page 10		Page 12
1	THE WITNESS: Kahnaz Khari, K-A-H-N-A-Z, the	1	have a long-standing, (indiscernible) to the
2	last name K-H-A-R-I.	2	medication.
3	THE COURT: Madame Clerk, are you picking her	3	So this is (indiscernible) have focus on
4	up? Is her voice loud enough?	4	risperidone.
5	THE CLERK: She is doing fine. I'll let you	5	Q And what do you anticipate the dosage to be?
6	know if she fades.	6	A The medication, the dosage for the
7	THE COURT: Thank you. Go ahead.	7	(indiscernible) form, injection form, which is every
8	KAHNAZ KHARI, MD	8	two weeks, it starts on 25 milligram every two weeks,
9	called as a witness on behalf of the State, testified	9	with the maximum 50 milligram.
10	as follows on:	10	And however, when we start that medication in
11	DIRECT EXAMINATION	11	the injection form, it takes about four to eight weeks
12	BY MS. DERRY:	12	to get to a level actually, it takes two to four
13	Q Dr. Khari, are you familiar with Mr. Bigley?	13	injections. That makes it let's say it's four
14	A Yes.	14	injections, every injection is two weeks, almost eight
15	Q And could you give us a brief rundown of your	15	weeks to get to a steady level that we would like to
16	credentials as a psychiatrist, please?	16	have him.
17	A I finished my medical school in Dominican	17	And then usually the recommendation is to
18	Republic.	18	(indiscernible) individual with the oral medication of
19	I did my residency in the University of	19	the same medication until the injection form starts
20	Missouri, Kansas City.	20	showing effect in the system. So (indiscernible)
21	And since graduation from that program, I	21	start him initially on a (indiscernible) in the
22	have been working for API.	22	morning, 2 milligram at bedtime in the oral form, and
23	Q And you have	23	also give him the first injection of the risperidone
24	A Also, I am board certified.	24	(indiscernible) 25 milligram. And
25	Q Board certified psychiatrist?	25	THE COURT: The shot, how many?
	Page 11		5 12
			Page 13
1	A Yes.	1	THE WITNESS: Twenty-five milligram
1 2	A Yes.Q And since October 20th, you have been the	1 2	THE WITNESS: Twenty-five milligram initially.
	A Yes. Q And since October 20th, you have been the treating psychiatrist for Mr. Bigley?		THE WITNESS: Twenty-five milligram initially. And the reason usually the (indiscernible)
2	A Yes.Q And since October 20th, you have been the treating psychiatrist for Mr. Bigley?A Yes.	2	THE WITNESS: Twenty-five milligram initially. And the reason usually the (indiscernible) is recommended when we start an individual on a
2 3	 A Yes. Q And since October 20th, you have been the treating psychiatrist for Mr. Bigley? A Yes. Q Have you tried to discuss medications with 	2 3 4 5	THE WITNESS: Twenty-five milligram initially. And the reason usually the (indiscernible) is recommended when we start an individual on a medication, especially for the depo form, since they
2 3 4 5 6	 A Yes. Q And since October 20th, you have been the treating psychiatrist for Mr. Bigley? A Yes. Q Have you tried to discuss medications with Mr. Bigley? 	2 3 4 5 6	THE WITNESS: Twenty-five milligram initially. And the reason usually the (indiscernible) is recommended when we start an individual on a medication, especially for the depo form, since they may have a side effect. We do not want to expose them
2 3 4 5 6 7	 A Yes. Q And since October 20th, you have been the treating psychiatrist for Mr. Bigley? A Yes. Q Have you tried to discuss medications with Mr. Bigley? A Yes. On several occasions. 	2 3 4 5 6 7	THE WITNESS: Twenty-five milligram initially. And the reason usually the (indiscernible) is recommended when we start an individual on a medication, especially for the depo form, since they may have a side effect. We do not want to expose them immediately, give them oral medication for a week or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A Yes. Q And since October 20th, you have been the treating psychiatrist for Mr. Bigley? A Yes. Q Have you tried to discuss medications with Mr. Bigley? A Yes. On several occasions. Q And was he able to discuss medications with 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE WITNESS: Twenty-five milligram initially. And the reason usually the (indiscernible) is recommended when we start an individual on a medication, especially for the depo form, since they may have a side effect. We do not want to expose them immediately, give them oral medication for a week or two weeks, to just make sure they don't have any
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A Yes. Q And since October 20th, you have been the treating psychiatrist for Mr. Bigley? A Yes. Q Have you tried to discuss medications with Mr. Bigley? A Yes. On several occasions. Q And was he able to discuss medications with you? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE WITNESS: Twenty-five milligram initially. And the reason usually the (indiscernible) is recommended when we start an individual on a medication, especially for the depo form, since they may have a side effect. We do not want to expose them immediately, give them oral medication for a week or two weeks, to just make sure they don't have any adverse effects.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A Yes. Q And since October 20th, you have been the treating psychiatrist for Mr. Bigley? A Yes. Q Have you tried to discuss medications with Mr. Bigley? A Yes. On several occasions. Q And was he able to discuss medications with you? A No. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	THE WITNESS: Twenty-five milligram initially. And the reason usually the (indiscernible) is recommended when we start an individual on a medication, especially for the depo form, since they may have a side effect. We do not want to expose them immediately, give them oral medication for a week or two weeks, to just make sure they don't have any adverse effects. But in the case of Mr. Bigley, it's a little
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A Yes. Q And since October 20th, you have been the treating psychiatrist for Mr. Bigley? A Yes. Q Have you tried to discuss medications with Mr. Bigley? A Yes. On several occasions. Q And was he able to discuss medications with you? A No. Q What medications do you wish to prescribe? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	THE WITNESS: Twenty-five milligram initially. And the reason usually the (indiscernible) is recommended when we start an individual on a medication, especially for the depo form, since they may have a side effect. We do not want to expose them immediately, give them oral medication for a week or two weeks, to just make sure they don't have any adverse effects. But in the case of Mr. Bigley, it's a little bit different. He has been exposed to that medication
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A Yes. Q And since October 20th, you have been the treating psychiatrist for Mr. Bigley? A Yes. Q Have you tried to discuss medications with Mr. Bigley? A Yes. On several occasions. Q And was he able to discuss medications with you? A No. Q What medications do you wish to prescribe? A In his case well, usually, I would try to 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	THE WITNESS: Twenty-five milligram initially. And the reason usually the (indiscernible) is recommended when we start an individual on a medication, especially for the depo form, since they may have a side effect. We do not want to expose them immediately, give them oral medication for a week or two weeks, to just make sure they don't have any adverse effects. But in the case of Mr. Bigley, it's a little bit different. He has been exposed to that medication for longer-standing terms, (indiscernible). So I know
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A Yes. Q And since October 20th, you have been the treating psychiatrist for Mr. Bigley? A Yes. Q Have you tried to discuss medications with Mr. Bigley? A Yes. On several occasions. Q And was he able to discuss medications with you? A No. Q What medications do you wish to prescribe? A In his case well, usually, I would try to see what I would attempt to have engagement with my 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE WITNESS: Twenty-five milligram initially. And the reason usually the (indiscernible) is recommended when we start an individual on a medication, especially for the depo form, since they may have a side effect. We do not want to expose them immediately, give them oral medication for a week or two weeks, to just make sure they don't have any adverse effects. But in the case of Mr. Bigley, it's a little bit different. He has been exposed to that medication for longer-standing terms, (indiscernible). So I know that his body is used to the medication. And that is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A Yes. Q And since October 20th, you have been the treating psychiatrist for Mr. Bigley? A Yes. Q Have you tried to discuss medications with Mr. Bigley? A Yes. On several occasions. Q And was he able to discuss medications with you? A No. Q What medications do you wish to prescribe? A In his case well, usually, I would try to see what I would attempt to have engagement with my patient and discuss the choices of medication. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE WITNESS: Twenty-five milligram initially. And the reason usually the (indiscernible) is recommended when we start an individual on a medication, especially for the depo form, since they may have a side effect. We do not want to expose them immediately, give them oral medication for a week or two weeks, to just make sure they don't have any adverse effects. But in the case of Mr. Bigley, it's a little bit different. He has been exposed to that medication for longer-standing terms, (indiscernible). So I know that his body is used to the medication. And that is another reason that I am kind of acting a little bit
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A Yes. Q And since October 20th, you have been the treating psychiatrist for Mr. Bigley? A Yes. Q Have you tried to discuss medications with Mr. Bigley? A Yes. On several occasions. Q And was he able to discuss medications with you? A No. Q What medications do you wish to prescribe? A In his case well, usually, I would try to see what I would attempt to have engagement with my patient and discuss the choices of medication. But unfortunately, in Mr. Bigley's case, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE WITNESS: Twenty-five milligram initially. And the reason usually the (indiscernible) is recommended when we start an individual on a medication, especially for the depo form, since they may have a side effect. We do not want to expose them immediately, give them oral medication for a week or two weeks, to just make sure they don't have any adverse effects. But in the case of Mr. Bigley, it's a little bit different. He has been exposed to that medication for longer-standing terms, (indiscernible). So I know that his body is used to the medication. And that is another reason that I am kind of acting a little bit quicker, from the aspect that I know that Mr. Bigley
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A Yes. Q And since October 20th, you have been the treating psychiatrist for Mr. Bigley? A Yes. Q Have you tried to discuss medications with Mr. Bigley? A Yes. On several occasions. Q And was he able to discuss medications with you? A No. Q What medications do you wish to prescribe? A In his case well, usually, I would try to see what I would attempt to have engagement with my patient and discuss the choices of medication. But unfortunately, in Mr. Bigley's case, his his psychotic symptoms and his level of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE WITNESS: Twenty-five milligram initially. And the reason usually the (indiscernible) is recommended when we start an individual on a medication, especially for the depo form, since they may have a side effect. We do not want to expose them immediately, give them oral medication for a week or two weeks, to just make sure they don't have any adverse effects. But in the case of Mr. Bigley, it's a little bit different. He has been exposed to that medication for longer-standing terms, (indiscernible). So I know that his body is used to the medication. And that is another reason that I am kind of acting a little bit quicker, from the aspect that I know that Mr. Bigley does not want to stay in the hospital. And I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A Yes. Q And since October 20th, you have been the treating psychiatrist for Mr. Bigley? A Yes. Q Have you tried to discuss medications with Mr. Bigley? A Yes. On several occasions. Q And was he able to discuss medications with you? A No. Q What medications do you wish to prescribe? A In his case well, usually, I would try to see what I would attempt to have engagement with my patient and discuss the choices of medication. But unfortunately, in Mr. Bigley's case, his his psychotic symptoms and his level of cooperation and his thinking process limits him to 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE WITNESS: Twenty-five milligram initially. And the reason usually the (indiscernible) is recommended when we start an individual on a medication, especially for the depo form, since they may have a side effect. We do not want to expose them immediately, give them oral medication for a week or two weeks, to just make sure they don't have any adverse effects. But in the case of Mr. Bigley, it's a little bit different. He has been exposed to that medication for longer-standing terms, (indiscernible). So I know that his body is used to the medication. And that is another reason that I am kind of acting a little bit quicker, from the aspect that I know that Mr. Bigley does not want to stay in the hospital. And I THE COURT: Just a moment. Mr. Bigley,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A Yes. Q And since October 20th, you have been the treating psychiatrist for Mr. Bigley? A Yes. Q Have you tried to discuss medications with Mr. Bigley? A Yes. On several occasions. Q And was he able to discuss medications with you? A No. Q What medications do you wish to prescribe? A In his case well, usually, I would try to see what I would attempt to have engagement with my patient and discuss the choices of medication. But unfortunately, in Mr. Bigley's case, his his psychotic symptoms and his level of cooperation and his thinking process limits him to really have a rational engagement with me. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE WITNESS: Twenty-five milligram initially. And the reason usually the (indiscernible) is recommended when we start an individual on a medication, especially for the depo form, since they may have a side effect. We do not want to expose them immediately, give them oral medication for a week or two weeks, to just make sure they don't have any adverse effects. But in the case of Mr. Bigley, it's a little bit different. He has been exposed to that medication for longer-standing terms, (indiscernible). So I know that his body is used to the medication. And that is another reason that I am kind of acting a little bit quicker, from the aspect that I know that Mr. Bigley does not want to stay in the hospital. And I THE COURT: Just a moment. Mr. Bigley, (indiscernible) you have to be quiet. We want you to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A Yes. Q And since October 20th, you have been the treating psychiatrist for Mr. Bigley? A Yes. Q Have you tried to discuss medications with Mr. Bigley? A Yes. On several occasions. Q And was he able to discuss medications with you? A No. Q What medications do you wish to prescribe? A In his case well, usually, I would try to see what I would attempt to have engagement with my patient and discuss the choices of medication. But unfortunately, in Mr. Bigley's case, his his psychotic symptoms and his level of cooperation and his thinking process limits him to really have a rational engagement with me. And in that situation, by looking at the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	THE WITNESS: Twenty-five milligram initially. And the reason usually the (indiscernible) is recommended when we start an individual on a medication, especially for the depo form, since they may have a side effect. We do not want to expose them immediately, give them oral medication for a week or two weeks, to just make sure they don't have any adverse effects. But in the case of Mr. Bigley, it's a little bit different. He has been exposed to that medication for longer-standing terms, (indiscernible). So I know that his body is used to the medication. And that is another reason that I am kind of acting a little bit quicker, from the aspect that I know that Mr. Bigley does not want to stay in the hospital. And I THE COURT: Just a moment. Mr. Bigley, (indiscernible) you have to be quiet. We want you to stay. You need to be quiet for (indiscernible), okay?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A Yes. Q And since October 20th, you have been the treating psychiatrist for Mr. Bigley? A Yes. Q Have you tried to discuss medications with Mr. Bigley? A Yes. On several occasions. Q And was he able to discuss medications with you? A No. Q What medications do you wish to prescribe? A In his case well, usually, I would try to see what I would attempt to have engagement with my patient and discuss the choices of medication. But unfortunately, in Mr. Bigley's case, his his psychotic symptoms and his level of cooperation and his thinking process limits him to really have a rational engagement with me. And in that situation, by looking at the history of his medication and what he responded best 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE WITNESS: Twenty-five milligram initially. And the reason usually the (indiscernible) is recommended when we start an individual on a medication, especially for the depo form, since they may have a side effect. We do not want to expose them immediately, give them oral medication for a week or two weeks, to just make sure they don't have any adverse effects. But in the case of Mr. Bigley, it's a little bit different. He has been exposed to that medication for longer-standing terms, (indiscernible). So I know that his body is used to the medication. And that is another reason that I am kind of acting a little bit quicker, from the aspect that I know that Mr. Bigley does not want to stay in the hospital. And I THE COURT: Just a moment. Mr. Bigley, (indiscernible) you have to be quiet. We want you to stay. You need to be quiet for (indiscernible), okay? BY MS. DERRY:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A Yes. Q And since October 20th, you have been the treating psychiatrist for Mr. Bigley? A Yes. Q Have you tried to discuss medications with Mr. Bigley? A Yes. On several occasions. Q And was he able to discuss medications with you? A No. Q What medications do you wish to prescribe? A In his case well, usually, I would try to see what I would attempt to have engagement with my patient and discuss the choices of medication. But unfortunately, in Mr. Bigley's case, his his psychotic symptoms and his level of cooperation and his thinking process limits him to really have a rational engagement with me. And in that situation, by looking at the history of his medication and what he responded best were risperidone, which is a newer antipsychotic 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE WITNESS: Twenty-five milligram initially. And the reason usually the (indiscernible) is recommended when we start an individual on a medication, especially for the depo form, since they may have a side effect. We do not want to expose them immediately, give them oral medication for a week or two weeks, to just make sure they don't have any adverse effects. But in the case of Mr. Bigley, it's a little bit different. He has been exposed to that medication for longer-standing terms, (indiscernible). So I know that his body is used to the medication. And that is another reason that I am kind of acting a little bit quicker, from the aspect that I know that Mr. Bigley does not want to stay in the hospital. And I THE COURT: Just a moment. Mr. Bigley, (indiscernible) you have to be quiet. We want you to stay. You need to be quiet for (indiscernible), okay? BY MS. DERRY: Q And, Dr. Khari, Mr. Bigley has taken
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A Yes. Q And since October 20th, you have been the treating psychiatrist for Mr. Bigley? A Yes. Q Have you tried to discuss medications with Mr. Bigley? A Yes. On several occasions. Q And was he able to discuss medications with you? A No. Q What medications do you wish to prescribe? A In his case well, usually, I would try to see what I would attempt to have engagement with my patient and discuss the choices of medication. But unfortunately, in Mr. Bigley's case, his his psychotic symptoms and his level of cooperation and his thinking process limits him to really have a rational engagement with me. And in that situation, by looking at the history of his medication and what he responded best were risperidone, which is a newer antipsychotic medication. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE WITNESS: Twenty-five milligram initially. And the reason usually the (indiscernible) is recommended when we start an individual on a medication, especially for the depo form, since they may have a side effect. We do not want to expose them immediately, give them oral medication for a week or two weeks, to just make sure they don't have any adverse effects. But in the case of Mr. Bigley, it's a little bit different. He has been exposed to that medication for longer-standing terms, (indiscernible). So I know that his body is used to the medication. And that is another reason that I am kind of acting a little bit quicker, from the aspect that I know that Mr. Bigley does not want to stay in the hospital. And I THE COURT: Just a moment. Mr. Bigley, (indiscernible) you have to be quiet. We want you to stay. You need to be quiet for (indiscernible), okay? BY MS. DERRY: Q And, Dr. Khari, Mr. Bigley has taken long-acting risperidone before; is that correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A Yes. Q And since October 20th, you have been the treating psychiatrist for Mr. Bigley? A Yes. Q Have you tried to discuss medications with Mr. Bigley? A Yes. On several occasions. Q And was he able to discuss medications with you? A No. Q What medications do you wish to prescribe? A In his case well, usually, I would try to see what I would attempt to have engagement with my patient and discuss the choices of medication. But unfortunately, in Mr. Bigley's case, his his psychotic symptoms and his level of cooperation and his thinking process limits him to really have a rational engagement with me. And in that situation, by looking at the history of his medication and what he responded best were risperidone, which is a newer antipsychotic medication. And it is another reason that I am thinking 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE WITNESS: Twenty-five milligram initially. And the reason usually the (indiscernible) is recommended when we start an individual on a medication, especially for the depo form, since they may have a side effect. We do not want to expose them immediately, give them oral medication for a week or two weeks, to just make sure they don't have any adverse effects. But in the case of Mr. Bigley, it's a little bit different. He has been exposed to that medication for longer-standing terms, (indiscernible). So I know that his body is used to the medication. And that is another reason that I am kind of acting a little bit quicker, from the aspect that I know that Mr. Bigley does not want to stay in the hospital. And I THE COURT: Just a moment. Mr. Bigley, (indiscernible) you have to be quiet. We want you to stay. You need to be quiet for (indiscernible), okay? BY MS. DERRY: Q And, Dr. Khari, Mr. Bigley has taken long-acting risperidone before; is that correct? A Yes. Not while under my care. Actually, it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A Yes. Q And since October 20th, you have been the treating psychiatrist for Mr. Bigley? A Yes. Q Have you tried to discuss medications with Mr. Bigley? A Yes. On several occasions. Q And was he able to discuss medications with you? A No. Q What medications do you wish to prescribe? A In his case well, usually, I would try to see what I would attempt to have engagement with my patient and discuss the choices of medication. But unfortunately, in Mr. Bigley's case, his his psychotic symptoms and his level of cooperation and his thinking process limits him to really have a rational engagement with me. And in that situation, by looking at the history of his medication and what he responded best were risperidone, which is a newer antipsychotic medication. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE WITNESS: Twenty-five milligram initially. And the reason usually the (indiscernible) is recommended when we start an individual on a medication, especially for the depo form, since they may have a side effect. We do not want to expose them immediately, give them oral medication for a week or two weeks, to just make sure they don't have any adverse effects. But in the case of Mr. Bigley, it's a little bit different. He has been exposed to that medication for longer-standing terms, (indiscernible). So I know that his body is used to the medication. And that is another reason that I am kind of acting a little bit quicker, from the aspect that I know that Mr. Bigley does not want to stay in the hospital. And I THE COURT: Just a moment. Mr. Bigley, (indiscernible) you have to be quiet. We want you to stay. You need to be quiet for (indiscernible), okay? BY MS. DERRY: Q And, Dr. Khari, Mr. Bigley has taken long-acting risperidone before; is that correct?

	Page 14		Page 16
1	for a good I'm not exactly sure the duration, but I	1	(indiscernible) about the issue of this medication.
2	understand it was for good extended time.	2	Q Do you think that Mr. Bigley knows that he
3	Q And do you know if Mr. Bigley had any side	3	has mental illness?
4	effects to the medication at that time?	4	A No. He has no insight.
5	A As I said, I what I have seen in the	5	But it's interesting, in one of the occasions
6	chart. I did look at his chart. I scanned through	6	when I was talking with him, he (indiscernible).
7	2006, 2007, and 2008.	7	Actually, I should give you (indiscernible)
8	And some part of the review of the chart had	8	from the aspect, my contact with him (indiscernible),
9	indicated he did have some mild (indiscernible) of	9	his other hospitalization which he he recognizes me
10	dyskinesia. But it did not indicate which medication	10	as an agent of medication. So he has a lot of
11	caused that.	11	resentment and anger, even from first aspect, because
12	So I I am not really or it may have	12	the situation became with the court order on
13	(indiscernible). I'm not really sure. But I cannot	13	medication.
14	pinpoint what I did not see directly any side	14	But to his credit, this week (indiscernible)
15	effects recorded with the risperidone.	15	because he's able to have more rest at night, he is
16		16	actually requesting to talk with me. Usually he
	-	17	
17 18	of the chart, when he was on risperidone, he came to	18	was he would (indiscernible) me, he yells at me, he
19	the hospital to get that injection? A Yes. I understood he came while	19	scream at me, you know, verbal, you know, anger, some
20		20	profanity. But for this week, every day, actually when I
	(indiscernible) every two weeks, and (indiscernible)	20	
21 22	injection.	22	(indiscernible) me to go talk with him, and didn't
	Q Is there any indication in the chart that the	23	want to (indiscernible). He actually asked me: Do I
23	medication helped him?		have schizophrenia?
24	A Well, I would not I summarize from the	24 25	I have told him, yes, you do have
25	treatment team and staff, that they have known him for	25	schizophrenia. And I actually start to discuss
	Page 15		Page 17
1	a longer period, perhaps (indiscernible) degree of the	1	further. He (indiscernible) question or validating
2	chart. And also the evidence that he was living in	2	that he does have schizophrenia was unpleasant for him
3	the community, he he did not have the degree of the	3	to hear, and then he became upset.
4	number of the hospitalization that he is facing right	4	So does he have insight or not? Does he
5	now, and he did not have the number of the staying in	5	have you know, this is very difficult question from
6	the correctional facility that he is facing.	6	the aspect, does he have (indiscernible) moments that
7	So summarizing that, yes, I did	7	he does realize he does have a mental illness, and if
8	(indiscernible) evidence based on those comments, that	8	
9			he does, was that part of the reason he asked the
	he had he was maintaining a life in the community.	9	question or was he being confrontational to see what I
10	Q And you have evaluated Mr. Bigley at multiple		question or was he being confrontational to see what I think if he has (indiscernible) prove that.
11	Q And you have evaluated Mr. Bigley at multiple times since October 20th of 2008?	9 10 11	question or was he being confrontational to see what I think if he has (indiscernible) prove that. But my understanding is perhaps he may have
11 12	Q And you have evaluated Mr. Bigley at multiple times since October 20th of 2008?A I actually have visited with him on a daily	9 10 11 12	question or was he being confrontational to see what I think if he has (indiscernible) prove that. But my understanding is perhaps he may have moments, which is very short, of looking into where he
11 12 13	Q And you have evaluated Mr. Bigley at multiple times since October 20th of 2008?A I actually have visited with him on a daily basis, and even on the weekends. This Saturday I came	9 10 11 12 13	question or was he being confrontational to see what I think if he has (indiscernible) prove that. But my understanding is perhaps he may have moments, which is very short, of looking into where he is at and what he is facing.
11 12 13 14	Q And you have evaluated Mr. Bigley at multiple times since October 20th of 2008?A I actually have visited with him on a daily basis, and even on the weekends. This Saturday I came by to make sure he was you know, he was what his	9 10 11 12 13 14	 question or was he being confrontational to see what I think if he has (indiscernible) prove that. But my understanding is perhaps he may have moments, which is very short, of looking into where he is at and what he is facing. But as a whole, in (indiscernible), he has no
11 12 13 14 15	Q And you have evaluated Mr. Bigley at multiple times since October 20th of 2008? A I actually have visited with him on a daily basis, and even on the weekends. This Saturday I came by to make sure he was you know, he was what his state of mind. And so (indiscernible), on a weekly	9 10 11 12 13	 question or was he being confrontational to see what I think if he has (indiscernible) prove that. But my understanding is perhaps he may have moments, which is very short, of looking into where he is at and what he is facing. But as a whole, in (indiscernible), he has no insight to his mental illness, which is impacting on
11 12 13 14 15 16	Q And you have evaluated Mr. Bigley at multiple times since October 20th of 2008? A I actually have visited with him on a daily basis, and even on the weekends. This Saturday I came by to make sure he was you know, he was what his state of mind. And so (indiscernible), on a weekly basis, I have visited with him.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	question or was he being confrontational to see what I think if he has (indiscernible) prove that. But my understanding is perhaps he may have moments, which is very short, of looking into where he is at and what he is facing. But as a whole, in (indiscernible), he has no insight to his mental illness, which is impacting on his judgment (indiscernible).
11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q And you have evaluated Mr. Bigley at multiple times since October 20th of 2008? A I actually have visited with him on a daily basis, and even on the weekends. This Saturday I came by to make sure he was you know, he was what his state of mind. And so (indiscernible), on a weekly basis, I have visited with him. Q Was Saturday your day off? 	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 question or was he being confrontational to see what I think if he has (indiscernible) prove that. But my understanding is perhaps he may have moments, which is very short, of looking into where he is at and what he is facing. But as a whole, in (indiscernible), he has no insight to his mental illness, which is impacting on his judgment (indiscernible). Q And when you talked to him, when he asked you
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q And you have evaluated Mr. Bigley at multiple times since October 20th of 2008? A I actually have visited with him on a daily basis, and even on the weekends. This Saturday I came by to make sure he was you know, he was what his state of mind. And so (indiscernible), on a weekly basis, I have visited with him. Q Was Saturday your day off? A Yes. 	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 question or was he being confrontational to see what I think if he has (indiscernible) prove that. But my understanding is perhaps he may have moments, which is very short, of looking into where he is at and what he is facing. But as a whole, in (indiscernible), he has no insight to his mental illness, which is impacting on his judgment (indiscernible). Q And when you talked to him, when he asked you if he was schizophrenic and that made him upset, did
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q And you have evaluated Mr. Bigley at multiple times since October 20th of 2008? A I actually have visited with him on a daily basis, and even on the weekends. This Saturday I came by to make sure he was you know, he was what his state of mind. And so (indiscernible), on a weekly basis, I have visited with him. Q Was Saturday your day off? A Yes. Q And so you tried to discuss medications with 	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 question or was he being confrontational to see what I think if he has (indiscernible) prove that. But my understanding is perhaps he may have moments, which is very short, of looking into where he is at and what he is facing. But as a whole, in (indiscernible), he has no insight to his mental illness, which is impacting on his judgment (indiscernible). Q And when you talked to him, when he asked you if he was schizophrenic and that made him upset, did he say anything else after that?
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q And you have evaluated Mr. Bigley at multiple times since October 20th of 2008? A I actually have visited with him on a daily basis, and even on the weekends. This Saturday I came by to make sure he was you know, he was what his state of mind. And so (indiscernible), on a weekly basis, I have visited with him. Q Was Saturday your day off? A Yes. Q And so you tried to discuss medications with him? 	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 question or was he being confrontational to see what I think if he has (indiscernible) prove that. But my understanding is perhaps he may have moments, which is very short, of looking into where he is at and what he is facing. But as a whole, in (indiscernible), he has no insight to his mental illness, which is impacting on his judgment (indiscernible). Q And when you talked to him, when he asked you if he was schizophrenic and that made him upset, did he say anything else after that? A No. He just (indiscernible), and I cannot
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q And you have evaluated Mr. Bigley at multiple times since October 20th of 2008? A I actually have visited with him on a daily basis, and even on the weekends. This Saturday I came by to make sure he was you know, he was what his state of mind. And so (indiscernible), on a weekly basis, I have visited with him. Q Was Saturday your day off? A Yes. Q And so you tried to discuss medications with him? A Yes. 	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 question or was he being confrontational to see what I think if he has (indiscernible) prove that. But my understanding is perhaps he may have moments, which is very short, of looking into where he is at and what he is facing. But as a whole, in (indiscernible), he has no insight to his mental illness, which is impacting on his judgment (indiscernible). Q And when you talked to him, when he asked you if he was schizophrenic and that made him upset, did he say anything else after that? A No. He just (indiscernible), But usually when he
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q And you have evaluated Mr. Bigley at multiple times since October 20th of 2008? A I actually have visited with him on a daily basis, and even on the weekends. This Saturday I came by to make sure he was you know, he was what his state of mind. And so (indiscernible), on a weekly basis, I have visited with him. Q Was Saturday your day off? A Yes. Q And so you tried to discuss medications with him? A Yes. Q And it's not successful? 	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 question or was he being confrontational to see what I think if he has (indiscernible) prove that. But my understanding is perhaps he may have moments, which is very short, of looking into where he is at and what he is facing. But as a whole, in (indiscernible), he has no insight to his mental illness, which is impacting on his judgment (indiscernible). Q And when you talked to him, when he asked you if he was schizophrenic and that made him upset, did he say anything else after that? A No. He just (indiscernible). But usually when he was when making delusional comments and, if I
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q And you have evaluated Mr. Bigley at multiple times since October 20th of 2008? A I actually have visited with him on a daily basis, and even on the weekends. This Saturday I came by to make sure he was you know, he was what his state of mind. And so (indiscernible), on a weekly basis, I have visited with him. Q Was Saturday your day off? A Yes. Q And so you tried to discuss medications with him? A Yes. Q And it's not successful? A Actually, it becomes very upsetting to him. 	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 question or was he being confrontational to see what I think if he has (indiscernible) prove that. But my understanding is perhaps he may have moments, which is very short, of looking into where he is at and what he is facing. But as a whole, in (indiscernible), he has no insight to his mental illness, which is impacting on his judgment (indiscernible). Q And when you talked to him, when he asked you if he was schizophrenic and that made him upset, did he say anything else after that? A No. He just (indiscernible). But usually when he was when making delusional comments and, if I remember I have to look at my notes
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q And you have evaluated Mr. Bigley at multiple times since October 20th of 2008? A I actually have visited with him on a daily basis, and even on the weekends. This Saturday I came by to make sure he was you know, he was what his state of mind. And so (indiscernible), on a weekly basis, I have visited with him. Q Was Saturday your day off? A Yes. Q And so you tried to discuss medications with him? A Yes. Q And it's not successful? 	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 question or was he being confrontational to see what I think if he has (indiscernible) prove that. But my understanding is perhaps he may have moments, which is very short, of looking into where he is at and what he is facing. But as a whole, in (indiscernible), he has no insight to his mental illness, which is impacting on his judgment (indiscernible). Q And when you talked to him, when he asked you if he was schizophrenic and that made him upset, did he say anything else after that? A No. He just (indiscernible). But usually when he was when making delusional comments and, if I

	Page 18		Page 20
1	knowing the president, going back to his delusional	1	patient that he did not get along very well and did
2	contact.	2	not want to be present.
3	Q Okay. And do you feel that he can assimilate	3	So we, you know, had breakfast together, and
4	relevant facts about his illness?	4	he was two tables away from us. So I tried sometimes
5	A No, he does not.	5	just tried to tell him, you know tried to
6	Q Does he understand the information that you	6	(indiscernible) the time he was outside hospital
7	try to give him about his illness?	7	(indiscernible) maintaining.
8	A First of all, as I said, our engagement or	8	But as I said, inside the hospital, I did not
9	our having rational conversation is doesn't exist.	9	have any level of that much contact with him.
10	So I never reached to that point to be able. Even	10	Q When was that that you took him to breakfast?
11	though I have attempted it, I really never reached	11	A I think it was I could be wrong on my
12	that point, mainly because he would start raising his	12	dates. I would say probably was late 2007.
13	voice, he would make making sounds that is not	13	Q Okay. So it was over a year ago?
14	understandable. Basically he is trying to insult me,	14	A Yes.
15	not wanting to really maintain the engagement on that	15	Q Okay. And was he medicated at that time; do
16	conversation.	16	you know?
17	Q And do you try other forms of treatment with	17	A (Indiscernible) facility, they would not
18	him also while he's here?	18	access his (indiscernible) medication. So I assume
19	A Well, I don't exactly your question from	19	that he was on medication at that time.
20	that aspect. But from my aspect, I try trying to	20	Q So he was in an assisted-living facility?
21	approach him, just put aside even as a doctor, just as	21	A Yeah.
22	a human to human (indiscernible) engagement with him,	22	Q And must have been on medication, because the
23	just trying to see, you know, how is he doing, is he	23	requirement to be in assisted living?
24	having a better day.	24	A (Indiscernible) facility, yes.
25	But of course, as I said, he sees me	25	Q Okay. And when he says that he doesn't want
15		25	
	Page 19		Page 21
1	connected with the medication.	1	to be on medication, does he tell you why?
2	And I try to on one occasion, I	2	A No. He sometimes as I said, he does
3	actually I had one contact with him in the on	3	not make really sense, unfortunately. I wish I could
4	outpatient not outpatient. Off the API facility.	4	have known him in a better state of mind.
5	Actually I went to one (indiscernible) facility once	5	But he does say that I am killing his brain.
6	to take one of my the social workers of my team	6	So I don't understand, does that mean the medication
7	wanted to take one of my patients, who was in the	7	is is he talking about the side effect? Is he
8	hospital for a long time and was doing really well in	8	worried about the concern of the side effect? But he
9	there, outside API to take him out for breakfast. And	9	does tell me that it kills his brain, and then after
10	Mr. Bigley happened to be at the same time in the same	10	that he goes into the other a lot of other
11	facility.	11	delusional then he start making delusional
12	Actually, I wasn't aware of it. When I	12	statements that is not related to medication.
13	walked in there, I had a bit of concern because I know	13	So I can't understand really, is he trying to
14	that I usually use my (indiscernible). So I tried to	14	tell me he is concerned about the side effect, is it
15	be low key and try to (indiscernible), you know, not	15	because it's damaging to his thinking, what impact
16	to create that (indiscernible) for him.	16	does it have as overall to his brain. So I really
17	And so but (indiscernible), he actually	17	can't help good on that.
18	approached me. We didn't have really long	18	Q Okay. Do you think that he's capable no,
19	conversation. So I acknowledged him. I told him I am	19	I'm not going to ask I already asked that question.
20	taking another individual to breakfast, and if he	20	What do you think will happen if Mr. Bigley
21	would like to join us, I would be happy to take him	21	continues to not be treated with the full spectrum of
22	out for breakfast, as well.	22	what API can provide him?
		22	•
23	And to my surprise, ne agreed. He said ne	23	A Unfortunately, schizophrenia at the present
23 24	And to my surprise, he agreed. He said he would come, but he would not be willing to sit on the	23 24	time (indiscernible) medicine is a field that in

	Page 22		Page 24
1	progressing on a daily basis.	1	over the subpoena. It was requesting an essay
2	At the present time, we still continue to	2	essentially of everything that Dr. Khari knows and
3	consider schizophrenia as a chronic disease. And in	3	what her opinion is of Mr. Bigley. And she, as a
4	his situation, he has a severe level of schizophrenia.	4	clinician, wasn't prepared to do that.
5	He has had long-standing institutionalized life, you	5	But she we can if there was a single
6	know, by coming to API as a result of his his	6	study. I think we had established during the
7	illness.	7	deposition that her testimony comes from her years of
8	Unfortunately, a lot of the studies have	8	experience and all of the various continuing education
9	shown that when the individual does not receive the	9	that she has, and in general. And there wasn't a
10	(indiscernible) care over the treatment, they continue	10	specific reference to anything that she specifically
11	would deteriorate. Their prognosis becomes	11	relied upon, more than the standard of care that is
12	(indiscernible) or they continue to (indiscernible)	12	trained (indiscernible).
13	prognosis and (indiscernible).	13	MR. GOTTSTEIN: Your Honor, if I can
14	And they unfortunately at that point would	14	THE COURT: Do you have the either the
15	become that as they do not receive treatment, their	15	deposition request or the subpoena, or wherever the
16	level of functioning declines. So what the	16	request for information (indiscernible)?
17	baseline what I mean by that, if they maintain a	17	MR. GOTTSTEIN: Yes. It was the same
18	baseline today at this level, can the individual have	18	attachment was both to the deposition and for here.
19	the same baseline later, even like few months later.	19	MS. DERRY: And, Your Honor, we also objected
20	Even (indiscernible) treat them at that time,	20	to
21	because the baseline may have already changed. So	21	THE COURT: Let me read it.
22	basically, we are taking more and more his level of	22	So it was the first bullet point
23	functioning as we are as we delay and prolong	23	(indiscernible)?
24	administering the treatment and care.	24	MR. GOTTSTEIN: Yes.
25	Q So you believe that not treating with	25	THE COURT: And (indiscernible) deposition
	Page 23		Page 25
1	medications that were successful in the past, that you	1	(indiscernible)
2	actually we actually may be putting him in a	2	(indiscernible) MS. DERRY: Yes. And, Your Honor, we
	actually we actually may be putting him in a situation that makes it difficult for him to ever		(indiscernible) MS. DERRY: Yes. And, Your Honor, we objected that the timeliness of the notice made it
2 3 4	actually we actually may be putting him in a situation that makes it difficult for him to ever achieve the baseline he was at before?	2 3 4	(indiscernible) MS. DERRY: Yes. And, Your Honor, we objected that the timeliness of the notice made it very difficult to present all of the information that
2 3 4 5	actually we actually may be putting him in a situation that makes it difficult for him to ever achieve the baseline he was at before?A Yes. And then also, the newer studies	2 3 4 5	(indiscernible) MS. DERRY: Yes. And, Your Honor, we objected that the timeliness of the notice made it very difficult to present all of the information that he had requested. We had Dr. Khari was served on
2 3 4 5 6	actually we actually may be putting him in a situation that makes it difficult for him to ever achieve the baseline he was at before? A Yes. And then also, the newer studies (indiscernible) studies	2 3 4 5 6	(indiscernible) MS. DERRY: Yes. And, Your Honor, we objected that the timeliness of the notice made it very difficult to present all of the information that he had requested. We had Dr. Khari was served on Thursday or Friday morning, and then there was various
2 3 4 5 6 7	actually we actually may be putting him in a situation that makes it difficult for him to ever achieve the baseline he was at before? A Yes. And then also, the newer studies (indiscernible) studies MR. GOTTSTEIN: Objection. I don't think	2 3 4 5 6 7	(indiscernible) MS. DERRY: Yes. And, Your Honor, we objected that the timeliness of the notice made it very difficult to present all of the information that he had requested. We had Dr. Khari was served on Thursday or Friday morning, and then there was various problems with scheduling and Dr. Khari being at work
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	actually we actually may be putting him in a situation that makes it difficult for him to ever achieve the baseline he was at before? A Yes. And then also, the newer studies (indiscernible) studies MR. GOTTSTEIN: Objection. I don't think she's been qualified to testify as to the scientific	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	(indiscernible) MS. DERRY: Yes. And, Your Honor, we objected that the timeliness of the notice made it very difficult to present all of the information that he had requested. We had Dr. Khari was served on Thursday or Friday morning, and then there was various problems with scheduling and Dr. Khari being at work and she wasn't with the timeliness of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	actually we actually may be putting him in a situation that makes it difficult for him to ever achieve the baseline he was at before? A Yes. And then also, the newer studies (indiscernible) studies MR. GOTTSTEIN: Objection. I don't think she's been qualified to testify as to the scientific evidence.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	(indiscernible) MS. DERRY: Yes. And, Your Honor, we objected that the timeliness of the notice made it very difficult to present all of the information that he had requested. We had Dr. Khari was served on Thursday or Friday morning, and then there was various problems with scheduling and Dr. Khari being at work and she wasn't with the timeliness of the depositions (indiscernible).
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	actually we actually may be putting him in a situation that makes it difficult for him to ever achieve the baseline he was at before? A Yes. And then also, the newer studies (indiscernible) studies MR. GOTTSTEIN: Objection. I don't think she's been qualified to testify as to the scientific evidence. And I asked her at her deposition to bring	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	(indiscernible) MS. DERRY: Yes. And, Your Honor, we objected that the timeliness of the notice made it very difficult to present all of the information that he had requested. We had Dr. Khari was served on Thursday or Friday morning, and then there was various problems with scheduling and Dr. Khari being at work and she wasn't with the timeliness of the depositions (indiscernible). THE COURT: Was she about to tell me about a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	actually we actually may be putting him in a situation that makes it difficult for him to ever achieve the baseline he was at before? A Yes. And then also, the newer studies (indiscernible) studies MR. GOTTSTEIN: Objection. I don't think she's been qualified to testify as to the scientific evidence. And I asked her at her deposition to bring everything that she is going to rely on for scientific	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	(indiscernible) MS. DERRY: Yes. And, Your Honor, we objected that the timeliness of the notice made it very difficult to present all of the information that he had requested. We had Dr. Khari was served on Thursday or Friday morning, and then there was various problems with scheduling and Dr. Khari being at work and she wasn't with the timeliness of the depositions (indiscernible). THE COURT: Was she about to tell me about a specific study or just sort of, in my years of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	actually we actually may be putting him in a situation that makes it difficult for him to ever achieve the baseline he was at before? A Yes. And then also, the newer studies (indiscernible) studies MR. GOTTSTEIN: Objection. I don't think she's been qualified to testify as to the scientific evidence. And I asked her at her deposition to bring everything that she is going to rely on for scientific evidence. And so I haven't been provided those	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 (indiscernible) MS. DERRY: Yes. And, Your Honor, we objected that the timeliness of the notice made it very difficult to present all of the information that he had requested. We had Dr. Khari was served on Thursday or Friday morning, and then there was various problems with scheduling and Dr. Khari being at work and she wasn't with the timeliness of the depositions (indiscernible). THE COURT: Was she about to tell me about a specific study or just sort of, in my years of experience?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	actually we actually may be putting him in a situation that makes it difficult for him to ever achieve the baseline he was at before? A Yes. And then also, the newer studies (indiscernible) studies MR. GOTTSTEIN: Objection. I don't think she's been qualified to testify as to the scientific evidence. And I asked her at her deposition to bring everything that she is going to rely on for scientific evidence. And so I haven't been provided those studies.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 (indiscernible) MS. DERRY: Yes. And, Your Honor, we objected that the timeliness of the notice made it very difficult to present all of the information that he had requested. We had Dr. Khari was served on Thursday or Friday morning, and then there was various problems with scheduling and Dr. Khari being at work and she wasn't with the timeliness of the depositions (indiscernible). THE COURT: Was she about to tell me about a specific study or just sort of, in my years of experience? MS. DERRY: Are you talking about a specific
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	actually we actually may be putting him in a situation that makes it difficult for him to ever achieve the baseline he was at before? A Yes. And then also, the newer studies (indiscernible) studies MR. GOTTSTEIN: Objection. I don't think she's been qualified to testify as to the scientific evidence. And I asked her at her deposition to bring everything that she is going to rely on for scientific evidence. And so I haven't been provided those studies. THE COURT: Would you lay a foundation as to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 (indiscernible) MS. DERRY: Yes. And, Your Honor, we objected that the timeliness of the notice made it very difficult to present all of the information that he had requested. We had Dr. Khari was served on Thursday or Friday morning, and then there was various problems with scheduling and Dr. Khari being at work and she wasn't with the timeliness of the depositions (indiscernible). THE COURT: Was she about to tell me about a specific study or just sort of, in my years of experience? MS. DERRY: Are you talking about a specific study or years of experience?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	actually we actually may be putting him in a situation that makes it difficult for him to ever achieve the baseline he was at before? A Yes. And then also, the newer studies (indiscernible) studies MR. GOTTSTEIN: Objection. I don't think she's been qualified to testify as to the scientific evidence. And I asked her at her deposition to bring everything that she is going to rely on for scientific evidence. And so I haven't been provided those studies. THE COURT: Would you lay a foundation as to her ability to reference those (indiscernible). And	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 (indiscernible) MS. DERRY: Yes. And, Your Honor, we objected that the timeliness of the notice made it very difficult to present all of the information that he had requested. We had Dr. Khari was served on Thursday or Friday morning, and then there was various problems with scheduling and Dr. Khari being at work and she wasn't with the timeliness of the depositions (indiscernible). THE COURT: Was she about to tell me about a specific study or just sort of, in my years of experience? MS. DERRY: Are you talking about a specific study or years of experience? THE WITNESS: No. Experience and the daily
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	actually we actually may be putting him in a situation that makes it difficult for him to ever achieve the baseline he was at before? A Yes. And then also, the newer studies (indiscernible) studies MR. GOTTSTEIN: Objection. I don't think she's been qualified to testify as to the scientific evidence. And I asked her at her deposition to bring everything that she is going to rely on for scientific evidence. And so I haven't been provided those studies. THE COURT: Would you lay a foundation as to her ability to reference those (indiscernible). And then whatever it is that you are eliciting, has that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 (indiscernible) MS. DERRY: Yes. And, Your Honor, we objected that the timeliness of the notice made it very difficult to present all of the information that he had requested. We had Dr. Khari was served on Thursday or Friday morning, and then there was various problems with scheduling and Dr. Khari being at work and she wasn't with the timeliness of the depositions (indiscernible). THE COURT: Was she about to tell me about a specific study or just sort of, in my years of experience? MS. DERRY: Are you talking about a specific study or years of experience? THE WITNESS: No. Experience and the daily reading and updating myself, as a summary, not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	actually we actually may be putting him in a situation that makes it difficult for him to ever achieve the baseline he was at before? A Yes. And then also, the newer studies (indiscernible) studies MR. GOTTSTEIN: Objection. I don't think she's been qualified to testify as to the scientific evidence. And I asked her at her deposition to bring everything that she is going to rely on for scientific evidence. And so I haven't been provided those studies. THE COURT: Would you lay a foundation as to her ability to reference those (indiscernible). And then whatever it is that you are eliciting, has that been provided?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 (indiscernible) MS. DERRY: Yes. And, Your Honor, we objected that the timeliness of the notice made it very difficult to present all of the information that he had requested. We had Dr. Khari was served on Thursday or Friday morning, and then there was various problems with scheduling and Dr. Khari being at work and she wasn't with the timeliness of the depositions (indiscernible). THE COURT: Was she about to tell me about a specific study or just sort of, in my years of experience? MS. DERRY: Are you talking about a specific study or years of experience? THE WITNESS: No. Experience and the daily reading and updating myself, as a summary, not focusing on one article.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	actually we actually may be putting him in a situation that makes it difficult for him to ever achieve the baseline he was at before? A Yes. And then also, the newer studies (indiscernible) studies MR. GOTTSTEIN: Objection. I don't think she's been qualified to testify as to the scientific evidence. And I asked her at her deposition to bring everything that she is going to rely on for scientific evidence. And so I haven't been provided those studies. THE COURT: Would you lay a foundation as to her ability to reference those (indiscernible). And then whatever it is that you are eliciting, has that been provided? MS. DERRY: No. Other than Dr. Khari	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 (indiscernible) MS. DERRY: Yes. And, Your Honor, we objected that the timeliness of the notice made it very difficult to present all of the information that he had requested. We had Dr. Khari was served on Thursday or Friday morning, and then there was various problems with scheduling and Dr. Khari being at work and she wasn't with the timeliness of the depositions (indiscernible). THE COURT: Was she about to tell me about a specific study or just sort of, in my years of experience? MS. DERRY: Are you talking about a specific study or years of experience? THE WITNESS: No. Experience and the daily reading and updating myself, as a summary, not focusing on one article. But if they want me to focus, I could look
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	actually we actually may be putting him in a situation that makes it difficult for him to ever achieve the baseline he was at before? A Yes. And then also, the newer studies (indiscernible) studies MR. GOTTSTEIN: Objection. I don't think she's been qualified to testify as to the scientific evidence. And I asked her at her deposition to bring everything that she is going to rely on for scientific evidence. And so I haven't been provided those studies. THE COURT: Would you lay a foundation as to her ability to reference those (indiscernible). And then whatever it is that you are eliciting, has that been provided? MS. DERRY: No. Other than Dr. Khari testified in the court, in probate, as an expert.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 (indiscernible) MS. DERRY: Yes. And, Your Honor, we objected that the timeliness of the notice made it very difficult to present all of the information that he had requested. We had Dr. Khari was served on Thursday or Friday morning, and then there was various problems with scheduling and Dr. Khari being at work and she wasn't with the timeliness of the depositions (indiscernible). THE COURT: Was she about to tell me about a specific study or just sort of, in my years of experience? MS. DERRY: Are you talking about a specific study or years of experience? THE WITNESS: No. Experience and the daily reading and updating myself, as a summary, not focusing on one article. But if they want me to focus, I could look for article. I'm not thinking of one specific
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	actually we actually may be putting him in a situation that makes it difficult for him to ever achieve the baseline he was at before? A Yes. And then also, the newer studies (indiscernible) studies MR. GOTTSTEIN: Objection. I don't think she's been qualified to testify as to the scientific evidence. And I asked her at her deposition to bring everything that she is going to rely on for scientific evidence. And so I haven't been provided those studies. THE COURT: Would you lay a foundation as to her ability to reference those (indiscernible). And then whatever it is that you are eliciting, has that been provided? MS. DERRY: No. Other than Dr. Khari testified in the court, in probate, as an expert. THE COURT: Well (indiscernible) I'm not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 (indiscernible) MS. DERRY: Yes. And, Your Honor, we objected that the timeliness of the notice made it very difficult to present all of the information that he had requested. We had Dr. Khari was served on Thursday or Friday morning, and then there was various problems with scheduling and Dr. Khari being at work and she wasn't with the timeliness of the depositions (indiscernible). THE COURT: Was she about to tell me about a specific study or just sort of, in my years of experience? MS. DERRY: Are you talking about a specific study or years of experience? THE WITNESS: No. Experience and the daily reading and updating myself, as a summary, not focusing on one article. But if they want me to focus, I could look for article. I'm not thinking of one specific article. I'm just based on my profession, I have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	actually we actually may be putting him in a situation that makes it difficult for him to ever achieve the baseline he was at before? A Yes. And then also, the newer studies (indiscernible) studies MR. GOTTSTEIN: Objection. I don't think she's been qualified to testify as to the scientific evidence. And I asked her at her deposition to bring everything that she is going to rely on for scientific evidence. And so I haven't been provided those studies. THE COURT: Would you lay a foundation as to her ability to reference those (indiscernible). And then whatever it is that you are eliciting, has that been provided? MS. DERRY: No. Other than Dr. Khari testified in the court, in probate, as an expert. THE COURT: Well (indiscernible) I'm not talking about. But if she is going to rely on a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 (indiscernible) MS. DERRY: Yes. And, Your Honor, we objected that the timeliness of the notice made it very difficult to present all of the information that he had requested. We had Dr. Khari was served on Thursday or Friday morning, and then there was various problems with scheduling and Dr. Khari being at work and she wasn't with the timeliness of the depositions (indiscernible). THE COURT: Was she about to tell me about a specific study or just sort of, in my years of experience? MS. DERRY: Are you talking about a specific study or years of experience? THE WITNESS: No. Experience and the daily reading and updating myself, as a summary, not focusing on one article. But if they want me to focus, I could look for article. I'm not thinking of one specific article. I'm just based on my profession, I have to update with all the time from (indiscernible) of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	actually we actually may be putting him in a situation that makes it difficult for him to ever achieve the baseline he was at before? A Yes. And then also, the newer studies (indiscernible) studies MR. GOTTSTEIN: Objection. I don't think she's been qualified to testify as to the scientific evidence. And I asked her at her deposition to bring everything that she is going to rely on for scientific evidence. And so I haven't been provided those studies. THE COURT: Would you lay a foundation as to her ability to reference those (indiscernible). And then whatever it is that you are eliciting, has that been provided? MS. DERRY: No. Other than Dr. Khari testified in the court, in probate, as an expert. THE COURT: Well (indiscernible) I'm not talking about. But if she is going to rely on a specific study and was has that study been	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 (indiscernible) MS. DERRY: Yes. And, Your Honor, we objected that the timeliness of the notice made it very difficult to present all of the information that he had requested. We had Dr. Khari was served on Thursday or Friday morning, and then there was various problems with scheduling and Dr. Khari being at work and she wasn't with the timeliness of the depositions (indiscernible). THE COURT: Was she about to tell me about a specific study or just sort of, in my years of experience? MS. DERRY: Are you talking about a specific study or years of experience? THE WITNESS: No. Experience and the daily reading and updating myself, as a summary, not focusing on one article. But if they want me to focus, I could look for article. I'm not thinking of one specific article. I'm just based on my profession, I have to update with all the time from (indiscernible) of the information that I read.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	actually we actually may be putting him in a situation that makes it difficult for him to ever achieve the baseline he was at before? A Yes. And then also, the newer studies (indiscernible) studies MR. GOTTSTEIN: Objection. I don't think she's been qualified to testify as to the scientific evidence. And I asked her at her deposition to bring everything that she is going to rely on for scientific evidence. And so I haven't been provided those studies. THE COURT: Would you lay a foundation as to her ability to reference those (indiscernible). And then whatever it is that you are eliciting, has that been provided? MS. DERRY: No. Other than Dr. Khari testified in the court, in probate, as an expert. THE COURT: Well (indiscernible) I'm not talking about. But if she is going to rely on a specific study and was has that study been provided was there a request for it to be provided,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 (indiscernible) MS. DERRY: Yes. And, Your Honor, we objected that the timeliness of the notice made it very difficult to present all of the information that he had requested. We had Dr. Khari was served on Thursday or Friday morning, and then there was various problems with scheduling and Dr. Khari being at work and she wasn't with the timeliness of the depositions (indiscernible). THE COURT: Was she about to tell me about a specific study or just sort of, in my years of experience? MS. DERRY: Are you talking about a specific study or years of experience? THE WITNESS: No. Experience and the daily reading and updating myself, as a summary, not focusing on one article. But if they want me to focus, I could look for article. I'm not thinking of one specific article. I'm just based on my profession, I have to update with all the time from (indiscernible) of the information that I read. MS. DERRY: And I would be happy to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	actually we actually may be putting him in a situation that makes it difficult for him to ever achieve the baseline he was at before? A Yes. And then also, the newer studies (indiscernible) studies MR. GOTTSTEIN: Objection. I don't think she's been qualified to testify as to the scientific evidence. And I asked her at her deposition to bring everything that she is going to rely on for scientific evidence. And so I haven't been provided those studies. THE COURT: Would you lay a foundation as to her ability to reference those (indiscernible). And then whatever it is that you are eliciting, has that been provided? MS. DERRY: No. Other than Dr. Khari testified in the court, in probate, as an expert. THE COURT: Well (indiscernible) I'm not talking about. But if she is going to rely on a specific study and was has that study been	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 (indiscernible) MS. DERRY: Yes. And, Your Honor, we objected that the timeliness of the notice made it very difficult to present all of the information that he had requested. We had Dr. Khari was served on Thursday or Friday morning, and then there was various problems with scheduling and Dr. Khari being at work and she wasn't with the timeliness of the depositions (indiscernible). THE COURT: Was she about to tell me about a specific study or just sort of, in my years of experience? MS. DERRY: Are you talking about a specific study or years of experience? THE WITNESS: No. Experience and the daily reading and updating myself, as a summary, not focusing on one article. But if they want me to focus, I could look for article. I'm not thinking of one specific article. I'm just based on my profession, I have to update with all the time from (indiscernible) of the information that I read.

	Page 26		Page 28
1	objection. I don't think that this I don't think	1	I don't see so far that there's been
2	that to the extent there is a "give me everything	2	testimony elicited or that the questions have
3	you've ever read" request, that that's a reasonable	3	elicited something more specific than a general
4	request.	4	knowledge.
5	To the extent that the request is, show me	5	I'll let you voir dire or cross on the
6	something that you specifically relied upon	6	specificity of her testimony and the references. And
7	(indiscernible), it doesn't appear that there was	7	if there is something out there that is more specific
8	something that you a specific article that you	8	than this general knowledge, she'll provide it for
9	relied upon, as opposed to the general knowledge.	9	you.
10	So I'll overrule the objection.	10	Just let me do one thing, because I want to
11	MS. DERRY: Your Honor, would you like me to	11	be sure that I would you spell the drug?
12	have her establish further foundation of her general	12	THE WITNESS: R-I-S R-I-S-P-E-R-D-O-N-E
13	knowledge of the risks and benefits of medication?	13	(sic). That's or Risperdal, R-I-S-P-E-R-D-O-L
14	THE COURT: Explain to me your objection to	14	(sic).
15	her ability to testify about the use of medication.	15	THE COURT: Do you have a reference to
16	MR. GOTTSTEIN: It's my if she's going	16	that I can never follow (indiscernible).
17	to cite to studies and scientific evidence, I just	17	Risperidone. Okay.
18	want to know what she's citing to so I can review it	18	BY MS. DERRY:
19	and then have a chance to rebut it. That's all I'm	19	Q And, Dr. Khari, risperidone, is that the
20	trying to do.	20	specific drug name or is that the generic drug name?
21	THE COURT: I don't have any problems with	21	A One is the name brand and one is generic
22	that if she's talking about specific articles. But I	22	name. Risperidone is risperidone is generic name,
23	am not understanding that she is (indiscernible).	23	and Risperdal is a brand name. So actually making
24	MR. GOTTSTEIN: She said studies say, so it	24	(indiscernible).
25	seems to me I ought to be able to look at what studies	25	MS. DERRY: And that's the brand name, Your
	Page 27		Page 29
1	it is that she's saying says that.	1	Honor.
2	THE COURT: (Indiscernible.) I'm going to	2	BY MS. DERRY:
3	let her testify about her general knowledge	3	Q Dr. Khari, we were discussing earlier whether
4	(indiscernible) impact of the medication and what is	4	or not what the effects are of not being on
5	the effect of not medicating.	5	medication for Mr. Bigley.
6	Do you want her to give you a list of	6	A On the (indiscernible) I was going to
7	articles (indiscernible) this testimony?	7	(indiscernible) him based on my information,
8	MR. GOTTSTEIN: Yes. And, Your Honor, I	8	basically, as I said, part of our profession, we have
9	mean, one of the things is that, for example, the	9	to do continuing medical education and we continue to,
10	written testimony that was submitted cites a lot of	10	you know, read articles from different journals,
11	studies. And so then it really never has been	11	from you know, there are abundant of them out
12	rebutted.	12	there. So
13	And then she comes in and says, well, studies	13	Q How many articles do you read a month keeping
14	say this, and I I want I just want to see that.	14	yourself appraised of
	THE COURT: My concern I mean, my concern	15	A Well, I can't (indiscernible). I try to pick
15			
15 16	is this. Someone asked me to say, lay out the	16	up whatever (indiscernible) or the psychiatry
15 16 17	is this. Someone asked me to say, lay out the documents you are referring to when you were thinking	17	up whatever (indiscernible) or the psychiatry (indiscernible), or my APA magazine or other articles
15 16 17 18	is this. Someone asked me to say, lay out the documents you are referring to when you were thinking about due process. I can't do that. I've been a	17 18	up whatever (indiscernible) or the psychiatry (indiscernible), or my APA magazine or other articles that (indiscernible) journals from home. So I do try
15 16 17 18 19	is this. Someone asked me to say, lay out the documents you are referring to when you were thinking about due process. I can't do that. I've been a lawyer for 30 years. I have read a lot of stuff.	17 18 19	up whatever (indiscernible) or the psychiatry (indiscernible), or my APA magazine or other articles that (indiscernible) journals from home. So I do try to read some article four or five times a week, but I
15 16 17 18 19 20	is this. Someone asked me to say, lay out the documents you are referring to when you were thinking about due process. I can't do that. I've been a lawyer for 30 years. I have read a lot of stuff. MR. GOTTSTEIN: And I am not asking for that.	17 18 19 20	up whatever (indiscernible) or the psychiatry (indiscernible), or my APA magazine or other articles that (indiscernible) journals from home. So I do try to read some article four or five times a week, but I cannot you know, but there may be more and other
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	is this. Someone asked me to say, lay out the documents you are referring to when you were thinking about due process. I can't do that. I've been a lawyer for 30 years. I have read a lot of stuff. MR. GOTTSTEIN: And I am not asking for that. Excuse me for interrupting.	17 18 19 20 21	up whatever (indiscernible) or the psychiatry (indiscernible), or my APA magazine or other articles that (indiscernible) journals from home. So I do try to read some article four or five times a week, but I cannot you know, but there may be more and other weeks.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	is this. Someone asked me to say, lay out the documents you are referring to when you were thinking about due process. I can't do that. I've been a lawyer for 30 years. I have read a lot of stuff. MR. GOTTSTEIN: And I am not asking for that. Excuse me for interrupting. THE COURT: But on the other hand, if I was	17 18 19 20 21 22	up whatever (indiscernible) or the psychiatry (indiscernible), or my APA magazine or other articles that (indiscernible) journals from home. So I do try to read some article four or five times a week, but I cannot you know, but there may be more and other weeks. And I also we do we go to continuing
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 is this. Someone asked me to say, lay out the documents you are referring to when you were thinking about due process. I can't do that. I've been a lawyer for 30 years. I have read a lot of stuff. MR. GOTTSTEIN: And I am not asking for that. Excuse me for interrupting. THE COURT: But on the other hand, if I was sitting here saying, what case have you read in this 	17 18 19 20 21 22 23	up whatever (indiscernible) or the psychiatry (indiscernible), or my APA magazine or other articles that (indiscernible) journals from home. So I do try to read some article four or five times a week, but I cannot you know, but there may be more and other weeks. And I also we do we go to continuing medical education at least twice a year, so we
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	is this. Someone asked me to say, lay out the documents you are referring to when you were thinking about due process. I can't do that. I've been a lawyer for 30 years. I have read a lot of stuff. MR. GOTTSTEIN: And I am not asking for that. Excuse me for interrupting. THE COURT: But on the other hand, if I was	17 18 19 20 21 22	up whatever (indiscernible) or the psychiatry (indiscernible), or my APA magazine or other articles that (indiscernible) journals from home. So I do try to read some article four or five times a week, but I cannot you know, but there may be more and other weeks. And I also we do we go to continuing

	Page 30		Page 32
1	newer approach to looking at the illnesses	1	case management, to have to be able to have a state
2	(indiscernible).	2	of life of that (indiscernible) to him.
3	So going back to Mr. Bigley and the	3	And then also the fact that he is not
4	individual schizophrenia, actually we are showing that	4	receiving treatment, he is becoming his chances in
5	the new antipsychotic medication is actually neuro	5	the community become limited from the aspect
б	protective, from the aspect	6	(indiscernible) specifically are not accepting him.
7	MR. GOTTSTEIN: Objection. Can I have a	7	Another (indiscernible) he cannot go. He is
8	continuing objection to because that's one of	8	reaching to the point that even to as his basic
9	it's the same one about the studies. So you just want	9	needs, many of the facility that they provide food and
10	me anything that she says, you just want me to	10	shelter, those are all he's banned from it.
11	cross examine on?	11	And one of the organizations, that is Choice,
12	THE COURT: You can you've got a standing	12	I am not really too much familiar with it. But my
13	objection. And I will require her to provide if	13	understanding is actually I think Mr. Gottstein is
14	you refer to a specific article, copies	14	more (indiscernible) or may be involved with that.
15	(indiscernible) they are. You can follow up in cross	15	If a facility is actually trying to see to
16	(indiscernible).	16	see if they could have an individual patient, if they
17	BY MS. DERRY:	17	could avoid perhaps not to (indiscernible) just
18	Q Dr. Khari, Mr. Bigley has not been on regular	18	medication (indiscernible) psychosocial treatment,
19	medication at least for the last six months?	19	focusing more on treatment.
20	A Since I have known him, yes, he is not	20	And the help of the case management, they
21	been yes, he has not been on	21	involve actually in 2007 where the (indiscernible).
22	Q What do you think the effects of Mr. Bigley	22	In 2007, they involved them to see if they would
23	not being treated with the full spectrum that API can	23	accept Bill as a client to provide that. And
24	offer has done to him?	24	unfortunately, after a month of they did referral.
25	A Well, again, the studies not a specific	25	Within a month after they have the contact with him
	Page 31		Page 33
1		1	
2	study, overall understanding, if an individual does not take the medication, they are not (indiscernible)	1	and evaluated him, they dropped his case because of the high liability, and also they felt that they
3	to the medication, we will see poor functional	3	cannot provide the service he need.
4	outcome, like the poor mental state, poor physical	4	So what my point I am not focusing on one
5	health, being in a state of victimization, being more	5	
6	(indiscernible), more violent, more conduct	6	agency. What my point is, that first of all, the state of Alaska health community in Anchorage doesn't
7	misconduct, and more victim of the crime. So those	7	have that (indiscernible) resources. But
8	are some of the outcome of not taking the medication.	8	(indiscernible) the one the main one we have, like
9	Which again, if you look at as was testified	9	kind of they are closing their doors. They are not
10	yesterday, that the number of (indiscernible) and	10	so those are some of the outcome that he is facing.
11	the	11	0
12	MR. GOTTSTEIN: Excuse me. I didn't	12	And he cannot process the information himself. And I think myself, as a clinician, and API,
13	understand. The number of what?	13	-
13 14	THE WITNESS: The number of times that he has	14	a state facility, has an obligation and (indiscernible). They are the guardian of communities
14 15		15	(indiscernible). They are the guardian of communities (indiscernible) and others, (indiscernible) as a
15 16	been in the correctional facility, I should say. The number for contact that he have with the	16	community. I think you have obligation to look at the
10 17		17	
	department of corrections, along with I'm sorry, I	18	best outcome for somebody like Mr. Bigley, and not to
18 19	lost the I forgot, what was the question? I		be (indiscernible) just because he has mental illness
19 20	apologize.	19	and he cannot process it.
20 21	BY MS. DERRY:	20	MS. DERRY: No further questions.
21	Q What where Bill is where Mr. Bigley is	21	KAHNAZ KHARI, MD
22	now with having not been treated.	22	testified as follows on:

22 now with having not been treated. 22 testified as follows on: 23 A Well, the -- we look at it before he was able 23 CROSS EXAMINATION 24 to have stable -- some level of stability in the 24 BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: 25 25 community, to have his own place. He has to have a Q Okay. First of all to this, now, you

	Page 34		Page 36
1	testified that he has mild tardive dyskinesia; is that	1	MR. GOTTSTEIN: I think isn't she supposed
2	correct?	2	to give me those studies, correct?
3	A I (indiscernible) chart. I did not	3	MS. DERRY: Your Honor, just
4	(indiscernible). In one of the charts, they did add	4	THE COURT: No. I don't believe that this
5	this, about tardive dyskinesia. And they said it was	5	is she isn't referring to a specific article.
6	mild, yes.	б	BY MR. GOTTSTEIN:
7	Q Okay. And isn't tardive dyskinesia a	7	Q Now, I think you said said that the newer
8	manifestation of brain damage?	8	neuroleptics, such as Risperdal is one of the newer
9	A I would not this is (indiscernible) takes	9	ones, right? I mean
10	place in the brain. But I would not know exactly what	10	A Yes.
11	you mean by the manifestation of brain damage.	11	Q some people call them neuroleptics and
12	Q So don't all of the neuroleptics block	12	some people call them antipsychotic
13	dopamine in the basal ganglia?	13	MS. DERRY: Objection. Is there a question,
14	A Well, I will say that, yes, all the older and	14	or are you testifying?
15	newer antipsychotic, they work (indiscernible) on	15	THE COURT: I assume there is a question. I
16	dopamine.	16	can assure you that if there is a statement being made
17	But the (indiscernible) also on the	17	that is not the basis of a question, it's not
18	(indiscernible). And basal ganglia is not really	18	(indiscernible).
19	(indiscernible), rather it's a part of the brain. So	19	MS. DERRY: Thank you, Your Honor.
20	basically what they are talking about, the medication	20	THE COURT: If it forms the basis of a
21	works on different part of the brain.	21	question and the doctor says yes, for example, then
22	The older antipsychotic medications, which	22	(indiscernible) leading questions (indiscernible).
23	have more (indiscernible) specific (indiscernible) of	23	BY MR. GOTTSTEIN:
24 25	dopamine acted on basal ganglia (indiscernible)	24 25	Q Okay. So are neuroleptics also known as
25	actually is not (indiscernible) or specific	25	atypicals I mean, antipsychotic?
	Page 35		Page 37
1	(indiscernible) cause that unfortunate abnormal motor	1	A Neuroleptic has two class. One is
2	movement that (indiscernible).	2	(indiscernible), one is atypical.
3	Q Okay. So I think you're agreeing with me,	3	Q Right. And they're both and they're both
4	right, that the at least with respect to the older	4	called antipsychotic; is that right? A Yes.
5 6	ones, that they affected the basal ganglia, which is	5 6	
	the area of the brain that causes which controls	7	Q So neuroleptic what I'm trying to get is, neuroleptic is to be considered synonymous with
7 8	motor movements, and that that is the cause for tardive dyskinesia; is that fair?	8	antipsychotic?
9	A Well, as I said, the only thing I say that	9	A Yes.
10	when the individuals take the medications, different	10	Q Okay. Now, I believe and I'm not sure, so
11	changes takes place in the brain.	11	tell me if I'm wrong. But I believe you testified
12	You are right from the aspect, yes, the basal	12	that the newer ones newer ones, such as Risperdal,
13	ganglia is impacted and is also part of dyskinesia,	13	caused less tardive dyskinesia; is that correct?
14	but also the antipsychotic medication impact on other	14	A Yes.
15	part of the brain, like gray matter, different part of	15	Q Could you cite me to a study that says that?
16	(indiscernible) of the brain, which also become	16	A As I said, I cannot recall any particular
17	(indiscernible).	17	studies. But there is many evidence that studies that
10			caused newer antipsychotic medication has lower
18	Q And you are going to provide me with that	18	eaused newer antipsychotic medication has lower
18 19		18 19	risk of tardive dyskinesia. And some of them have
	Q And you are going to provide me with that		
19 20 21	Q And you are going to provide me with that study, that study A It's not a specific study. But if you want me to go find some article that talks about it, I will	19 20 21	risk of tardive dyskinesia. And some of them have none. Q Okay. Now, you testified I think that he
19 20 21 22	Q And you are going to provide me with that study, that study A It's not a specific study. But if you want me to go find some article that talks about it, I will be happy to do that. I don't have any specific study	19 20	risk of tardive dyskinesia. And some of them have none. Q Okay. Now, you testified I think that he Mr. Bigley was taking Risperdal for some time and then
19 20 21 22 23	Q And you are going to provide me with that study, that study A It's not a specific study. But if you want me to go find some article that talks about it, I will be happy to do that. I don't have any specific study in my mind, but I'm sure that (indiscernible) study	19 20 21 22 23	risk of tardive dyskinesia. And some of them have none. Q Okay. Now, you testified I think that he Mr. Bigley was taking Risperdal for some time and then stopped. Do you know why he stopped?
19 20 21 22	Q And you are going to provide me with that study, that study A It's not a specific study. But if you want me to go find some article that talks about it, I will be happy to do that. I don't have any specific study	19 20 21 22	risk of tardive dyskinesia. And some of them have none. Q Okay. Now, you testified I think that he Mr. Bigley was taking Risperdal for some time and then

	Page 38		Page 40
1	thought I was organized. I apologize, Your Honor.	1	supported by the article?
2	BY MR. GOTTSTEIN:	2	A Every case is different. You know, I try
3	Q Okay. And then I I'm sorry; I didn't get	3	when I do read article (indiscernible), what are
4	your testimony down exactly right. But you said	4	resources. And then again in their study, what they
5	something about that the his prognosis that	5	have considered, how many number of controls they
6	basically his prognosis is worse by delaying the	6	have, what is the number of the patient population
7	administration of the neuroleptic?	7	they had, and did they focus only on one thing or
8	MS. DERRY: Object to mischaracterization.	8	valuable things. Because human life is not simple;
9	THE COURT: Overruled. Go ahead.	9	it's very complex. And I try to also to see where
10	THE WITNESS: What I recall, I the	10	that information coming from. And so
11	question was the way that I responded, that an	11	Q Now, do you ever kind of, like, reanalyze the
12	individual with schizophrenia who does not take	12	data?
13	treatment, the prognosis declines (indiscernible).	13	A Well, I don't really know exactly what you
14	It's a continuous prognosis becoming poorer.	14	mean by that. But any time that we do something, we
15	BY MR. GOTTSTEIN:	15	have to summarize in our mind somehow. Is that
16	Q Okay. And okay. And do you have any	16	Q No. I mean, for example, lots of times,
17	studies to (indiscernible) to that?	17	they'll have a table, right, that says these patients,
18	A I do not have any (indiscernible) daily	18	and there are all these numbers and stuff. And do you
19	knowledge and (indiscernible), and going to	19	ever go and say, gee, well, if you take this data and
20	(indiscernible).	20	look at it this way, I wonder what it would show?
21	Q Now, you indicate that you do a lot of	21	A Well, this is what I said earlier, when I
22	reading to try and keep up to date; is that correct?	22	said I try to look at what are variables they are
23	A Yes.	23	looking at, and then (indiscernible), see if they
24	Q And I assume that's actually I assume	24	consider other things (indiscernible).
25	you're very busy, right?	25	Q So you wouldn't go and take the data and then
	Page 39		Page 41
1	A But that's part of my life.	1	try and do a different analysis on the same data?
2	Q Right. And so so that you try and keep	2	A My job is not in research. I just get the
2 3	Q Right. And so so that you try and keep up?	2 3	A My job is not in research. I just get the information, read about it, and try to see again, even
2 3 4	Q Right. And so so that you try and keep up?A Yes.	2 3 4	A My job is not in research. I just get the information, read about it, and try to see again, even when you did all of this information, every patient is
2 3 4 5	 Q Right. And so so that you try and keep up? A Yes. Q Now, do you mainly, as you're looking at a 	2 3 4 5	A My job is not in research. I just get the information, read about it, and try to see again, even when you did all of this information, every patient is individualized.
2 3 4 5 6	 Q Right. And so so that you try and keep up? A Yes. Q Now, do you mainly, as you're looking at a study, read the whole article or just the abstract? 	2 3 4 5 6	A My job is not in research. I just get the information, read about it, and try to see again, even when you did all of this information, every patient is individualized. I have to look at, for example in this case,
2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q Right. And so so that you try and keep up? A Yes. Q Now, do you mainly, as you're looking at a study, read the whole article or just the abstract? A It depends. But usually it depends when 	2 3 4 5 6 7	A My job is not in research. I just get the information, read about it, and try to see again, even when you did all of this information, every patient is individualized. I have to look at, for example in this case, Mr. Bigley, where he is at, what (indiscernible), and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q Right. And so so that you try and keep up? A Yes. Q Now, do you mainly, as you're looking at a study, read the whole article or just the abstract? A It depends. But usually it depends when I'm reading, is it because for example, I am adult 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A My job is not in research. I just get the information, read about it, and try to see again, even when you did all of this information, every patient is individualized. I have to look at, for example in this case, Mr. Bigley, where he is at, what (indiscernible), and what is right now (indiscernible) at this medicine,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q Right. And so so that you try and keep up? A Yes. Q Now, do you mainly, as you're looking at a study, read the whole article or just the abstract? A It depends. But usually it depends when I'm reading, is it because for example, I am adult psychiatrist. I (indiscernible) may want to read in 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A My job is not in research. I just get the information, read about it, and try to see again, even when you did all of this information, every patient is individualized. I have to look at, for example in this case, Mr. Bigley, where he is at, what (indiscernible), and what is right now (indiscernible) at this medicine, and what can and what specifically are working and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q Right. And so so that you try and keep up? A Yes. Q Now, do you mainly, as you're looking at a study, read the whole article or just the abstract? A It depends. But usually it depends when I'm reading, is it because for example, I am adult psychiatrist. I (indiscernible) may want to read in detail what other area of the psychiatry, but I want 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A My job is not in research. I just get the information, read about it, and try to see again, even when you did all of this information, every patient is individualized. I have to look at, for example in this case, Mr. Bigley, where he is at, what (indiscernible), and what is right now (indiscernible) at this medicine, and what can and what specifically are working and what can I provide for this individual.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q Right. And so so that you try and keep up? A Yes. Q Now, do you mainly, as you're looking at a study, read the whole article or just the abstract? A It depends. But usually it depends when I'm reading, is it because for example, I am adult psychiatrist. I (indiscernible) may want to read in detail what other area of the psychiatry, but I want to be up to date, for example, as (indiscernible). 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A My job is not in research. I just get the information, read about it, and try to see again, even when you did all of this information, every patient is individualized. I have to look at, for example in this case, Mr. Bigley, where he is at, what (indiscernible), and what is right now (indiscernible) at this medicine, and what can and what specifically are working and what can I provide for this individual. Q And I think that most clinicians, wouldn't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q Right. And so so that you try and keep up? A Yes. Q Now, do you mainly, as you're looking at a study, read the whole article or just the abstract? A It depends. But usually it depends when I'm reading, is it because for example, I am adult psychiatrist. I (indiscernible) may want to read in detail what other area of the psychiatry, but I want to be up to date, for example, as (indiscernible). So I may (indiscernible) abstract of it, and 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A My job is not in research. I just get the information, read about it, and try to see again, even when you did all of this information, every patient is individualized. I have to look at, for example in this case, Mr. Bigley, where he is at, what (indiscernible), and what is right now (indiscernible) at this medicine, and what can and what specifically are working and what can I provide for this individual. Q And I think that most clinicians, wouldn't they do the same thing?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q Right. And so so that you try and keep up? A Yes. Q Now, do you mainly, as you're looking at a study, read the whole article or just the abstract? A It depends. But usually it depends when I'm reading, is it because for example, I am adult psychiatrist. I (indiscernible) may want to read in detail what other area of the psychiatry, but I want to be up to date, for example, as (indiscernible). So I may (indiscernible) abstract of it, and if it interests me because usually I always read 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A My job is not in research. I just get the information, read about it, and try to see again, even when you did all of this information, every patient is individualized. I have to look at, for example in this case, Mr. Bigley, where he is at, what (indiscernible), and what is right now (indiscernible) at this medicine, and what can and what specifically are working and what can I provide for this individual. Q And I think that most clinicians, wouldn't they do the same thing? A Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q Right. And so so that you try and keep up? A Yes. Q Now, do you mainly, as you're looking at a study, read the whole article or just the abstract? A It depends. But usually it depends when I'm reading, is it because for example, I am adult psychiatrist. I (indiscernible) may want to read in detail what other area of the psychiatry, but I want to be up to date, for example, as (indiscernible). So I may (indiscernible) abstract of it, and if it interests me because usually I always read the abstract first to see if the article is something 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A My job is not in research. I just get the information, read about it, and try to see again, even when you did all of this information, every patient is individualized. I have to look at, for example in this case, Mr. Bigley, where he is at, what (indiscernible), and what is right now (indiscernible) at this medicine, and what can and what specifically are working and what can I provide for this individual. Q And I think that most clinicians, wouldn't they do the same thing? A Yes. Q Okay. Now, if I I have asked this before,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q Right. And so so that you try and keep up? A Yes. Q Now, do you mainly, as you're looking at a study, read the whole article or just the abstract? A It depends. But usually it depends when I'm reading, is it because for example, I am adult psychiatrist. I (indiscernible) may want to read in detail what other area of the psychiatry, but I want to be up to date, for example, as (indiscernible). So I may (indiscernible) abstract of it, and if it interests me because usually I always read the abstract first to see if the article is something new to deliver or something that I'm interested, or if 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A My job is not in research. I just get the information, read about it, and try to see again, even when you did all of this information, every patient is individualized. I have to look at, for example in this case, Mr. Bigley, where he is at, what (indiscernible), and what is right now (indiscernible) at this medicine, and what can and what specifically are working and what can I provide for this individual. Q And I think that most clinicians, wouldn't they do the same thing? A Yes. Q Okay. Now, if I I have asked this before, I apologize, but I just want to make sure.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q Right. And so so that you try and keep up? A Yes. Q Now, do you mainly, as you're looking at a study, read the whole article or just the abstract? A It depends. But usually it depends when I'm reading, is it because for example, I am adult psychiatrist. I (indiscernible) may want to read in detail what other area of the psychiatry, but I want to be up to date, for example, as (indiscernible). So I may (indiscernible) abstract of it, and if it interests me because usually I always read the abstract first to see if the article is something new to deliver or something that I'm interested, or if I have a case or a patient that I'd like to learn more 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A My job is not in research. I just get the information, read about it, and try to see again, even when you did all of this information, every patient is individualized. I have to look at, for example in this case, Mr. Bigley, where he is at, what (indiscernible), and what is right now (indiscernible) at this medicine, and what can and what specifically are working and what can I provide for this individual. Q And I think that most clinicians, wouldn't they do the same thing? A Yes. Q Okay. Now, if I I have asked this before, I apologize, but I just want to make sure. You testified that if he doesn't take the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q Right. And so so that you try and keep up? A Yes. Q Now, do you mainly, as you're looking at a study, read the whole article or just the abstract? A It depends. But usually it depends when I'm reading, is it because for example, I am adult psychiatrist. I (indiscernible) may want to read in detail what other area of the psychiatry, but I want to be up to date, for example, as (indiscernible). So I may (indiscernible) abstract of it, and if it interests me because usually I always read the abstract first to see if the article is something new to deliver or something that I'm interested, or if I have a case or a patient that I'd like to learn more about with the newer approach or something that, you 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A My job is not in research. I just get the information, read about it, and try to see again, even when you did all of this information, every patient is individualized. I have to look at, for example in this case, Mr. Bigley, where he is at, what (indiscernible), and what is right now (indiscernible) at this medicine, and what can and what specifically are working and what can I provide for this individual. Q And I think that most clinicians, wouldn't they do the same thing? A Yes. Q Okay. Now, if I I have asked this before, I apologize, but I just want to make sure. You testified that if he doesn't take the medication, that it'll have I think you said poor
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q Right. And so so that you try and keep up? A Yes. Q Now, do you mainly, as you're looking at a study, read the whole article or just the abstract? A It depends. But usually it depends when I'm reading, is it because for example, I am adult psychiatrist. I (indiscernible) may want to read in detail what other area of the psychiatry, but I want to be up to date, for example, as (indiscernible). So I may (indiscernible) abstract of it, and if it interests me because usually I always read the abstract first to see if the article is something new to deliver or something that I'm interested, or if I have a case or a patient that I'd like to learn more about with the newer approach or something that, you know, may add to benefit my patients. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A My job is not in research. I just get the information, read about it, and try to see again, even when you did all of this information, every patient is individualized. I have to look at, for example in this case, Mr. Bigley, where he is at, what (indiscernible), and what is right now (indiscernible) at this medicine, and what can and what specifically are working and what can I provide for this individual. Q And I think that most clinicians, wouldn't they do the same thing? A Yes. Q Okay. Now, if I I have asked this before, I apologize, but I just want to make sure. You testified that if he doesn't take the medication, that it'll have I think you said poor functional outcome. But would that be, like, a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q Right. And so so that you try and keep up? A Yes. Q Now, do you mainly, as you're looking at a study, read the whole article or just the abstract? A It depends. But usually it depends when I'm reading, is it because for example, I am adult psychiatrist. I (indiscernible) may want to read in detail what other area of the psychiatry, but I want to be up to date, for example, as (indiscernible). So I may (indiscernible) abstract of it, and if it interests me because usually I always read the abstract first to see if the article is something new to deliver or something that I'm interested, or if I have a case or a patient that I'd like to learn more about with the newer approach or something that, you know, may add to benefit my patients. So however, in the cases, I look at the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A My job is not in research. I just get the information, read about it, and try to see again, even when you did all of this information, every patient is individualized. I have to look at, for example in this case, Mr. Bigley, where he is at, what (indiscernible), and what is right now (indiscernible) at this medicine, and what can and what specifically are working and what can I provide for this individual. Q And I think that most clinicians, wouldn't they do the same thing? A Yes. Q Okay. Now, if I I have asked this before, I apologize, but I just want to make sure. You testified that if he doesn't take the medication, that it'll have I think you said poor functional outcome. But would that be, like, a worsened you said poor functional outcome. What
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q Right. And so so that you try and keep up? A Yes. Q Now, do you mainly, as you're looking at a study, read the whole article or just the abstract? A It depends. But usually it depends when I'm reading, is it because for example, I am adult psychiatrist. I (indiscernible) may want to read in detail what other area of the psychiatry, but I want to be up to date, for example, as (indiscernible). So I may (indiscernible) abstract of it, and if it interests me because usually I always read the abstract first to see if the article is something new to deliver or something that I'm interested, or if I have a case or a patient that I'd like to learn more about with the newer approach or something that, you know, may add to benefit my patients. So however, in the cases, I look at the whole thing. And I try to look at the information	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A My job is not in research. I just get the information, read about it, and try to see again, even when you did all of this information, every patient is individualized. I have to look at, for example in this case, Mr. Bigley, where he is at, what (indiscernible), and what is right now (indiscernible) at this medicine, and what can and what specifically are working and what can I provide for this individual. Q And I think that most clinicians, wouldn't they do the same thing? A Yes. Q Okay. Now, if I I have asked this before, I apologize, but I just want to make sure. You testified that if he doesn't take the medication, that it'll have I think you said poor functional outcome. But would that be, like, a worsened you said poor functional outcome. What does I'm sorry.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q Right. And so so that you try and keep up? A Yes. Q Now, do you mainly, as you're looking at a study, read the whole article or just the abstract? A It depends. But usually it depends when I'm reading, is it because for example, I am adult psychiatrist. I (indiscernible) may want to read in detail what other area of the psychiatry, but I want to be up to date, for example, as (indiscernible). So I may (indiscernible) abstract of it, and if it interests me because usually I always read the abstract first to see if the article is something new to deliver or something that I'm interested, or if I have a case or a patient that I'd like to learn more about with the newer approach or something that, you know, may add to benefit my patients. So however, in the cases, I look at the whole thing. And I try to look at the information that is mental analysis, from the aspect that is not 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A My job is not in research. I just get the information, read about it, and try to see again, even when you did all of this information, every patient is individualized. I have to look at, for example in this case, Mr. Bigley, where he is at, what (indiscernible), and what is right now (indiscernible) at this medicine, and what can and what specifically are working and what can I provide for this individual. Q And I think that most clinicians, wouldn't they do the same thing? A Yes. Q Okay. Now, if I I have asked this before, I apologize, but I just want to make sure. You testified that if he doesn't take the medication, that it'll have I think you said poor functional outcome. But would that be, like, a worsened you said poor functional outcome. What does I'm sorry.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q Right. And so so that you try and keep up? A Yes. Q Now, do you mainly, as you're looking at a study, read the whole article or just the abstract? A It depends. But usually it depends when I'm reading, is it because for example, I am adult psychiatrist. I (indiscernible) may want to read in detail what other area of the psychiatry, but I want to be up to date, for example, as (indiscernible). So I may (indiscernible) abstract of it, and if it interests me because usually I always read the abstract first to see if the article is something new to deliver or something that I'm interested, or if I have a case or a patient that I'd like to learn more about with the newer approach or something that, you know, may add to benefit my patients. So however, in the cases, I look at the whole thing. And I try to look at the information that is mental analysis, from the aspect that is not only simple, one way (indiscernible), look at the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A My job is not in research. I just get the information, read about it, and try to see again, even when you did all of this information, every patient is individualized. I have to look at, for example in this case, Mr. Bigley, where he is at, what (indiscernible), and what is right now (indiscernible) at this medicine, and what can and what specifically are working and what can I provide for this individual. Q And I think that most clinicians, wouldn't they do the same thing? A Yes. Q Okay. Now, if I I have asked this before, I apologize, but I just want to make sure. You testified that if he doesn't take the medication, that it'll have I think you said poor functional outcome. But would that be, like, a worsened you said poor functional outcome. What if he doesn't take the medication, that your testimony is that if he doesn't take the medication, that he medication, that he you that be have a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q Right. And so so that you try and keep up? A Yes. Q Now, do you mainly, as you're looking at a study, read the whole article or just the abstract? A It depends. But usually it depends when I'm reading, is it because for example, I am adult psychiatrist. I (indiscernible) may want to read in detail what other area of the psychiatry, but I want to be up to date, for example, as (indiscernible). So I may (indiscernible) abstract of it, and if it interests me because usually I always read the abstract first to see if the article is something new to deliver or something that I'm interested, or if I have a case or a patient that I'd like to learn more about with the newer approach or something that, you know, may add to benefit my patients. So however, in the cases, I look at the whole thing. And I try to look at the information that is mental analysis, from the aspect that is not only simple, one way (indiscernible), look at the whole aspect (indiscernible). 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A My job is not in research. I just get the information, read about it, and try to see again, even when you did all of this information, every patient is individualized. I have to look at, for example in this case, Mr. Bigley, where he is at, what (indiscernible), and what is right now (indiscernible) at this medicine, and what can and what specifically are working and what can I provide for this individual. Q And I think that most clinicians, wouldn't they do the same thing? A Yes. Q Okay. Now, if I I have asked this before, I apologize, but I just want to make sure. You testified that if he doesn't take the medication, that it'll have I think you said poor functional outcome. But would that be, like, a worsened you said poor functional outcome. What does I'm sorry. Is it fair to say that your testimony is that if he doesn't take the medication, that he'll have a worse functional outcome than if he does?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q Right. And so so that you try and keep up? A Yes. Q Now, do you mainly, as you're looking at a study, read the whole article or just the abstract? A It depends. But usually it depends when I'm reading, is it because for example, I am adult psychiatrist. I (indiscernible) may want to read in detail what other area of the psychiatry, but I want to be up to date, for example, as (indiscernible). So I may (indiscernible) abstract of it, and if it interests me because usually I always read the abstract first to see if the article is something new to deliver or something that I'm interested, or if I have a case or a patient that I'd like to learn more about with the newer approach or something that, you know, may add to benefit my patients. So however, in the cases, I look at the whole thing. And I try to look at the information that is mental analysis, from the aspect that is not only simple, one way (indiscernible), look at the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A My job is not in research. I just get the information, read about it, and try to see again, even when you did all of this information, every patient is individualized. I have to look at, for example in this case, Mr. Bigley, where he is at, what (indiscernible), and what is right now (indiscernible) at this medicine, and what can and what specifically are working and what can I provide for this individual. Q And I think that most clinicians, wouldn't they do the same thing? A Yes. Q Okay. Now, if I I have asked this before, I apologize, but I just want to make sure. You testified that if he doesn't take the medication, that it'll have I think you said poor functional outcome. But would that be, like, a worsened you said poor functional outcome. What if he doesn't take the medication, that your testimony is that if he doesn't take the medication, that he medication, that he you that be have a

	Page 42		Page 44
1	since he has not been taking medication, since I have	1	is a result of the brain damage from, you know, the
2	known him, how many times he have been in correctional	2	almost 30 years of being administered psychiatric
3	facility, how many times he's been here. What is his	3	drugs?
4	level of functioning in the community when he is	4	A Probably. But also, I want to bring some
5	outside? How safe is he? How safe is people around	5	issue. First of all, I don't know Dr. Jackson, her
6	him? And how much a torture of mind a state of	6	name or is it he or she?
7	mind he is facing, and how much he gets	7	Q She.
8	(indiscernible) in the community and also continues to	8	A She. Her name is not very much recognized.
9	(indiscernible) correctional facility (indiscernible)	9	And then also the other thing that I would be
10	community.	10	concerned, when what is the (indiscernible) study,
11	Q Okay. So did you you didn't listen when	11	and then how much kind of support.
12	Dr. Jackson testified last night in that you	12	And also the main part is, did she actually
13	testified earlier?	13	have physical evaluation of the patient? Because I
14	MS. DERRY: Objection, (indiscernible).	14	(indiscernible) an individual an illness of a
15	THE COURT: Overruled.	15	(indiscernible) clinical aspect. But I cannot
16	THE WITNESS: No.	16	really I can the validity of it (indiscernible)
17	BY MR. GOTTSTEIN:	17	is when (indiscernible) has what her question would
18	Q Okay. And have you read her did you read	18	be, did that physician actually assess and evaluated
19	the transcript of her testimony?	19	that patient.
20	A I don't recall.	20	Q Okay. Now, so this is where I understand
21	Q Did you read the the either of the	21	things are at, is that your testimony is that he is
22	affidavits that she submitted then?	22	getting worsening functional baseline, or whatever,
23	MS. DERRY: Actually, that's calling for	23	because he is not taking the drugs.
24	evidence not in evidence (indiscernible).	24	And her opinion is that he's getting
25	MR. GOTTSTEIN: It is in evidence. I filed	25	worsening baseline functioning because of the brain
	Page 43		Page 45
1	it.	1	damage caused by the drugs. Is that
2	MS. DERRY: Not in this case.	2	MS. DERRY: Objection
3	MR. GOTTSTEIN: I did file it. I	3	BY MR. GOTTSTEIN:
4	THE COURT: People, excuse me.	4	Q is that
5	MR. GOTTSTEIN: I'm sorry, Your Honor.	5	MS. DERRY: calls for speculation.
6	THE COURT: The objection is overruled.		
7		6	-
/	(Indiscernible) of her knowledge about this individual	6 7	THE COURT: Overruled.
8	(Indiscernible) of her knowledge about this individual and prior testimony about (indiscernible).		THE COURT: Overruled. THE WITNESS: But the only thing I would say,
	and prior testimony about (indiscernible).	7	THE COURT: Overruled. THE WITNESS: But the only thing I would say, I indicate what I said, that individual that
8 9	and prior testimony about (indiscernible). The questions itself doesn't mean that the	7 8	THE COURT: Overruled. THE WITNESS: But the only thing I would say, I indicate what I said, that individual that (indiscernible) has the schizophrenia, obviously has a
8	and prior testimony about (indiscernible). The questions itself doesn't mean that the prior testimony is not part of it, but it's towards	7 8 9	THE COURT: Overruled. THE WITNESS: But the only thing I would say, I indicate what I said, that individual that
8 9 10	and prior testimony about (indiscernible). The questions itself doesn't mean that the prior testimony is not part of it, but it's towards her knowledge (indiscernible) knowledge.	7 8 9 10	THE COURT: Overruled. THE WITNESS: But the only thing I would say, I indicate what I said, that individual that (indiscernible) has the schizophrenia, obviously has a severe case of the is not benefiting him not to be
8 9 10 11	and prior testimony about (indiscernible). The questions itself doesn't mean that the prior testimony is not part of it, but it's towards	7 8 9 10 11	THE COURT: Overruled. THE WITNESS: But the only thing I would say, I indicate what I said, that individual that (indiscernible) has the schizophrenia, obviously has a severe case of the is not benefiting him not to be on on the antipsychotic medication. And we have
8 9 10 11 12	and prior testimony about (indiscernible). The questions itself doesn't mean that the prior testimony is not part of it, but it's towards her knowledge (indiscernible) knowledge. THE WITNESS: Actually, I'd like to take my sentence back. I do think that I don't have a vivid,	7 8 9 10 11 12	THE COURT: Overruled. THE WITNESS: But the only thing I would say, I indicate what I said, that individual that (indiscernible) has the schizophrenia, obviously has a severe case of the is not benefiting him not to be on on the antipsychotic medication. And we have seen that, by review of the chart, there is a decline
8 9 10 11 12 13	and prior testimony about (indiscernible). The questions itself doesn't mean that the prior testimony is not part of it, but it's towards her knowledge (indiscernible) knowledge. THE WITNESS: Actually, I'd like to take my	7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE COURT: Overruled. THE WITNESS: But the only thing I would say, I indicate what I said, that individual that (indiscernible) has the schizophrenia, obviously has a severe case of the is not benefiting him not to be on on the antipsychotic medication. And we have seen that, by review of the chart, there is a decline in his level of functioning.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14	and prior testimony about (indiscernible). The questions itself doesn't mean that the prior testimony is not part of it, but it's towards her knowledge (indiscernible) knowledge. THE WITNESS: Actually, I'd like to take my sentence back. I do think that I don't have a vivid, exactly remembering what she said.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE COURT: Overruled. THE WITNESS: But the only thing I would say, I indicate what I said, that individual that (indiscernible) has the schizophrenia, obviously has a severe case of the is not benefiting him not to be on on the antipsychotic medication. And we have seen that, by review of the chart, there is a decline in his level of functioning. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN:
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	and prior testimony about (indiscernible). The questions itself doesn't mean that the prior testimony is not part of it, but it's towards her knowledge (indiscernible) knowledge. THE WITNESS: Actually, I'd like to take my sentence back. I do think that I don't have a vivid, exactly remembering what she said. But I do remember reading something in the	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE COURT: Overruled. THE WITNESS: But the only thing I would say, I indicate what I said, that individual that (indiscernible) has the schizophrenia, obviously has a severe case of the is not benefiting him not to be on on the antipsychotic medication. And we have seen that, by review of the chart, there is a decline in his level of functioning. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Okay. So now, is there anything that you
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	and prior testimony about (indiscernible). The questions itself doesn't mean that the prior testimony is not part of it, but it's towards her knowledge (indiscernible) knowledge. THE WITNESS: Actually, I'd like to take my sentence back. I do think that I don't have a vivid, exactly remembering what she said. But I do remember reading something in the chart, (indiscernible) chart, something about her	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE COURT: Overruled. THE WITNESS: But the only thing I would say, I indicate what I said, that individual that (indiscernible) has the schizophrenia, obviously has a severe case of the is not benefiting him not to be on on the antipsychotic medication. And we have seen that, by review of the chart, there is a decline in his level of functioning. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Okay. So now, is there anything that you can think of in Dr. Jackson's testimony that that
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	and prior testimony about (indiscernible). The questions itself doesn't mean that the prior testimony is not part of it, but it's towards her knowledge (indiscernible) knowledge. THE WITNESS: Actually, I'd like to take my sentence back. I do think that I don't have a vivid, exactly remembering what she said. But I do remember reading something in the chart, (indiscernible) chart, something about her where you have (indiscernible). If I do remember,	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE COURT: Overruled. THE WITNESS: But the only thing I would say, I indicate what I said, that individual that (indiscernible) has the schizophrenia, obviously has a severe case of the is not benefiting him not to be on on the antipsychotic medication. And we have seen that, by review of the chart, there is a decline in his level of functioning. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Okay. So now, is there anything that you can think of in Dr. Jackson's testimony that that you can that you dispute
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	and prior testimony about (indiscernible). The questions itself doesn't mean that the prior testimony is not part of it, but it's towards her knowledge (indiscernible) knowledge. THE WITNESS: Actually, I'd like to take my sentence back. I do think that I don't have a vivid, exactly remembering what she said. But I do remember reading something in the chart, (indiscernible) chart, something about her where you have (indiscernible). If I do remember, I read something, but you have to refresh my mind	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE COURT: Overruled. THE WITNESS: But the only thing I would say, I indicate what I said, that individual that (indiscernible) has the schizophrenia, obviously has a severe case of the is not benefiting him not to be on on the antipsychotic medication. And we have seen that, by review of the chart, there is a decline in his level of functioning. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Okay. So now, is there anything that you can think of in Dr. Jackson's testimony that that you can that you dispute A Well, again
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	and prior testimony about (indiscernible). The questions itself doesn't mean that the prior testimony is not part of it, but it's towards her knowledge (indiscernible) knowledge. THE WITNESS: Actually, I'd like to take my sentence back. I do think that I don't have a vivid, exactly remembering what she said. But I do remember reading something in the chart, (indiscernible) chart, something about her where you have (indiscernible). If I do remember, I read something, but you have to refresh my mind BY MR. GOTTSTEIN:	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	THE COURT: Overruled. THE WITNESS: But the only thing I would say, I indicate what I said, that individual that (indiscernible) has the schizophrenia, obviously has a severe case of the is not benefiting him not to be on on the antipsychotic medication. And we have seen that, by review of the chart, there is a decline in his level of functioning. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Okay. So now, is there anything that you can think of in Dr. Jackson's testimony that that you can that you dispute A Well, again Q right now?
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	and prior testimony about (indiscernible). The questions itself doesn't mean that the prior testimony is not part of it, but it's towards her knowledge (indiscernible) knowledge. THE WITNESS: Actually, I'd like to take my sentence back. I do think that I don't have a vivid, exactly remembering what she said. But I do remember reading something in the chart, (indiscernible) chart, something about her where you have (indiscernible). If I do remember, I read something, but you have to refresh my mind BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Okay.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE COURT: Overruled. THE WITNESS: But the only thing I would say, I indicate what I said, that individual that (indiscernible) has the schizophrenia, obviously has a severe case of the is not benefiting him not to be on on the antipsychotic medication. And we have seen that, by review of the chart, there is a decline in his level of functioning. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Okay. So now, is there anything that you can think of in Dr. Jackson's testimony that that you can that you dispute A Well, again Q right now? MS. DERRY: Objection, foundation, calls for
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	and prior testimony about (indiscernible). The questions itself doesn't mean that the prior testimony is not part of it, but it's towards her knowledge (indiscernible) knowledge. THE WITNESS: Actually, I'd like to take my sentence back. I do think that I don't have a vivid, exactly remembering what she said. But I do remember reading something in the chart, (indiscernible) chart, something about her where you have (indiscernible). If I do remember, I read something, but you have to refresh my mind BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Okay. A what she was focusing on, then I could	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE COURT: Overruled. THE WITNESS: But the only thing I would say, I indicate what I said, that individual that (indiscernible) has the schizophrenia, obviously has a severe case of the is not benefiting him not to be on on the antipsychotic medication. And we have seen that, by review of the chart, there is a decline in his level of functioning. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Okay. So now, is there anything that you can think of in Dr. Jackson's testimony that that you can that you dispute A Well, again Q right now? MS. DERRY: Objection, foundation, calls for speculation.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	and prior testimony about (indiscernible). The questions itself doesn't mean that the prior testimony is not part of it, but it's towards her knowledge (indiscernible) knowledge. THE WITNESS: Actually, I'd like to take my sentence back. I do think that I don't have a vivid, exactly remembering what she said. But I do remember reading something in the chart, (indiscernible) chart, something about her where you have (indiscernible). If I do remember, I read something, but you have to refresh my mind BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Okay. A what she was focusing on, then I could give you more feedback.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE COURT: Overruled. THE WITNESS: But the only thing I would say, I indicate what I said, that individual that (indiscernible) has the schizophrenia, obviously has a severe case of the is not benefiting him not to be on on the antipsychotic medication. And we have seen that, by review of the chart, there is a decline in his level of functioning. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Okay. So now, is there anything that you can think of in Dr. Jackson's testimony that that you can that you dispute A Well, again Q right now? MS. DERRY: Objection, foundation, calls for speculation. THE COURT: If you don't remember it, that's

 impact. There was some article or or she may have referred to it, I'm not really sure, that they talked about there was some hypothesis which did not get far. The hypothesis didn't get (indiscernible) that move forward too much, because the study was was not very strong to support. Q So now, wasn't there then another study that showed that when people come off the drugs, the study was was not very strong to support. the hypothesis didn't get (indiscernible) that 	
2There was some article or or she may have2not very strong to support.3referred to it, I'm not really sure, that they talked3QSo now, wasn't there then another study4about there was some hypothesis which did not get4that showed that when people come off the drugs, the drugs of the d	
3referred to it, I'm not really sure, that they talked3QSo now, wasn't there then another study4about there was some hypothesis which did not get4that showed that when people come off the drugs, the drugs of the dr	hot
4 about there was some hypothesis which did not get 4 that showed that when people come off the drugs, t	hat
	LI AL
6 they were presenting, that I think it's called 6 actually go away?	
 7 (indiscernible) psychosis that can't happen by taking 7 A As I said, I don't know what study you are 	
8 antipsychotic medication, which as I said, that was a 8 referring to. If you have a specific study, I would	
9 theory that did not get very accepted, but it was one 9 be happy if you give it to me, read it, and then I	
10of the hypothesis.10could give my understanding on it.	
1011BY MR. GOTTSTEIN:11QYeah. I (indiscernible) Whitaker's affidavit	
12 Q So is that the hypothesis well, actually, 12 and (indiscernible).	
12QSo is that the hypothesis wen, actually,12and (indiscerniol).13was that the that one of the series of studies that13So and then okay. And that some	
14 showed that when people were given these drugs, that 14 people, there is a study that shows that some people	
15 the brain that the brain compensated for the 15 if they are allowed to get off the drugs and get	,
17 receptors by growing more dopamine receptors, is 17 MS. DERRY: Objection, foundation. Dr. Kh	ari
18 that 18 hasn't testified that she knows of any of these 10 the diagram of the second diagram of the se	
19 A Well, what (indiscernible) I recall the 19 studies. And I don't know if we're going to 20 4 5 5 5 20 5 5 5 5	
20 study first of all, as I say, it was very it 20 THE COURT: She you don't agree with he 21 Image: She you don't agree with he 21 Image: She you don't agree with he	r
21 was I'm trying to get the word down it wasn't a 21 understanding the premise of the question to state	
22 study that was a limited study, did not look at the 22 that.	
23 whole picture. 23 THE WITNESS: As I say, I kind of I like	
24 It and it was saying that, yes, the number 24 to know what article exactly we are talking about, f	
25 of the dopamine actually, I think it was saying 25 me to look at them, then I could give more realistic	
Page 47 Page	49
1 that eventually the number of receptor has increased; 1 and better response, or better understanding of the	e
2 however, they saw more enlarged of the dopamine. And 2 article.	
³ that is why they (indiscernible) it would cause that ³ BY MR. GOTTSTEIN:	
4 D1 reaction. 4 Q Okay. And so then you don't recall	
5 But again, I'd have to look at the study 5 Dr. Jackson testifying as to this in her testimony	?
6 again. I cannot I haven't seen it, you know, for 6 A As I said, I know I read something. If it	
7 quite some time. But the study, as far as I remember, 7 was that or not, I am not really I said from	
8 did not did not move forward too much. 8 beginning, I am not sure exactly what she was	
9 Q So if I'm explaining this right or 9 focusing.	
10 (indiscernible) your understanding, is what this study 10 I do know I encountered about in the	
11 showed was that was that because of the increased 11 chart, but I can't remember exactly the details. A	nd
12 dopamine receptors caused by the the long-term 12 I guess as I said initially, I was guessing that	
13 administration of the neuroleptics, that when someone 13 may be what she was referring, but I'm not really	
14 quit them, that the quitting of it caused relapses; is 14 sure.	
15 that correct? 15 Q Now, you testified and I'm not sure what	ıt
16 A The way I look at it wasn't a stipulation, 16 context I think it was in to be discharged, do	
17 and it did not prove it didn't as I said, you 17 you have to have case management? Is that	
18 know, it was something that it was stipulated, and 18 A Yes. Yes.	
19 the study (indiscernible) the controlled numbers. I 19 Q Okay. All right. I'm going to move to	
20 don't really know. 20 another area.	
21 But I remember when I was looking at that 21 Now, you testified about an agency that	
22 article, it seemed like there was a lot of 22 worked with him a little bit in January of 2007, I	
23 (indiscernible) in that study. But I believe that's 23 think.	
24 what they concluded. 24 A Are you saying to Choice?	
25 But that is one thing, the hypothesis did not 25 Q Yes.	

 A I said that I yeah. I referred to them based on what I read in the chart. I was not the clinician at that time. And that was in late 2007. MR. GOTTSTEIN: This is these, I can tell you, have been filed here. I think I should make an exhibit of it. I mean, I I don't THE COURT: Go ahead. MR. GOTTSTEIN: I don't (indiscernible) well, I have to okay. So this would be E. (Indiscernible.) BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Have you seen seen this documentation? A No, I didn't. MS. DERRY: I am going to object based on Mathematical at the time of time
 2 based on what I read in the chart. I was not the 3 clinician at that time. And that was in late 2007. 4 MR. GOTTSTEIN: This is these, I can tell 5 you, have been filed here. I think I should make an 6 exhibit of it. I mean, I I don't 7 THE COURT: Go ahead. 8 MR. GOTTSTEIN: I don't (indiscernible) 9 well, I have to okay. So this would be E. 10 (Indiscernible.) 11 BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: 12 Q Have you seen seen this documentation? 13 A No, I didn't. 14 MS. DERRY: I am going to object based on 2 Mr. Gottstein should not be reading it. I mean, is he 3 going to introduce it? 4 THE COURT: I take this I take this to be 9 essentially a Rule 612 (indiscernible) to refresh 6 memory. If it doesn't refresh her memory, it doesn't. 7 It's not going to be admitted as evidence; it's being 8 used to refresh her recollection. 9 She it is not going to be utilized as 10 hearsay. I mean, right now, it's being used in cross 11 BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: 12 Q Have you seen seen this documentation? 13 A No, I didn't. 14 MS. DERRY: I am going to object based on
 3 clinician at that time. And that was in late 2007. 4 MR. GOTTSTEIN: This is these, I can tell 5 you, have been filed here. I think I should make an 6 exhibit of it. I mean, I I don't 7 THE COURT: Go ahead. 8 MR. GOTTSTEIN: I don't (indiscernible) 9 well, I have to okay. So this would be E. 10 (Indiscernible.) 11 BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: 12 Q Have you seen seen this documentation? 13 A No, I didn't. 14 MS. DERRY: I am going to object based on 3 going to introduce it? 3 going to introduce it? 4 THE COURT: I take this I take this to be 5 essentially a Rule 612 (indiscernible) to refresh 6 memory. If it doesn't refresh her memory, it doesn't. 7 It's not going to be admitted as evidence; it's being 8 used to refresh her recollection. 9 She it is not going to be utilized as 10 hearsay. I mean, right now, it's being used in cross 11 BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: 12 Q Have you seen seen this documentation? 13 A No, I didn't. 14 MS. DERRY: I am going to object based on
 MR. GOTTSTEIN: This is these, I can tell you, have been filed here. I think I should make an exhibit of it. I mean, I I don't THE COURT: Go ahead. MR. GOTTSTEIN: I don't (indiscernible) well, I have to okay. So this would be E. (Indiscernible.) BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Have you seen seen this documentation? A No, I didn't. MS. DERRY: I am going to object based on MR. GOTTSTEIN: This is these, I can tell MR. GOTTSTEIN: This document has been filed MR. GOTTSTEIN: This document has been filed MR. GOTTSTEIN: I am going to object based on
 5 you, have been filed here. I think I should make an 6 exhibit of it. I mean, I I don't 7 THE COURT: Go ahead. 8 MR. GOTTSTEIN: I don't (indiscernible) 9 well, I have to okay. So this would be E. 10 (Indiscernible.) 11 BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: 12 Q Have you seen seen this documentation? 13 A No, I didn't. 14 MS. DERRY: I am going to object based on 5 essentially a Rule 612 (indiscernible) to refresh 6 memory. If it doesn't refresh her memory, it doesn't. 7 It's not going to be admitted as evidence; it's being 8 used to refresh her recollection. 9 She it is not going to be utilized as 10 hearsay. I mean, right now, it's being used in cross 11 br fit doesn't 12 refresh, as far as I can tell, and if it doesn't 13 MR. GOTTSTEIN: I am going to object based on 14 MS. DERRY: I am going to object based on
 6 exhibit of it. I mean, I I don't 7 THE COURT: Go ahead. 8 MR. GOTTSTEIN: I don't (indiscernible) 9 well, I have to okay. So this would be E. 10 (Indiscernible.) 11 BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: 12 Q Have you seen seen this documentation? 13 A No, I didn't. 14 MS. DERRY: I am going to object based on 6 memory. If it doesn't refresh her memory, it doesn't. 7 I's not going to be admitted as evidence; it's being used to refresh her recollection. 9 She it is not going to be utilized as 10 hearsay. I mean, right now, it's being used in cross 11 to refresh, as far as I can tell, and if it doesn't 12 refresh, she'll say so. 13 MR. GOTTSTEIN: I am going to object based on 14 MS. DERRY: I am going to object based on
 7 THE COURT: Go ahead. 8 MR. GOTTSTEIN: I don't (indiscernible) 9 well, I have to okay. So this would be E. 10 (Indiscernible.) 11 BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: 12 Q Have you seen seen this documentation? 13 A No, I didn't. 14 MS. DERRY: I am going to object based on 7 It's not going to be admitted as evidence; it's being used to refresh her recollection. 9 She it is not going to be utilized as 10 hearsay. I mean, right now, it's being used in cross 11 to refresh, as far as I can tell, and if it doesn't 12 refresh, she'll say so. 13 MR. GOTTSTEIN: I am going to object based on 14 MS. DERRY: I am going to object based on
8MR. GOTTSTEIN: I don't (indiscernible)8used to refresh her recollection.9well, I have to okay. So this would be E.9She it is not going to be utilized as10(Indiscernible.)10hearsay. I mean, right now, it's being used in cross11BY MR. GOTTSTEIN:11to refresh, as far as I can tell, and if it doesn't12QHave you seen seen this documentation?12refresh, she'll say so.13ANo, I didn't.13MR. GOTTSTEIN: This document has been filed14MS. DERRY: I am going to object based on14in this case.
 9 well, I have to okay. So this would be E. 10 (Indiscernible.) 11 BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: 12 Q Have you seen seen this documentation? 13 A No, I didn't. 14 MS. DERRY: I am going to object based on 9 She it is not going to be utilized as 10 hearsay. I mean, right now, it's being used in cross 11 to refresh, as far as I can tell, and if it doesn't 12 refresh, she'll say so. 13 MR. GOTTSTEIN: This document has been filed 14 ms. DERRY: I am going to object based on
 10 (Indiscernible.) 11 BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: 12 Q Have you seen seen this documentation? 13 A No, I didn't. 14 MS. DERRY: I am going to object based on 10 hearsay. I mean, right now, it's being used in cross 11 to refresh, as far as I can tell, and if it doesn't 12 refresh, she'll say so. 13 MR. GOTTSTEIN: This document has been filed 14 in this case.
11BY MR. GOTTSTEIN:11to refresh, as far as I can tell, and if it doesn't12QHave you seen seen this documentation?12refresh, she'll say so.13ANo, I didn't.13MR. GOTTSTEIN: This document has been filed14MS. DERRY: I am going to object based on14in this case.
12QHave you seen seen this documentation?12refresh, she'll say so.13ANo, I didn't.13MR. GOTTSTEIN: This document has been filed14MS. DERRY: I am going to object based on14in this case.
13ANo, I didn't.13MR. GOTTSTEIN: This document has been filed14MS. DERRY: I am going to object based on14in this case.
14 MS. DERRY: I am going to object based on 14 in this case.
15 relevance. 15 THE COURT: It may be filed, but it doesn't
16 THE COURT: He hasn't offered it yet, though. 16 mean it's admissible. Anybody can file whatever you
17 MR. GOTTSTEIN: I'm not really necessarily 17 want. That doesn't mean it's admissible
18 going to offer it. I'm just going to ask about it. 18 (indiscernible).
19THE COURT: So far she said she's never seen19MR. GOTTSTEIN: Okay. Yeah.
20it before.20THE COURT: And no one's offered it yet, so
21 MR. GOTTSTEIN: But she testified about 21 (indiscernible).
22about okay.22MR. GOTTSTEIN: I (indiscernible) I haven't
23BY MR. GOTTSTEIN:23offered it here, and other than to say that I've filed
24 Q Now, you said if you look at paragraph B, 24 it, as I said, on October 28th, my notice said I
25I'll paraphrase it, does it say that that basically25THE COURT: You have filed it. You haven't
Page 51 Page 53
3 MS. DERRY: Objection, relevance. 3 it is admissible evidence. It might be, but you
4 THE COURT: Overruled. 4 haven't offered anything. From your (indiscernible),
5 THE WITNESS: The only thing I'll say, when I 5 you filed something attached to a piece of paper
6 sign the chart, which also I'll put it in my summary 6 called motion or notice doesn't make it admissible
7 of the note, that the chart indicated it in the other 7 evidence.
8 chart. (Indiscernible) on 9/11/2007, at the 8 You can attempt to admit this document and
9 evaluation assessment and few placements, such as 9 any other document, and the State will file
10 (indiscernible) appointment, (indiscernible).10 whatever make whatever objection, and I'll make a
11But on 10/24/2007, Choices dropped the11ruling on it to admissibility.
12services for this patient as concern to grave12Its admissibility may depend on what purposes
13liability and not having adequate resources for Bill.13you use it for, so the mere fact that it's a piece of
14That's all I signed the chart, and that's what I14paper doesn't make it admissible.
15 brought today to the Court. (Indiscernible) beyond 15 MR. GOTTSTEIN: No. I understand that. And
16that, I do not have any knowledge.16so but what I am confused about is that I believe I
17 Q Okay. So is it true that what this affidavit 17 have submitted it in evidence, and I did it on
18says is: When the costs of services exceeded \$5,000,18October 28th, is what I said. So if the State has an
19 Psych Rights said it could not afford to continue 19 objection to the admissibility
20 paying, and Mr. Bigley informed me he did not want to 20 THE COURT: Just so that you're clear, I
21 work with me anymore, so services were discontinued? 21 don't take the fact that you filed documents as an
22 MS. DERRY: I'm going to object based on 22 offer of their being used in this proceeding as
23 relevance, foundation. Dr. Khari has already stated 23 evidence.
 23 relevance, foundation. Dr. Khari has already stated 24 that she doesn't know. 24 So to be so you can be clear, if you want

	Page 54		Page 56
1	been filed or not, if you want it to be admitted as a	1	get it in, you are going to have to make that request
2	document in evidence, you should specifically do so at	2	(indiscernible).
3	any particular point where you think it's appropriate,	3	MR. GOTTSTEIN: Of course, whether it's
4	giving the State the opportunity to object in the	4	offered for (indiscernible) summary judgment motion.
5	context of the (indiscernible).	5	THE COURT: I will say it again. That is not
6	MR. GOTTSTEIN: Okay. So I move that they be	6	(indiscernible). Roll your eyes, but it is not
7	admitted.	7	evidence. Not in an evidentiary
8	MS. DERRY: The State is objecting on the	8	MR. GOTTSTEIN: Not in a hearing, yes. Yes.
9	relevance. There is an affidavit in a previous court	9	Okay.
10	proceeding, September 12th, 2007. I can't connect the	10	BY MR. GOTTSTEIN:
11	relevance at all to this witness, and it doesn't	11	Q Okay. I'm showing you something that's been
12	appear to be relevant to Mr. Bigley's current capacity	12	marked as F. It's a listing of admissions and
13	to consent or (indiscernible) consent to medication.	13	discharge dates. Is that what it appears to be?
14	MR. GOTTSTEIN: This is going to the	14	A Yes.
15	less-intrusive alternative. And it it presents	15	MS. DERRY: I'm going to
16	testimony with respect to the availability of the	16	MR. GOTTSTEIN: I don't really I'm going
17	less-intrusive alternative.	17	to move I'm going to move to admit it. If you
18	THE COURT: I'm going to I think it is	18	object to it then
19	relevant.	19	MS. DERRY: I'm going to object. This is
20	On the other hand, I think it's hearsay.	20	under the (indiscernible) rule, for one. We have the
21	It's not on the basis of (indiscernible) hearsay	21	charts. And I don't understand how a receipt of
22	objection, the (indiscernible) is denied.	22	admissions (indiscernible) Mr. Gottstein received
23	MR. GOTTSTEIN: It's made on Your Honor,	23	medical records.
24	it's made on personal knowledge by the affiant.	24	THE COURT: If I'm understanding what you are
25	THE COURT: Yes, it is. But the this is	25	attempting to do is to say this obviously is a
	Page 55		Page 57
1	an out-of-court declaration. The individual is not	1	receipt of chart notes, but also I think what you're
2	here and available for cross examination. It's	2	trying to do is say this shows his admission and
3	hearsay and (indiscernible).	3	discharge dates because it's offered for that. That's
4	MR. GOTTSTEIN: All right. So I actually	4	all it's being offered for.
5	raised this on October at the hearing on	5	Is that an accurate statement? I mean,
б	October 28th. I you know, and said well, if if	6	that's the question. Does this track his admission
7	I	7	and discharges over time?
8	THE COURT: I'll say it again, so you're	8	THE WITNESS: Yes.
9	clear.	9	THE COURT: (Indiscernible) objection to the
10	Regardless of what I said, if I thought	10	(indiscernible) use of this
11	(indiscernible), I'll be clear. The mere fact that	11	MS. DERRY: For that limited use, the State
12	you filed paperwork does not make it admissible. If	12	has no objection.
13	you want any paperwork, whether you previously filed	13	MR. GOTTSTEIN: Okay.
14	it or you haven't previously filed it, you want it to	14	THE COURT: F is admitted.
15	be admissible in this proceeding, you must make a	15	(Exhibit F admitted.)
16	document-by-document offer, so that the State can make	16	BY MR. GOTTSTEIN:
17	an objection in context.	17	Q How many do you see that first entry,
18	As of now, there have been (indiscernible) A	18	11/29/06?
19	through E have been offered, and I have admitted I	19	A Yes.
20	have denied entry of the two, including E.	20	Q How many days is that?
21	MR. GOTTSTEIN: All right. And the reason	21	MS. DERRY: I'm going to object well, I
22 23	why I brought that up was because because THE COURT: So there is a pile of stuff that	22	guess you can answer that question.
23 24	THE COURT: So there is a pile of stuff that you filed. As of now, none of that has been offered	23 24	But Dr. Khari wasn't employed by API at that
24 25	and none of it is admitted. If you want to attempt to	24 25	time. THE COURT: I'm pretty sure that Dr. Khari
15	and none of it is admitted. If you want to attempt to	1 7 6	THE (() I'm protty gives that the K hard

1	Page 58		Page 60
1	can probably do that. But I don't really think that I	1	THE COURT: I think it would be helpful to
2	need to have her do (indiscernible).	2	know what happened basically during each of the
3	MR. GOTTSTEIN: Okay. Well, all right.	3	admissions. So if that requires and I assume,
4	Actually (indiscernible).	4	perhaps I'm wrong, that this particular treating team
5	THE COURT: (Indiscernible.)	5	or physician with a patient who has been here lots of
6	MR. GOTTSTEIN: Okay.	6	times will look back at the recent chart and see how
7	THE COURT: You know, there's some months	7	did he do back then, how is he doing now, how does
8	have a certain number of days and others have a	8	that relate. So yes. Charts are also there, so we
9	different number of days. I can do that.	9	don't rely on memories (indiscernible) rely on
10	MR. GOTTSTEIN: Okay.	10	recorded information.
11	BY MR. GOTTSTEIN:	11	MS. DERRY: Your Honor, would it be
12	Q Is it now, there's an entry of $4/25/08$ to	12	appropriate because Dr. Khari does have knowledge
13	6/4/08; do you see that?	13	of the chart in general and has certainly looked back
14	A Yes.	14	in the chart
15	Q Okay. And that is let me see so is	15	THE COURT: Well, what I I think
16	that approximately 39 days or so, somewhere around	16	MS. DERRY: to summarize
17	there?	17	THE COURT: Well, would it make sense
18	A Yes.	18	(indiscernible), if you're going to (indiscernible)
19	THE COURT: What was the period of time?	19	ask her to summarize the his status and progress
20	MS. DERRY: What was the period of time,	20	during all the admissions from April on, let's say,
21	please?	21	then simply let her take a break, let her review the
22	MR. GOTTSTEIN: It's 4/25 to 6/4. Okay.	22	charts, and then we can go and more efficiently use
23	BY MR. GOTTSTEIN:	23	our time.
24	Q And is it your understanding that the supreme	24	MR. GOTTSTEIN: Yeah. And actually, I was
25	court stay came into effect during that admission?	25	kind of I ran out of time here. It was my
	Page 59		Page 61
1	A I'm not sure (indiscernible).	1	understanding can I just kind of
2	Q Okay. Okay. Then the next admission,	2	THE COURT: If that's what you want if you
3	6/26/08 through 6/30/08, that's four days, correct?	3	want her to summarize the charts, I'll let her review
4	A Yes.	4	them off record and you can ask questions.
5	Q Can you tell me about that admission?	5	MR. GOTTSTEIN: Okay.
6	A No. I do not remember.	6	THE COURT: So you don't waste time
7	MS. DERRY: Objection.	7	(indiscernible). I shouldn't say that. But it
8	THE WITNESS: Because I only we get so	8	wouldn't surprise me if she would prefer to rely on
9	many admissions, and every presentation is different.	9	
			the charts rather than memory.
10	I only could tell you about this, unless I look at the	10	the charts rather than memory. MR. GOTTSTEIN: No, no. I know. I actually
11	whole chart (indiscernible) be able to give you better	11	MR. GOTTSTEIN: No, no. I know. I actually tried to put all this stuff together and ran out of
11 12	whole chart (indiscernible) be able to give you better (indiscernible).	11 12	MR. GOTTSTEIN: No, no. I know. I actually tried to put all this stuff together and ran out of time. I've got actually a pretty good
11	whole chart (indiscernible) be able to give you better (indiscernible). BY MR. GOTTSTEIN:	11	MR. GOTTSTEIN: No, no. I know. I actually tried to put all this stuff together and ran out of time. I've got actually a pretty good THE COURT: If you're going to ask her, based
11 12 13 14	whole chart (indiscernible) be able to give you better (indiscernible).BY MR. GOTTSTEIN:Q Could you could you do that?	11 12 13 14	MR. GOTTSTEIN: No, no. I know. I actually tried to put all this stuff together and ran out of time. I've got actually a pretty good THE COURT: If you're going to ask her, based on your summary, my expectation is she is going to
11 12 13 14 15	 whole chart (indiscernible) be able to give you better (indiscernible). BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Could you could you do that? MS. DERRY: Do you want her to do that now, 	11 12 13 14 15	MR. GOTTSTEIN: No, no. I know. I actually tried to put all this stuff together and ran out of time. I've got actually a pretty good THE COURT: If you're going to ask her, based on your summary, my expectation is she is going to say, I don't know, I've got to read the chart.
11 12 13 14 15 16	 whole chart (indiscernible) be able to give you better (indiscernible). BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Could you could you do that? MS. DERRY: Do you want her to do that now, Your Honor, read a voluminous chart from that date? 	11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. GOTTSTEIN: No, no. I know. I actually tried to put all this stuff together and ran out of time. I've got actually a pretty good THE COURT: If you're going to ask her, based on your summary, my expectation is she is going to say, I don't know, I've got to read the chart. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Right.
11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 whole chart (indiscernible) be able to give you better (indiscernible). BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Could you could you do that? MS. DERRY: Do you want her to do that now, Your Honor, read a voluminous chart from that date? THE WITNESS: (Indiscernible.) 	11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. GOTTSTEIN: No, no. I know. I actually tried to put all this stuff together and ran out of time. I've got actually a pretty good THE COURT: If you're going to ask her, based on your summary, my expectation is she is going to say, I don't know, I've got to read the chart. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Right. THE COURT: So I'd rather let her have time
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 whole chart (indiscernible) be able to give you better (indiscernible). BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Could you could you do that? MS. DERRY: Do you want her to do that now, Your Honor, read a voluminous chart from that date? THE WITNESS: (Indiscernible.) MR. GOTTSTEIN: What I'm looking for is, you 	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. GOTTSTEIN: No, no. I know. I actually tried to put all this stuff together and ran out of time. I've got actually a pretty good THE COURT: If you're going to ask her, based on your summary, my expectation is she is going to say, I don't know, I've got to read the chart. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Right. THE COURT: So I'd rather let her have time to skim the chart, and then you can see if she will
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 whole chart (indiscernible) be able to give you better (indiscernible). BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Could you could you do that? MS. DERRY: Do you want her to do that now, Your Honor, read a voluminous chart from that date? THE WITNESS: (Indiscernible.) MR. GOTTSTEIN: What I'm looking for is, you know, kind of how what he came in what the 	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. GOTTSTEIN: No, no. I know. I actually tried to put all this stuff together and ran out of time. I've got actually a pretty good THE COURT: If you're going to ask her, based on your summary, my expectation is she is going to say, I don't know, I've got to read the chart. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Right. THE COURT: So I'd rather let her have time to skim the chart, and then you can see if she will confirm your summary. And if she does, that's great.
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 whole chart (indiscernible) be able to give you better (indiscernible). BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Could you could you do that? MS. DERRY: Do you want her to do that now, Your Honor, read a voluminous chart from that date? THE WITNESS: (Indiscernible.) MR. GOTTSTEIN: What I'm looking for is, you know, kind of how what he came in what the reason why he came in, you know, was it 	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. GOTTSTEIN: No, no. I know. I actually tried to put all this stuff together and ran out of time. I've got actually a pretty good THE COURT: If you're going to ask her, based on your summary, my expectation is she is going to say, I don't know, I've got to read the chart. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Right. THE COURT: So I'd rather let her have time to skim the chart, and then you can see if she will confirm your summary. And if she does, that's great. That would be an efficient way of doing it.
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 whole chart (indiscernible) be able to give you better (indiscernible). BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Could you could you do that? MS. DERRY: Do you want her to do that now, Your Honor, read a voluminous chart from that date? THE WITNESS: (Indiscernible.) MR. GOTTSTEIN: What I'm looking for is, you know, kind of how what he came in what the reason why he came in, you know, was it (indiscernible), was it Title 12, you know, what 	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. GOTTSTEIN: No, no. I know. I actually tried to put all this stuff together and ran out of time. I've got actually a pretty good THE COURT: If you're going to ask her, based on your summary, my expectation is she is going to say, I don't know, I've got to read the chart. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Right. THE COURT: So I'd rather let her have time to skim the chart, and then you can see if she will confirm your summary. And if she does, that's great. That would be an efficient way of doing it. MS. DERRY: And, Your Honor, I'm sorry
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 whole chart (indiscernible) be able to give you better (indiscernible). BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Could you could you do that? MS. DERRY: Do you want her to do that now, Your Honor, read a voluminous chart from that date? THE WITNESS: (Indiscernible.) MR. GOTTSTEIN: What I'm looking for is, you know, kind of how what he came in what the reason why he came in, you know, was it (indiscernible), was it Title 12, you know, what happened, and kind of why he got discharged. I just 	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. GOTTSTEIN: No, no. I know. I actually tried to put all this stuff together and ran out of time. I've got actually a pretty good THE COURT: If you're going to ask her, based on your summary, my expectation is she is going to say, I don't know, I've got to read the chart. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Right. THE COURT: So I'd rather let her have time to skim the chart, and then you can see if she will confirm your summary. And if she does, that's great. That would be an efficient way of doing it. MS. DERRY: And, Your Honor, I'm sorry THE COURT: I'm going to give her time off
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 whole chart (indiscernible) be able to give you better (indiscernible). BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Could you could you do that? MS. DERRY: Do you want her to do that now, Your Honor, read a voluminous chart from that date? THE WITNESS: (Indiscernible.) MR. GOTTSTEIN: What I'm looking for is, you know, kind of how what he came in what the reason why he came in, you know, was it (indiscernible), was it Title 12, you know, what happened, and kind of why he got discharged. I just want to kind of have a summary of these admissions. 	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. GOTTSTEIN: No, no. I know. I actually tried to put all this stuff together and ran out of time. I've got actually a pretty good THE COURT: If you're going to ask her, based on your summary, my expectation is she is going to say, I don't know, I've got to read the chart. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Right. THE COURT: So I'd rather let her have time to skim the chart, and then you can see if she will confirm your summary. And if she does, that's great. That would be an efficient way of doing it. MS. DERRY: And, Your Honor, I'm sorry THE COURT: I'm going to give her time off record to just go through whatever period of time you
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 whole chart (indiscernible) be able to give you better (indiscernible). BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Could you could you do that? MS. DERRY: Do you want her to do that now, Your Honor, read a voluminous chart from that date? THE WITNESS: (Indiscernible.) MR. GOTTSTEIN: What I'm looking for is, you know, kind of how what he came in what the reason why he came in, you know, was it (indiscernible), was it Title 12, you know, what happened, and kind of why he got discharged. I just 	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. GOTTSTEIN: No, no. I know. I actually tried to put all this stuff together and ran out of time. I've got actually a pretty good THE COURT: If you're going to ask her, based on your summary, my expectation is she is going to say, I don't know, I've got to read the chart. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Right. THE COURT: So I'd rather let her have time to skim the chart, and then you can see if she will confirm your summary. And if she does, that's great. That would be an efficient way of doing it. MS. DERRY: And, Your Honor, I'm sorry THE COURT: I'm going to give her time off

	Page 62		Page 64
1	basic point is that	1	other. I just wanted to give her time off record to
2	THE COURT: You want her to start on	2	go through the charts to answer those basic questions.
3	April 16th? I don't recall the start	3	If you think that you've already done that
4	(indiscernible) or April 25th, I mean.	4	and you can do this without reviewing the charts and
5	MS. DERRY: I thought his first question was	5	just go off of your notes, that's fine.
6	from June 25th about what happened.	6	THE WITNESS: I don't have it to that detail.
7	MR. GOTTSTEIN: No. I think we can start	7	What I understand I am being asked, (indiscernible)
8	with 4/25, yes.	8	patient, what specifically is the patient here from,
9	THE COURT: So let's take a break.	9	and if before he came to a facility, (indiscernible)
10	Doctor, can you take a review of those	10	facility, why was he discharged and what charges
11	skim those charts.	11	and but in summary, (indiscernible) at the charts,
12	Just so that you give her a basic idea of	12	based on a summary I've been looking at, number one,
13	what you want her to say regarding each period.	13	most of the times that what I when I reviewed
14	MR. GOTTSTEIN: Okay. So for example, on	14	the chart, they were when he was taken to the
15	June 26th, (indiscernible) as (indiscernible)	15	correctional facility.
16	respondent was arrested on June 23rd for disorderly	16	And yesterday the testimony gave good detail,
17	conduct, loud noises, criminal mischief, was ordered	17	much better explanation I could give what got him to
18	to API for psychiatric examination on June 24th.	18	the correctional facility. And in correctional
19	The charge was dismissed July 2nd for	19	facility, most of the cases was dropped because he was
20	incompetent to stand trial, and respondent discharged	20	incompetent to stand trial, and it was not
21	on July the (indiscernible).	21	(indiscernible), as far as I understand.
22	THE COURT: So basically what technical	22	And also the other thing is that most of the
23	mechanism got him here, was it a police officer	23	time, the patient had come on POA, what I understand,
24	bringing him in or another facility, or Providence	24	except on 4/16, he came on ex parte, and then the
25	Hospital, that	25	hospital petition
	Page 63		
			Page 65
1	MR. GOTTSTEIN: Well, yeah. And then	1	THE COURT: Do you want to ask the questions
1 2	MR. GOTTSTEIN: Well, yeah. And then well, yes.	1 2	
	MR. GOTTSTEIN: Well, yeah. And then well, yes. THE COURT: And is there a criminal charge	2 3	THE COURT: Do you want to ask the questions now? Do you want to review the charts further? If not
2 3 4	MR. GOTTSTEIN: Well, yeah. And then well, yes. THE COURT: And is there a criminal charge attached. Was it a criminal you know, admission on	2	THE COURT: Do you want to ask the questions now? Do you want to review the charts further? If not THE WITNESS: As I said, if those are the
2 3 4 5	MR. GOTTSTEIN: Well, yeah. And then well, yes. THE COURT: And is there a criminal charge attached. Was it a criminal you know, admission on a criminal thing. If you know what happened to the	2 3	THE COURT: Do you want to ask the questions now? Do you want to review the charts further? If not THE WITNESS: As I said, if those are the questions, some of it I have here. Exactly. I don't
2 3 4 5 6	MR. GOTTSTEIN: Well, yeah. And then well, yes. THE COURT: And is there a criminal charge attached. Was it a criminal you know, admission on a criminal thing. If you know what happened to the criminal case, and if it I think you really want to	2 3 4 5 6	THE COURT: Do you want to ask the questions now? Do you want to review the charts further? If not THE WITNESS: As I said, if those are the questions, some of it I have here. Exactly. I don't know Mr. Gottstein, is he going to go more detail
2 3 4 5	MR. GOTTSTEIN: Well, yeah. And then well, yes. THE COURT: And is there a criminal charge attached. Was it a criminal you know, admission on a criminal thing. If you know what happened to the criminal case, and if it I think you really want to know is was he discharged was the case dismissed	2 3 4 5	THE COURT: Do you want to ask the questions now? Do you want to review the charts further? If not THE WITNESS: As I said, if those are the questions, some of it I have here. Exactly. I don't know Mr. Gottstein, is he going to go more detail THE COURT: We're going to take a break.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. GOTTSTEIN: Well, yeah. And then well, yes. THE COURT: And is there a criminal charge attached. Was it a criminal you know, admission on a criminal thing. If you know what happened to the criminal case, and if it I think you really want to know is was he discharged was the case dismissed because of incompetence.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE COURT: Do you want to ask the questions now? Do you want to review the charts further? If not THE WITNESS: As I said, if those are the questions, some of it I have here. Exactly. I don't know Mr. Gottstein, is he going to go more detail THE COURT: We're going to take a break. THE WITNESS: Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. GOTTSTEIN: Well, yeah. And then well, yes. THE COURT: And is there a criminal charge attached. Was it a criminal you know, admission on a criminal thing. If you know what happened to the criminal case, and if it I think you really want to know is was he discharged was the case dismissed because of incompetence. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Well, no. I really want to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE COURT: Do you want to ask the questions now? Do you want to review the charts further? If not THE WITNESS: As I said, if those are the questions, some of it I have here. Exactly. I don't know Mr. Gottstein, is he going to go more detail THE COURT: We're going to take a break. THE WITNESS: Okay. THE COURT: You can review the charts if you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. GOTTSTEIN: Well, yeah. And then well, yes. THE COURT: And is there a criminal charge attached. Was it a criminal you know, admission on a criminal thing. If you know what happened to the criminal case, and if it I think you really want to know is was he discharged was the case dismissed because of incompetence. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Well, no. I really want to know why he was discharged, too.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	THE COURT: Do you want to ask the questions now? Do you want to review the charts further? If not THE WITNESS: As I said, if those are the questions, some of it I have here. Exactly. I don't know Mr. Gottstein, is he going to go more detail THE COURT: We're going to take a break. THE WITNESS: Okay. THE COURT: You can review the charts if you want to. Then you can (indiscernible) and ask
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. GOTTSTEIN: Well, yeah. And then well, yes. THE COURT: And is there a criminal charge attached. Was it a criminal you know, admission on a criminal thing. If you know what happened to the criminal case, and if it I think you really want to know is was he discharged was the case dismissed because of incompetence. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Well, no. I really want to know why he was discharged, too. THE COURT: Okay. Then tell (indiscernible)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	THE COURT: Do you want to ask the questions now? Do you want to review the charts further? If not THE WITNESS: As I said, if those are the questions, some of it I have here. Exactly. I don't know Mr. Gottstein, is he going to go more detail THE COURT: We're going to take a break. THE WITNESS: Okay. THE COURT: You can review the charts if you want to. Then you can (indiscernible) and ask (indiscernible).
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. GOTTSTEIN: Well, yeah. And then well, yes. THE COURT: And is there a criminal charge attached. Was it a criminal you know, admission on a criminal thing. If you know what happened to the criminal case, and if it I think you really want to know is was he discharged was the case dismissed because of incompetence. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Well, no. I really want to know why he was discharged, too. THE COURT: Okay. Then tell (indiscernible) what got him in and what got him out.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	THE COURT: Do you want to ask the questions now? Do you want to review the charts further? If not THE WITNESS: As I said, if those are the questions, some of it I have here. Exactly. I don't know Mr. Gottstein, is he going to go more detail THE COURT: We're going to take a break. THE WITNESS: Okay. THE COURT: You can review the charts if you want to. Then you can (indiscernible) and ask (indiscernible). 9:58:43
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. GOTTSTEIN: Well, yeah. And then well, yes. THE COURT: And is there a criminal charge attached. Was it a criminal you know, admission on a criminal thing. If you know what happened to the criminal case, and if it I think you really want to know is was he discharged was the case dismissed because of incompetence. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Well, no. I really want to know why he was discharged, too. THE COURT: Okay. Then tell (indiscernible) what got him in and what got him out. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Okay. And if I may, just to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE COURT: Do you want to ask the questions now? Do you want to review the charts further? If not THE WITNESS: As I said, if those are the questions, some of it I have here. Exactly. I don't know Mr. Gottstein, is he going to go more detail THE COURT: We're going to take a break. THE WITNESS: Okay. THE COURT: You can review the charts if you want to. Then you can (indiscernible) and ask (indiscernible). 9:58:43 (Off record.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. GOTTSTEIN: Well, yeah. And then well, yes. THE COURT: And is there a criminal charge attached. Was it a criminal you know, admission on a criminal thing. If you know what happened to the criminal case, and if it I think you really want to know is was he discharged was the case dismissed because of incompetence. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Well, no. I really want to know why he was discharged, too. THE COURT: Okay. Then tell (indiscernible) what got him in and what got him out. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Okay. And if I may, just to be as there was an admission from the psych ER,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE COURT: Do you want to ask the questions now? Do you want to review the charts further? If not THE WITNESS: As I said, if those are the questions, some of it I have here. Exactly. I don't know Mr. Gottstein, is he going to go more detail THE COURT: We're going to take a break. THE WITNESS: Okay. THE WITNESS: Okay. THE COURT: You can review the charts if you want to. Then you can (indiscernible) and ask (indiscernible). 9:58:43 (Off record.) 10:24:50
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. GOTTSTEIN: Well, yeah. And then well, yes. THE COURT: And is there a criminal charge attached. Was it a criminal you know, admission on a criminal thing. If you know what happened to the criminal case, and if it I think you really want to know is was he discharged was the case dismissed because of incompetence. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Well, no. I really want to know why he was discharged, too. THE COURT: Okay. Then tell (indiscernible) what got him in and what got him out. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Okay. And if I may, just to be as there was an admission from the psych ER, where he had been brought in to the police for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE COURT: Do you want to ask the questions now? Do you want to review the charts further? If not THE WITNESS: As I said, if those are the questions, some of it I have here. Exactly. I don't know Mr. Gottstein, is he going to go more detail THE COURT: We're going to take a break. THE WITNESS: Okay. THE COURT: You can review the charts if you want to. Then you can (indiscernible) and ask (indiscernible). 9:58:43 (Off record.) 10:24:50 THE CLERK: On record.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. GOTTSTEIN: Well, yeah. And then well, yes. THE COURT: And is there a criminal charge attached. Was it a criminal you know, admission on a criminal thing. If you know what happened to the criminal case, and if it I think you really want to know is was he discharged was the case dismissed because of incompetence. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Well, no. I really want to know why he was discharged, too. THE COURT: Okay. Then tell (indiscernible) what got him in and what got him out. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Okay. And if I may, just to be as there was an admission from the psych ER, where he had been brought in to the police for because they were concerned he was walking in traffic,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE COURT: Do you want to ask the questions now? Do you want to review the charts further? If not THE WITNESS: As I said, if those are the questions, some of it I have here. Exactly. I don't know Mr. Gottstein, is he going to go more detail THE COURT: We're going to take a break. THE WITNESS: Okay. THE COURT: You can review the charts if you want to. Then you can (indiscernible) and ask (indiscernible). 9:58:43 (Off record.) 10:24:50 THE CLERK: On record. THE COURT: All right. Mr. Gottstein, you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. GOTTSTEIN: Well, yeah. And then well, yes. THE COURT: And is there a criminal charge attached. Was it a criminal you know, admission on a criminal thing. If you know what happened to the criminal case, and if it I think you really want to know is was he discharged was the case dismissed because of incompetence. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Well, no. I really want to know why he was discharged, too. THE COURT: Okay. Then tell (indiscernible) what got him in and what got him out. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Okay. And if I may, just to be as there was an admission from the psych ER, where he had been brought in to the police for because they were concerned he was walking in traffic, so he was probably (indiscernible).	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE COURT: Do you want to ask the questions now? Do you want to review the charts further? If not THE WITNESS: As I said, if those are the questions, some of it I have here. Exactly. I don't know Mr. Gottstein, is he going to go more detail THE COURT: We're going to take a break. THE WITNESS: Okay. THE COURT: You can review the charts if you want to. Then you can (indiscernible) and ask (indiscernible). 9:58:43 (Off record.) 10:24:50 THE CLERK: On record. THE COURT: All right. Mr. Gottstein, you can ask her your questions.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. GOTTSTEIN: Well, yeah. And then well, yes. THE COURT: And is there a criminal charge attached. Was it a criminal you know, admission on a criminal thing. If you know what happened to the criminal case, and if it I think you really want to know is was he discharged was the case dismissed because of incompetence. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Well, no. I really want to know why he was discharged, too. THE COURT: Okay. Then tell (indiscernible) what got him in and what got him out. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Okay. And if I may, just to be as there was an admission from the psych ER, where he had been brought in to the police for because they were concerned he was walking in traffic, so he was probably (indiscernible). THE COURT: (Indiscernible.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE COURT: Do you want to ask the questions now? Do you want to review the charts further? If not THE WITNESS: As I said, if those are the questions, some of it I have here. Exactly. I don't know Mr. Gottstein, is he going to go more detail THE COURT: We're going to take a break. THE WITNESS: Okay. THE COURT: You can review the charts if you want to. Then you can (indiscernible) and ask (indiscernible). 9:58:43 (Off record.) 10:24:50 THE CLERK: On record. THE COURT: All right. Mr. Gottstein, you can ask her your questions. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. GOTTSTEIN: Well, yeah. And then well, yes. THE COURT: And is there a criminal charge attached. Was it a criminal you know, admission on a criminal thing. If you know what happened to the criminal case, and if it I think you really want to know is was he discharged was the case dismissed because of incompetence. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Well, no. I really want to know why he was discharged, too. THE COURT: Okay. Then tell (indiscernible) what got him in and what got him out. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Okay. And if I may, just to be as there was an admission from the psych ER, where he had been brought in to the police for because they were concerned he was walking in traffic, so he was probably (indiscernible). THE COURT: (Indiscernible.) MR. GOTTSTEIN: Tell us just a basic summary.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	THE COURT: Do you want to ask the questions now? Do you want to review the charts further? If not THE WITNESS: As I said, if those are the questions, some of it I have here. Exactly. I don't know Mr. Gottstein, is he going to go more detail THE COURT: We're going to take a break. THE WITNESS: Okay. THE COURT: You can review the charts if you want to. Then you can (indiscernible) and ask (indiscernible). 9:58:43 (Off record.) 10:24:50 THE CLERK: On record. THE COURT: All right. Mr. Gottstein, you can ask her your questions. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q With respect to the April 25th, 2008
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. GOTTSTEIN: Well, yeah. And then well, yes. THE COURT: And is there a criminal charge attached. Was it a criminal you know, admission on a criminal thing. If you know what happened to the criminal case, and if it I think you really want to know is was he discharged was the case dismissed because of incompetence. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Well, no. I really want to know why he was discharged, too. THE COURT: Okay. Then tell (indiscernible) what got him in and what got him out. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Okay. And if I may, just to be as there was an admission from the psych ER, where he had been brought in to the police for because they were concerned he was walking in traffic, so he was probably (indiscernible). THE COURT: (Indiscernible). MR. GOTTSTEIN: Tell us just a basic summary. THE COURT: What got him here.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE COURT: Do you want to ask the questions now? Do you want to review the charts further? If not THE WITNESS: As I said, if those are the questions, some of it I have here. Exactly. I don't know Mr. Gottstein, is he going to go more detail THE COURT: We're going to take a break. THE WITNESS: Okay. THE COURT: You can review the charts if you want to. Then you can (indiscernible) and ask (indiscernible). 9:58:43 (Off record.) 10:24:50 THE CLERK: On record. THE COURT: All right. Mr. Gottstein, you can ask her your questions. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q With respect to the April 25th, 2008 admission, could you just could you just summarize
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. GOTTSTEIN: Well, yeah. And then well, yes. THE COURT: And is there a criminal charge attached. Was it a criminal you know, admission on a criminal thing. If you know what happened to the criminal case, and if it I think you really want to know is was he discharged was the case dismissed because of incompetence. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Well, no. I really want to know why he was discharged, too. THE COURT: Okay. Then tell (indiscernible) what got him in and what got him out. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Okay. And if I may, just to be as there was an admission from the psych ER, where he had been brought in to the police for because they were concerned he was walking in traffic, so he was probably (indiscernible). THE COURT: (Indiscernible). MR. GOTTSTEIN: Tell us just a basic summary. THE COURT: What got him here. MS. DERRY: And, Your Honor, she Dr. Khari	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE COURT: Do you want to ask the questions now? Do you want to review the charts further? If not THE WITNESS: As I said, if those are the questions, some of it I have here. Exactly. I don't know Mr. Gottstein, is he going to go more detail THE COURT: We're going to take a break. THE WITNESS: Okay. THE COURT: You can review the charts if you want to. Then you can (indiscernible) and ask (indiscernible). 9:58:43 (Off record.) 10:24:50 THE CLERK: On record. THE COURT: All right. Mr. Gottstein, you can ask her your questions. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q With respect to the April 25th, 2008 admission, could you just could you just summarize that admission?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. GOTTSTEIN: Well, yeah. And then well, yes. THE COURT: And is there a criminal charge attached. Was it a criminal you know, admission on a criminal thing. If you know what happened to the criminal case, and if it I think you really want to know is was he discharged was the case dismissed because of incompetence. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Well, no. I really want to know why he was discharged, too. THE COURT: Okay. Then tell (indiscernible) what got him in and what got him out. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Okay. And if I may, just to be as there was an admission from the psych ER, where he had been brought in to the police for because they were concerned he was walking in traffic, so he was probably (indiscernible). THE COURT: (Indiscernible). MR. GOTTSTEIN: Tell us just a basic summary. THE COURT: What got him here. MS. DERRY: And, Your Honor, she Dr. Khari does have a little prep sheet of that, and she would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE COURT: Do you want to ask the questions now? Do you want to review the charts further? If not THE WITNESS: As I said, if those are the questions, some of it I have here. Exactly. I don't know Mr. Gottstein, is he going to go more detail THE COURT: We're going to take a break. THE WITNESS: Okay. THE COURT: You can review the charts if you want to. Then you can (indiscernible) and ask (indiscernible). 9:58:43 (Off record.) 10:24:50 THE CLERK: On record. THE COURT: All right. Mr. Gottstein, you can ask her your questions. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q With respect to the April 25th, 2008 admission, could you just could you just summarize that admission? A Well, basically the patient was admitted on a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. GOTTSTEIN: Well, yeah. And then well, yes. THE COURT: And is there a criminal charge attached. Was it a criminal you know, admission on a criminal thing. If you know what happened to the criminal case, and if it I think you really want to know is was he discharged was the case dismissed because of incompetence. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Well, no. I really want to know why he was discharged, too. THE COURT: Okay. Then tell (indiscernible) what got him in and what got him out. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Okay. And if I may, just to be as there was an admission from the psych ER, where he had been brought in to the police for because they were concerned he was walking in traffic, so he was probably (indiscernible). THE COURT: (Indiscernible). MR. GOTTSTEIN: Tell us just a basic summary. THE COURT: What got him here. MS. DERRY: And, Your Honor, she Dr. Khari does have a little prep sheet of that, and she would be happy to read this off right now, if that would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE COURT: Do you want to ask the questions now? Do you want to review the charts further? If not THE WITNESS: As I said, if those are the questions, some of it I have here. Exactly. I don't know Mr. Gottstein, is he going to go more detail THE COURT: We're going to take a break. THE WITNESS: Okay. THE COURT: You can review the charts if you want to. Then you can (indiscernible) and ask (indiscernible). 9:58:43 (Off record.) 10:24:50 THE CLERK: On record. THE COURT: All right. Mr. Gottstein, you can ask her your questions. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q With respect to the April 25th, 2008 admission, could you just could you just summarize that admission? A Well, basically the patient was admitted on a POA (indiscernible) screening. That gives 24 hours
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. GOTTSTEIN: Well, yeah. And then well, yes. THE COURT: And is there a criminal charge attached. Was it a criminal you know, admission on a criminal thing. If you know what happened to the criminal case, and if it I think you really want to know is was he discharged was the case dismissed because of incompetence. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Well, no. I really want to know why he was discharged, too. THE COURT: Okay. Then tell (indiscernible) what got him in and what got him out. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Okay. And if I may, just to be as there was an admission from the psych ER, where he had been brought in to the police for because they were concerned he was walking in traffic, so he was probably (indiscernible). THE COURT: (Indiscernible). MR. GOTTSTEIN: Tell us just a basic summary. THE COURT: What got him here. MS. DERRY: And, Your Honor, she Dr. Khari does have a little prep sheet of that, and she would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE COURT: Do you want to ask the questions now? Do you want to review the charts further? If not THE WITNESS: As I said, if those are the questions, some of it I have here. Exactly. I don't know Mr. Gottstein, is he going to go more detail THE COURT: We're going to take a break. THE WITNESS: Okay. THE COURT: You can review the charts if you want to. Then you can (indiscernible) and ask (indiscernible). 9:58:43 (Off record.) 10:24:50 THE CLERK: On record. THE COURT: All right. Mr. Gottstein, you can ask her your questions. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q With respect to the April 25th, 2008 admission, could you just could you just summarize that admission? A Well, basically the patient was admitted on a

	Page 66		Page 68
1	From there, they have yes. And then they	1	A In his case (indiscernible), court does not
2	have they from POA, they file for ex parte. And	2	grant us to give medication, we cannot give the
3	from ex parte, they request for the commitment, which	3	medication to him. And I'm trying to see actually,
4	was granted, but without medication. And then patient	4	on $4/25$, he did not there was no medication
5	got discharged after that.	5	(indiscernible) discharge. So I assume that he did
6	Q What was that last part about medication?	6	not get any medication.
7	A I said the 30-day commitment was given, but	7	Q Okay. And on June 26th, what brought him
8	there were no medication commitment. The 30-day	8	there?
9	commitment has been granted. Medication petition	9	A June let's see. June 26, he came for
10	would be hearing (indiscernible) superior court	10	evaluation and observation. That means he came
11	(indiscernible) superior court judge, and they	11	directly from correctional facility.
12	didn't give the date.	12	And I don't know his charge. I have to look
13	Q Could you look and isn't it true that	13	at the chart to see (indiscernible), probably for
14	that on May 19th, the Court granted the medication	14	usually, as they said yesterday, it is trespassing or
15	petition?	15	creating some behavioral conduct. But however, he was
16	A As I said, let me see. I hear this is	16	in the correctional facility. Correctional facility
17	what I read on May what, you said?	17	did send him to API for evaluation and observation.
18	Q I think it was May 19th.	18	Q Now, was there a request for API to hang on
19	A May 19th, let me see what it says.	19	to him?
20		20	A I don't know. (Indiscernible) could ask
21	Q And then a few days later, the supreme court stayed that?	21	another facility how to treat some individual.
22	A Okay. Let's see here. I'm sorry. May 19,	22	Q Isn't it common for for when someone is
23	received order for meds dated 5/19, signed by court	23	found isn't it common for when Mr. Bigley is found
24	judge, yeah, and then discharge on 6/4.	24	to be not competent to stand trial, that corrections
25	Q And then the supreme court issued a stay on	25	sends him over here for possible civil commitment?
2.5		25	
	Page 67		Page 69
1	May a few days after that, I think May 29th?	1	A Again, they that is an area that Dr. Maile
2	A I don't know what happened May 29th.	2	or (indiscernible) or a psychologist could answer.
	A I don't know what happened May 29th.Q If you don't know, that's		or (indiscernible) or a psychologist could answer. But my understanding, that correctional
2 3 4	 A I don't know what happened May 29th. Q If you don't know, that's A So I'm just looking at so 	2	or (indiscernible) or a psychologist could answer. But my understanding, that correctional facility cannot decide how to treat (indiscernible).
2 3	 A I don't know what happened May 29th. Q If you don't know, that's A So I'm just looking at so Q Okay. And so so the supreme court, if you 	2 3	or (indiscernible) or a psychologist could answer. But my understanding, that correctional facility cannot decide how to treat (indiscernible). They could send him for evaluation or to see if the
2 3 4	 A I don't know what happened May 29th. Q If you don't know, that's A So I'm just looking at so Q Okay. And so so the supreme court, if you assume so in other words, there was no effective 	2 3 4	or (indiscernible) or a psychologist could answer. But my understanding, that correctional facility cannot decide how to treat (indiscernible).
2 3 4	 A I don't know what happened May 29th. Q If you don't know, that's A So I'm just looking at so Q Okay. And so so the supreme court, if you assume so in other words, there was no effective but you do know there was no effective medication 	2 3 4 5	or (indiscernible) or a psychologist could answer. But my understanding, that correctional facility cannot decide how to treat (indiscernible). They could send him for evaluation or to see if the patient is (indiscernible). And if they are in the hospital, at that time, the treating team decides that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A I don't know what happened May 29th. Q If you don't know, that's A So I'm just looking at so Q Okay. And so so the supreme court, if you assume so in other words, there was no effective but you do know there was no effective medication petition; is that correct? No medication order in 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	or (indiscernible) or a psychologist could answer. But my understanding, that correctional facility cannot decide how to treat (indiscernible). They could send him for evaluation or to see if the patient is (indiscernible). And if they are in the hospital, at that time, the treating team decides that does he qualify to go to the (indiscernible) or not.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A I don't know what happened May 29th. Q If you don't know, that's A So I'm just looking at so Q Okay. And so so the supreme court, if you assume so in other words, there was no effective but you do know there was no effective medication petition; is that correct? No medication order in effect, correct? 	2 3 4 5 6 7	or (indiscernible) or a psychologist could answer. But my understanding, that correctional facility cannot decide how to treat (indiscernible). They could send him for evaluation or to see if the patient is (indiscernible). And if they are in the hospital, at that time, the treating team decides that does he qualify to go to the (indiscernible) or not. Q Okay. And so that was about four days then
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A I don't know what happened May 29th. Q If you don't know, that's A So I'm just looking at so Q Okay. And so so the supreme court, if you assume so in other words, there was no effective but you do know there was no effective medication petition; is that correct? No medication order in effect, correct? A I don't know exactly what you mean by that. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	or (indiscernible) or a psychologist could answer. But my understanding, that correctional facility cannot decide how to treat (indiscernible). They could send him for evaluation or to see if the patient is (indiscernible). And if they are in the hospital, at that time, the treating team decides that does he qualify to go to the (indiscernible) or not. Q Okay. And so that was about four days then and discharged when he was found not competent to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A I don't know what happened May 29th. Q If you don't know, that's A So I'm just looking at so Q Okay. And so so the supreme court, if you assume so in other words, there was no effective but you do know there was no effective medication petition; is that correct? No medication order in effect, correct? A I don't know exactly what you mean by that. Q Well, initially you said that there was no 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	or (indiscernible) or a psychologist could answer. But my understanding, that correctional facility cannot decide how to treat (indiscernible). They could send him for evaluation or to see if the patient is (indiscernible). And if they are in the hospital, at that time, the treating team decides that does he qualify to go to the (indiscernible) or not. Q Okay. And so that was about four days then and discharged when he was found not competent to stand trial?
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A I don't know what happened May 29th. Q If you don't know, that's A So I'm just looking at so Q Okay. And so so the supreme court, if you assume so in other words, there was no effective but you do know there was no effective medication petition; is that correct? No medication order in effect, correct? A I don't know exactly what you mean by that. Q Well, initially you said that there was no medication? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	or (indiscernible) or a psychologist could answer. But my understanding, that correctional facility cannot decide how to treat (indiscernible). They could send him for evaluation or to see if the patient is (indiscernible). And if they are in the hospital, at that time, the treating team decides that does he qualify to go to the (indiscernible) or not. Q Okay. And so that was about four days then and discharged when he was found not competent to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A I don't know what happened May 29th. Q If you don't know, that's A So I'm just looking at so Q Okay. And so so the supreme court, if you assume so in other words, there was no effective but you do know there was no effective medication petition; is that correct? No medication order in effect, correct? A I don't know exactly what you mean by that. Q Well, initially you said that there was no medication? A No. I made a mistake. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 or (indiscernible) or a psychologist could answer. But my understanding, that correctional facility cannot decide how to treat (indiscernible). They could send him for evaluation or to see if the patient is (indiscernible). And if they are in the hospital, at that time, the treating team decides that does he qualify to go to the (indiscernible) or not. Q Okay. And so that was about four days then and discharged when he was found not competent to stand trial? A Yeah. Q So four days. And what brought him on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A I don't know what happened May 29th. Q If you don't know, that's A So I'm just looking at so Q Okay. And so so the supreme court, if you assume so in other words, there was no effective but you do know there was no effective medication petition; is that correct? No medication order in effect, correct? A I don't know exactly what you mean by that. Q Well, initially you said that there was no medication? A No. I made a mistake. Q But I think you were basically right, okay, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	or (indiscernible) or a psychologist could answer. But my understanding, that correctional facility cannot decide how to treat (indiscernible). They could send him for evaluation or to see if the patient is (indiscernible). And if they are in the hospital, at that time, the treating team decides that does he qualify to go to the (indiscernible) or not. Q Okay. And so that was about four days then and discharged when he was found not competent to stand trial? A Yeah. Q So four days. And what brought him on August 1st?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A I don't know what happened May 29th. Q If you don't know, that's A So I'm just looking at so Q Okay. And so so the supreme court, if you assume so in other words, there was no effective but you do know there was no effective medication petition; is that correct? No medication order in effect, correct? A I don't know exactly what you mean by that. Q Well, initially you said that there was no medication? A No. I made a mistake. Q But I think you were basically right, okay, that there was 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	or (indiscernible) or a psychologist could answer. But my understanding, that correctional facility cannot decide how to treat (indiscernible). They could send him for evaluation or to see if the patient is (indiscernible). And if they are in the hospital, at that time, the treating team decides that does he qualify to go to the (indiscernible) or not. Q Okay. And so that was about four days then and discharged when he was found not competent to stand trial? A Yeah. Q So four days. And what brought him on August 1st? A August 1st, on Title 12. Again, he was in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A I don't know what happened May 29th. Q If you don't know, that's A So I'm just looking at so Q Okay. And so so the supreme court, if you assume so in other words, there was no effective but you do know there was no effective medication petition; is that correct? No medication order in effect, correct? A I don't know exactly what you mean by that. Q Well, initially you said that there was no medication? A No. I made a mistake. Q But I think you were basically right, okay, that there was MS. DERRY: Objection. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	or (indiscernible) or a psychologist could answer. But my understanding, that correctional facility cannot decide how to treat (indiscernible). They could send him for evaluation or to see if the patient is (indiscernible). And if they are in the hospital, at that time, the treating team decides that does he qualify to go to the (indiscernible) or not. Q Okay. And so that was about four days then and discharged when he was found not competent to stand trial? A Yeah. Q So four days. And what brought him on August 1st? A August 1st, on Title 12. Again, he was in the correctional facility. And as far as I know, they
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A I don't know what happened May 29th. Q If you don't know, that's A So I'm just looking at so Q Okay. And so so the supreme court, if you assume so in other words, there was no effective but you do know there was no effective medication petition; is that correct? No medication order in effect, correct? A I don't know exactly what you mean by that. Q Well, initially you said that there was no medication? A No. I made a mistake. Q But I think you were basically right, okay, that there was MS. DERRY: Objection. THE WITNESS: I don't know what this 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 or (indiscernible) or a psychologist could answer. But my understanding, that correctional facility cannot decide how to treat (indiscernible). They could send him for evaluation or to see if the patient is (indiscernible). And if they are in the hospital, at that time, the treating team decides that does he qualify to go to the (indiscernible) or not. Q Okay. And so that was about four days then and discharged when he was found not competent to stand trial? A Yeah. Q So four days. And what brought him on August 1st? A August 1st, on Title 12. Again, he was in the correctional facility. And as far as I know, they dropped the cases and he was (indiscernible), and then
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A I don't know what happened May 29th. Q If you don't know, that's A So I'm just looking at so Q Okay. And so so the supreme court, if you assume so in other words, there was no effective but you do know there was no effective medication petition; is that correct? No medication order in effect, correct? A I don't know exactly what you mean by that. Q Well, initially you said that there was no medication? A No. I made a mistake. Q But I think you were basically right, okay, that there was MS. DERRY: Objection. THE WITNESS: I don't know what this basically means. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 or (indiscernible) or a psychologist could answer. But my understanding, that correctional facility cannot decide how to treat (indiscernible). They could send him for evaluation or to see if the patient is (indiscernible). And if they are in the hospital, at that time, the treating team decides that does he qualify to go to the (indiscernible) or not. Q Okay. And so that was about four days then and discharged when he was found not competent to stand trial? A Yeah. Q So four days. And what brought him on August 1st? A August 1st, on Title 12. Again, he was in the correctional facility. And as far as I know, they dropped the cases and he was (indiscernible), and then he got discharged again.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A I don't know what happened May 29th. Q If you don't know, that's A So I'm just looking at so Q Okay. And so so the supreme court, if you assume so in other words, there was no effective but you do know there was no effective medication petition; is that correct? No medication order in effect, correct? A I don't know exactly what you mean by that. Q Well, initially you said that there was no medication? A No. I made a mistake. Q But I think you were basically right, okay, that there was MS. DERRY: Objection. THE WITNESS: I don't know what this basically means. THE COURT: Ask the question. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	or (indiscernible) or a psychologist could answer. But my understanding, that correctional facility cannot decide how to treat (indiscernible). They could send him for evaluation or to see if the patient is (indiscernible). And if they are in the hospital, at that time, the treating team decides that does he qualify to go to the (indiscernible) or not. Q Okay. And so that was about four days then and discharged when he was found not competent to stand trial? A Yeah. Q So four days. And what brought him on August 1st? A August 1st, on Title 12. Again, he was in the correctional facility. And as far as I know, they dropped the cases and he was (indiscernible), and then he got discharged again. Q And did API determine he was not did not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A I don't know what happened May 29th. Q If you don't know, that's A So I'm just looking at so Q Okay. And so so the supreme court, if you assume so in other words, there was no effective but you do know there was no effective medication petition; is that correct? No medication order in effect, correct? A I don't know exactly what you mean by that. Q Well, initially you said that there was no medication? A No. I made a mistake. Q But I think you were basically right, okay, that there was MS. DERRY: Objection. THE WITNESS: I don't know what this basically means. THE COURT: Ask the question. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	or (indiscernible) or a psychologist could answer. But my understanding, that correctional facility cannot decide how to treat (indiscernible). They could send him for evaluation or to see if the patient is (indiscernible). And if they are in the hospital, at that time, the treating team decides that does he qualify to go to the (indiscernible) or not. Q Okay. And so that was about four days then and discharged when he was found not competent to stand trial? A Yeah. Q So four days. And what brought him on August 1st? A August 1st, on Title 12. Again, he was in the correctional facility. And as far as I know, they dropped the cases and he was (indiscernible), and then he got discharged again. Q And did API determine he was not did not meet commitment criteria?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A I don't know what happened May 29th. Q If you don't know, that's A So I'm just looking at so Q Okay. And so so the supreme court, if you assume so in other words, there was no effective but you do know there was no effective medication petition; is that correct? No medication order in effect, correct? A I don't know exactly what you mean by that. Q Well, initially you said that there was no medication? A No. I made a mistake. Q But I think you were basically right, okay, that there was MS. DERRY: Objection. THE WITNESS: I don't know what this basically means. THE COURT: Ask the question. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Okay. So but my question is 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 or (indiscernible) or a psychologist could answer. But my understanding, that correctional facility cannot decide how to treat (indiscernible). They could send him for evaluation or to see if the patient is (indiscernible). And if they are in the hospital, at that time, the treating team decides that does he qualify to go to the (indiscernible) or not. Q Okay. And so that was about four days then and discharged when he was found not competent to stand trial? A Yeah. Q So four days. And what brought him on August 1st? A August 1st, on Title 12. Again, he was in the correctional facility. And as far as I know, they dropped the cases and he was (indiscernible), and then he got discharged again. Q And did API determine he was not did not meet commitment criteria? A Well, yes. They feel like they meet the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A I don't know what happened May 29th. Q If you don't know, that's A So I'm just looking at so Q Okay. And so so the supreme court, if you assume so in other words, there was no effective but you do know there was no effective medication petition; is that correct? No medication order in effect, correct? A I don't know exactly what you mean by that. Q Well, initially you said that there was no medication? A No. I made a mistake. Q But I think you were basically right, okay, that there was MS. DERRY: Objection. THE WITNESS: I don't know what this basically means. THE COURT: Ask the question. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Okay. So but my question is A I'm listening. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	or (indiscernible) or a psychologist could answer. But my understanding, that correctional facility cannot decide how to treat (indiscernible). They could send him for evaluation or to see if the patient is (indiscernible). And if they are in the hospital, at that time, the treating team decides that does he qualify to go to the (indiscernible) or not. Q Okay. And so that was about four days then and discharged when he was found not competent to stand trial? A Yeah. Q So four days. And what brought him on August 1st? A August 1st, on Title 12. Again, he was in the correctional facility. And as far as I know, they dropped the cases and he was (indiscernible), and then he got discharged again. Q And did API determine he was not did not meet commitment criteria? A Well, yes. They feel like they meet the criteria, they hold the patient.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A I don't know what happened May 29th. Q If you don't know, that's A So I'm just looking at so Q Okay. And so so the supreme court, if you assume so in other words, there was no effective but you do know there was no effective medication petition; is that correct? No medication order in effect, correct? A I don't know exactly what you mean by that. Q Well, initially you said that there was no medication? A No. I made a mistake. Q But I think you were basically right, okay, that there was MS. DERRY: Objection. THE WITNESS: I don't know what this basically means. THE COURT: Ask the question. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Okay. So but my question is A I'm listening. Q isn't it true that you were not able to 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	or (indiscernible) or a psychologist could answer. But my understanding, that correctional facility cannot decide how to treat (indiscernible). They could send him for evaluation or to see if the patient is (indiscernible). And if they are in the hospital, at that time, the treating team decides that does he qualify to go to the (indiscernible) or not. Q Okay. And so that was about four days then and discharged when he was found not competent to stand trial? A Yeah. Q So four days. And what brought him on August 1st? A August 1st, on Title 12. Again, he was in the correctional facility. And as far as I know, they dropped the cases and he was (indiscernible), and then he got discharged again. Q And did API determine he was not did not meet commitment criteria? A Well, yes. They feel like they meet the criteria, they hold the patient. Q Okay. So September 22nd?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A I don't know what happened May 29th. Q If you don't know, that's A So I'm just looking at so Q Okay. And so so the supreme court, if you assume so in other words, there was no effective but you do know there was no effective medication petition; is that correct? No medication order in effect, correct? A I don't know exactly what you mean by that. Q Well, initially you said that there was no medication? A No. I made a mistake. Q But I think you were basically right, okay, that there was MS. DERRY: Objection. THE WITNESS: I don't know what this basically means. THE COURT: Ask the question. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Okay. So but my question is A I'm listening. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	or (indiscernible) or a psychologist could answer. But my understanding, that correctional facility cannot decide how to treat (indiscernible). They could send him for evaluation or to see if the patient is (indiscernible). And if they are in the hospital, at that time, the treating team decides that does he qualify to go to the (indiscernible) or not. Q Okay. And so that was about four days then and discharged when he was found not competent to stand trial? A Yeah. Q So four days. And what brought him on August 1st? A August 1st, on Title 12. Again, he was in the correctional facility. And as far as I know, they dropped the cases and he was (indiscernible), and then he got discharged again. Q And did API determine he was not did not meet commitment criteria? A Well, yes. They feel like they meet the criteria, they hold the patient.

	Page 70		Page 72
1	whatever facility, they have taken an action, gone to	1	staff.
2	the magistrate, to the court system, and then	2	He admits to his (indiscernible). Patient is
3	requested that the patient to be involuntary committed	3	homeless, cannot identify (indiscernible) where to
4	to the hospital for further evaluation.	4	get safe food or housing. Cannot identify reasonable
5	Q Do you know who filed that ex parte?	5	plan (indiscernible). He will fly to his to H-I,
6	A I have to look at	6	to
7	Q Was it (indiscernible)?	7	MS. DERRY: Hawaii.
8	A I think so. I could (indiscernible). I	8	THE WITNESS: to Hawaii tonight, but no
9	don't exactly the date, but I did see one	9	money or ticket.
10	(indiscernible). If you want me, I could be happy to	10	He has been given money by guardian for food
11	check.	11	and housing, but it is gone this is gone and
12	Q Well, what I'm really interested in is	12	patient has neither patient has neither he is
13	what what they were concerned about, why they	13	not able to care for self.
14	wanted you to API to evaluate him.	14	Patient is diagnosed schizophrenia, paranoid
15	A Well, again, I think that's what extensively	15	type. He refuses medication. Police are called.
16	was testified yesterday, basically in the community,	16	They are holding him, but charges not being proved.
17	he had shown he had conducted himself	17	Guardian wants wants
18	(indiscernible) that they were concerned for his	18	MS. DERRY: Mental health treatment instead.
19	safety, for other's safety, and also be gravely	19	THE WITNESS: Instead
20	disabled and not able to provide the actual care for	20	MR. GOTTSTEIN: Thank you for the help
21	himself.	21	(indiscernible).
22	Q And API and API decided that he didn't	22	BY MR. GOTTSTEIN:
23	meet commitment criteria?	23	Q Okay. And so again, you then API decides
24	A But you have to also understand, you know, my	24	he did not meet commitment criteria?
25	sense of feeling is unfortunately, Bill is victim of	25	A Well, he did come here because the API is
	Page 71		Page 73
			1030 / 5
1	the legal quotem right new. I have to say that	1	
1	the legal system right now. I hate to say that	1	not is acute care facility, is not a housing
2	aspect.	2	not is acute care facility, is not a housing facility. It has to offer the treatment that they
2 3	aspect. But however, as a clinician, you have	2 3	not is acute care facility, is not a housing facility. It has to offer the treatment that they could, and then they cannot offer that they could
2 3 4	aspect. But however, as a clinician, you have obligation for the safety of the patient, what has to	2 3 4	not is acute care facility, is not a housing facility. It has to offer the treatment that they could, and then they cannot offer that they could improve the individual, and then they don't meet the
2 3 4 5	aspect. But however, as a clinician, you have obligation for the safety of the patient, what has to be done. But when he does not fully meet the criteria	2 3 4 5	not is acute care facility, is not a housing facility. It has to offer the treatment that they could, and then they cannot offer that they could improve the individual, and then they don't meet the criteria. They have to let the patient go.
2 3 4 5 6	aspect. But however, as a clinician, you have obligation for the safety of the patient, what has to be done. But when he does not fully meet the criteria and (indiscernible) what is offered, we have to	2 3 4 5 6	not is acute care facility, is not a housing facility. It has to offer the treatment that they could, and then they cannot offer that they could improve the individual, and then they don't meet the criteria. They have to let the patient go. Q So API determined that he did not meet
2 3 4 5 6 7	aspect. But however, as a clinician, you have obligation for the safety of the patient, what has to be done. But when he does not fully meet the criteria and (indiscernible) what is offered, we have to even though we may personally as a clinician for him,	2 3 4 5 6 7	not is acute care facility, is not a housing facility. It has to offer the treatment that they could, and then they cannot offer that they could improve the individual, and then they don't meet the criteria. They have to let the patient go. Q So API determined that he did not meet commitment criteria?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	aspect. But however, as a clinician, you have obligation for the safety of the patient, what has to be done. But when he does not fully meet the criteria and (indiscernible) what is offered, we have to even though we may personally as a clinician for him, even though I have a concern, I know that he his	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 not is acute care facility, is not a housing facility. It has to offer the treatment that they could, and then they cannot offer that they could improve the individual, and then they don't meet the criteria. They have to let the patient go. Q So API determined that he did not meet commitment criteria? A Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	aspect. But however, as a clinician, you have obligation for the safety of the patient, what has to be done. But when he does not fully meet the criteria and (indiscernible) what is offered, we have to even though we may personally as a clinician for him, even though I have a concern, I know that he his risk is very high. But if he doesn't fully meet the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 not is acute care facility, is not a housing facility. It has to offer the treatment that they could, and then they cannot offer that they could improve the individual, and then they don't meet the criteria. They have to let the patient go. Q So API determined that he did not meet commitment criteria? A Yes. Q Okay. How about on six days later, on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	aspect. But however, as a clinician, you have obligation for the safety of the patient, what has to be done. But when he does not fully meet the criteria and (indiscernible) what is offered, we have to even though we may personally as a clinician for him, even though I have a concern, I know that he his risk is very high. But if he doesn't fully meet the criteria which the statute direct me, I have to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 not is acute care facility, is not a housing facility. It has to offer the treatment that they could, and then they cannot offer that they could improve the individual, and then they don't meet the criteria. They have to let the patient go. Q So API determined that he did not meet commitment criteria? A Yes. Q Okay. How about on six days later, on September 30th?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	aspect. But however, as a clinician, you have obligation for the safety of the patient, what has to be done. But when he does not fully meet the criteria and (indiscernible) what is offered, we have to even though we may personally as a clinician for him, even though I have a concern, I know that he his risk is very high. But if he doesn't fully meet the criteria which the statute direct me, I have to respect that and let him go.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 not is acute care facility, is not a housing facility. It has to offer the treatment that they could, and then they cannot offer that they could improve the individual, and then they don't meet the criteria. They have to let the patient go. Q So API determined that he did not meet commitment criteria? A Yes. Q Okay. How about on six days later, on September 30th? A September 30th. Let's see.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	aspect. But however, as a clinician, you have obligation for the safety of the patient, what has to be done. But when he does not fully meet the criteria and (indiscernible) what is offered, we have to even though we may personally as a clinician for him, even though I have a concern, I know that he his risk is very high. But if he doesn't fully meet the criteria which the statute direct me, I have to respect that and let him go. Q Okay. And so but what was it that the ex	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 not is acute care facility, is not a housing facility. It has to offer the treatment that they could, and then they cannot offer that they could improve the individual, and then they don't meet the criteria. They have to let the patient go. Q So API determined that he did not meet commitment criteria? A Yes. Q Okay. How about on six days later, on September 30th? A September 30th. Let's see. Q Oh, before we do that, so in there
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	aspect. But however, as a clinician, you have obligation for the safety of the patient, what has to be done. But when he does not fully meet the criteria and (indiscernible) what is offered, we have to even though we may personally as a clinician for him, even though I have a concern, I know that he his risk is very high. But if he doesn't fully meet the criteria which the statute direct me, I have to respect that and let him go. Q Okay. And so but what was it that the ex parte petition said was the concern?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 not is acute care facility, is not a housing facility. It has to offer the treatment that they could, and then they cannot offer that they could improve the individual, and then they don't meet the criteria. They have to let the patient go. Q So API determined that he did not meet commitment criteria? A Yes. Q Okay. How about on six days later, on September 30th? A September 30th. Let's see. Q Oh, before we do that, so in there during that 9/22 admission, there was no authorization
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 aspect. But however, as a clinician, you have obligation for the safety of the patient, what has to be done. But when he does not fully meet the criteria and (indiscernible) what is offered, we have to even though we may personally as a clinician for him, even though I have a concern, I know that he his risk is very high. But if he doesn't fully meet the criteria which the statute direct me, I have to respect that and let him go. Q Okay. And so but what was it that the ex parte petition said was the concern? A Well, as I said, usually 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 not is acute care facility, is not a housing facility. It has to offer the treatment that they could, and then they cannot offer that they could improve the individual, and then they don't meet the criteria. They have to let the patient go. Q So API determined that he did not meet commitment criteria? A Yes. Q Okay. How about on six days later, on September 30th? A September 30th. Let's see. Q Oh, before we do that, so in there during that 9/22 admission, there was no authorization to administer psychotropic drugs, was there?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	aspect. But however, as a clinician, you have obligation for the safety of the patient, what has to be done. But when he does not fully meet the criteria and (indiscernible) what is offered, we have to even though we may personally as a clinician for him, even though I have a concern, I know that he his risk is very high. But if he doesn't fully meet the criteria which the statute direct me, I have to respect that and let him go. Q Okay. And so but what was it that the ex parte petition said was the concern?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 not is acute care facility, is not a housing facility. It has to offer the treatment that they could, and then they cannot offer that they could improve the individual, and then they don't meet the criteria. They have to let the patient go. Q So API determined that he did not meet commitment criteria? A Yes. Q Okay. How about on six days later, on September 30th? A September 30th. Let's see. Q Oh, before we do that, so in there during that 9/22 admission, there was no authorization
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 aspect. But however, as a clinician, you have obligation for the safety of the patient, what has to be done. But when he does not fully meet the criteria and (indiscernible) what is offered, we have to even though we may personally as a clinician for him, even though I have a concern, I know that he his risk is very high. But if he doesn't fully meet the criteria which the statute direct me, I have to respect that and let him go. Q Okay. And so but what was it that the ex parte petition said was the concern? A Well, as I said, usually MS. DERRY: Objection, asked and answered. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 not is acute care facility, is not a housing facility. It has to offer the treatment that they could, and then they cannot offer that they could improve the individual, and then they don't meet the criteria. They have to let the patient go. Q So API determined that he did not meet commitment criteria? A Yes. Q Okay. How about on six days later, on September 30th? A September 30th. Let's see. Q Oh, before we do that, so in there during that 9/22 admission, there was no authorization to administer psychotropic drugs, was there? A Let's see, 9/22, ex parte ordered,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 aspect. But however, as a clinician, you have obligation for the safety of the patient, what has to be done. But when he does not fully meet the criteria and (indiscernible) what is offered, we have to even though we may personally as a clinician for him, even though I have a concern, I know that he his risk is very high. But if he doesn't fully meet the criteria which the statute direct me, I have to respect that and let him go. Q Okay. And so but what was it that the ex parte petition said was the concern? A Well, as I said, usually MS. DERRY: Objection, asked and answered. MR. GOTTSTEIN: I don't believe it was. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 not is acute care facility, is not a housing facility. It has to offer the treatment that they could, and then they cannot offer that they could improve the individual, and then they don't meet the criteria. They have to let the patient go. Q So API determined that he did not meet commitment criteria? A Yes. Q Okay. How about on six days later, on September 30th? A September 30th. Let's see. Q Oh, before we do that, so in there during that 9/22 admission, there was no authorization to administer psychotropic drugs, was there? A Let's see, 9/22, ex parte ordered, (indiscernible) petition no.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 aspect. But however, as a clinician, you have obligation for the safety of the patient, what has to be done. But when he does not fully meet the criteria and (indiscernible) what is offered, we have to even though we may personally as a clinician for him, even though I have a concern, I know that he his risk is very high. But if he doesn't fully meet the criteria which the statute direct me, I have to respect that and let him go. Q Okay. And so but what was it that the ex parte petition said was the concern? A Well, as I said, usually MS. DERRY: Objection, asked and answered. MR. GOTTSTEIN: I don't believe it was. THE COURT: Take a look at the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 not is acute care facility, is not a housing facility. It has to offer the treatment that they could, and then they cannot offer that they could improve the individual, and then they don't meet the criteria. They have to let the patient go. Q So API determined that he did not meet commitment criteria? A Yes. Q Okay. How about on six days later, on September 30th? A September 30th. Let's see. Q Oh, before we do that, so in there during that 9/22 admission, there was no authorization to administer psychotropic drugs, was there? A Let's see, 9/22, ex parte ordered, (indiscernible) petition no. Q And that and then is that true for both
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 aspect. But however, as a clinician, you have obligation for the safety of the patient, what has to be done. But when he does not fully meet the criteria and (indiscernible) what is offered, we have to even though we may personally as a clinician for him, even though I have a concern, I know that he his risk is very high. But if he doesn't fully meet the criteria which the statute direct me, I have to respect that and let him go. Q Okay. And so but what was it that the ex parte petition said was the concern? A Well, as I said, usually MS. DERRY: Objection, asked and answered. MR. GOTTSTEIN: I don't believe it was. THE COURT: Take a look at the (indiscernible). 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 not is acute care facility, is not a housing facility. It has to offer the treatment that they could, and then they cannot offer that they could improve the individual, and then they don't meet the criteria. They have to let the patient go. Q So API determined that he did not meet commitment criteria? A Yes. Q Okay. How about on six days later, on September 30th? A September 30th. Let's see. Q Oh, before we do that, so in there during that 9/22 admission, there was no authorization to administer psychotropic drugs, was there? A Let's see, 9/22, ex parte ordered, (indiscernible) petition no. Q And that and then is that true for both his June 26th and August 1st admission, as well?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 aspect. But however, as a clinician, you have obligation for the safety of the patient, what has to be done. But when he does not fully meet the criteria and (indiscernible) what is offered, we have to even though we may personally as a clinician for him, even though I have a concern, I know that he his risk is very high. But if he doesn't fully meet the criteria which the statute direct me, I have to respect that and let him go. Q Okay. And so but what was it that the ex parte petition said was the concern? A Well, as I said, usually MS. DERRY: Objection, asked and answered. MR. GOTTSTEIN: I don't believe it was. THE COURT: Take a look at the (indiscernible). THE WITNESS: Okay. Are you looking for the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 not is acute care facility, is not a housing facility. It has to offer the treatment that they could, and then they cannot offer that they could improve the individual, and then they don't meet the criteria. They have to let the patient go. Q So API determined that he did not meet commitment criteria? A Yes. Q Okay. How about on six days later, on September 30th? A September 30th. Let's see. Q Oh, before we do that, so in there during that 9/22 admission, there was no authorization to administer psychotropic drugs, was there? A Let's see, 9/22, ex parte ordered, (indiscernible) petition no. Q And that and then is that true for both his June 26th and August 1st admission, as well? A What is the question? Was it true?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 aspect. But however, as a clinician, you have obligation for the safety of the patient, what has to be done. But when he does not fully meet the criteria and (indiscernible) what is offered, we have to even though we may personally as a clinician for him, even though I have a concern, I know that he his risk is very high. But if he doesn't fully meet the criteria which the statute direct me, I have to respect that and let him go. Q Okay. And so but what was it that the ex parte petition said was the concern? A Well, as I said, usually MS. DERRY: Objection, asked and answered. MR. GOTTSTEIN: I don't believe it was. THE COURT: Take a look at the (indiscernible). THE WITNESS: Okay. Are you looking for the 9/22 or 9/30? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 not is acute care facility, is not a housing facility. It has to offer the treatment that they could, and then they cannot offer that they could improve the individual, and then they don't meet the criteria. They have to let the patient go. Q So API determined that he did not meet commitment criteria? A Yes. Q Okay. How about on six days later, on September 30th? A September 30th. Let's see. Q Oh, before we do that, so in there during that 9/22 admission, there was no authorization to administer psychotropic drugs, was there? A Let's see, 9/22, ex parte ordered, (indiscernible) petition no. Q And that and then is that true for both his June 26th and August 1st admission, as well? A What is the question? Was it true? Q That there's no authorization to administer
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 aspect. But however, as a clinician, you have obligation for the safety of the patient, what has to be done. But when he does not fully meet the criteria and (indiscernible) what is offered, we have to even though we may personally as a clinician for him, even though I have a concern, I know that he his risk is very high. But if he doesn't fully meet the criteria which the statute direct me, I have to respect that and let him go. Q Okay. And so but what was it that the ex parte petition said was the concern? A Well, as I said, usually MS. DERRY: Objection, asked and answered. MR. GOTTSTEIN: I don't believe it was. THE COURT: Take a look at the (indiscernible). THE WITNESS: Okay. Are you looking for the 9/22 or 9/30? BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 not is acute care facility, is not a housing facility. It has to offer the treatment that they could, and then they cannot offer that they could improve the individual, and then they don't meet the criteria. They have to let the patient go. Q So API determined that he did not meet commitment criteria? A Yes. Q Okay. How about on six days later, on September 30th? A September 30th. Let's see. Q Oh, before we do that, so in there during that 9/22 admission, there was no authorization to administer psychotropic drugs, was there? A Let's see, 9/22, ex parte ordered, (indiscernible) petition no. Q And that and then is that true for both his June 26th and August 1st admission, as well? A What is the question? Was it true? Q That there's no authorization to administer psychotropic medication.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 aspect. But however, as a clinician, you have obligation for the safety of the patient, what has to be done. But when he does not fully meet the criteria and (indiscernible) what is offered, we have to even though we may personally as a clinician for him, even though I have a concern, I know that he his risk is very high. But if he doesn't fully meet the criteria which the statute direct me, I have to respect that and let him go. Q Okay. And so but what was it that the ex parte petition said was the concern? A Well, as I said, usually MS. DERRY: Objection, asked and answered. MR. GOTTSTEIN: I don't believe it was. THE COURT: Take a look at the (indiscernible). THE WITNESS: Okay. Are you looking for the 9/22 or 9/30? BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Yes. 9/22. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 not is acute care facility, is not a housing facility. It has to offer the treatment that they could, and then they cannot offer that they could improve the individual, and then they don't meet the criteria. They have to let the patient go. Q So API determined that he did not meet commitment criteria? A Yes. Q Okay. How about on six days later, on September 30th? A September 30th. Let's see. Q Oh, before we do that, so in there during that 9/22 admission, there was no authorization to administer psychotropic drugs, was there? A Let's see, 9/22, ex parte ordered, (indiscernible) petition no. Q And that and then is that true for both his June 26th and August 1st admission, as well? A What is the question? Was it true? Q That there's no authorization to administer psychotropic medication. A That is what my recall is, yes.

	Page 74		Page 76
1 A September 30th	, let's see. This is	1	On June 25th, were you or on June 26th,
1	another question. Why didn't	2	were you the treating physician, or were you looking
3 you seek medication a		3	at other people's notes?
4 admissions?		4	THE WITNESS: Probably I was. But let's see,
5 A Well, several re	ason. One reason is that	5	make sure. June 26th no. On June 26th, on that
	ne was showing his the	6	one, he came on Title 12. Usually when our patient
	not meet the criteria, we did not	7	comes from correctional facility, Dr. Maile and
8 think that we could co	nvince probably the Court to	8	Dr. Michaud, who are our forensic specialists,
9 give for the Court to		9	psychologists in the unit, they get involved to do
	id, medication won't take	10	their competency and capability testing, whatever is
	enied. For example on 4/16/2008,	11	required for that patient. And I become involved in
12 we did go to the Court	. We did ask for commitment.	12	the I become involved in the psychiatric
13 It was denied.		13	(indiscernible).
14 And then since the	he issue of that that	14	And I believe at that time, I believe it
15 you your thing activ	ely involved to provide his	15	was
16 rights, and one of my	understanding is the medication	16	THE COURT: So were you involved I
17 resistance approach fo	r the API, so basically that is	17	appreciate the forensic team was the primary group,
18 an issue of that to lo	ok at.	18	but you were involved on that admission, as well?
19But that is not th	e issue to consider	19	THE WITNESS: Yes.
20 somebody for evaluati	on or to decide. Basically at	20	BY MR. GOTTSTEIN:
21 that time, if the time c	-	21	Q So now, do you do most people or all
-	ovement, they don't fully meet	22	people on this is on the Taku unit; is that
	urt is looking for, we have no	23	correct?
-	patient go. I think that is a	24	A Yes.
25 (indiscernible) basical	ly.	25	Q Okay. And that's the forensic unit?
	Page 75		Page 77
· · ·	sure I heard the answer to why	1	A Yes. Our most restrictive unit, which is
2 you didn't seek a med	-	2	where Bill is now.
	if you didn't go to the	3	Q Usually it's for people in really in the
-	I saw that (indiscernible)	4	custody of corrections; is that correct?
	which I probably I was	5	A Most cases. Unless we have a patient like in
6 he did not meet the cr		6	this situation, Bill, is so
-	cause the supreme court stay	7	Q So disruptive?
8 was in effect?		8	A When he does, you cannot just take the moment
-	hink that's what is best for	9	of the situation. And he's been actually have good
10 my patient at that time 11 Ω So if the summer		10	rest, five hours' rest for the last couple of nights.
	me court stay was in effect	11	Q So do you think maybe he would be eligible for transfer to Katmai now?
12 strike that. 13 Okay. 9/30. So	now lat's so heat	12 13	A Well, because, you know, they
5	now, let's go back. IN: I'm sorry I'm sorry, Your	14	(indiscernible), he doesn't respond just to the
15 Honor.	any. Thi sorry Thi sorry, Tour	15	stimuli. So he's back I think unfortunately, it's
16 BY MR. GOTTSTEI	N.	16	not fair for him to be with the forensic population
	at he didn't meet the criteria	17	because he's a civil patient.
	tition. So so doesn't that	18	But again, for his best benefit, not to
-	- did you think that he should	19	increase the stimuli and make him more vulnerable and
		· · · ·	
-	have medication at that time?	20	deteriorate, I would prefer to continue to have him in
20 have that he should	have medication at that time?	20 21	deteriorate, I would prefer to continue to have him in that unit.
20 have that he should	have medication at that time? May we be specific as to dates?		that unit.
 20 have that he should 21 MS. DERRY: M 22 BY MR. GOTTSTEIL 	have medication at that time? May we be specific as to dates?	21	that unit.
 20 have that he should 21 MS. DERRY: M 22 BY MR. GOTTSTEIL 	have medication at that time? May we be specific as to dates? N:	21 22	that unit. Q So you don't want to try him out in the

-	Page 78		Page 80
1	people that come in the custody of corrections; is	1	Q No. That's a previous admission.
2	that correct?	2	THE COURT: I thought we were on the June
3	A Yes.	3	MR. GOTTSTEIN: June 26th.
4	Q Okay. So normally when is the	4	MS. DERRY: We are on the wrong page, Your
5	authorization are most people in Title 12 on	5	Honor, because we're looking (indiscernible). Do
6	psychiatric drugs?	6	we have that?
7	A No.	7	THE WITNESS: (Indiscernible.) I apologize
8	Q Most of them are not?	8	for that.
9	A No, no, I say most of them I don't know	9	MS. DERRY: No, no. You can't control
10	the percentage.	10	things.
11	Q So are there would it be correct to say	11	THE WITNESS: No, no scheduled medication.
12	that there are two types of Title 12 patients maybe	12	THE COURT: We are on the June 26th?
13	there are. But the two that I can think of are ones	13	THE WITNESS: Yeah. June 26th, no medication
14	like these situations for Bill, who have been referred	14	was given.
15	for evaluation for competence and ones who have are	15	THE COURT: No medication.
16	convicted and are really technically in prison and are	16	BY MR. GOTTSTEIN:
17	there long term; is that correct?	17	Q So now, I know that during your deposition,
18	A I am not forensic specialist. I	18	you we talked about notations that would say "no IM
19	(indiscernible) forensic forensic (indiscernible)	19	medication." Are there any notations for that period? A I have to look at the order.
20 21	of the patient.	20 21	
21	Q Are you are you the A I am the	21 22	Q For that period.A Let's see. For for 6/26, is that what
22		23	you're asking for?
24	Q psychiatrist then?A Yes, I am.	23 24	Q Yeah. That admission. Was there a "no IM
25	Q And you don't know what percentage of the	25	medication" notation?
	Page 79		Page 81
1	patients are on psychiatric drugs?	1	A No, I don't see it. Your date
2	A Huh-uh.	2	Q I don't know.
3	Q So was Mr. Bigley given psychiatric drugs on	3	A Your date may be incorrect.
	that admission, June 26th?	-	
4		4	
4 5			Q No, no. I don't know. From 6/26 to 6/30, I
4 5 6	A On June 26th	4 5 6	Q No, no. I don't know. From 6/26 to 6/30, I was just wondering if there was a no IM
5	A On June 26th MS. DERRY: Objection, asked and answered.	5	Q No, no. I don't know. From 6/26 to 6/30, I was just wondering if there was a no IM MS. DERRY: No. And may I ask you for you
5 6	A On June 26th	5 6	 Q No, no. I don't know. From 6/26 to 6/30, I was just wondering if there was a no IM MS. DERRY: No. And may I ask you for you to clarify, do you mean emergency medications or do
5 6 7	A On June 26thMS. DERRY: Objection, asked and answered.THE COURT: Well, he actually asked whether	5 6 7	Q No, no. I don't know. From 6/26 to 6/30, I was just wondering if there was a no IM MS. DERRY: No. And may I ask you for you
5 6 7 8	A On June 26th MS. DERRY: Objection, asked and answered. THE COURT: Well, he actually asked whether there was an 839 authorization.	5 6 7 8	Q No, no. I don't know. From 6/26 to 6/30, I was just wondering if there was a no IM MS. DERRY: No. And may I ask you for you to clarify, do you mean emergency medications or do you mean intramuscular medications?
5 6 7 8 9	A On June 26th MS. DERRY: Objection, asked and answered. THE COURT: Well, he actually asked whether there was an 839 authorization. Any other I would like to know whether	5 6 7 8 9	 Q No, no. I don't know. From 6/26 to 6/30, I was just wondering if there was a no IM MS. DERRY: No. And may I ask you for you to clarify, do you mean emergency medications or do you mean intramuscular medications? BY MR. GOTTSTEIN:
5 6 7 8 9 10	A On June 26th MS. DERRY: Objection, asked and answered. THE COURT: Well, he actually asked whether there was an 839 authorization. Any other I would like to know whether there were other medications (indiscernible).	5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q No, no. I don't know. From 6/26 to 6/30, I was just wondering if there was a no IM MS. DERRY: No. And may I ask you for you to clarify, do you mean emergency medications or do you mean intramuscular medications? BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Dr. Khari, is when you note "no IM
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A On June 26th MS. DERRY: Objection, asked and answered. THE COURT: Well, he actually asked whether there was an 839 authorization. Any other I would like to know whether there were other medications (indiscernible). MS. DERRY: And Your Honor, did you mean other psychotropic medications or all medications for his health?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q No, no. I don't know. From 6/26 to 6/30, I was just wondering if there was a no IM MS. DERRY: No. And may I ask you for you to clarify, do you mean emergency medications or do you mean intramuscular medications? BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Dr. Khari, is when you note "no IM medication," does that mean no emergency medication? A I have to look at exactly that order to see
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A On June 26th MS. DERRY: Objection, asked and answered. THE COURT: Well, he actually asked whether there was an 839 authorization. Any other I would like to know whether there were other medications (indiscernible). MS. DERRY: And Your Honor, did you mean other psychotropic medications or all medications for his health? THE WITNESS: There have been no volunteer	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q No, no. I don't know. From 6/26 to 6/30, I was just wondering if there was a no IM MS. DERRY: No. And may I ask you for you to clarify, do you mean emergency medications or do you mean intramuscular medications? BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Dr. Khari, is when you note "no IM medication," does that mean no emergency medication? A I have to look at exactly that order to see Q No. If you did I've seen that notation.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A On June 26th MS. DERRY: Objection, asked and answered. THE COURT: Well, he actually asked whether there was an 839 authorization. Any other I would like to know whether there were other medications (indiscernible). MS. DERRY: And Your Honor, did you mean other psychotropic medications or all medications for his health? THE WITNESS: There have been no volunteer medications. He was given willingly a medication,	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q No, no. I don't know. From 6/26 to 6/30, I was just wondering if there was a no IM MS. DERRY: No. And may I ask you for you to clarify, do you mean emergency medications or do you mean intramuscular medications? BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Dr. Khari, is when you note "no IM medication," does that mean no emergency medication? A I have to look at exactly that order to see Q No. If you did I've seen that notation. It says no IM. And I understood from the deposition
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A On June 26th MS. DERRY: Objection, asked and answered. THE COURT: Well, he actually asked whether there was an 839 authorization. Any other I would like to know whether there were other medications (indiscernible). MS. DERRY: And Your Honor, did you mean other psychotropic medications or all medications for his health? THE WITNESS: There have been no volunteer medications. He was given willingly a medication, Ativan, as a needed basis for agitation.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q No, no. I don't know. From 6/26 to 6/30, I was just wondering if there was a no IM MS. DERRY: No. And may I ask you for you to clarify, do you mean emergency medications or do you mean intramuscular medications? BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Dr. Khari, is when you note "no IM medication," does that mean no emergency medication? A I have to look at exactly that order to see Q No. If you did I've seen that notation. It says no IM. And I understood from the deposition that that really means no emergency medications; is
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A On June 26th MS. DERRY: Objection, asked and answered. THE COURT: Well, he actually asked whether there was an 839 authorization. Any other I would like to know whether there were other medications (indiscernible). MS. DERRY: And Your Honor, did you mean other psychotropic medications or all medications for his health? THE WITNESS: There have been no volunteer medications. He was given willingly a medication, Ativan, as a needed basis for agitation. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN:	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q No, no. I don't know. From 6/26 to 6/30, I was just wondering if there was a no IM MS. DERRY: No. And may I ask you for you to clarify, do you mean emergency medications or do you mean intramuscular medications? BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Dr. Khari, is when you note "no IM medication," does that mean no emergency medication? A I have to look at exactly that order to see Q No. If you did I've seen that notation. It says no IM. And I understood from the deposition that that really means no emergency medications; is that correct?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A On June 26th MS. DERRY: Objection, asked and answered. THE COURT: Well, he actually asked whether there was an 839 authorization. Any other I would like to know whether there were other medications (indiscernible). MS. DERRY: And Your Honor, did you mean other psychotropic medications or all medications for his health? THE WITNESS: There have been no volunteer medications. He was given willingly a medication, Ativan, as a needed basis for agitation. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q So this so in other words, he voluntarily 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q No, no. I don't know. From 6/26 to 6/30, I was just wondering if there was a no IM MS. DERRY: No. And may I ask you for you to clarify, do you mean emergency medications or do you mean intramuscular medications? BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Dr. Khari, is when you note "no IM medication," does that mean no emergency medication? A I have to look at exactly that order to see Q No. If you did I've seen that notation. It says no IM. And I understood from the deposition that that really means no emergency medications; is that correct? A It can be. It could be to the order. But
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A On June 26th MS. DERRY: Objection, asked and answered. THE COURT: Well, he actually asked whether there was an 839 authorization. Any other I would like to know whether there were other medications (indiscernible). MS. DERRY: And Your Honor, did you mean other psychotropic medications or all medications for his health? THE WITNESS: There have been no volunteer medications. He was given willingly a medication, Ativan, as a needed basis for agitation. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q So this so in other words, he voluntarily took it? 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q No, no. I don't know. From 6/26 to 6/30, I was just wondering if there was a no IM MS. DERRY: No. And may I ask you for you to clarify, do you mean emergency medications or do you mean intramuscular medications? BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Dr. Khari, is when you note "no IM medication," does that mean no emergency medication? A I have to look at exactly that order to see Q No. If you did I've seen that notation. It says no IM. And I understood from the deposition that that really means no emergency medications; is that correct? A It can be. It could be to the order. But what I recall, what he showed me in the deposition is
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A On June 26th MS. DERRY: Objection, asked and answered. THE COURT: Well, he actually asked whether there was an 839 authorization. Any other I would like to know whether there were other medications (indiscernible). MS. DERRY: And Your Honor, did you mean other psychotropic medications or all medications for his health? THE WITNESS: There have been no volunteer medications. He was given willingly a medication, Ativan, as a needed basis for agitation. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q So this so in other words, he voluntarily took it? A No, no. I'm sorry. He was offered, but he 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q No, no. I don't know. From 6/26 to 6/30, I was just wondering if there was a no IM MS. DERRY: No. And may I ask you for you to clarify, do you mean emergency medications or do you mean intramuscular medications? BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Dr. Khari, is when you note "no IM medication," does that mean no emergency medication? A I have to look at exactly that order to see Q No. If you did I've seen that notation. It says no IM. And I understood from the deposition that that really means no emergency medications; is that correct? A It can be. It could be to the order. But what I recall, what he showed me in the deposition is no emergency medication.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A On June 26th MS. DERRY: Objection, asked and answered. THE COURT: Well, he actually asked whether there was an 839 authorization. Any other I would like to know whether there were other medications (indiscernible). MS. DERRY: And Your Honor, did you mean other psychotropic medications or all medications for his health? THE WITNESS: There have been no volunteer medications. He was given willingly a medication, Ativan, as a needed basis for agitation. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q So this so in other words, he voluntarily took it? A No, no. I'm sorry. He was offered, but he didn't take it. Thank you. He was offered and he 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q No, no. I don't know. From 6/26 to 6/30, I was just wondering if there was a no IM MS. DERRY: No. And may I ask you for you to clarify, do you mean emergency medications or do you mean intramuscular medications? BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Dr. Khari, is when you note "no IM medication," does that mean no emergency medication? A I have to look at exactly that order to see Q No. If you did I've seen that notation. It says no IM. And I understood from the deposition that that really means no emergency medications; is that correct? A It can be. It could be to the order. But what I recall, what he showed me in the deposition is no emergency medication. Q So now the isn't the purpose of that order
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A On June 26th MS. DERRY: Objection, asked and answered. THE COURT: Well, he actually asked whether there was an 839 authorization. Any other I would like to know whether there were other medications (indiscernible). MS. DERRY: And Your Honor, did you mean other psychotropic medications or all medications for his health? THE WITNESS: There have been no volunteer medications. He was given willingly a medication, Ativan, as a needed basis for agitation. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q So this so in other words, he voluntarily took it? A No, no. I'm sorry. He was offered, but he didn't take it. Thank you. He was offered and he didn't take it. 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q No, no. I don't know. From 6/26 to 6/30, I was just wondering if there was a no IM MS. DERRY: No. And may I ask you for you to clarify, do you mean emergency medications or do you mean intramuscular medications? BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Dr. Khari, is when you note "no IM medication," does that mean no emergency medication? A I have to look at exactly that order to see Q No. If you did I've seen that notation. It says no IM. And I understood from the deposition that that really means no emergency medications; is that correct? A It can be. It could be to the order. But what I recall, what he showed me in the deposition is no emergency medication. Q So now the isn't the purpose of that order to tell the nursing staff what medication to to
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A On June 26th MS. DERRY: Objection, asked and answered. THE COURT: Well, he actually asked whether there was an 839 authorization. Any other I would like to know whether there were other medications (indiscernible). MS. DERRY: And Your Honor, did you mean other psychotropic medications or all medications for his health? THE WITNESS: There have been no volunteer medications. He was given willingly a medication, Ativan, as a needed basis for agitation. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q So this so in other words, he voluntarily took it? A No, no. I'm sorry. He was offered, but he didn't take it. Thank you. He was offered and he didn't take it. 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q No, no. I don't know. From 6/26 to 6/30, I was just wondering if there was a no IM MS. DERRY: No. And may I ask you for you to clarify, do you mean emergency medications or do you mean intramuscular medications? BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Dr. Khari, is when you note "no IM medication," does that mean no emergency medication? A I have to look at exactly that order to see Q No. If you did I've seen that notation. It says no IM. And I understood from the deposition that that really means no emergency medications; is that correct? A It can be. It could be to the order. But what I recall, what he showed me in the deposition is no emergency medication. Q So now the isn't the purpose of that order to tell the nursing staff what medication to to give, what medication is authorized?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A On June 26th MS. DERRY: Objection, asked and answered. THE COURT: Well, he actually asked whether there was an 839 authorization. Any other I would like to know whether there were other medications (indiscernible). MS. DERRY: And Your Honor, did you mean other psychotropic medications or all medications for his health? THE WITNESS: There have been no volunteer medications. He was given willingly a medication, Ativan, as a needed basis for agitation. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q So this so in other words, he voluntarily took it? A No, no. I'm sorry. He was offered, but he didn't take it. Thank you. He was offered and he didn't take it. 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q No, no. I don't know. From 6/26 to 6/30, I was just wondering if there was a no IM MS. DERRY: No. And may I ask you for you to clarify, do you mean emergency medications or do you mean intramuscular medications? BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Dr. Khari, is when you note "no IM medication," does that mean no emergency medication? A I have to look at exactly that order to see Q No. If you did I've seen that notation. It says no IM. And I understood from the deposition that that really means no emergency medications; is that correct? A It can be. It could be to the order. But what I recall, what he showed me in the deposition is no emergency medication. Q So now the isn't the purpose of that order to tell the nursing staff what medication to to

	Page 82		Page 84
1	(indiscernible). I appreciate that you're	1	if their clinician discusses with the doctor, the
2	(indiscernible).	2	patient really doesn't want to take IM medication.
3	And you need to function with your charts on	3	And because the medication comes in different form, in
4	your own.	4	liquid form, in tablet form, pill form, and IM form.
5	BY MR. GOTTSTEIN:	5	And IM means just, you know, for whatever
6	Q Isn't the purpose of writing orders in the	6	reasons for that individual, the IM should not be
7	chart to instruct the staff with respect to the	7	considered.
8	administration of medication?	8	MS. DERRY: Your Honor, if I might, I think
9	A What I remember, that what you showed me in	9	there's some confusion here that the between
10	the deposition was written "no emergency medication."	10	emergency medicine and IM medicine. I think
11	And yes, I do remember seeing it and writing that	11	THE COURT: I would say so.
12	order.	12	MS. DERRY: I think the IM
13	Q Yeah. And so my question is, what does that	13	BY MR. GOTTSTEIN:
14	mean?	14	Q So didn't you testify didn't you say that
15	A That means do not give emergency medication	15	IM means the same thing to somebody or no, maybe
16	and do not give emergency medications. And in case	16	you didn't.
17	the situation arises, they will of course, you	17	A No.
18	know, they still need to contact the clinician to talk	18	Q Okay. That's right, you didn't. So then
19	to us, and we have to evaluate the whole thing.	19	I'll ask, what it does mean? I'm just trying to
20	Q And when you say "no IM medication," does	20	understand that. I apologize that we didn't figure
21	that mean the same thing?	21	this out at the deposition. I'm sorry.
22	A A case to case is different.	22	THE COURT: (Indiscernible.)
23	Q So when you write "no IM medication" in this	23	BY MR. GOTTSTEIN:
24	chart, that can mean different things?	24	Q So are you saying that the nursing staff
25	MS. DERRY: Objection. Is there any	25	decides what that means?
	D 03		
	Page 83		Page 85
1	(indiscernible) dates that you're talking about that	1	A No.
2	(indiscernible) dates that you're talking about that that was written, or just generally?	2	A No.Q And you're saying that it depends on the
2 3	(indiscernible) dates that you're talking about that that was written, or just generally? MR. GOTTSTEIN: No. I'm just asking about	2 3	A No. Q And you're saying that it depends on the circumstances?
2 3 4	(indiscernible) dates that you're talking about that that was written, or just generally? MR. GOTTSTEIN: No. I'm just asking about proceedings.	2 3 4	A No.Q And you're saying that it depends on the circumstances?A You were connecting IM and emergency, and I
2 3 4 5	 (indiscernible) dates that you're talking about that that was written, or just generally? MR. GOTTSTEIN: No. I'm just asking about proceedings. THE WITNESS: But every setting is different, 	2 3 4 5	A No.Q And you're saying that it depends on the circumstances?A You were connecting IM and emergency, and I wasn't really understanding what direction you were
2 3 4 5 6	 (indiscernible) dates that you're talking about that that was written, or just generally? MR. GOTTSTEIN: No. I'm just asking about proceedings. THE WITNESS: But every setting is different, because a different clinician maybe can look at 	2 3 4 5 6	A No.Q And you're saying that it depends on the circumstances?A You were connecting IM and emergency, and I wasn't really understanding what direction you were going.
2 3 4 5 6 7	 (indiscernible) dates that you're talking about that that was written, or just generally? MR. GOTTSTEIN: No. I'm just asking about proceedings. THE WITNESS: But every setting is different, because a different clinician maybe can look at differently. 	2 3 4 5 6 7	 A No. Q And you're saying that it depends on the circumstances? A You were connecting IM and emergency, and I wasn't really understanding what direction you were going. Q Well, I guess I'm just trying to get I am
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 (indiscernible) dates that you're talking about that that was written, or just generally? MR. GOTTSTEIN: No. I'm just asking about proceedings. THE WITNESS: But every setting is different, because a different clinician maybe can look at differently. But as you are referring to emergency 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A No. Q And you're saying that it depends on the circumstances? A You were connecting IM and emergency, and I wasn't really understanding what direction you were going. Q Well, I guess I'm just trying to get I am (indiscernible).
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 (indiscernible) dates that you're talking about that that was written, or just generally? MR. GOTTSTEIN: No. I'm just asking about proceedings. THE WITNESS: But every setting is different, because a different clinician maybe can look at differently. But as you are referring to emergency medication, in that case in emergency medication, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A No. Q And you're saying that it depends on the circumstances? A You were connecting IM and emergency, and I wasn't really understanding what direction you were going. Q Well, I guess I'm just trying to get I am (indiscernible). THE COURT: (Indiscernible.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 (indiscernible) dates that you're talking about that that was written, or just generally? MR. GOTTSTEIN: No. I'm just asking about proceedings. THE WITNESS: But every setting is different, because a different clinician maybe can look at differently. But as you are referring to emergency medication, in that case in emergency medication, when we say IM, in the aspect that when we say "no IM 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A No. Q And you're saying that it depends on the circumstances? A You were connecting IM and emergency, and I wasn't really understanding what direction you were going. Q Well, I guess I'm just trying to get I am (indiscernible). THE COURT: (Indiscernible.) MR. GOTTSTEIN: Right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 (indiscernible) dates that you're talking about that that was written, or just generally? MR. GOTTSTEIN: No. I'm just asking about proceedings. THE WITNESS: But every setting is different, because a different clinician maybe can look at differently. But as you are referring to emergency medication, in that case in emergency medication, when we say IM, in the aspect that when we say "no IM medication emergency," that means do not give it 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A No. Q And you're saying that it depends on the circumstances? A You were connecting IM and emergency, and I wasn't really understanding what direction you were going. Q Well, I guess I'm just trying to get I am (indiscernible). THE COURT: (Indiscernible.) MR. GOTTSTEIN: Right. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 (indiscernible) dates that you're talking about that that was written, or just generally? MR. GOTTSTEIN: No. I'm just asking about proceedings. THE WITNESS: But every setting is different, because a different clinician maybe can look at differently. But as you are referring to emergency medication, in that case in emergency medication, when we say IM, in the aspect that when we say "no IM medication emergency," that means do not give it against their wish. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A No. Q And you're saying that it depends on the circumstances? A You were connecting IM and emergency, and I wasn't really understanding what direction you were going. Q Well, I guess I'm just trying to get I am (indiscernible). THE COURT: (Indiscernible.) MR. GOTTSTEIN: Right. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q So when when you write is it true that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 (indiscernible) dates that you're talking about that that was written, or just generally? MR. GOTTSTEIN: No. I'm just asking about proceedings. THE WITNESS: But every setting is different, because a different clinician maybe can look at differently. But as you are referring to emergency medication, in that case in emergency medication, when we say IM, in the aspect that when we say "no IM medication emergency," that means do not give it against their wish. But however, if it is oral medication, then 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A No. Q And you're saying that it depends on the circumstances? A You were connecting IM and emergency, and I wasn't really understanding what direction you were going. Q Well, I guess I'm just trying to get I am (indiscernible). THE COURT: (Indiscernible.) MR. GOTTSTEIN: Right. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q So when when you write is it true that when you write "no emergency medication," that would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 (indiscernible) dates that you're talking about that that was written, or just generally? MR. GOTTSTEIN: No. I'm just asking about proceedings. THE WITNESS: But every setting is different, because a different clinician maybe can look at differently. But as you are referring to emergency medication, in that case in emergency medication, when we say IM, in the aspect that when we say "no IM medication emergency," that means do not give it against their wish. But however, if it is oral medication, then that means even though it is emergency situation, you 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A No. Q And you're saying that it depends on the circumstances? A You were connecting IM and emergency, and I wasn't really understanding what direction you were going. Q Well, I guess I'm just trying to get I am (indiscernible). THE COURT: (Indiscernible.) MR. GOTTSTEIN: Right. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q So when when you write is it true that when you write "no emergency medication," that would mean no IM medication and no oral medication?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 (indiscernible) dates that you're talking about that that was written, or just generally? MR. GOTTSTEIN: No. I'm just asking about proceedings. THE WITNESS: But every setting is different, because a different clinician maybe can look at differently. But as you are referring to emergency medication, in that case in emergency medication, when we say IM, in the aspect that when we say "no IM medication emergency," that means do not give it against their wish. But however, if it is oral medication, then that means even though it is emergency situation, you could offer it to them. So IM medication in the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A No. Q And you're saying that it depends on the circumstances? A You were connecting IM and emergency, and I wasn't really understanding what direction you were going. Q Well, I guess I'm just trying to get I am (indiscernible). THE COURT: (Indiscernible.) MR. GOTTSTEIN: Right. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q So when when you write is it true that when you write "no emergency medication," that would mean no IM medication and no oral medication? A No. Oral medication is voluntarily. When
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 (indiscernible) dates that you're talking about that that was written, or just generally? MR. GOTTSTEIN: No. I'm just asking about proceedings. THE WITNESS: But every setting is different, because a different clinician maybe can look at differently. But as you are referring to emergency medication, in that case in emergency medication, when we say IM, in the aspect that when we say "no IM medication emergency," that means do not give it against their wish. But however, if it is oral medication, then that means even though it is emergency situation, you could offer it to them. So IM medication in the emergency setting, yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A No. Q And you're saying that it depends on the circumstances? A You were connecting IM and emergency, and I wasn't really understanding what direction you were going. Q Well, I guess I'm just trying to get I am (indiscernible). THE COURT: (Indiscernible.) MR. GOTTSTEIN: Right. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q So when when you write is it true that when you write "no emergency medication," that would mean no IM medication and no oral medication? A No. Oral medication is voluntarily. When you give medication as needed basis in oral form, that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 (indiscernible) dates that you're talking about that that was written, or just generally? MR. GOTTSTEIN: No. I'm just asking about proceedings. THE WITNESS: But every setting is different, because a different clinician maybe can look at differently. But as you are referring to emergency medication, in that case in emergency medication, when we say IM, in the aspect that when we say "no IM medication emergency," that means do not give it against their wish. But however, if it is oral medication, then that means even though it is emergency situation, you could offer it to them. So IM medication in the emergency setting, yes. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A No. Q And you're saying that it depends on the circumstances? A You were connecting IM and emergency, and I wasn't really understanding what direction you were going. Q Well, I guess I'm just trying to get I am (indiscernible). THE COURT: (Indiscernible.) MR. GOTTSTEIN: Right. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Right. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q So when when you write is it true that when you write "no emergency medication," that would mean no IM medication and no oral medication? A No. Oral medication is voluntarily. When you give medication as needed basis in oral form, that means you offer to the patient and patient willingly
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 (indiscernible) dates that you're talking about that that was written, or just generally? MR. GOTTSTEIN: No. I'm just asking about proceedings. THE WITNESS: But every setting is different, because a different clinician maybe can look at differently. But as you are referring to emergency medication, in that case in emergency medication, when we say IM, in the aspect that when we say "no IM medication emergency," that means do not give it against their wish. But however, if it is oral medication, then that means even though it is emergency situation, you could offer it to them. So IM medication in the emergency setting, yes. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q I'm confused. So could you please tell me 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A No. Q And you're saying that it depends on the circumstances? A You were connecting IM and emergency, and I wasn't really understanding what direction you were going. Q Well, I guess I'm just trying to get I am (indiscernible). THE COURT: (Indiscernible.) MR. GOTTSTEIN: Right. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Right. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q So when when you write is it true that when you write "no emergency medication," that would mean no IM medication and no oral medication? A No. Oral medication is voluntarily. When you give medication as needed basis in oral form, that means you offer to the patient and patient willingly to take.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 (indiscernible) dates that you're talking about that that was written, or just generally? MR. GOTTSTEIN: No. I'm just asking about proceedings. THE WITNESS: But every setting is different, because a different clinician maybe can look at differently. But as you are referring to emergency medication, in that case in emergency medication, when we say IM, in the aspect that when we say "no IM medication emergency," that means do not give it against their wish. But however, if it is oral medication, then that means even though it is emergency situation, you could offer it to them. So IM medication in the emergency setting, yes. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q I'm confused. So could you please tell me again what "no IM medication" means? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A No. Q And you're saying that it depends on the circumstances? A You were connecting IM and emergency, and I wasn't really understanding what direction you were going. Q Well, I guess I'm just trying to get I am (indiscernible). THE COURT: (Indiscernible.) MR. GOTTSTEIN: Right. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q So when when you write is it true that when you write "no emergency medication," that would mean no IM medication and no oral medication? A No. Oral medication is voluntarily. When you give medication as needed basis in oral form, that means you offer to the patient and patient willingly to take. And then IM medication but not always.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 (indiscernible) dates that you're talking about that that was written, or just generally? MR. GOTTSTEIN: No. I'm just asking about proceedings. THE WITNESS: But every setting is different, because a different clinician maybe can look at differently. But as you are referring to emergency medication, in that case in emergency medication, when we say IM, in the aspect that when we say "no IM medication emergency," that means do not give it against their wish. But however, if it is oral medication, then that means even though it is emergency situation, you could offer it to them. So IM medication in the emergency setting, yes. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q I'm confused. So could you please tell me again what "no IM medication" means? A If you are specifically focusing for Bill 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A No. Q And you're saying that it depends on the circumstances? A You were connecting IM and emergency, and I wasn't really understanding what direction you were going. Q Well, I guess I'm just trying to get I am (indiscernible). THE COURT: (Indiscernible.) MR. GOTTSTEIN: Right. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q So when when you write is it true that when you write "no emergency medication," that would mean no IM medication and no oral medication? A No. Oral medication is voluntarily. When you give medication as needed basis in oral form, that means you offer to the patient and patient willingly to take. And then IM medication but not always. There are times that the patient prefers to get IM and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 (indiscernible) dates that you're talking about that that was written, or just generally? MR. GOTTSTEIN: No. I'm just asking about proceedings. THE WITNESS: But every setting is different, because a different clinician maybe can look at differently. But as you are referring to emergency medication, in that case in emergency medication, when we say IM, in the aspect that when we say "no IM medication emergency," that means do not give it against their wish. But however, if it is oral medication, then that means even though it is emergency situation, you could offer it to them. So IM medication in the emergency setting, yes. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q I'm confused. So could you please tell me again what "no IM medication" means? A If you are specifically focusing for Bill Q No, no, no, no. Maybe that's the confusion. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A No. Q And you're saying that it depends on the circumstances? A You were connecting IM and emergency, and I wasn't really understanding what direction you were going. Q Well, I guess I'm just trying to get I am (indiscernible). THE COURT: (Indiscernible.) MR. GOTTSTEIN: Right. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q So when when you write is it true that when you write "no emergency medication," that would mean no IM medication and no oral medication? A No. Oral medication is voluntarily. When you give medication as needed basis in oral form, that means you offer to the patient and patient willingly to take. And then IM medication but not always. There are times that the patient prefers to get IM and they'd rather not to take the pill. And sometimes
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 (indiscernible) dates that you're talking about that that was written, or just generally? MR. GOTTSTEIN: No. I'm just asking about proceedings. THE WITNESS: But every setting is different, because a different clinician maybe can look at differently. But as you are referring to emergency medication, in that case in emergency medication, when we say IM, in the aspect that when we say "no IM medication emergency," that means do not give it against their wish. But however, if it is oral medication, then that means even though it is emergency situation, you could offer it to them. So IM medication in the emergency setting, yes. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q I'm confused. So could you please tell me again what "no IM medication" means? A If you are specifically focusing for Bill Q No, no, no, no. Maybe that's the confusion. Just generally, when you write "no IM 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A No. Q And you're saying that it depends on the circumstances? A You were connecting IM and emergency, and I wasn't really understanding what direction you were going. Q Well, I guess I'm just trying to get I am (indiscernible). THE COURT: (Indiscernible.) MR. GOTTSTEIN: Right. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q So when when you write is it true that when you write "no emergency medication," that would mean no IM medication and no oral medication? A No. Oral medication is voluntarily. When you give medication as needed basis in oral form, that means you offer to the patient and patient willingly to take. And then IM medication but not always. There are times that the patient prefers to get IM and they'd rather not to take the pill. And sometimes (indiscernible) always.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 (indiscernible) dates that you're talking about that that was written, or just generally? MR. GOTTSTEIN: No. I'm just asking about proceedings. THE WITNESS: But every setting is different, because a different clinician maybe can look at differently. But as you are referring to emergency medication, in that case in emergency medication, when we say IM, in the aspect that when we say "no IM medication emergency," that means do not give it against their wish. But however, if it is oral medication, then that means even though it is emergency situation, you could offer it to them. So IM medication in the emergency setting, yes. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q I'm confused. So could you please tell me again what "no IM medication" means? A If you are specifically focusing for Bill Q No, no, no, no. Maybe that's the confusion. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A No. Q And you're saying that it depends on the circumstances? A You were connecting IM and emergency, and I wasn't really understanding what direction you were going. Q Well, I guess I'm just trying to get I am (indiscernible). THE COURT: (Indiscernible.) MR. GOTTSTEIN: Right. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q So when when you write is it true that when you write "no emergency medication," that would mean no IM medication and no oral medication? A No. Oral medication is voluntarily. When you give medication as needed basis in oral form, that means you offer to the patient and patient willingly to take. And then IM medication but not always. There are times that the patient prefers to get IM and they'd rather not to take the pill. And sometimes (indiscernible) always. But however, for Bill, the last few
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 (indiscernible) dates that you're talking about that that was written, or just generally? MR. GOTTSTEIN: No. I'm just asking about proceedings. THE WITNESS: But every setting is different, because a different clinician maybe can look at differently. But as you are referring to emergency medication, in that case in emergency medication, when we say IM, in the aspect that when we say "no IM medication emergency," that means do not give it against their wish. But however, if it is oral medication, then that means even though it is emergency situation, you could offer it to them. So IM medication in the emergency setting, yes. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q I'm confused. So could you please tell me again what "no IM medication" means? A If you are specifically focusing for Bill Q No, no, no, no. Maybe that's the confusion. Just generally, when you write "no IM medication" in a chart, what does the nursing staff 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A No. Q And you're saying that it depends on the circumstances? A You were connecting IM and emergency, and I wasn't really understanding what direction you were going. Q Well, I guess I'm just trying to get I am (indiscernible). THE COURT: (Indiscernible.) MR. GOTTSTEIN: Right. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q So when when you write is it true that when you write "no emergency medication," that would mean no IM medication and no oral medication? A No. Oral medication is voluntarily. When you give medication as needed basis in oral form, that means you offer to the patient and patient willingly to take. And then IM medication but not always. There are times that the patient prefers to get IM and they'd rather not to take the pill. And sometimes (indiscernible) always.

	Page 86		Page 88
1	medication, so the IM would be given to him.	1	A Well, if if we have an individual who is
2	Q And this was during the stay by the supreme	2	so psychotic or so aggressive or to the level that
3	court?	3	they cannot control theirself and they could put
4	A Well, an emergency situation really are	4	themself or others in an unsafe situation, and they
5	you talking about regular IM medication or emergency	5	are not they have refused PO medication, and all
6	medication?	6	the other all the other alternatives that have been
7	Q Well, you switched to Bill, so now I'm	7	offered to them, which becomes the last choice of the
8	switching to Bill again. You said he was given IM	8	IM medication, they are not willing to take it.
9		9	So yes, there would be a staff to support
	medication. You said he was refusing oral medication.		
10	MS. DERRY: Objection, mischaracterization of	10	from the aspect that to make it easier for the
11	testimony. I believe she said	11	nurse to give medication, just to make sure the needle
12	THE COURT: Overruled.	12	doesn't break down or somebody doesn't get hurt, you
13	THE WITNESS: Well, Bill is not willing to	13	know, that they need
14	take medication orally.	14	Q So are there, like, three or four staff
15	When emergency medication arises, which	15	members that come in?
16	becomes the safety and the (indiscernible) statute, we	16	A Every situation is different. With Bill's
17	are able to give a medication to him that if we think	17	situation, again, every time the setting is different,
18	that, as I said, he is at the level that he could be	18	the level of aggression and agitation are different.
19	(indiscernible) others.	19	In some cases, I have seen probably like two
20	But interesting, even when we give IM	20	CNA staff that was working with him and the nurse came
21	medication to Bill, the staff that has given	21	and he put the pants down.
22	medication against his wish, even though he refuse	22	Q But yeah, usually he just submits, correct?
23	oral medication, when they the nurse comes with the	23	A Pardon me?
24	medication with the IM actually he did not.	24	Q Usually he just submits, correct?
25	Because some of our patients are very psychotic or	25	A Usually when he is
	Page 87		Page 89
1	very aggressive, that the man the mechanical	1	Q He just submits? He he
2	support or man support needed to keep them in order	2	A For this hospitalization definitely, yes.
3	the medication be given.	3	Q Okay. But let's talk about someone that's
4	To Bill's credit, again, that might be in the	4	not Bill.
5	aspect of some (indiscernible) insight. Perhaps even	5	A Okay.
6	though he said no, he may benefit from medication. He	6	Q And
7	willingly takes his pants down and allows the nurse,	7	MS. DERRY: Objection, Your Honor.
8	without resistance, without the man support to get	8	THE COURT: What's the relevance of not Bill?
9	that medication.	9	MR. GOTTSTEIN: On that one admission, that's
10	BY MR. GOTTSTEIN:	10	the procedure if so that
11	Q So would you agree with (indiscernible)	11	THE COURT: What difference does it make, if
12	characterization that that when you know, three	12	it's not been used against him?
13	or four staff come with the you know, with the	13	MR. GOTTSTEIN: Because he knows what it is,
14	needle, that he does submit submit to	14	and so that I think explains why he does that.
15	MS. DERRY: Objection, calls for speculation.	15	THE COURT: You can lay that foundation.
16	We haven't established that Dr. Khari knows anything	16	I'll let you go there. But (indiscernible)
17	about what Dr. Worrall has testified to.	17	foundation.
18	BY MR. GOTTSTEIN:	18	
10 19	Q If	10 19	MR. GOTTSTEIN: All right. I understand.
	-		BY MR. GOTTSTEIN:
20	THE COURT: Ask a question without	20	Q In the 85 85 admissions of Bill, has he
21	Dr. Worrall.	21	ever refused to take an IM?
22	BY MR. GOTTSTEIN:	22	MS. DERRY: Objection, calls for speculation.
23	Q Okay. If if he didn't pull down his pants	23	Could we limit it to the ones where Dr. Khari has
	1 1 11 11 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	~ -	. 11 1 .1
24 25	and, you know, and basically submit, what would the staff do?	24 25	actually been the treating physician? THE COURT: It's limited to her knowledge,

	Page 90		Page 92
1	so	1	Would you go back to the April 25th and
2	THE WITNESS: As I said, he have 82 82	2	June 4th admission, very (indiscernible).
3	hospitalizations. And I would not be surprised if in	3	THE WITNESS: (Indiscernible.)
4	some of those admissions, he may have shown some	4	THE COURT: April 25 through June 4th.
5	resistance.	5	THE WITNESS: For medication (indiscernible).
6	But again, I am not really sure.	6	THE COURT: I'm going to ask let me tell
7	BY MR. GOTTSTEIN:	7	you what I'm going to do. I'm going to ask you the
8	Q Okay. And if so, what would have what	8	same questions for each admission. I want to confirm
9	would have happened?	9	whether it was a a DOC transfer or coming in off
10	MS. DERRY: Objection, asked and answered.	10	of from somewhere else.
11	THE COURT: I'm not going to (indiscernible).	11	THE WITNESS: 4/25
12	I don't think that this witness knows the predicate of	12	THE COURT: Just just
13	whether he has witnessed the administration to a	13	THE WITNESS: All right.
14	non-cooperative patient.	14	THE COURT: Then I'm going to find out
15	MR. GOTTSTEIN: Actually, my question was	15	whether or not he ever had initially authorized the
16	experience. But that raises the question.	16	medication. I think that's going to be pretty easy
17	BY MR. GOTTSTEIN:	17	for you to figure out.
18	Q Has well, on this this since you've	18	I want to know, though, whether there was any
19	been treating him, has Bill witnessed someone	19	emergency medication, and then I want to ask you about
20	administered the IM medication without submitting to	20	whether or not there's some confusion in my mind
21	it?	21	about whether or not API thought he should be
22	A (No audible response.)	22	committed, after the supreme court issued the stay,
23	Q You don't know? Okay. So were we on	23	but you didn't take action towards that because of the
24	the okay. So 6/26 to 6/30, did you figure out if	24	stay and/or thought that perhaps he should be
25	he had been given any medication?	25	medicated, but because of the stay you you said,
	Page 91		Page 93
1	A I think I said no, but (indiscernible).	1	well, you know, we're not going to bother to try
2	Q Okay.	2	because we've got this legal (indiscernible), okay?
3	THE COURT: So for the April	3	So starting with the April 25th through
4	MR. GOTTSTEIN: June 26th.	4	June 4th here's I'm going to tell you what I
5	THE COURT: June 26th to June 30th, he	5	think you've said already, and please confirm this so
б	received no judicially authorized psychotropic and no	6	I'm (indiscernible).
7	emergency medication; is that fair?	7	He was brought in on a 24-hour evaluation
8	THE WITNESS: (No audible response.)	8	from somewhere. There was a petition for a 30-day
9	THE COURT: All right.	9	commitment that was granted.
10	BY MR. GOTTSTEIN:	10	There was a superior court authorization for
11	Q And is there any notation in that chart about	11	involuntary psychotropic medication (indiscernible)
12	no emergency medication or no IM medication?	12	before they could be administered, the order was
13	A No.	13	stayed.
14	Q Okay. So on how about on August 1st to	14	And then he was discharged on June 4th, not
15	August 5th, did he receive any medication?	15	because he was getting better, but because I think API
16	Oh, first off I'm sorry. Was was that	16	thought that they could do nothing for him given the
17	a Title 12?	17	stay.
18	MS. DERRY: Objection, asked and answered.	18	And I think you also said, and please confirm
19	THE COURT: Sustained (indiscernible).	19	this, that during that whatever it is, roughly five
20	THE WITNESS: Okay.	20	weeks, six weeks, there was no emergency medication.
21	BY MR. GOTTSTEIN:	21	Check the emergency medication then (indiscernible)
22	Q Did he receive	22	dates.
23	THE COURT: I want you to focus I'm going	23	THE WITNESS: It was one emergency medication
24	to ask a question, and then I'll let Mr. Gottstein	24	that was given.
25	(indiscernible).	25	THE COURT: Can you give me the date?

	Page 94		Page 96
1	THE WITNESS: Are we on May, you said?	1	MR. GOTTSTEIN: Could you spell it for me?
2	THE COURT: We are on the April 25th through	2	THE COURT: A-R-I-P-I-P-R-A-Z-O-L-E. And is
3	June 4.	3	that also roughly 72 hours?
4	THE WITNESS: Yes. On May May this is	4	THE WITNESS: No. This has a longer
5	May 6th, so we're talking about May. You are talking	5	longer
6	about May.	6	THE COURT: Can you give me roughly how long
7	THE COURT: So roughly May 6th?	7	that is?
8	THE WITNESS: I may have the wrong one. I'm	8	THE WITNESS: I would say for the
9	sorry. I don't think May. I was reading April	9	medication in its oral form has about 72 hours, and
10	THE COURT: April 25th through June 4th.	10	then IM form would say probably about 24 to 48
11	THE WITNESS: This is April (indiscernible)	11	hours.
12	25th to June 6th. Okay. So yes. On May 6th, he	12	THE COURT: And that was all (indiscernible)?
13	received one IM medication, haloperidol. He did	13	MS. DERRY: By injection, Dr. Khari?
14	receive one emergency medication.	14	THE WITNESS: (Indiscernible.)
15	THE COURT: Was that administered by	15	THE COURT: So no other than just the May 6th
16	injection?	16	and the May 15th emergency medications are on that
17	THE WITNESS: Yes.	17	that (indiscernible)?
18	THE COURT: And what is the is this a	18	THE WITNESS: Yes. And the Abilify was given
19	medication that's effective for some two weeks? Is	19	with another medication. There were two given the
20	that one of these	20	same time. And the second medication is
21	THE WITNESS: No.	21	diphenhydramine, D-I-P-H-E-N-H-Y-D-R-A-M-I-N-E.
22	THE COURT: or a shorter period of time?	22	THE COURT: (Indiscernible.)
23	THE WITNESS: For shorter period of the time.	23	MS. DERRY: Is it I'm sorry. Is Abilify
24	THE COURT: Can you give me roughly the	24	and aripiprazole the same thing?
25	period of its effect?	25	THE WITNESS: Uh-huh, yes.
	Page 95		Page 97
1	Page 95 THE WITNESS: Each medication is different.	1	Page 97 MS. DERRY: As the first one, not as the
1 2		1 2	
	THE WITNESS: Each medication is different. But for that specific medication, I would imagine that it would last for 72 hours		MS. DERRY: As the first one, not as the
2	THE WITNESS: Each medication is different. But for that specific medication, I would imagine that it would last for 72 hours THE COURT: 72 hours. Okay.	2	MS. DERRY: As the first one, not as the second one?
2 3	THE WITNESS: Each medication is different. But for that specific medication, I would imagine that it would last for 72 hours	2 3	MS. DERRY: As the first one, not as the second one? THE WITNESS: Abilify and (indiscernible) are
2 3 4	THE WITNESS: Each medication is different. But for that specific medication, I would imagine that it would last for 72 hours THE COURT: 72 hours. Okay. THE WITNESS: of life. And also let me correct myself, Judge. Also on May 15th, he received	2 3 4	MS. DERRY: As the first one, not as the second one? THE WITNESS: Abilify and (indiscernible) are the same. THE COURT: And both of those two medications all three of those are psychotropic?
2 3 4 5	THE WITNESS: Each medication is different. But for that specific medication, I would imagine that it would last for 72 hours THE COURT: 72 hours. Okay. THE WITNESS: of life. And also let me correct myself, Judge. Also on May 15th, he received another IM emergency medication of Abilify	2 3 4 5	MS. DERRY: As the first one, not as the second one? THE WITNESS: Abilify and (indiscernible) are the same. THE COURT: And both of those two medications all three of those are psychotropic? THE WITNESS: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE WITNESS: Each medication is different. But for that specific medication, I would imagine that it would last for 72 hours THE COURT: 72 hours. Okay. THE WITNESS: of life. And also let me correct myself, Judge. Also on May 15th, he received another IM emergency medication of Abilify (indiscernible).	2 3 4 5 6	MS. DERRY: As the first one, not as the second one? THE WITNESS: Abilify and (indiscernible) are the same. THE COURT: And both of those two medications all three of those are psychotropic? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: Can you tell from the notes or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE WITNESS: Each medication is different. But for that specific medication, I would imagine that it would last for 72 hours THE COURT: 72 hours. Okay. THE WITNESS: of life. And also let me correct myself, Judge. Also on May 15th, he received another IM emergency medication of Abilify (indiscernible). THE COURT: I'm sorry; was that the same	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. DERRY: As the first one, not as the second one? THE WITNESS: Abilify and (indiscernible) are the same. THE COURT: And both of those two medications all three of those are psychotropic? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: Can you tell from the notes or from the chart or from your recollection if would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE WITNESS: Each medication is different. But for that specific medication, I would imagine that it would last for 72 hours THE COURT: 72 hours. Okay. THE WITNESS: of life. And also let me correct myself, Judge. Also on May 15th, he received another IM emergency medication of Abilify (indiscernible). THE COURT: I'm sorry; was that the same medication?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. DERRY: As the first one, not as the second one? THE WITNESS: Abilify and (indiscernible) are the same. THE COURT: And both of those two medications all three of those are psychotropic? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: Can you tell from the notes or from the chart or from your recollection if would API have kept him longer than June 4th if the supreme
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	THE WITNESS: Each medication is different. But for that specific medication, I would imagine that it would last for 72 hours THE COURT: 72 hours. Okay. THE WITNESS: of life. And also let me correct myself, Judge. Also on May 15th, he received another IM emergency medication of Abilify (indiscernible). THE COURT: I'm sorry; was that the same medication? THE WITNESS: No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MS. DERRY: As the first one, not as the second one? THE WITNESS: Abilify and (indiscernible) are the same. THE COURT: And both of those two medications all three of those are psychotropic? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: Can you tell from the notes or from the chart or from your recollection if would API have kept him longer than June 4th if the supreme court stay was not I mean, did they think that he
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	THE WITNESS: Each medication is different. But for that specific medication, I would imagine that it would last for 72 hours THE COURT: 72 hours. Okay. THE WITNESS: of life. And also let me correct myself, Judge. Also on May 15th, he received another IM emergency medication of Abilify (indiscernible). THE COURT: I'm sorry; was that the same medication? THE WITNESS: No. THE COURT: Different medication?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MS. DERRY: As the first one, not as the second one? THE WITNESS: Abilify and (indiscernible) are the same. THE COURT: And both of those two medications all three of those are psychotropic? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: Can you tell from the notes or from the chart or from your recollection if would API have kept him longer than June 4th if the supreme court stay was not I mean, did they think that he was still met the commitment criteria, but they
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE WITNESS: Each medication is different. But for that specific medication, I would imagine that it would last for 72 hours THE COURT: 72 hours. Okay. THE WITNESS: of life. And also let me correct myself, Judge. Also on May 15th, he received another IM emergency medication of Abilify (indiscernible). THE COURT: I'm sorry; was that the same medication? THE WITNESS: No. THE WITNESS: No. THE COURT: Different medication? THE WITNESS: Different medication.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. DERRY: As the first one, not as the second one? THE WITNESS: Abilify and (indiscernible) are the same. THE COURT: And both of those two medications all three of those are psychotropic? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: Can you tell from the notes or from the chart or from your recollection if would API have kept him longer than June 4th if the supreme court stay was not I mean, did they think that he was still met the commitment criteria, but they couldn't do anything because they couldn't medicate
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE WITNESS: Each medication is different. But for that specific medication, I would imagine that it would last for 72 hours THE COURT: 72 hours. Okay. THE WITNESS: of life. And also let me correct myself, Judge. Also on May 15th, he received another IM emergency medication of Abilify (indiscernible). THE COURT: I'm sorry; was that the same medication? THE WITNESS: No. THE WITNESS: No. THE COURT: Different medication? THE WITNESS: Different medication. THE WITNESS: Different medication.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. DERRY: As the first one, not as the second one? THE WITNESS: Abilify and (indiscernible) are the same. THE COURT: And both of those two medications all three of those are psychotropic? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: Can you tell from the notes or from the chart or from your recollection if would API have kept him longer than June 4th if the supreme court stay was not I mean, did they think that he was still met the commitment criteria, but they couldn't do anything because they couldn't medicate him anymore, so what's the point of keeping him, or do
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE WITNESS: Each medication is different. But for that specific medication, I would imagine that it would last for 72 hours THE COURT: 72 hours. Okay. THE WITNESS: of life. And also let me correct myself, Judge. Also on May 15th, he received another IM emergency medication of Abilify (indiscernible). THE COURT: I'm sorry; was that the same medication? THE WITNESS: No. THE COURT: Different medication? THE WITNESS: Different medication. THE WITNESS: Different medication. THE WITNESS: Was haloperidol.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS. DERRY: As the first one, not as the second one? THE WITNESS: Abilify and (indiscernible) are the same. THE COURT: And both of those two medications all three of those are psychotropic? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: Can you tell from the notes or from the chart or from your recollection if would API have kept him longer than June 4th if the supreme court stay was not I mean, did they think that he was still met the commitment criteria, but they couldn't do anything because they couldn't medicate him anymore, so what's the point of keeping him, or do you think he got better and would have been released
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE WITNESS: Each medication is different. But for that specific medication, I would imagine that it would last for 72 hours THE COURT: 72 hours. Okay. THE WITNESS: of life. And also let me correct myself, Judge. Also on May 15th, he received another IM emergency medication of Abilify (indiscernible). THE COURT: I'm sorry; was that the same medication? THE WITNESS: No. THE COURT: Different medication? THE WITNESS: Different medication. THE WITNESS: Different medication. THE WITNESS: Was haloperidol. THE WITNESS: Was haloperidol.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. DERRY: As the first one, not as the second one? THE WITNESS: Abilify and (indiscernible) are the same. THE COURT: And both of those two medications all three of those are psychotropic? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: Can you tell from the notes or from the chart or from your recollection if would API have kept him longer than June 4th if the supreme court stay was not I mean, did they think that he was still met the commitment criteria, but they couldn't do anything because they couldn't medicate him anymore, so what's the point of keeping him, or do you think he got better and would have been released one way you know, without the supreme court?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE WITNESS: Each medication is different. But for that specific medication, I would imagine that it would last for 72 hours THE COURT: 72 hours. Okay. THE WITNESS: of life. And also let me correct myself, Judge. Also on May 15th, he received another IM emergency medication of Abilify (indiscernible). THE COURT: I'm sorry; was that the same medication? THE WITNESS: No. THE WITNESS: No. THE COURT: Different medication? THE WITNESS: Different medication. THE WITNESS: Different medication. THE COURT: So the first one THE WITNESS: Was haloperidol. THE COURT: Haloperidol. THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. H-A-L-O-P-E-R-I-D-O-L.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. DERRY: As the first one, not as the second one? THE WITNESS: Abilify and (indiscernible) are the same. THE COURT: And both of those two medications all three of those are psychotropic? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: Can you tell from the notes or from the chart or from your recollection if would API have kept him longer than June 4th if the supreme court stay was not I mean, did they think that he was still met the commitment criteria, but they couldn't do anything because they couldn't medicate him anymore, so what's the point of keeping him, or do you think he got better and would have been released one way you know, without the supreme court? THE WITNESS: That's a very hard question for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE WITNESS: Each medication is different. But for that specific medication, I would imagine that it would last for 72 hours THE COURT: 72 hours. Okay. THE WITNESS: of life. And also let me correct myself, Judge. Also on May 15th, he received another IM emergency medication of Abilify (indiscernible). THE COURT: I'm sorry; was that the same medication? THE WITNESS: No. THE WITNESS: No. THE COURT: Different medication? THE WITNESS: Different medication. THE WITNESS: Different medication. THE WITNESS: Was haloperidol. THE WITNESS: Was haloperidol. THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. H-A-L-O-P-E-R-I-D-O-L. THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. H-A-L-O-P-E-R-I-D-O-L.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. DERRY: As the first one, not as the second one? THE WITNESS: Abilify and (indiscernible) are the same. THE COURT: And both of those two medications all three of those are psychotropic? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: Can you tell from the notes or from the chart or from your recollection if would API have kept him longer than June 4th if the supreme court stay was not I mean, did they think that he was still met the commitment criteria, but they couldn't do anything because they couldn't medicate him anymore, so what's the point of keeping him, or do you think he got better and would have been released one way you know, without the supreme court? THE WITNESS: That's a very hard question for me to answer, but
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	THE WITNESS: Each medication is different. But for that specific medication, I would imagine that it would last for 72 hours THE COURT: 72 hours. Okay. THE WITNESS: of life. And also let me correct myself, Judge. Also on May 15th, he received another IM emergency medication of Abilify (indiscernible). THE COURT: I'm sorry; was that the same medication? THE WITNESS: No. THE COURT: Different medication? THE WITNESS: Different medication. THE WITNESS: Different medication. THE WITNESS: Was haloperidol. THE WITNESS: Was haloperidol. THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. H-A-L-O-P-E-R-I-D-O-L. THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. H-A-L-O-P-E-R-I-D-O-L. THE COURT: And haloperidol was the second time?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MS. DERRY: As the first one, not as the second one? THE WITNESS: Abilify and (indiscernible) are the same. THE COURT: And both of those two medications all three of those are psychotropic? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: Can you tell from the notes or from the chart or from your recollection if would API have kept him longer than June 4th if the supreme court stay was not I mean, did they think that he was still met the commitment criteria, but they couldn't do anything because they couldn't medicate him anymore, so what's the point of keeping him, or do you think he got better and would have been released one way you know, without the supreme court? THE WITNESS: That's a very hard question for me to answer, but THE COURT: If you can't answer it, that's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE WITNESS: Each medication is different. But for that specific medication, I would imagine that it would last for 72 hours THE COURT: 72 hours. Okay. THE WITNESS: of life. And also let me correct myself, Judge. Also on May 15th, he received another IM emergency medication of Abilify (indiscernible). THE COURT: I'm sorry; was that the same medication? THE WITNESS: No. THE COURT: Different medication? THE WITNESS: Different medication. THE WITNESS: Different medication. THE COURT: So the first one THE WITNESS: Was haloperidol. THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. H-A-L-O-P-E-R-I-D-O-L. THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. H-A-L-O-P-E-R-I-D-O-L. THE COURT: And haloperidol was the second time? THE WITNESS: No. The second time was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. DERRY: As the first one, not as the second one? THE WITNESS: Abilify and (indiscernible) are the same. THE COURT: And both of those two medications all three of those are psychotropic? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: Can you tell from the notes or from the chart or from your recollection if would API have kept him longer than June 4th if the supreme court stay was not I mean, did they think that he was still met the commitment criteria, but they couldn't do anything because they couldn't medicate him anymore, so what's the point of keeping him, or do you think he got better and would have been released one way you know, without the supreme court? THE WITNESS: That's a very hard question for me to answer, but THE COURT: If you can't answer it, that's fine.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE WITNESS: Each medication is different. But for that specific medication, I would imagine that it would last for 72 hours THE COURT: 72 hours. Okay. THE WITNESS: of life. And also let me correct myself, Judge. Also on May 15th, he received another IM emergency medication of Abilify (indiscernible). THE COURT: I'm sorry; was that the same medication? THE WITNESS: No. THE WITNESS: No. THE COURT: Different medication? THE WITNESS: Different medication. THE WITNESS: Different medication. THE COURT: So the first one THE WITNESS: Was haloperidol. THE WITNESS: Was haloperidol. THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. H-A-L-O-P-E-R-I-D-O-L. THE COURT: And haloperidol was the second time? THE WITNESS: No. The second time was aripiprazole.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. DERRY: As the first one, not as the second one? THE WITNESS: Abilify and (indiscernible) are the same. THE COURT: And both of those two medications all three of those are psychotropic? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: Can you tell from the notes or from the chart or from your recollection if would API have kept him longer than June 4th if the supreme court stay was not I mean, did they think that he was still met the commitment criteria, but they couldn't do anything because they couldn't medicate him anymore, so what's the point of keeping him, or do you think he got better and would have been released one way you know, without the supreme court? THE WITNESS: That's a very hard question for me to answer, but THE COURT: If you can't answer it, that's fine. THE WITNESS: The best that I could respond
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE WITNESS: Each medication is different. But for that specific medication, I would imagine that it would last for 72 hours THE COURT: 72 hours. Okay. THE WITNESS: of life. And also let me correct myself, Judge. Also on May 15th, he received another IM emergency medication of Abilify (indiscernible). THE COURT: I'm sorry; was that the same medication? THE WITNESS: No. THE COURT: Different medication? THE WITNESS: Different medication. THE WITNESS: Different medication. THE WITNESS: Was haloperidol. THE WITNESS: Was haloperidol. THE WITNESS: Was haloperidol. THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. H-A-L-O-P-E-R-I-D-O-L. THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. H-A-L-O-P-E-R-I-D-O-L. THE COURT: And haloperidol was the second time? THE WITNESS: No. The second time was aripiprazole. THE COURT: Okay. Spell that for me.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. DERRY: As the first one, not as the second one? THE WITNESS: Abilify and (indiscernible) are the same. THE COURT: And both of those two medications all three of those are psychotropic? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: Can you tell from the notes or from the chart or from your recollection if would API have kept him longer than June 4th if the supreme court stay was not I mean, did they think that he was still met the commitment criteria, but they couldn't do anything because they couldn't medicate him anymore, so what's the point of keeping him, or do you think he got better and would have been released one way you know, without the supreme court? THE WITNESS: That's a very hard question for me to answer, but THE COURT: If you can't answer it, that's fine. THE WITNESS: The best that I could respond is that as clinician, they have obligation again,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE WITNESS: Each medication is different. But for that specific medication, I would imagine that it would last for 72 hours THE COURT: 72 hours. Okay. THE WITNESS: of life. And also let me correct myself, Judge. Also on May 15th, he received another IM emergency medication of Abilify (indiscernible). THE COURT: I'm sorry; was that the same medication? THE WITNESS: No. THE COURT: Different medication? THE WITNESS: Different medication. THE WITNESS: Different medication. THE WITNESS: Was haloperidol. THE WITNESS: Was haloperidol. THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. H-A-L-O-P-E-R-I-D-O-L. THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. H-A-L-O-P-E-R-I-D-O-L. THE COURT: And haloperidol was the second time? THE WITNESS: No. The second time was aripiprazole. THE COURT: Okay. Spell that for me. THE WITNESS: A-R-I-P-I-P-R-A-Z-O-L-E. And	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. DERRY: As the first one, not as the second one? THE WITNESS: Abilify and (indiscernible) are the same. THE COURT: And both of those two medications all three of those are psychotropic? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: Can you tell from the notes or from the chart or from your recollection if would API have kept him longer than June 4th if the supreme court stay was not I mean, did they think that he was still met the commitment criteria, but they couldn't do anything because they couldn't medicate him anymore, so what's the point of keeping him, or do you think he got better and would have been released one way you know, without the supreme court? THE WITNESS: That's a very hard question for me to answer, but THE COURT: If you can't answer it, that's fine. THE WITNESS: The best that I could respond is that as clinician, they have obligation again, if truly a patient, whether it's Bill or somebody
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE WITNESS: Each medication is different. But for that specific medication, I would imagine that it would last for 72 hours THE COURT: 72 hours. Okay. THE WITNESS: of life. And also let me correct myself, Judge. Also on May 15th, he received another IM emergency medication of Abilify (indiscernible). THE COURT: I'm sorry; was that the same medication? THE WITNESS: No. THE COURT: Different medication? THE WITNESS: Different medication. THE WITNESS: Different medication. THE WITNESS: Was haloperidol. THE WITNESS: Was haloperidol. THE WITNESS: Was haloperidol. THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. H-A-L-O-P-E-R-I-D-O-L. THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. H-A-L-O-P-E-R-I-D-O-L. THE COURT: And haloperidol was the second time? THE WITNESS: No. The second time was aripiprazole. THE COURT: Okay. Spell that for me.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. DERRY: As the first one, not as the second one? THE WITNESS: Abilify and (indiscernible) are the same. THE COURT: And both of those two medications all three of those are psychotropic? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: Can you tell from the notes or from the chart or from your recollection if would API have kept him longer than June 4th if the supreme court stay was not I mean, did they think that he was still met the commitment criteria, but they couldn't do anything because they couldn't medicate him anymore, so what's the point of keeping him, or do you think he got better and would have been released one way you know, without the supreme court? THE WITNESS: That's a very hard question for me to answer, but THE COURT: If you can't answer it, that's fine. THE WITNESS: The best that I could respond is that as clinician, they have obligation again,

	Page 98		Page 100
1	walk out, we have obligation for safety of that	1	commit him, but because of the stay, we are not going
2	individual.	2	to try?
3	But if I put that (indiscernible) in the form	3	THE WITNESS: I would say yes.
4	of the statute, then the statute, he doesn't meet the	4	THE COURT: Okay. Can you tell me which of
5	criteria. Even though I have a significant concern	5	the admissions you think API didn't take an action
6	for the safety and others and stability, but it would	6	because of its because of the existence of the
7	stipulate that he probably would stay it would	7	stay?
8	stipulate that perhaps he could maintain himself	8	THE WITNESS: Well, I would not say in the
9	stable for very limited time and (indiscernible) on	9	form that specifically (indiscernible) admission as a
10	the aspect of recognizing the statute.	10	whole, just the fact that the the the legal
11	Because if at that moment, at that time,	11	issue that evolved around Bill, and knowing that we
12	because sometime we cannot put that moment at that	12	are really as an acute care hospital, we all
13	state that he is getting evaluated at, and he's not	13	here you know, one of our way to stabilize a
14	meeting the statute, and for me to recognize his right	14	patient is through the medication, even though
15	and the statute, it leaves me no choice than to let	15	medication is not the only way. And knowing that was
16	the person go.	16	not available and not able to really improve him,
17	But that doesn't mean, as a clinician,	17	rather
18	somebody in a situation like Bill, that my ethical and	18	THE COURT: Go ahead.
19	my (indiscernible) concern has diminished. It still	19	THE WITNESS: not improve over all his
20	exists. But there is a (indiscernible) between the	20	state of being, even though we see sometimes some
21	two, really. Where do I put (indiscernible) all this	21	level of (indiscernible) he gets his rest, we give him
22	challenge, individual like Bill, then I come to that	22	the nutrition, he becomes, you know, calm
23	point.	23	THE COURT: Let me summarize this and make
24	THE COURT: Let's turn to the	24	sure I understand it. Because I need to make sure
25	June 26th through June 30th admission. And I'll tell	25	that I can articulate this back.
	Page 99		Page 101
			Fage IVI
1	you my notes, and you confirm these for me.	1	The very basic level of nutritional
1 2	you my notes, and you confirm these for me. He came in from the jail. He was evaluated	1 2	
			The very basic level of nutritional
2	He came in from the jail. He was evaluated	2	The very basic level of nutritional assistance, you know, medication for other ailments,
2 3	He came in from the jail. He was evaluated by the forensic team. They concluded he was not	2 3	The very basic level of nutritional assistance, you know, medication for other ailments, just getting him to calm down, you could do that, if
2 3 4	He came in from the jail. He was evaluated by the forensic team. They concluded he was not competent to stand trial; the charges were dropped.	2 3 4	The very basic level of nutritional assistance, you know, medication for other ailments, just getting him to calm down, you could do that, if necessary, at any given time.
2 3 4 5	He came in from the jail. He was evaluated by the forensic team. They concluded he was not competent to stand trial; the charges were dropped. There was no emergency medication and no judicial	2 3 4 5	The very basic level of nutritional assistance, you know, medication for other ailments, just getting him to calm down, you could do that, if necessary, at any given time. But your hands were tied because, given the
2 3 4 5 6	He came in from the jail. He was evaluated by the forensic team. They concluded he was not competent to stand trial; the charges were dropped. There was no emergency medication and no judicial authorization.	2 3 4 5 6 7	The very basic level of nutritional assistance, you know, medication for other ailments, just getting him to calm down, you could do that, if necessary, at any given time. But your hands were tied because, given the stay, you could not use psychotropics, except in the
2 3 4 5 6 7	He came in from the jail. He was evaluated by the forensic team. They concluded he was not competent to stand trial; the charges were dropped. There was no emergency medication and no judicial authorization. THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: And you were asked whether he met commitment criteria. Now, what I'm trying to figure	2 3 4 5 6 7	The very basic level of nutritional assistance, you know, medication for other ailments, just getting him to calm down, you could do that, if necessary, at any given time. But your hands were tied because, given the stay, you could not use psychotropics, except in the emergency situation. But for the non-emergency periods, API said we can't use this, so whereas we would probably keep him here and try and medicate him
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	He came in from the jail. He was evaluated by the forensic team. They concluded he was not competent to stand trial; the charges were dropped. There was no emergency medication and no judicial authorization. THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: And you were asked whether he met commitment criteria. Now, what I'm trying to figure out is, when API was evaluating him on these various	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	The very basic level of nutritional assistance, you know, medication for other ailments, just getting him to calm down, you could do that, if necessary, at any given time. But your hands were tied because, given the stay, you could not use psychotropics, except in the emergency situation. But for the non-emergency periods, API said we can't use this, so whereas we would probably keep him here and try and medicate him if the stay wasn't in existence, in this situation,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	He came in from the jail. He was evaluated by the forensic team. They concluded he was not competent to stand trial; the charges were dropped. There was no emergency medication and no judicial authorization. THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: And you were asked whether he met commitment criteria. Now, what I'm trying to figure out is, when API was evaluating him on these various admissions after the supreme court stay, did the fact	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	The very basic level of nutritional assistance, you know, medication for other ailments, just getting him to calm down, you could do that, if necessary, at any given time. But your hands were tied because, given the stay, you could not use psychotropics, except in the emergency situation. But for the non-emergency periods, API said we can't use this, so whereas we would probably keep him here and try and medicate him if the stay wasn't in existence, in this situation, once we got him minimally stable, we are going to let
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	He came in from the jail. He was evaluated by the forensic team. They concluded he was not competent to stand trial; the charges were dropped. There was no emergency medication and no judicial authorization. THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: And you were asked whether he met commitment criteria. Now, what I'm trying to figure out is, when API was evaluating him on these various admissions after the supreme court stay, did the fact of that stay enter into the evaluation of whether he	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	The very basic level of nutritional assistance, you know, medication for other ailments, just getting him to calm down, you could do that, if necessary, at any given time. But your hands were tied because, given the stay, you could not use psychotropics, except in the emergency situation. But for the non-emergency periods, API said we can't use this, so whereas we would probably keep him here and try and medicate him if the stay wasn't in existence, in this situation, once we got him minimally stable, we are going to let him go?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	He came in from the jail. He was evaluated by the forensic team. They concluded he was not competent to stand trial; the charges were dropped. There was no emergency medication and no judicial authorization. THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: And you were asked whether he met commitment criteria. Now, what I'm trying to figure out is, when API was evaluating him on these various admissions after the supreme court stay, did the fact of that stay enter into the evaluation of whether he should whether API should attempt (indiscernible)?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	The very basic level of nutritional assistance, you know, medication for other ailments, just getting him to calm down, you could do that, if necessary, at any given time. But your hands were tied because, given the stay, you could not use psychotropics, except in the emergency situation. But for the non-emergency periods, API said we can't use this, so whereas we would probably keep him here and try and medicate him if the stay wasn't in existence, in this situation, once we got him minimally stable, we are going to let him go? THE WITNESS: I would answer the best I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	He came in from the jail. He was evaluated by the forensic team. They concluded he was not competent to stand trial; the charges were dropped. There was no emergency medication and no judicial authorization. THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: And you were asked whether he met commitment criteria. Now, what I'm trying to figure out is, when API was evaluating him on these various admissions after the supreme court stay, did the fact of that stay enter into the evaluation of whether he should whether API should attempt (indiscernible)? THE WITNESS: If the admission is different,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	The very basic level of nutritional assistance, you know, medication for other ailments, just getting him to calm down, you could do that, if necessary, at any given time. But your hands were tied because, given the stay, you could not use psychotropics, except in the emergency situation. But for the non-emergency periods, API said we can't use this, so whereas we would probably keep him here and try and medicate him if the stay wasn't in existence, in this situation, once we got him minimally stable, we are going to let him go? THE WITNESS: I would answer the best I could answer it, subconsciously or (indiscernible)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	He came in from the jail. He was evaluated by the forensic team. They concluded he was not competent to stand trial; the charges were dropped. There was no emergency medication and no judicial authorization. THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: And you were asked whether he met commitment criteria. Now, what I'm trying to figure out is, when API was evaluating him on these various admissions after the supreme court stay, did the fact of that stay enter into the evaluation of whether he should whether API should attempt (indiscernible)? THE WITNESS: If the admission is different, and this is why we change	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	The very basic level of nutritional assistance, you know, medication for other ailments, just getting him to calm down, you could do that, if necessary, at any given time. But your hands were tied because, given the stay, you could not use psychotropics, except in the emergency situation. But for the non-emergency periods, API said we can't use this, so whereas we would probably keep him here and try and medicate him if the stay wasn't in existence, in this situation, once we got him minimally stable, we are going to let him go? THE WITNESS: I would answer the best I could answer it, subconsciously or (indiscernible) consciously did play a role in the decision-making.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	He came in from the jail. He was evaluated by the forensic team. They concluded he was not competent to stand trial; the charges were dropped. There was no emergency medication and no judicial authorization. THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: And you were asked whether he met commitment criteria. Now, what I'm trying to figure out is, when API was evaluating him on these various admissions after the supreme court stay, did the fact of that stay enter into the evaluation of whether he should whether API should attempt (indiscernible)? THE WITNESS: If the admission is different, and this is why we change THE COURT: Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	The very basic level of nutritional assistance, you know, medication for other ailments, just getting him to calm down, you could do that, if necessary, at any given time. But your hands were tied because, given the stay, you could not use psychotropics, except in the emergency situation. But for the non-emergency periods, API said we can't use this, so whereas we would probably keep him here and try and medicate him if the stay wasn't in existence, in this situation, once we got him minimally stable, we are going to let him go? THE WITNESS: I would answer the best I could answer it, subconsciously or (indiscernible) consciously did play a role in the decision-making. THE COURT: This is a different question.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	He came in from the jail. He was evaluated by the forensic team. They concluded he was not competent to stand trial; the charges were dropped. There was no emergency medication and no judicial authorization. THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: And you were asked whether he met commitment criteria. Now, what I'm trying to figure out is, when API was evaluating him on these various admissions after the supreme court stay, did the fact of that stay enter into the evaluation of whether he should whether API should attempt (indiscernible)? THE WITNESS: If the admission is different, and this is why we change THE COURT: Okay. THE WITNESS: we are (indiscernible) for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	The very basic level of nutritional assistance, you know, medication for other ailments, just getting him to calm down, you could do that, if necessary, at any given time. But your hands were tied because, given the stay, you could not use psychotropics, except in the emergency situation. But for the non-emergency periods, API said we can't use this, so whereas we would probably keep him here and try and medicate him if the stay wasn't in existence, in this situation, once we got him minimally stable, we are going to let him go? THE WITNESS: I would answer the best I could answer it, subconsciously or (indiscernible) consciously did play a role in the decision-making. THE COURT: This is a different question. You also made reference to the API's understanding
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	He came in from the jail. He was evaluated by the forensic team. They concluded he was not competent to stand trial; the charges were dropped. There was no emergency medication and no judicial authorization. THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: And you were asked whether he met commitment criteria. Now, what I'm trying to figure out is, when API was evaluating him on these various admissions after the supreme court stay, did the fact of that stay enter into the evaluation of whether he should whether API should attempt (indiscernible)? THE WITNESS: If the admission is different, and this is why we change THE COURT: Okay. THE WITNESS: we are (indiscernible) for this admission the kind of (indiscernible) admission,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	The very basic level of nutritional assistance, you know, medication for other ailments, just getting him to calm down, you could do that, if necessary, at any given time. But your hands were tied because, given the stay, you could not use psychotropics, except in the emergency situation. But for the non-emergency periods, API said we can't use this, so whereas we would probably keep him here and try and medicate him if the stay wasn't in existence, in this situation, once we got him minimally stable, we are going to let him go? THE WITNESS: I would answer the best I could answer it, subconsciously or (indiscernible) consciously did play a role in the decision-making. THE COURT: This is a different question. You also made reference to the API's understanding that Mr. Gottstein was involved, and that he had or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	He came in from the jail. He was evaluated by the forensic team. They concluded he was not competent to stand trial; the charges were dropped. There was no emergency medication and no judicial authorization. THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: And you were asked whether he met commitment criteria. Now, what I'm trying to figure out is, when API was evaluating him on these various admissions after the supreme court stay, did the fact of that stay enter into the evaluation of whether he should whether API should attempt (indiscernible)? THE WITNESS: If the admission is different, and this is why we change THE COURT: Okay. THE WITNESS: we are (indiscernible) for this admission the kind of (indiscernible) admission, his behavior was worsened, like (indiscernible)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	The very basic level of nutritional assistance, you know, medication for other ailments, just getting him to calm down, you could do that, if necessary, at any given time. But your hands were tied because, given the stay, you could not use psychotropics, except in the emergency situation. But for the non-emergency periods, API said we can't use this, so whereas we would probably keep him here and try and medicate him if the stay wasn't in existence, in this situation, once we got him minimally stable, we are going to let him go? THE WITNESS: I would answer the best I could answer it, subconsciously or (indiscernible) consciously did play a role in the decision-making. THE COURT: This is a different question. You also made reference to the API's understanding that Mr. Gottstein was involved, and that he had or on Mr. Bigley's behalf, that Mr. Gottstein had
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	He came in from the jail. He was evaluated by the forensic team. They concluded he was not competent to stand trial; the charges were dropped. There was no emergency medication and no judicial authorization. THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: And you were asked whether he met commitment criteria. Now, what I'm trying to figure out is, when API was evaluating him on these various admissions after the supreme court stay, did the fact of that stay enter into the evaluation of whether he should whether API should attempt (indiscernible)? THE WITNESS: If the admission is different, and this is why we change THE COURT: Okay. THE WITNESS: we are (indiscernible) for this admission the kind of (indiscernible) admission, his behavior was worsened, like (indiscernible)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	The very basic level of nutritional assistance, you know, medication for other ailments, just getting him to calm down, you could do that, if necessary, at any given time. But your hands were tied because, given the stay, you could not use psychotropics, except in the emergency situation. But for the non-emergency periods, API said we can't use this, so whereas we would probably keep him here and try and medicate him if the stay wasn't in existence, in this situation, once we got him minimally stable, we are going to let him go? THE WITNESS: I would answer the best I could answer it, subconsciously or (indiscernible) consciously did play a role in the decision-making. THE COURT: This is a different question. You also made reference to the API's understanding that Mr. Gottstein was involved, and that he had or on Mr. Bigley's behalf, that Mr. Gottstein had expressed a resistance to medication that was very
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	He came in from the jail. He was evaluated by the forensic team. They concluded he was not competent to stand trial; the charges were dropped. There was no emergency medication and no judicial authorization. THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: And you were asked whether he met commitment criteria. Now, what I'm trying to figure out is, when API was evaluating him on these various admissions after the supreme court stay, did the fact of that stay enter into the evaluation of whether he should whether API should attempt (indiscernible)? THE WITNESS: If the admission is different, and this is why we change THE COURT: Okay. THE WITNESS: we are (indiscernible) for this admission the kind of (indiscernible) admission, his behavior was worsened, like (indiscernible) behavior that we didn't see before. Like he was urinating and defecating	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	The very basic level of nutritional assistance, you know, medication for other ailments, just getting him to calm down, you could do that, if necessary, at any given time. But your hands were tied because, given the stay, you could not use psychotropics, except in the emergency situation. But for the non-emergency periods, API said we can't use this, so whereas we would probably keep him here and try and medicate him if the stay wasn't in existence, in this situation, once we got him minimally stable, we are going to let him go? THE WITNESS: I would answer the best I could answer it, subconsciously or (indiscernible) consciously did play a role in the decision-making. THE COURT: This is a different question. You also made reference to the API's understanding that Mr. Gottstein was involved, and that he had or on Mr. Bigley's behalf, that Mr. Gottstein had expressed a resistance to medication that was very well known to you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	He came in from the jail. He was evaluated by the forensic team. They concluded he was not competent to stand trial; the charges were dropped. There was no emergency medication and no judicial authorization. THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: And you were asked whether he met commitment criteria. Now, what I'm trying to figure out is, when API was evaluating him on these various admissions after the supreme court stay, did the fact of that stay enter into the evaluation of whether he should whether API should attempt (indiscernible)? THE WITNESS: If the admission is different, and this is why we change THE COURT: Okay. THE WITNESS: we are (indiscernible) for this admission the kind of (indiscernible) admission, his behavior was worsened, like (indiscernible) behavior that we didn't see before. Like he was urinating and defecating THE COURT: Well, let me ask you this general	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	The very basic level of nutritional assistance, you know, medication for other ailments, just getting him to calm down, you could do that, if necessary, at any given time. But your hands were tied because, given the stay, you could not use psychotropics, except in the emergency situation. But for the non-emergency periods, API said we can't use this, so whereas we would probably keep him here and try and medicate him if the stay wasn't in existence, in this situation, once we got him minimally stable, we are going to let him go? THE WITNESS: I would answer the best I could answer it, subconsciously or (indiscernible) consciously did play a role in the decision-making. THE COURT: This is a different question. You also made reference to the API's understanding that Mr. Gottstein was involved, and that he had or on Mr. Bigley's behalf, that Mr. Gottstein had expressed a resistance to medication that was very well known to you. Did the challenge of having to deal with that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	He came in from the jail. He was evaluated by the forensic team. They concluded he was not competent to stand trial; the charges were dropped. There was no emergency medication and no judicial authorization. THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: And you were asked whether he met commitment criteria. Now, what I'm trying to figure out is, when API was evaluating him on these various admissions after the supreme court stay, did the fact of that stay enter into the evaluation of whether he should whether API should attempt (indiscernible)? THE WITNESS: If the admission is different, and this is why we change THE COURT: Okay. THE WITNESS: we are (indiscernible) for this admission the kind of (indiscernible) admission, his behavior was worsened, like (indiscernible) behavior that we didn't see before. Like he was urinating and defecating THE COURT: Well, let me ask you this general question. Was there ever a time in these various	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	The very basic level of nutritional assistance, you know, medication for other ailments, just getting him to calm down, you could do that, if necessary, at any given time. But your hands were tied because, given the stay, you could not use psychotropics, except in the emergency situation. But for the non-emergency periods, API said we can't use this, so whereas we would probably keep him here and try and medicate him if the stay wasn't in existence, in this situation, once we got him minimally stable, we are going to let him go? THE WITNESS: I would answer the best I could answer it, subconsciously or (indiscernible) consciously did play a role in the decision-making. THE COURT: This is a different question. You also made reference to the API's understanding that Mr. Gottstein was involved, and that he had or on Mr. Bigley's behalf, that Mr. Gottstein had expressed a resistance to medication that was very well known to you. Did the challenge of having to deal with that legal resistance, did that also enter into API's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	He came in from the jail. He was evaluated by the forensic team. They concluded he was not competent to stand trial; the charges were dropped. There was no emergency medication and no judicial authorization. THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: And you were asked whether he met commitment criteria. Now, what I'm trying to figure out is, when API was evaluating him on these various admissions after the supreme court stay, did the fact of that stay enter into the evaluation of whether he should whether API should attempt (indiscernible)? THE WITNESS: If the admission is different, and this is why we change THE COURT: Okay. THE WITNESS: we are (indiscernible) for this admission the kind of (indiscernible) admission, his behavior was worsened, like (indiscernible) behavior that we didn't see before. Like he was urinating and defecating THE COURT: Well, let me ask you this general	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	The very basic level of nutritional assistance, you know, medication for other ailments, just getting him to calm down, you could do that, if necessary, at any given time. But your hands were tied because, given the stay, you could not use psychotropics, except in the emergency situation. But for the non-emergency periods, API said we can't use this, so whereas we would probably keep him here and try and medicate him if the stay wasn't in existence, in this situation, once we got him minimally stable, we are going to let him go? THE WITNESS: I would answer the best I could answer it, subconsciously or (indiscernible) consciously did play a role in the decision-making. THE COURT: This is a different question. You also made reference to the API's understanding that Mr. Gottstein was involved, and that he had or on Mr. Bigley's behalf, that Mr. Gottstein had expressed a resistance to medication that was very well known to you. Did the challenge of having to deal with that

-	Page 102		Page 104
1	THE WITNESS: I have to answer yes.	1	treating physicians think that emergency medication
2	THE COURT: Okay. Did it do you think	2	was appropriate and necessary and then choose not to
3	that knowing that Mr. Bigley had an aggressive	3	do it because of fear of a lawyer's challenge?
4	attorney ever enter into the decision as to whether or	4	THE WITNESS: (Indiscernible) feelings with
5	not to give him emergency medication?	5	(indiscernible) it was felt for myself as a
6	MS. DERRY: Can I object and instruct her not	6	clinician.
7	to answer, to the extent that privilege is a factor,	7	THE COURT: I don't doubt that you thought
8	that a complication was I know that API is involved	8	about it. I'm wondering whether you said I'm not
9	with their attorneys on numerous occasions, but	9	going to do medication because I don't want to deal
10	THE COURT: That's fine. But I'm not asking	10	with the lawyer complaining about it.
11	you to reveal any of that.	11	THE WITNESS: I do not recall. Mainly what I
12	THE WITNESS: Well, as a clinician	12	do recall, when we did have this court hearing, 30-day
13	THE COURT: You can if you want, but	13	commitment, and I can't remember the people
14	THE WITNESS: As a clinician, again, when the	14	(indiscernible), when we went to downtown court.
15	time come, regardless of what the with all respect	15	MS. DERRY: The 21st.
16	to the legal system, I'm not disrespecting the legal	16	THE WITNESS: And Mr. Gottstein actually
17	system I feel like my first call as a clinician is	17	asked no emergency medication to be given.
18	the safety of my patient.	18	When I came back to the hospital, on 22nd, I
19	And it's my second thing comes to the	19	was facing the situation that or actually, at that
20	legal punishment that I have to face, I suppose I have	20	point, even my lawyer, she could correct me, but I
21	to take that at the same time.	21	recall she said that, you know, hold on to that.
22	So when emergency medication did	22	Because let's clarify that, because the lawyer got
23	(indiscernible), I did not bring that as my first	23	(indiscernible) for that.
24	decision. So I (indiscernible) answering your	24	But however, I saw the patient in such an
25	question.	25	unsafe position that I have gone and given the order
	Page 103		Page 105
1	THE COURT: Were there times when API chose	1	of IM medication. And I don't know, the same day or
2	not to administer emergency medication because of its	2	next day, Ms. Derry did call me back and said that
3	fear of having to go through the legal hassle and	3	they did talk with the Court, and they said that we
4	bother that Mr. Gottstein's representation guaranteed?	4	are we can apply the emergency medication.
5	THE WITNESS: (Indiscernible) never try and	5	So to answer to your question, there were
6	get involved or (indiscernible) get involved.	6	times that I've even been told, hold on to it, let's
7	But (indiscernible) cannot direct a clinician	7	clarify with the Court. I did not seem safe and I
8	what to do, because that is the knowledge and the	8	took the action giving him the medication.
9	capacity that this doctor has. So so from that	9	THE COURT: So you you always exercise
10	aspect, really, the hospital I cannot say the	10	your clinical judgment to give emergency medication,
11	hospital really can never decide that as as	11	despite the problem that might come down the road with
12	(indiscernible) the emergency medication.	12	a legal challenge?
13	THE COURT: Okay. Well, then take away the	13	THE WITNESS: That's what I believe.
14	(indiscernible). Did the treatment team did the	14	THE COURT: Okay. And however, you I want
1 -	treatment doctors ever opt not to invoke to	15	to be clear. I want to make sure I'm not confusing
15	-	1 -	
16	administer emergency medication because of a concern	16	myself. And you the medical team did did you
16 17	administer emergency medication because of a concern that there would be legal challenge?	17	myself. And you the medical team did did you always give emergency medication despite the stay,
16 17 18	administer emergency medication because of a concern that there would be legal challenge? THE WITNESS: Again, if if emergency	17 18	myself. And you the medical team did did you always give emergency medication despite the stay, emergency medication?
16 17 18 19	administer emergency medication because of a concern that there would be legal challenge? THE WITNESS: Again, if if emergency situation (indiscernible) emergency situation, a	17 18 19	myself. And you the medical team did did you always give emergency medication despite the stay, emergency medication? THE WITNESS: Yes. Yes.
16 17 18 19 20	administer emergency medication because of a concern that there would be legal challenge? THE WITNESS: Again, if if emergency situation (indiscernible) emergency situation, a situation that individual does need the medication.	17 18 19 20	myself. And you the medical team did did you always give emergency medication despite the stay, emergency medication? THE WITNESS: Yes. Yes. THE COURT: So I assume there are times after
16 17 18 19 20 21	administer emergency medication because of a concern that there would be legal challenge? THE WITNESS: Again, if if emergency situation (indiscernible) emergency situation, a situation that individual does need the medication. So it really comes to that point, even if it	17 18 19 20 21	myself. And you the medical team did did you always give emergency medication despite the stay, emergency medication? THE WITNESS: Yes. Yes. THE COURT: So I assume there are times after the stay was in place that emergency medication was
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	administer emergency medication because of a concern that there would be legal challenge? THE WITNESS: Again, if if emergency situation (indiscernible) emergency situation, a situation that individual does need the medication. So it really comes to that point, even if it is a legal force against it, but become a safety	17 18 19 20 21 22	myself. And you the medical team did did you always give emergency medication despite the stay, emergency medication? THE WITNESS: Yes. Yes. THE COURT: So I assume there are times after the stay was in place that emergency medication was given, and it was always given when you thought it was
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	administer emergency medication because of a concern that there would be legal challenge? THE WITNESS: Again, if if emergency situation (indiscernible) emergency situation, a situation that individual does need the medication. So it really comes to that point, even if it is a legal force against it, but become a safety actually, to bring a good example of when we went	17 18 19 20 21 22 23	myself. And you the medical team did did you always give emergency medication despite the stay, emergency medication? THE WITNESS: Yes. Yes. THE COURT: So I assume there are times after the stay was in place that emergency medication was given, and it was always given when you thought it was necessary
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	administer emergency medication because of a concern that there would be legal challenge? THE WITNESS: Again, if if emergency situation (indiscernible) emergency situation, a situation that individual does need the medication. So it really comes to that point, even if it is a legal force against it, but become a safety	17 18 19 20 21 22	myself. And you the medical team did did you always give emergency medication despite the stay, emergency medication? THE WITNESS: Yes. Yes. THE COURT: So I assume there are times after the stay was in place that emergency medication was given, and it was always given when you thought it was

	Page 106		Page 108
1	severe?	1	medical advice.
2	THE WITNESS: Yes.	2	THE COURT: He left what?
3	THE COURT: Okay. Just wait a minute.	3	THE WITNESS: Against medical advice. I
4	Let's go then to the August 1 to August 5	4	offered him to stay and but he chose to leave the
5	MR. GOTTSTEIN: Can I ask a question of you,	5	facility.
6	Your Honor?	6	THE COURT: And since October 20th, which is
7	THE COURT: (Indiscernible.)	7	currently the one I think (indiscernible) but let
8	The August 1 to August 5, you came in	8	me get it all down. He has had emergency medication?
9	(indiscernible) transfer. The forensic team found him	9	THE WITNESS: On 22nd and 27th.
10	not competent. He was discharged, never sought 839	10	THE COURT: And when he came in on the 20th,
11	authorization, and gave no emergency medication.	11	is that a is that an ex parte?
12	THE WITNESS: You're correct, Your Honor.	12	THE WITNESS: No. On POA.
13	THE COURT: And on the September 22 to 24, he	13	THE COURT: And then followed by the the
14	came in from the citizen with some kind of an ex	14	first and then second petition for (indiscernible).
15	parte, not DOC transfer, no medications were	15	MR. GOTTSTEIN: Medication.
16	authorized, and no emergency medication	16	THE COURT: Then medication. There was a
17	(indiscernible); is that correct?	17	commitment there was a petition for commitment,
18	THE WITNESS: Yes.	18	there was a petition for medication, a second petition
19	THE COURT: And API did not seek a 30-day	19	for both, here we are.
20	commitment in part because of the stay and their	20	MR. GOTTSTEIN: Your Honor, there's only one
21	thought process that even if we got him committed, we	21	commitment petition.
22	couldn't medicate him, so once we got him stabilized	22	THE COURT: Clearly not my order
23	and a square meal in him, we couldn't do anything	23	MR. GOTTSTEIN: Excuse me?
24	more, or we certainly couldn't do the medication, so	24	THE COURT: He is here on my order?
25	let's not keep him in this institution because	25	MR. GOTTSTEIN: Correct.
	Page 107	25	Page 109
	-		
1	September 30th through October 1, just a short one.	1	THE COURT: All right. There was one other
2	Do you know why he came in on that?	2	topic I wanted to go clarify, and then I'll return
3	THE WITNESS: December 30th?	3	this to Mr. Gottstein.
4	THE COURT: September 30th.	4	Let's I'm unclear about this offering of
5	THE WITNESS: He came on ex parte from the	5	oral medication concept. Let's assume that you think
6	community.	6	he is in a crisis and emergency medication may well be
7	THE COURT: Okay. And was he given any	7	necessary. Is the first thing that you ask him
8	medication no emergency meds?	8	whether he will voluntarily take some oral medication?
9	THE WITNESS: No.	9	THE WITNESS: If the when the crisis
10	THE COURT: And because he was there so	10	occurs, as well as for Bill or any other patient,
11 12	short, I assume there was no request for medication.	11	regardless (indiscernible) or the nursing staff, they
13	On October 8th, was he in on a was that an	12	try to take all the approach which is less intrusive,
13	ex parte, as well? This is a one day. THE WITNESS: No. He came on POA as a	13 14	from offering medication that could calm them down, and lot them separate themself from the stimuli, take
14	screening (indiscernible). And	15	and let them separate themself from the stimuli, take a shower, calm them down, listen to music, go to quiet
16		16	U
17	THE COURT: I'm sorry. He came on what? THE WITNESS: POA. It stands for	17	room. All of those action is taken. (Indiscernible)
18	THE WITNESS: POA. It stands for THE COURT: Is that police	18	oral medication and medication voluntarily offered to them.
19	THE WITNESS: (Indiscernible) police	19	THE COURT: And if the patient said, sure,
20	officer's application, which gives 24 hours to the	20	I'll take those medications, is he does that and
20	clinician to assess the patient and decide the next	20	then go ahead and administer
22	step.	22	THE WITNESS: Yes. If they
23	THE COURT: No medications no emergency	23	THE COURT: Now, here's my question. Isn't
24	medications?	24	that person not competent at that point because he's
1 4 7	mourcations:	24	in this crisis and ha is not complete of actually

25 THE WITNESS: No. And he left against

25 in this crisis and he is not capable of actually

	Page 110		Page 112
1	understanding what is going on and I guess I	1	objection, can am I correct in assuming that when
2	mean, I understand why it would be easier to	2	the choice (indiscernible) capacity, he's not
3	administer if he takes it. But isn't he incapable of	3	presenting any crisis, when he is offered oral meds
4	giving consent at that point, as a legal matter I	4	versus the implicit, you're going to get a needle, the
5	mean, in a legal sense?	5	dosages are the same and the effectiveness of the two
б	THE WITNESS: You are writing raising a	6	forms are the same?
7	very good question, Judge, from the aspect, yes, the	7	THE WITNESS: It depends on medication being
8	individual is it depends what the presentation is.	8	used. The IM usually works quicker.
9	In Bill's situation, he has no insight, so	9	And also now, with the newer antipsychotic
10	informed consent is not really he cannot give me	10	medication, they have them in dissolvable form. What
11	informed consent.	11	it means, when they put it in the mouth, rather than
12	Right now, you could have another individual	12	ingesting it, I guess dissolve by mouth and get
13	who is not psychotic. A lot of it could be just	13	absorbed by (indiscernible) to get to the blood system
14	behavioral or anger. They are able to process, but	14	quicker. So that also works quicker.
15	just either either	15	THE COURT: So with some slight sort of
16	THE COURT: So this is I think I	16	medication intake issues, the two forms are roughly
17	understand what you're saying and the distinction	17	pretty closely the same. You're certainly not saying
18	you're drawing. I want to make sure that I have it	18	here is an oral one that's going to be good for 70
19	right.	19	24 hours or 48 hours versus an injection that's two
20	I think what you're telling me is that the	20	weeks long?
21	treating physician, when they think an individual is	21	THE WITNESS: No.
22	in an emergency and also believe that he is not	22	THE COURT: It's going to be they're both
23	legally competent, when they ask him to take the pills	23	going to be relatively short acting and relatively
24	and he says yes, he is not actually exercising	24	similar in their start time and their half life?
25	informed consent. All he's doing is because the	25	THE WITNESS: Yes.
	Page 111		Page 113
1	hospital actually made the decision for him. And all	1	THE COURT: Okay.
2	that he's really doing is accepting a smoother	2	BY MR. GOTTSTEIN:
2 3	that he's really doing is accepting a smoother administration of the medication.	2 3	BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Do you have a copy of Exhibit B?
2 3 4	that he's really doing is accepting a smoother administration of the medication. THE WITNESS: Yes, (indiscernible).	2 3 4	BY MR. GOTTSTEIN:Q Do you have a copy of Exhibit B?MS. DERRY: I just handed it to her, Your
2 3 4 5	that he's really doing is accepting a smoother administration of the medication. THE WITNESS: Yes, (indiscernible). THE COURT: But it's not exercising consent	2 3 4 5	BY MR. GOTTSTEIN:Q Do you have a copy of Exhibit B?MS. DERRY: I just handed it to her, YourHonor.
2 3 4 5 6	that he's really doing is accepting a smoother administration of the medication. THE WITNESS: Yes, (indiscernible). THE COURT: But it's not exercising consent in the legal sense. But instead of saying, yeah, I'll	2 3 4 5 6	BY MR. GOTTSTEIN:Q Do you have a copy of Exhibit B?MS. DERRY: I just handed it to her, YourHonor.BY MR. GOTTSTEIN:
2 3 4 5 6 7	that he's really doing is accepting a smoother administration of the medication. THE WITNESS: Yes, (indiscernible). THE COURT: But it's not exercising consent in the legal sense. But instead of saying, yeah, I'll take it my mouth instead of having you guys stick it	2 3 4 5 6 7	 BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Do you have a copy of Exhibit B? MS. DERRY: I just handed it to her, Your Honor. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Could you turn to page 17?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	that he's really doing is accepting a smoother administration of the medication. THE WITNESS: Yes, (indiscernible). THE COURT: But it's not exercising consent in the legal sense. But instead of saying, yeah, I'll take it my mouth instead of having you guys stick it in my butt with a needle, (indiscernible). And	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Do you have a copy of Exhibit B? MS. DERRY: I just handed it to her, Your Honor. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Could you turn to page 17? There's a I think it's called a progress
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that he's really doing is accepting a smoother administration of the medication. THE WITNESS: Yes, (indiscernible). THE COURT: But it's not exercising consent in the legal sense. But instead of saying, yeah, I'll take it my mouth instead of having you guys stick it in my butt with a needle, (indiscernible). And that that's I understand that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Do you have a copy of Exhibit B? MS. DERRY: I just handed it to her, Your Honor. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Could you turn to page 17? There's a I think it's called a progress note, No. 5692; is that correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	that he's really doing is accepting a smoother administration of the medication. THE WITNESS: Yes, (indiscernible). THE COURT: But it's not exercising consent in the legal sense. But instead of saying, yeah, I'll take it my mouth instead of having you guys stick it in my butt with a needle, (indiscernible). And that that's I understand that. So I take it that API is not is not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Do you have a copy of Exhibit B? MS. DERRY: I just handed it to her, Your Honor. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Could you turn to page 17? There's a I think it's called a progress note, No. 5692; is that correct? A Uh-huh.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	that he's really doing is accepting a smoother administration of the medication. THE WITNESS: Yes, (indiscernible). THE COURT: But it's not exercising consent in the legal sense. But instead of saying, yeah, I'll take it my mouth instead of having you guys stick it in my butt with a needle, (indiscernible). And that that's I understand that. So I take it that API is not is not necessarily asserting that the patient who accepts the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Do you have a copy of Exhibit B? MS. DERRY: I just handed it to her, Your Honor. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Could you turn to page 17? There's a I think it's called a progress note, No. 5692; is that correct? A Uh-huh. Q In the down towards the bottom, does it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	that he's really doing is accepting a smoother administration of the medication. THE WITNESS: Yes, (indiscernible). THE COURT: But it's not exercising consent in the legal sense. But instead of saying, yeah, I'll take it my mouth instead of having you guys stick it in my butt with a needle, (indiscernible). And that that's I understand that. So I take it that API is not is not necessarily asserting that the patient who accepts the offer of voluntary medication is therefore competent?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Do you have a copy of Exhibit B? MS. DERRY: I just handed it to her, Your Honor. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Could you turn to page 17? There's a I think it's called a progress note, No. 5692; is that correct? A Uh-huh. Q In the down towards the bottom, does it say he was ambivalent at medication time, he walked up
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that he's really doing is accepting a smoother administration of the medication. THE WITNESS: Yes, (indiscernible). THE COURT: But it's not exercising consent in the legal sense. But instead of saying, yeah, I'll take it my mouth instead of having you guys stick it in my butt with a needle, (indiscernible). And that that's I understand that. So I take it that API is not is not necessarily asserting that the patient who accepts the offer of voluntary medication is therefore competent? THE WITNESS: No. If there is a crisis and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Do you have a copy of Exhibit B? MS. DERRY: I just handed it to her, Your Honor. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Could you turn to page 17? There's a I think it's called a progress note, No. 5692; is that correct? A Uh-huh. Q In the down towards the bottom, does it say he was ambivalent at medication time, he walked up to the window and asked for his medication, then said
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that he's really doing is accepting a smoother administration of the medication. THE WITNESS: Yes, (indiscernible). THE COURT: But it's not exercising consent in the legal sense. But instead of saying, yeah, I'll take it my mouth instead of having you guys stick it in my butt with a needle, (indiscernible). And that that's I understand that. So I take it that API is not is not necessarily asserting that the patient who accepts the offer of voluntary medication is therefore competent? THE WITNESS: No. If there is a crisis and the safety become a concern, and that even if there is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Do you have a copy of Exhibit B? MS. DERRY: I just handed it to her, Your Honor. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Could you turn to page 17? There's a I think it's called a progress note, No. 5692; is that correct? A Uh-huh. Q In the down towards the bottom, does it say he was ambivalent at medication time, he walked up to the window and asked for his medication, then said maybe I shouldn't, and then maybe I should, back and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that he's really doing is accepting a smoother administration of the medication. THE WITNESS: Yes, (indiscernible). THE COURT: But it's not exercising consent in the legal sense. But instead of saying, yeah, I'll take it my mouth instead of having you guys stick it in my butt with a needle, (indiscernible). And that that's I understand that. So I take it that API is not is not necessarily asserting that the patient who accepts the offer of voluntary medication is therefore competent? THE WITNESS: No. If there is a crisis and the safety become a concern, and that even if there is a state of crisis, the patient should be respected and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Do you have a copy of Exhibit B? MS. DERRY: I just handed it to her, Your Honor. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Could you turn to page 17? There's a I think it's called a progress note, No. 5692; is that correct? A Uh-huh. Q In the down towards the bottom, does it say he was ambivalent at medication time, he walked up to the window and asked for his medication, then said maybe I shouldn't, and then maybe I should, back and forth, and then backed away from the window without
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that he's really doing is accepting a smoother administration of the medication. THE WITNESS: Yes, (indiscernible). THE COURT: But it's not exercising consent in the legal sense. But instead of saying, yeah, I'll take it my mouth instead of having you guys stick it in my butt with a needle, (indiscernible). And that that's I understand that. So I take it that API is not is not necessarily asserting that the patient who accepts the offer of voluntary medication is therefore competent? THE WITNESS: No. If there is a crisis and the safety become a concern, and that even if there is a state of crisis, the patient should be respected and be taken the least-restrictive approach. And oral	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Do you have a copy of Exhibit B? MS. DERRY: I just handed it to her, Your Honor. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Could you turn to page 17? There's a I think it's called a progress note, No. 5692; is that correct? A Uh-huh. Q In the down towards the bottom, does it say he was ambivalent at medication time, he walked up to the window and asked for his medication, then said maybe I shouldn't, and then maybe I should, back and forth, and then backed away from the window without taking it. He at 2130 hours asked for his medication
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that he's really doing is accepting a smoother administration of the medication. THE WITNESS: Yes, (indiscernible). THE COURT: But it's not exercising consent in the legal sense. But instead of saying, yeah, I'll take it my mouth instead of having you guys stick it in my butt with a needle, (indiscernible). And that that's I understand that. So I take it that API is not is not necessarily asserting that the patient who accepts the offer of voluntary medication is therefore competent? THE WITNESS: No. If there is a crisis and the safety become a concern, and that even if there is a state of crisis, the patient should be respected and be taken the least-restrictive approach. And oral medication considered	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Do you have a copy of Exhibit B? MS. DERRY: I just handed it to her, Your Honor. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Could you turn to page 17? There's a I think it's called a progress note, No. 5692; is that correct? A Uh-huh. Q In the down towards the bottom, does it say he was ambivalent at medication time, he walked up to the window and asked for his medication, then said maybe I shouldn't, and then maybe I should, back and forth, and then backed away from the window without taking it. He at 2130 hours asked for his medication
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that he's really doing is accepting a smoother administration of the medication. THE WITNESS: Yes, (indiscernible). THE COURT: But it's not exercising consent in the legal sense. But instead of saying, yeah, I'll take it my mouth instead of having you guys stick it in my butt with a needle, (indiscernible). And that that's I understand that. So I take it that API is not is not necessarily asserting that the patient who accepts the offer of voluntary medication is therefore competent? THE WITNESS: No. If there is a crisis and the safety become a concern, and that even if there is a state of crisis, the patient should be respected and be taken the least-restrictive approach. And oral medication considered THE COURT: So let me just I appreciate	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Do you have a copy of Exhibit B? MS. DERRY: I just handed it to her, Your Honor. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Could you turn to page 17? There's a I think it's called a progress note, No. 5692; is that correct? A Uh-huh. Q In the down towards the bottom, does it say he was ambivalent at medication time, he walked up to the window and asked for his medication, then said maybe I shouldn't, and then maybe I should, back and forth, and then backed away from the window without taking it. He at 2130 hours asked for his medication and it was given as ordered. That is that what it says?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that he's really doing is accepting a smoother administration of the medication. THE WITNESS: Yes, (indiscernible). THE COURT: But it's not exercising consent in the legal sense. But instead of saying, yeah, I'll take it my mouth instead of having you guys stick it in my butt with a needle, (indiscernible). And that that's I understand that. So I take it that API is not is not necessarily asserting that the patient who accepts the offer of voluntary medication is therefore competent? THE WITNESS: No. If there is a crisis and the safety become a concern, and that even if there is a state of crisis, the patient should be respected and be taken the least-restrictive approach. And oral medication considered	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Do you have a copy of Exhibit B? MS. DERRY: I just handed it to her, Your Honor. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Could you turn to page 17? There's a I think it's called a progress note, No. 5692; is that correct? A Uh-huh. Q In the down towards the bottom, does it say he was ambivalent at medication time, he walked up to the window and asked for his medication, then said maybe I shouldn't, and then maybe I should, back and forth, and then backed away from the window without taking it. He at 2130 hours asked for his medication and it was given as ordered. That is that what it says? A That's (indiscernible).
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	that he's really doing is accepting a smoother administration of the medication. THE WITNESS: Yes, (indiscernible). THE COURT: But it's not exercising consent in the legal sense. But instead of saying, yeah, I'll take it my mouth instead of having you guys stick it in my butt with a needle, (indiscernible). And that that's I understand that. So I take it that API is not is not necessarily asserting that the patient who accepts the offer of voluntary medication is therefore competent? THE WITNESS: No. If there is a crisis and the safety become a concern, and that even if there is a state of crisis, the patient should be respected and be taken the least-restrictive approach. And oral medication considered THE COURT: So let me just I appreciate your answers. I just need to make some notes, and then I'll let Mr. Gottstein	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Do you have a copy of Exhibit B? MS. DERRY: I just handed it to her, Your Honor. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Could you turn to page 17? There's a I think it's called a progress note, No. 5692; is that correct? A Uh-huh. Q In the down towards the bottom, does it say he was ambivalent at medication time, he walked up to the window and asked for his medication, then said maybe I shouldn't, and then maybe I should, back and forth, and then backed away from the window without taking it. He at 2130 hours asked for his medication and it was given as ordered. That is that what it says? A That's (indiscernible). Q And that wasn't pursuant to an emergency.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that he's really doing is accepting a smoother administration of the medication. THE WITNESS: Yes, (indiscernible). THE COURT: But it's not exercising consent in the legal sense. But instead of saying, yeah, I'll take it my mouth instead of having you guys stick it in my butt with a needle, (indiscernible). And that that's I understand that. So I take it that API is not is not necessarily asserting that the patient who accepts the offer of voluntary medication is therefore competent? THE WITNESS: No. If there is a crisis and the safety become a concern, and that even if there is a state of crisis, the patient should be respected and be taken the least-restrictive approach. And oral medication considered THE COURT: So let me just I appreciate your answers. I just need to make some notes, and then I'll let Mr. Gottstein (Pause.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Do you have a copy of Exhibit B? MS. DERRY: I just handed it to her, Your Honor. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Could you turn to page 17? There's a I think it's called a progress note, No. 5692; is that correct? A Uh-huh. Q In the down towards the bottom, does it say he was ambivalent at medication time, he walked up to the window and asked for his medication, then said maybe I shouldn't, and then maybe I should, back and forth, and then backed away from the window without taking it. He at 2130 hours asked for his medication and it was given as ordered. That is that what it says? A That's (indiscernible).
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that he's really doing is accepting a smoother administration of the medication. THE WITNESS: Yes, (indiscernible). THE COURT: But it's not exercising consent in the legal sense. But instead of saying, yeah, I'll take it my mouth instead of having you guys stick it in my butt with a needle, (indiscernible). And that that's I understand that. So I take it that API is not is not necessarily asserting that the patient who accepts the offer of voluntary medication is therefore competent? THE WITNESS: No. If there is a crisis and the safety become a concern, and that even if there is a state of crisis, the patient should be respected and be taken the least-restrictive approach. And oral medication considered THE COURT: So let me just I appreciate your answers. I just need to make some notes, and then I'll let Mr. Gottstein	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Do you have a copy of Exhibit B? MS. DERRY: I just handed it to her, Your Honor. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Could you turn to page 17? There's a I think it's called a progress note, No. 5692; is that correct? A Uh-huh. Q In the down towards the bottom, does it say he was ambivalent at medication time, he walked up to the window and asked for his medication, then said maybe I shouldn't, and then maybe I should, back and forth, and then backed away from the window without taking it. He at 2130 hours asked for his medication and it was given as ordered. That is that what it says? A That's (indiscernible). Q And that wasn't pursuant to an emergency.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that he's really doing is accepting a smoother administration of the medication. THE WITNESS: Yes, (indiscernible). THE COURT: But it's not exercising consent in the legal sense. But instead of saying, yeah, I'll take it my mouth instead of having you guys stick it in my butt with a needle, (indiscernible). And that that's I understand that. So I take it that API is not is not necessarily asserting that the patient who accepts the offer of voluntary medication is therefore competent? THE WITNESS: No. If there is a crisis and the safety become a concern, and that even if there is a state of crisis, the patient should be respected and be taken the least-restrictive approach. And oral medication considered THE COURT: So let me just I appreciate your answers. I just need to make some notes, and then I'll let Mr. Gottstein (Pause.) THE COURT: Let me follow up one point that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Do you have a copy of Exhibit B? MS. DERRY: I just handed it to her, Your Honor. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Could you turn to page 17? There's a I think it's called a progress note, No. 5692; is that correct? A Uh-huh. Q In the down towards the bottom, does it say he was ambivalent at medication time, he walked up to the window and asked for his medication, then said maybe I shouldn't, and then maybe I should, back and forth, and then backed away from the window without taking it. He at 2130 hours asked for his medication and it was given as ordered. That is that what it says? A That's (indiscernible). Q And that wasn't pursuant to an emergency. That wasn't an emergency administration, was it? A When he came ask for medication? No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that he's really doing is accepting a smoother administration of the medication. THE WITNESS: Yes, (indiscernible). THE COURT: But it's not exercising consent in the legal sense. But instead of saying, yeah, I'll take it my mouth instead of having you guys stick it in my butt with a needle, (indiscernible). And that that's I understand that. So I take it that API is not is not necessarily asserting that the patient who accepts the offer of voluntary medication is therefore competent? THE WITNESS: No. If there is a crisis and the safety become a concern, and that even if there is a state of crisis, the patient should be respected and be taken the least-restrictive approach. And oral medication considered THE COURT: So let me just I appreciate your answers. I just need to make some notes, and then I'll let Mr. Gottstein (Pause.) THE COURT: Let me follow up one point that just occurred to me on that. On the choice of oral	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Do you have a copy of Exhibit B? MS. DERRY: I just handed it to her, Your Honor. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Could you turn to page 17? There's a I think it's called a progress note, No. 5692; is that correct? A Uh-huh. Q In the down towards the bottom, does it say he was ambivalent at medication time, he walked up to the window and asked for his medication, then said maybe I shouldn't, and then maybe I should, back and forth, and then backed away from the window without taking it. He at 2130 hours asked for his medication and it was given as ordered. That is that what it says? A That's (indiscernible). Q And that wasn't pursuant to an emergency. That wasn't an emergency administration, was it? A When he came ask for medication? No. Which one are you talking about? He came

	Page 114		Page 116
1	Q Yeah. So that was an example where he did	1	Q Okay. And that if you look at the
2	exercise his (indiscernible), correct?	2	previous page, page 16, that's true that that
3	A Well, actually interesting, because it was to	3	highlighted area, that's true for (indiscernible)?
4	our surprise. I remember (indiscernible) the next	4	A Yes. And that is the medication I mentioned.
5	day, because that happened nighttime. It was about	5	Q Temazepam?
6	11:00.	6	A Yes.
7	When I came next day, reviewed all the	7	Q So okay. I want to go back and to the
8	charts, that he asked for medication, which was	8	September 30th admission. You said it was an ex
9	again one step forward for Bill, knowing perhaps again	9	parte. Was that again by (indiscernible)?
10	(indiscernible) insight, perhaps he would benefit from	10	MS. DERRY: Objection, asked and answered.
11	medication.	11	MR. GOTTSTEIN: I think I did that for the
12	But again, that (indiscernible), immediately	12	THE COURT: What's the date you're talking
13	he changed his mind and he withdraw his decision from	13	about?
14	taking medication, all voluntarily.	14	MR. GOTTSTEIN: September the 30th.
15	Q But then he did take it?	15	THE WITNESS: Yes, it was. Yes,
16	A Yes. I have to see exactly I have to look	16	(indiscernible).
17	at what date is that. I have to look at $-8/4$. I	17	BY MR. GOTTSTEIN:
18	don't know. If that is on 8/4, I cannot really make	18	Q And what what were what were
19	any comments unless I see the chart after it wasn't	19	A (Indiscernible.)
20	this admission.	20	Q And what were the reasons stated for
21	Q Were you (indiscernible)?	21	MS. DERRY: Can I object for the relevance?
22	A I could look at it.	22	I thought we were doing a medication petition.
23	MS. DERRY: August 4th?	23	I also was under the impression that you were
24	THE WITNESS: August	24	exclusively representing Mr. Bigley for the issue of
25	MS. DERRY: Is that correct, Mr. Gottstein,	25	medication, not for commitment.
	Page 115		Page 117
1	that you're looking at August 4th?	1	THE COURT: Overruled.
2	THE WITNESS: Yes. But I can referring	2	THE WITNESS: You would like
3	to actually happened August 3rd, and they wrote the	3	
4			THE COURT. This is relevant to his
	note yeah. It's August 4th. Because I see here on	4	THE COURT: This is relevant to his medication history and his progress while on and off
5	note yeah. It's August 4th. Because I see here on August 3rd, he came and got voluntary medication.	4 5	medication history, and his progress while on and off
5 6	August 3rd, he came and got voluntary medication,	5	medication history, and his progress while on and off medication, and his need for medication, and his best
5 6 7	August 3rd, he came and got voluntary medication, which we give on as-needed basis for agitation,		medication history, and his progress while on and off medication, and his need for medication, and his best interests.
6 7	August 3rd, he came and got voluntary medication, which we give on as-needed basis for agitation, anxiety, and insomnia.	5 6 7	medication history, and his progress while on and off medication, and his need for medication, and his best interests. THE WITNESS: The petition on 9/30 by
6 7 8	August 3rd, he came and got voluntary medication, which we give on as-needed basis for agitation, anxiety, and insomnia. So I that was August on August 3rd.	5 6	medication history, and his progress while on and off medication, and his need for medication, and his best interests. THE WITNESS: The petition on 9/30 by (indiscernible), patient arrested again for
6 7 8 9	August 3rd, he came and got voluntary medication, which we give on as-needed basis for agitation, anxiety, and insomnia. So I that was August on August 3rd. THE COURT: Can you tell us what medication	5 6 7 8	medication history, and his progress while on and off medication, and his need for medication, and his best interests. THE WITNESS: The petition on 9/30 by (indiscernible), patient arrested again for trespassing on 9/28/2008. Just a couple of days after
6 7 8	August 3rd, he came and got voluntary medication, which we give on as-needed basis for agitation, anxiety, and insomnia. So I that was August on August 3rd. THE COURT: Can you tell us what medication he was administered?	5 6 7 8 9	medication history, and his progress while on and off medication, and his need for medication, and his best interests. THE WITNESS: The petition on 9/30 by (indiscernible), patient arrested again for trespassing on 9/28/2008. Just a couple of days after getting out of API on ex parte, patient is charged
6 7 8 9 10	August 3rd, he came and got voluntary medication, which we give on as-needed basis for agitation, anxiety, and insomnia. So I that was August on August 3rd. THE COURT: Can you tell us what medication he was administered? THE WITNESS: That was at 8:00. Temazepam	5 6 7 8 9 10	medication history, and his progress while on and off medication, and his need for medication, and his best interests. THE WITNESS: The petition on 9/30 by (indiscernible), patient arrested again for trespassing on 9/28/2008. Just a couple of days after getting out of API on ex parte, patient is charged charges are dropped and he is in the jail now, on
6 7 8 9 10 11	August 3rd, he came and got voluntary medication, which we give on as-needed basis for agitation, anxiety, and insomnia. So I that was August on August 3rd. THE COURT: Can you tell us what medication he was administered? THE WITNESS: That was at 8:00. Temazepam (indiscernible), another medication. T-E-M-A-Z-E	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	medication history, and his progress while on and off medication, and his need for medication, and his best interests. THE WITNESS: The petition on 9/30 by (indiscernible), patient arrested again for trespassing on 9/28/2008. Just a couple of days after getting out of API on ex parte, patient is charged charges are dropped and he is in the jail now, on 24-hour mental health hold, and expires today.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	August 3rd, he came and got voluntary medication, which we give on as-needed basis for agitation, anxiety, and insomnia. So I that was August on August 3rd. THE COURT: Can you tell us what medication he was administered? THE WITNESS: That was at 8:00. Temazepam (indiscernible), another medication. T-E-M-A-Z-E THE COURT: I'm sorry?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	medication history, and his progress while on and off medication, and his need for medication, and his best interests. THE WITNESS: The petition on 9/30 by (indiscernible), patient arrested again for trespassing on 9/28/2008. Just a couple of days after getting out of API on ex parte, patient is charged charges are dropped and he is in the jail now, on 24-hour mental health hold, and expires today. He is disorganized schizophrenia I'm
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	August 3rd, he came and got voluntary medication, which we give on as-needed basis for agitation, anxiety, and insomnia. So I that was August on August 3rd. THE COURT: Can you tell us what medication he was administered? THE WITNESS: That was at 8:00. Temazepam (indiscernible), another medication. T-E-M-A-Z-E THE COURT: I'm sorry? THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	medication history, and his progress while on and off medication, and his need for medication, and his best interests. THE WITNESS: The petition on 9/30 by (indiscernible), patient arrested again for trespassing on 9/28/2008. Just a couple of days after getting out of API on ex parte, patient is charged charges are dropped and he is in the jail now, on 24-hour mental health hold, and expires today.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	August 3rd, he came and got voluntary medication, which we give on as-needed basis for agitation, anxiety, and insomnia. So I that was August on August 3rd. THE COURT: Can you tell us what medication he was administered? THE WITNESS: That was at 8:00. Temazepam (indiscernible), another medication. T-E-M-A-Z-E THE COURT: I'm sorry?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	medication history, and his progress while on and off medication, and his need for medication, and his best interests. THE WITNESS: The petition on 9/30 by (indiscernible), patient arrested again for trespassing on 9/28/2008. Just a couple of days after getting out of API on ex parte, patient is charged charges are dropped and he is in the jail now, on 24-hour mental health hold, and expires today. He is disorganized schizophrenia I'm sorry. His diagnosis, schizophrenia paranoid type. He was seen by his by (indiscernible) last week.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	August 3rd, he came and got voluntary medication, which we give on as-needed basis for agitation, anxiety, and insomnia. So I that was August on August 3rd. THE COURT: Can you tell us what medication he was administered? THE WITNESS: That was at 8:00. Temazepam (indiscernible), another medication. T-E-M-A-Z-E THE COURT: I'm sorry? THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. THE COURT: Start again.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	medication history, and his progress while on and off medication, and his need for medication, and his best interests. THE WITNESS: The petition on 9/30 by (indiscernible), patient arrested again for trespassing on 9/28/2008. Just a couple of days after getting out of API on ex parte, patient is charged charges are dropped and he is in the jail now, on 24-hour mental health hold, and expires today. He is disorganized schizophrenia I'm sorry. His diagnosis, schizophrenia paranoid type. He was seen by his by (indiscernible) last week. He is even further decompensating today.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	August 3rd, he came and got voluntary medication, which we give on as-needed basis for agitation, anxiety, and insomnia. So I that was August on August 3rd. THE COURT: Can you tell us what medication he was administered? THE WITNESS: That was at 8:00. Temazepam (indiscernible), another medication. T-E-M-A-Z-E THE COURT: I'm sorry? THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. THE COURT: Start again. THE WITNESS: T-E-M-A-Z-E-P-A-M.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 medication history, and his progress while on and off medication, and his need for medication, and his best interests. THE WITNESS: The petition on 9/30 by (indiscernible), patient arrested again for trespassing on 9/28/2008. Just a couple of days after getting out of API on ex parte, patient is charged charges are dropped and he is in the jail now, on 24-hour mental health hold, and expires today. He is disorganized schizophrenia I'm sorry. His diagnosis, schizophrenia paranoid type. He was seen by his by (indiscernible) last week. He is even further decompensating today.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	August 3rd, he came and got voluntary medication, which we give on as-needed basis for agitation, anxiety, and insomnia. So I that was August on August 3rd. THE COURT: Can you tell us what medication he was administered? THE WITNESS: That was at 8:00. Temazepam (indiscernible), another medication. T-E-M-A-Z-E THE COURT: I'm sorry? THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. THE COURT: Start again. THE WITNESS: T-E-M-A-Z-E-P-A-M. THE COURT: Is that a psychotropic	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	medication history, and his progress while on and off medication, and his need for medication, and his best interests. THE WITNESS: The petition on 9/30 by (indiscernible), patient arrested again for trespassing on 9/28/2008. Just a couple of days after getting out of API on ex parte, patient is charged charges are dropped and he is in the jail now, on 24-hour mental health hold, and expires today. He is disorganized schizophrenia I'm sorry. His diagnosis, schizophrenia paranoid type. He was seen by his by (indiscernible) last week. He is even further decompensating today.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	August 3rd, he came and got voluntary medication, which we give on as-needed basis for agitation, anxiety, and insomnia. So I that was August on August 3rd. THE COURT: Can you tell us what medication he was administered? THE WITNESS: That was at 8:00. Temazepam (indiscernible), another medication. T-E-M-A-Z-E THE COURT: I'm sorry? THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. THE COURT: Start again. THE WITNESS: T-E-M-A-Z-E-P-A-M. THE COURT: Is that a psychotropic THE WITNESS: Yes. It is actually	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	medication history, and his progress while on and off medication, and his need for medication, and his best interests. THE WITNESS: The petition on 9/30 by (indiscernible), patient arrested again for trespassing on 9/28/2008. Just a couple of days after getting out of API on ex parte, patient is charged charges are dropped and he is in the jail now, on 24-hour mental health hold, and expires today. He is disorganized schizophrenia I'm sorry. His diagnosis, schizophrenia paranoid type. He was seen by his by (indiscernible) last week. He is even further decompensating today. Since he has had no medication at API, jail, or community, jail guard could not let him out of his cell due to concern of safety (indiscernible)
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	August 3rd, he came and got voluntary medication, which we give on as-needed basis for agitation, anxiety, and insomnia. So I that was August on August 3rd. THE COURT: Can you tell us what medication he was administered? THE WITNESS: That was at 8:00. Temazepam (indiscernible), another medication. T-E-M-A-Z-E THE COURT: I'm sorry? THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. THE WITNESS: T-E-M-A-Z-E-P-A-M. THE WITNESS: T-E-M-A-Z-E-P-A-M. THE COURT: Is that a psychotropic THE WITNESS: Yes. It is actually anti-anxiety medication. Sometimes we use it for	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	medication history, and his progress while on and off medication, and his need for medication, and his best interests. THE WITNESS: The petition on 9/30 by (indiscernible), patient arrested again for trespassing on 9/28/2008. Just a couple of days after getting out of API on ex parte, patient is charged charges are dropped and he is in the jail now, on 24-hour mental health hold, and expires today. He is disorganized schizophrenia I'm sorry. His diagnosis, schizophrenia paranoid type. He was seen by his by (indiscernible) last week. He is even further decompensating today. Since he has had no medication at API, jail, or community, jail guard could not let him out of his cell due to concern of safety (indiscernible) interview done through the window.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	August 3rd, he came and got voluntary medication, which we give on as-needed basis for agitation, anxiety, and insomnia. So I that was August on August 3rd. THE COURT: Can you tell us what medication he was administered? THE WITNESS: That was at 8:00. Temazepam (indiscernible), another medication. T-E-M-A-Z-E THE COURT: I'm sorry? THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. THE COURT: Start again. THE WITNESS: T-E-M-A-Z-E-P-A-M. THE COURT: Is that a psychotropic THE WITNESS: Yes. It is actually anti-anxiety medication. Sometimes we use it for sleep (indiscernible). August 4	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	medication history, and his progress while on and off medication, and his need for medication, and his best interests. THE WITNESS: The petition on 9/30 by (indiscernible), patient arrested again for trespassing on 9/28/2008. Just a couple of days after getting out of API on ex parte, patient is charged charges are dropped and he is in the jail now, on 24-hour mental health hold, and expires today. He is disorganized schizophrenia I'm sorry. His diagnosis, schizophrenia paranoid type. He was seen by his by (indiscernible) last week. He is even further decompensating today. Since he has had no medication at API, jail, or community, jail guard could not let him out of his cell due to concern of safety (indiscernible)
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	August 3rd, he came and got voluntary medication, which we give on as-needed basis for agitation, anxiety, and insomnia. So I that was August on August 3rd. THE COURT: Can you tell us what medication he was administered? THE WITNESS: That was at 8:00. Temazepam (indiscernible), another medication. T-E-M-A-Z-E THE COURT: I'm sorry? THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. THE WITNESS: T-E-M-A-Z-E-P-A-M. THE COURT: Is that a psychotropic THE WITNESS: Yes. It is actually anti-anxiety medication. Sometimes we use it for sleep (indiscernible). August 4 THE COURT: (Indiscernible.)	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 medication history, and his progress while on and off medication, and his need for medication, and his best interests. THE WITNESS: The petition on 9/30 by (indiscernible), patient arrested again for trespassing on 9/28/2008. Just a couple of days after getting out of API on ex parte, patient is charged charges are dropped and he is in the jail now, on 24-hour mental health hold, and expires today. He is disorganized schizophrenia I'm sorry. His diagnosis, schizophrenia paranoid type. He was seen by his by (indiscernible) last week. He is even further decompensating today. Since he has had no medication at API, jail, or community, jail guard could not let him out of his cell due to concern of safety (indiscernible) interview done through the window. Bill was unable to follow simple directions.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	August 3rd, he came and got voluntary medication, which we give on as-needed basis for agitation, anxiety, and insomnia. So I that was August on August 3rd. THE COURT: Can you tell us what medication he was administered? THE WITNESS: That was at 8:00. Temazepam (indiscernible), another medication. T-E-M-A-Z-E THE COURT: I'm sorry? THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. THE COURT: Start again. THE WITNESS: T-E-M-A-Z-E-P-A-M. THE COURT: Is that a psychotropic THE WITNESS: Yes. It is actually anti-anxiety medication. Sometimes we use it for sleep (indiscernible). August 4 THE COURT: (Indiscernible.) BY MR. GOTTSTEIN:	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 medication history, and his progress while on and off medication, and his need for medication, and his best interests. THE WITNESS: The petition on 9/30 by (indiscernible), patient arrested again for trespassing on 9/28/2008. Just a couple of days after getting out of API on ex parte, patient is charged charges are dropped and he is in the jail now, on 24-hour mental health hold, and expires today. He is disorganized schizophrenia I'm sorry. His diagnosis, schizophrenia paranoid type. He was seen by his by (indiscernible) last week. He is even further decompensating today. Since he has had no medication at API, jail, or community, jail guard could not let him out of his cell due to concern of safety (indiscernible) interview done through the window. Bill was unable to follow simple directions. He was belligerent, cussing, (indiscernible), state

	Page 118		Page 120
1	periods of yelling unintelligible sounds repeatedly	1	Q Okay. And what were the well, let's look
2	and did not seem like he could stop or control,	2	at page 20. Is this the report from did he come
3	almost almost barking.	3	from the Providence psychiatric emergency room?
4	He was unable to answer questions and appears	4	A This looks Providence report, yes.
5	psychotic. He appears to be both a danger to others	5	Q Okay. And so in this case, the POA was a
6	and gravely disabled.	6	physician over at Providence that signed it?
7	BY MR. GOTTSTEIN:	7	A Or some clinician, yeah. It doesn't have to
8	Q And then was he taken to API? I mean,	8	be physician, only could be a psychologist, a
9	that's	9	clinician, psychologist, social worker.
10	A Yes, yes.	10	Q Okay. The and does it say at the top
11	Q And then API determined that he did not meet	11	just the patient was found yelling at traffic and
12	commitment standards and discharged him?	12	jumping in and out of traffic
13	A (Indiscernible) discharge 9/30 yes. This	13	A Yes.
14	is the discharge the next day, against medical advice.	14	Q by the police, and was almost hit by a car
15	Q Could you look at page 18 of Exhibit B. Can	15	today and was brought in to the emergency room for
16	you read that?	16	evaluation?
17	A Patient admitted on 9/30/2008 on an ex parte	17	A Yes.
18	order. Patient refusing	18	Q Okay. Does it say that he took Ativan and
19	Q Is that vital signs?	19	Haldol voluntarily?
20	A vital signs, thank you, and	20	A Yes.
21	(indiscernible) ordered lab workup and he is labile.	21	Q Not by API. Okay. Any indication that was
22	Patient diagnosed patient oh, patient discharged	22	an emergency?
23	against medical advice today. He is on no medication.	23	MS. DERRY: I'm going to object to
24	Q Okay. So the next page, is that a copy of	24	relevance. This was not administered by API. It was
25	his request to leave API?	25	administered by the emergency room of Providence
	Page 119		Dama 101
			Page 121
1	A This is he signed in voluntarily.	1	(indiscernible).
1 2	A This is he signed in voluntarily.Q Oh, that's okay. So he signed in	2	(indiscernible). BY MR. GOTTSTEIN:
2 3	A This is he signed in voluntarily. Q Oh, that's okay. So he signed in voluntarily?	2 3	(indiscernible).BY MR. GOTTSTEIN:Q Okay. And then does it say attempt for API
2 3 4	A This is he signed in voluntarily.Q Oh, that's okay. So he signed in voluntarily?A Yes.	2 3 4	(indiscernible).BY MR. GOTTSTEIN:Q Okay. And then does it say attempt for API admission?
2 3 4 5	 A This is he signed in voluntarily. Q Oh, that's okay. So he signed in voluntarily? A Yes. Q Okay. I'm sorry. Okay. And then on 	2 3 4 5	(indiscernible).BY MR. GOTTSTEIN:Q Okay. And then does it say attempt for API admission?A Yes.
2 3 4 5 6	 A This is he signed in voluntarily. Q Oh, that's okay. So he signed in voluntarily? A Yes. Q Okay. I'm sorry. Okay. And then on October 8th, he was brought in on a POA. Now, you 	2 3 4 5 6	 (indiscernible). BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Okay. And then does it say attempt for API admission? A Yes. Q What do you what do you make of that?
2 3 4 5 6 7	 A This is he signed in voluntarily. Q Oh, that's okay. So he signed in voluntarily? A Yes. Q Okay. I'm sorry. Okay. And then on October 8th, he was brought in on a POA. Now, you you said that do you know the criteria for who can 	2 3 4 5 6 7	 (indiscernible). BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Okay. And then does it say attempt for API admission? A Yes. Q What do you what do you make of that? A What it says.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A This is he signed in voluntarily. Q Oh, that's okay. So he signed in voluntarily? A Yes. Q Okay. I'm sorry. Okay. And then on October 8th, he was brought in on a POA. Now, you you said that do you know the criteria for who can do what's called a POA, a police officer application? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 (indiscernible). BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Okay. And then does it say attempt for API admission? A Yes. Q What do you what do you make of that? A What it says. Q Does it say
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A This is he signed in voluntarily. Q Oh, that's okay. So he signed in voluntarily? A Yes. Q Okay. I'm sorry. Okay. And then on October 8th, he was brought in on a POA. Now, you you said that do you know the criteria for who can do what's called a POA, a police officer application? A Yes. Clinician, I believe a psychologist, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 (indiscernible). BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Okay. And then does it say attempt for API admission? A Yes. Q What do you what do you make of that? A What it says. Q Does it say A They tried to admit him, contacted API to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A This is he signed in voluntarily. Q Oh, that's okay. So he signed in voluntarily? A Yes. Q Okay. I'm sorry. Okay. And then on October 8th, he was brought in on a POA. Now, you you said that do you know the criteria for who can do what's called a POA, a police officer application? A Yes. Clinician, I believe a psychologist, and police. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 (indiscernible). BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Okay. And then does it say attempt for API admission? A Yes. Q What do you what do you make of that? A What it says. Q Does it say A They tried to admit him, contacted API to (indiscernible) admission.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A This is he signed in voluntarily. Q Oh, that's okay. So he signed in voluntarily? A Yes. Q Okay. I'm sorry. Okay. And then on October 8th, he was brought in on a POA. Now, you you said that do you know the criteria for who can do what's called a POA, a police officer application? A Yes. Clinician, I believe a psychologist, and police. Q So it's called a POA police officer 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 (indiscernible). BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Okay. And then does it say attempt for API admission? A Yes. Q What do you what do you make of that? A What it says. Q Does it say A They tried to admit him, contacted API to (indiscernible) admission. Q Okay. And can you tell us who actually did
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A This is he signed in voluntarily. Q Oh, that's okay. So he signed in voluntarily? A Yes. Q Okay. I'm sorry. Okay. And then on October 8th, he was brought in on a POA. Now, you you said that do you know the criteria for who can do what's called a POA, a police officer application? A Yes. Clinician, I believe a psychologist, and police. Q So it's called a POA police officer application, but any doctor can do it, too, right? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 (indiscernible). BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Okay. And then does it say attempt for API admission? A Yes. Q What do you what do you make of that? A What it says. Q Does it say A They tried to admit him, contacted API to (indiscernible) admission. Q Okay. And can you tell us who actually did sign the POA?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A This is he signed in voluntarily. Q Oh, that's okay. So he signed in voluntarily? A Yes. Q Okay. I'm sorry. Okay. And then on October 8th, he was brought in on a POA. Now, you you said that do you know the criteria for who can do what's called a POA, a police officer application? A Yes. Clinician, I believe a psychologist, and police. Q So it's called a POA police officer application, but any doctor can do it, too, right? A Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 (indiscernible). BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Okay. And then does it say attempt for API admission? A Yes. Q What do you what do you make of that? A What it says. Q Does it say A They tried to admit him, contacted API to (indiscernible) admission. Q Okay. And can you tell us who actually did sign the POA? A Let's see. It says signed by Dr. Baker.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A This is he signed in voluntarily. Q Oh, that's okay. So he signed in voluntarily? A Yes. Q Okay. I'm sorry. Okay. And then on October 8th, he was brought in on a POA. Now, you you said that do you know the criteria for who can do what's called a POA, a police officer application? A Yes. Clinician, I believe a psychologist, and police. Q So it's called a POA police officer application, but any doctor can do it, too, right? A Yes. Q And it's still called a POA? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 (indiscernible). BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Okay. And then does it say attempt for API admission? A Yes. Q What do you what do you make of that? A What it says. Q Does it say A They tried to admit him, contacted API to (indiscernible) admission. Q Okay. And can you tell us who actually did sign the POA? A Let's see. It says signed by Dr. Baker. Q Do you know Dr. Baker?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A This is he signed in voluntarily. Q Oh, that's okay. So he signed in voluntarily? A Yes. Q Okay. I'm sorry. Okay. And then on October 8th, he was brought in on a POA. Now, you you said that do you know the criteria for who can do what's called a POA, a police officer application? A Yes. Clinician, I believe a psychologist, and police. Q So it's called a POA police officer application, but any doctor can do it, too, right? A Yes. Q And it's still called a POA? A I think it's called police I keep 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 (indiscernible). BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Okay. And then does it say attempt for API admission? A Yes. Q What do you what do you make of that? A What it says. Q Does it say A They tried to admit him, contacted API to (indiscernible) admission. Q Okay. And can you tell us who actually did sign the POA? A Let's see. It says signed by Dr. Baker. Q Do you know Dr. Baker? A No, I don't.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A This is he signed in voluntarily. Q Oh, that's okay. So he signed in voluntarily? A Yes. Q Okay. I'm sorry. Okay. And then on October 8th, he was brought in on a POA. Now, you you said that do you know the criteria for who can do what's called a POA, a police officer application? A Yes. Clinician, I believe a psychologist, and police. Q So it's called a POA police officer application, but any doctor can do it, too, right? A Yes. Q And it's still called a POA? A I think it's called police I keep forgetting. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 (indiscernible). BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Okay. And then does it say attempt for API admission? A Yes. Q What do you what do you make of that? A What it says. Q Does it say A They tried to admit him, contacted API to (indiscernible) admission. Q Okay. And can you tell us who actually did sign the POA? A Let's see. It says signed by Dr. Baker. Q Do you know Dr. Baker? A No, I don't. Q So you don't know if he's a psychiatrist or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A This is he signed in voluntarily. Q Oh, that's okay. So he signed in voluntarily? A Yes. Q Okay. I'm sorry. Okay. And then on October 8th, he was brought in on a POA. Now, you you said that do you know the criteria for who can do what's called a POA, a police officer application? A Yes. Clinician, I believe a psychologist, and police. Q So it's called a POA police officer application, but any doctor can do it, too, right? A Yes. Q And it's still called a POA? A I think it's called police I keep forgetting. MS. DERRY: Peace. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 (indiscernible). BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Okay. And then does it say attempt for API admission? A Yes. Q What do you what do you make of that? A What it says. Q Does it say A They tried to admit him, contacted API to (indiscernible) admission. Q Okay. And can you tell us who actually did sign the POA? A Let's see. It says signed by Dr. Baker. Q Do you know Dr. Baker? A No, I don't. Q So you don't know if he's a psychiatrist or not?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A This is he signed in voluntarily. Q Oh, that's okay. So he signed in voluntarily? A Yes. Q Okay. I'm sorry. Okay. And then on October 8th, he was brought in on a POA. Now, you you said that do you know the criteria for who can do what's called a POA, a police officer application? A Yes. Clinician, I believe a psychologist, and police. Q So it's called a POA police officer application, but any doctor can do it, too, right? A Yes. Q And it's still called a POA? A I think it's called police I keep forgetting. MS. DERRY: Peace. THE WITNESS: Peace. Peace officer 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 (indiscernible). BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Okay. And then does it say attempt for API admission? A Yes. Q What do you what do you make of that? A What it says. Q Does it say A They tried to admit him, contacted API to (indiscernible) admission. Q Okay. And can you tell us who actually did sign the POA? A Let's see. It says signed by Dr. Baker. Q Do you know Dr. Baker? A No, I don't. Q So you don't know if he's a psychiatrist or not? MS. DERRY: Object, asked and answered.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A This is he signed in voluntarily. Q Oh, that's okay. So he signed in voluntarily? A Yes. Q Okay. I'm sorry. Okay. And then on October 8th, he was brought in on a POA. Now, you you said that do you know the criteria for who can do what's called a POA, a police officer application? A Yes. Clinician, I believe a psychologist, and police. Q So it's called a POA police officer application, but any doctor can do it, too, right? A Yes. Q And it's still called a POA? A I think it's called police I keep forgetting. MS. DERRY: Peace. THE WITNESS: Peace. Peace officer MS. DERRY: Application. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 (indiscernible). BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Okay. And then does it say attempt for API admission? A Yes. Q What do you what do you make of that? A What it says. Q Does it say A They tried to admit him, contacted API to (indiscernible) admission. Q Okay. And can you tell us who actually did sign the POA? A Let's see. It says signed by Dr. Baker. Q Do you know Dr. Baker? A No, I don't. Q So you don't know if he's a psychiatrist or not? MS. DERRY: Object, asked and answered. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A This is he signed in voluntarily. Q Oh, that's okay. So he signed in voluntarily? A Yes. Q Okay. I'm sorry. Okay. And then on October 8th, he was brought in on a POA. Now, you you said that do you know the criteria for who can do what's called a POA, a police officer application? A Yes. Clinician, I believe a psychologist, and police. Q So it's called a POA police officer application, but any doctor can do it, too, right? A Yes. Q And it's still called a POA? A I think it's called police I keep forgetting. MS. DERRY: Peace. THE WITNESS: Peace. Peace officer MS. DERRY: Application. THE WITNESS: application. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 (indiscernible). BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Okay. And then does it say attempt for API admission? A Yes. Q What do you what do you make of that? A What it says. Q Does it say A They tried to admit him, contacted API to (indiscernible) admission. Q Okay. And can you tell us who actually did sign the POA? A Let's see. It says signed by Dr. Baker. Q Do you know Dr. Baker? A No, I don't. Q So you don't know if he's a psychiatrist or not? MS. DERRY: Object, asked and answered. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q The patient could you down below, does
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A This is he signed in voluntarily. Q Oh, that's okay. So he signed in voluntarily? A Yes. Q Okay. I'm sorry. Okay. And then on October 8th, he was brought in on a POA. Now, you you said that do you know the criteria for who can do what's called a POA, a police officer application? A Yes. Clinician, I believe a psychologist, and police. Q So it's called a POA police officer application, but any doctor can do it, too, right? A Yes. Q And it's still called a POA? A I think it's called police I keep forgetting. MS. DERRY: Peace. THE WITNESS: Peace. Peace officer MS. DERRY: Application. MR. GOTTSTEIN: (Indiscernible.) 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 (indiscernible). BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Okay. And then does it say attempt for API admission? A Yes. Q What do you what do you make of that? A What it says. Q Does it say A They tried to admit him, contacted API to (indiscernible) admission. Q Okay. And can you tell us who actually did sign the POA? A Let's see. It says signed by Dr. Baker. Q Do you know Dr. Baker? A No, I don't. Q So you don't know if he's a psychiatrist or not? MS. DERRY: Object, asked and answered. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q The patient could you down below, does it say, is a danger to himself, jumping in and out of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A This is he signed in voluntarily. Q Oh, that's okay. So he signed in voluntarily? A Yes. Q Okay. I'm sorry. Okay. And then on October 8th, he was brought in on a POA. Now, you you said that do you know the criteria for who can do what's called a POA, a police officer application? A Yes. Clinician, I believe a psychologist, and police. Q So it's called a POA police officer application, but any doctor can do it, too, right? A Yes. Q And it's still called a POA? A I think it's called police I keep forgetting. MS. DERRY: Peace. THE WITNESS: Peace. Peace officer MS. DERRY: Application. THE WITNESS: application. MR. GOTTSTEIN: (Indiscernible.) 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 (indiscernible). BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Okay. And then does it say attempt for API admission? A Yes. Q What do you what do you make of that? A What it says. Q Does it say A They tried to admit him, contacted API to (indiscernible) admission. Q Okay. And can you tell us who actually did sign the POA? A Let's see. It says signed by Dr. Baker. Q Do you know Dr. Baker? A No, I don't. Q So you don't know if he's a psychiatrist or not? MS. DERRY: Object, asked and answered. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q The patient could you down below, does it say, is a danger to himself, jumping in and out of traffic, but as the patient is chronically medically
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A This is he signed in voluntarily. Q Oh, that's okay. So he signed in voluntarily? A Yes. Q Okay. I'm sorry. Okay. And then on October 8th, he was brought in on a POA. Now, you you said that do you know the criteria for who can do what's called a POA, a police officer application? A Yes. Clinician, I believe a psychologist, and police. Q So it's called a POA police officer application, but any doctor can do it, too, right? A Yes. Q And it's still called a POA? A I think it's called police I keep forgetting. MS. DERRY: Peace. THE WITNESS: Peace. Peace officer MS. DERRY: Application. THE WITNESS: application. MR. GOTTSTEIN: (Indiscernible.) BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Okay. And so on October 8th, was that 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 (indiscernible). BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Okay. And then does it say attempt for API admission? A Yes. Q What do you what do you make of that? A What it says. Q Does it say A They tried to admit him, contacted API to (indiscernible) admission. Q Okay. And can you tell us who actually did sign the POA? A Let's see. It says signed by Dr. Baker. Q Do you know Dr. Baker? A No, I don't. Q So you don't know if he's a psychiatrist or not? MS. DERRY: Object, asked and answered. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q The patient could you down below, does it say, is a danger to himself, jumping in and out of traffic, but as the patient is chronically medically non-compliant, the healthcare community may not have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A This is he signed in voluntarily. Q Oh, that's okay. So he signed in voluntarily? A Yes. Q Okay. I'm sorry. Okay. And then on October 8th, he was brought in on a POA. Now, you you said that do you know the criteria for who can do what's called a POA, a police officer application? A Yes. Clinician, I believe a psychologist, and police. Q So it's called a POA police officer application, but any doctor can do it, too, right? A Yes. Q And it's still called a POA? A I think it's called police I keep forgetting. MS. DERRY: Peace. THE WITNESS: Peace. Peace officer MS. DERRY: Application. THE WITNESS: application. MR. GOTTSTEIN: (Indiscernible.) 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 (indiscernible). BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Okay. And then does it say attempt for API admission? A Yes. Q What do you what do you make of that? A What it says. Q Does it say A They tried to admit him, contacted API to (indiscernible) admission. Q Okay. And can you tell us who actually did sign the POA? A Let's see. It says signed by Dr. Baker. Q Do you know Dr. Baker? A No, I don't. Q So you don't know if he's a psychiatrist or not? MS. DERRY: Object, asked and answered. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q The patient could you down below, does it say, is a danger to himself, jumping in and out of traffic, but as the patient is chronically medically

	Page 122		Page 124
1	Q Okay. Do you get a sense of this, that this	1	Q So isn't that less disturbing behavior than
2	physician is frustrated by API's quick discharges of	2	the previous two admissions?
3	Mr. Bigley in the recent past?	3	A I cannot every evaluation is different.
4	MS. DERRY: Objection, mischaracterizes the	4	I when he got admitted in this hospitalization, his
5	report and calls for speculation.	5	behavior was markedly psychotic.
6	THE COURT: Don't speculate. You know, if	6	As I said, it started having some behavior he
7	this is something that if that suggesting something	7	didn't have in the past, like urinating, defecating,
8	that you conclude from this report, say so. If not	8	and was making more threatening statements, was doing
9	THE WITNESS: No. Because I don't know what	9	more posturing of so I I could not say that he
10	he means by that, no.	10	was (indiscernible).
11	BY MR. GOTTSTEIN:	11	And also sometime the report, even though
12	Q Okay. And then on the current admission,	12	they are valid, it's objective on staff
13	what you said it was a POA?	13	(indiscernible) patient's condition. And also they
14	A I believe it was POA, but let me look at it.	14	state that he was (indiscernible) coming to the API
15	Yes.	15	(indiscernible) one point and then stay only with
16	Q And what was what was the grounds for	16	(indiscernible) of the evaluation.
17	that? Or who where did it come from?	17	Q Now, Mr. Bigley is in the courtroom for some
18	A I think I think it came from jail.	18	time. Does he still meet commitment criteria right
19	THE COURT: Was that from jail?	19	now?
20	THE WITNESS: Or was let me see.	20	A This is the best I have seen Bill. He is
21	(Indiscernible) Anchorage, from Lisa Lisa is a	21	doing great.
22	licensed practitioner	22	And I think part of it is because
23	MS. DERRY: If I may, Davis.	23	(indiscernible) structure, and also he has had good
24	THE WITNESS: Davis.	24	sleep. He's had five-and-a-half hours of sleep last
25	THE COURT: Does that say where this	25	night. This is the best I have seen Bill for the last
	Page 123		Page 125
1	individual practices?	1	couple of hospitalizations.
2	THE WITNESS: It says Anchorage Community	2	couple of hospitalizations. So I am impressed. I congratulate him on
2 3	THE WITNESS: It says Anchorage Community Mental Health, a clinician.	2 3	couple of hospitalizations. So I am impressed. I congratulate him on that.
2 3 4	THE WITNESS: It says Anchorage Community Mental Health, a clinician. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN:	2 3 4	couple of hospitalizations.So I am impressed. I congratulate him on that.Q So sleep is very important, isn't it, in
2 3 4 5	THE WITNESS: It says Anchorage Community Mental Health, a clinician. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q And what what did she say about why she	2 3 4 5	couple of hospitalizations. So I am impressed. I congratulate him on that.Q So sleep is very important, isn't it, in terms of psychotic conditions?
2 3 4 5 6	THE WITNESS: It says Anchorage Community Mental Health, a clinician. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q And what what did she say about why she was (indiscernible)?	2 3 4 5 6	 couple of hospitalizations. So I am impressed. I congratulate him on that. Q So sleep is very important, isn't it, in terms of psychotic conditions? A I think yes, sleep is one of the main things
2 3 4 5 6 7	THE WITNESS: It says Anchorage Community Mental Health, a clinician. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q And what what did she say about why she was (indiscernible)? A (Indiscernible) for the harm to others and	2 3 4 5 6 7	 couple of hospitalizations. So I am impressed. I congratulate him on that. Q So sleep is very important, isn't it, in terms of psychotic conditions? A I think yes, sleep is one of the main things for everybody's (indiscernible) for him, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE WITNESS: It says Anchorage Community Mental Health, a clinician. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q And what what did she say about why she was (indiscernible)? A (Indiscernible) for the harm to others and refuses to take medication, is (indiscernible) grave	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 couple of hospitalizations. So I am impressed. I congratulate him on that. Q So sleep is very important, isn't it, in terms of psychotic conditions? A I think yes, sleep is one of the main things for everybody's (indiscernible) for him, yes. Q And isn't it Bill often doesn't get much
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE WITNESS: It says Anchorage Community Mental Health, a clinician. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q And what what did she say about why she was (indiscernible)? A (Indiscernible) for the harm to others and refuses to take medication, is (indiscernible) grave disability. He is in CIPP on the criminal trespass	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 couple of hospitalizations. So I am impressed. I congratulate him on that. Q So sleep is very important, isn't it, in terms of psychotic conditions? A I think yes, sleep is one of the main things for everybody's (indiscernible) for him, yes. Q And isn't it Bill often doesn't get much sleep?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	THE WITNESS: It says Anchorage Community Mental Health, a clinician. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q And what what did she say about why she was (indiscernible)? A (Indiscernible) for the harm to others and refuses to take medication, is (indiscernible) grave disability. He is in CIPP on the criminal trespass charges, found not competent for trial. Recommended	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 couple of hospitalizations. So I am impressed. I congratulate him on that. Q So sleep is very important, isn't it, in terms of psychotic conditions? A I think yes, sleep is one of the main things for everybody's (indiscernible) for him, yes. Q And isn't it Bill often doesn't get much sleep? A When he gets the most psychotic he becomes,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	THE WITNESS: It says Anchorage Community Mental Health, a clinician. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q And what what did she say about why she was (indiscernible)? A (Indiscernible) for the harm to others and refuses to take medication, is (indiscernible) grave disability. He is in CIPP on the criminal trespass charges, found not competent for trial. Recommended psychiatric care.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 couple of hospitalizations. So I am impressed. I congratulate him on that. Q So sleep is very important, isn't it, in terms of psychotic conditions? A I think yes, sleep is one of the main things for everybody's (indiscernible) for him, yes. Q And isn't it Bill often doesn't get much sleep? A When he gets the most psychotic he becomes, the more limited sleep he have.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	THE WITNESS: It says Anchorage Community Mental Health, a clinician. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q And what what did she say about why she was (indiscernible)? A (Indiscernible) for the harm to others and refuses to take medication, is (indiscernible) grave disability. He is in CIPP on the criminal trespass charges, found not competent for trial. Recommended psychiatric care. He is disheveled, even after court-ordered	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 couple of hospitalizations. So I am impressed. I congratulate him on that. Q So sleep is very important, isn't it, in terms of psychotic conditions? A I think yes, sleep is one of the main things for everybody's (indiscernible) for him, yes. Q And isn't it Bill often doesn't get much sleep? A When he gets the most psychotic he becomes, the more limited sleep he have. Q Or maybe even a reverse, that the less sleep
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE WITNESS: It says Anchorage Community Mental Health, a clinician. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q And what what did she say about why she was (indiscernible)? A (Indiscernible) for the harm to others and refuses to take medication, is (indiscernible) grave disability. He is in CIPP on the criminal trespass charges, found not competent for trial. Recommended psychiatric care. He is disheveled, even after court-ordered med. He is yelling, cursing, threatening to staff.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 couple of hospitalizations. So I am impressed. I congratulate him on that. Q So sleep is very important, isn't it, in terms of psychotic conditions? A I think yes, sleep is one of the main things for everybody's (indiscernible) for him, yes. Q And isn't it Bill often doesn't get much sleep? A When he gets the most psychotic he becomes, the more limited sleep he have. Q Or maybe even a reverse, that the less sleep he gets, the more psychotic he gets?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE WITNESS: It says Anchorage Community Mental Health, a clinician. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q And what what did she say about why she was (indiscernible)? A (Indiscernible) for the harm to others and refuses to take medication, is (indiscernible) grave disability. He is in CIPP on the criminal trespass charges, found not competent for trial. Recommended psychiatric care. He is disheveled, even after court-ordered med. He is yelling, cursing, threatening to staff. He is focused on getting his money and would like to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 couple of hospitalizations. So I am impressed. I congratulate him on that. Q So sleep is very important, isn't it, in terms of psychotic conditions? A I think yes, sleep is one of the main things for everybody's (indiscernible) for him, yes. Q And isn't it Bill often doesn't get much sleep? A When he gets the most psychotic he becomes, the more limited sleep he have. Q Or maybe even a reverse, that the less sleep he gets, the more psychotic he gets? A We could go back and forth with that, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE WITNESS: It says Anchorage Community Mental Health, a clinician. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q And what what did she say about why she was (indiscernible)? A (Indiscernible) for the harm to others and refuses to take medication, is (indiscernible) grave disability. He is in CIPP on the criminal trespass charges, found not competent for trial. Recommended psychiatric care. He is disheveled, even after court-ordered med. He is yelling, cursing, threatening to staff. He is focused on getting his money and would like to return to threaten the staff at OPA over money is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 couple of hospitalizations. So I am impressed. I congratulate him on that. Q So sleep is very important, isn't it, in terms of psychotic conditions? A I think yes, sleep is one of the main things for everybody's (indiscernible) for him, yes. Q And isn't it Bill often doesn't get much sleep? A When he gets the most psychotic he becomes, the more limited sleep he have. Q Or maybe even a reverse, that the less sleep he gets, the more psychotic he gets? A We could go back and forth with that, yes. Q Do you have any idea why he got so much sleep
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE WITNESS: It says Anchorage Community Mental Health, a clinician. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q And what what did she say about why she was (indiscernible)? A (Indiscernible) for the harm to others and refuses to take medication, is (indiscernible) grave disability. He is in CIPP on the criminal trespass charges, found not competent for trial. Recommended psychiatric care. He is disheveled, even after court-ordered med. He is yelling, cursing, threatening to staff. He is focused on getting his money and would like to return to threaten the staff at OPA over money is released. Said if he does not get his money, "you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 couple of hospitalizations. So I am impressed. I congratulate him on that. Q So sleep is very important, isn't it, in terms of psychotic conditions? A I think yes, sleep is one of the main things for everybody's (indiscernible) for him, yes. Q And isn't it Bill often doesn't get much sleep? A When he gets the most psychotic he becomes, the more limited sleep he have. Q Or maybe even a reverse, that the less sleep he gets, the more psychotic he gets? A We could go back and forth with that, yes. Q Do you have any idea why he got so much sleep last night?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE WITNESS: It says Anchorage Community Mental Health, a clinician. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q And what what did she say about why she was (indiscernible)? A (Indiscernible) for the harm to others and refuses to take medication, is (indiscernible) grave disability. He is in CIPP on the criminal trespass charges, found not competent for trial. Recommended psychiatric care. He is disheveled, even after court-ordered med. He is yelling, cursing, threatening to staff. He is focused on getting his money and would like to return to threaten the staff at OPA over money is released. Said if he does not get his money, "you know what I will do to them." Those are in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 couple of hospitalizations. So I am impressed. I congratulate him on that. Q So sleep is very important, isn't it, in terms of psychotic conditions? A I think yes, sleep is one of the main things for everybody's (indiscernible) for him, yes. Q And isn't it Bill often doesn't get much sleep? A When he gets the most psychotic he becomes, the more limited sleep he have. Q Or maybe even a reverse, that the less sleep he gets, the more psychotic he gets? A We could go back and forth with that, yes. Q Do you have any idea why he got so much sleep last night? A Well, usually when he receives emergency
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE WITNESS: It says Anchorage Community Mental Health, a clinician. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q And what what did she say about why she was (indiscernible)? A (Indiscernible) for the harm to others and refuses to take medication, is (indiscernible) grave disability. He is in CIPP on the criminal trespass charges, found not competent for trial. Recommended psychiatric care. He is disheveled, even after court-ordered med. He is yelling, cursing, threatening to staff. He is focused on getting his money and would like to return to threaten the staff at OPA over money is released. Said if he does not get his money, "you know what I will do to them." Those are in quotations.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 couple of hospitalizations. So I am impressed. I congratulate him on that. Q So sleep is very important, isn't it, in terms of psychotic conditions? A I think yes, sleep is one of the main things for everybody's (indiscernible) for him, yes. Q And isn't it Bill often doesn't get much sleep? A When he gets the most psychotic he becomes, the more limited sleep he have. Q Or maybe even a reverse, that the less sleep he gets, the more psychotic he gets? A We could go back and forth with that, yes. Q Do you have any idea why he got so much sleep last night? A Well, usually when he receives emergency medication, he actually (indiscernible) out of his
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	THE WITNESS: It says Anchorage Community Mental Health, a clinician. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q And what what did she say about why she was (indiscernible)? A (Indiscernible) for the harm to others and refuses to take medication, is (indiscernible) grave disability. He is in CIPP on the criminal trespass charges, found not competent for trial. Recommended psychiatric care. He is disheveled, even after court-ordered med. He is yelling, cursing, threatening to staff. He is focused on getting his money and would like to return to threaten the staff at OPA over money is released. Said if he does not get his money, "you know what I will do to them." Those are in quotations. Saying saying he is the son of God and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 couple of hospitalizations. So I am impressed. I congratulate him on that. Q So sleep is very important, isn't it, in terms of psychotic conditions? A I think yes, sleep is one of the main things for everybody's (indiscernible) for him, yes. Q And isn't it Bill often doesn't get much sleep? A When he gets the most psychotic he becomes, the more limited sleep he have. Q Or maybe even a reverse, that the less sleep he gets, the more psychotic he gets? A We could go back and forth with that, yes. Q Do you have any idea why he got so much sleep last night? A Well, usually when he receives emergency medication, he actually (indiscernible) out of his sleep and gradually he escalates.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE WITNESS: It says Anchorage Community Mental Health, a clinician. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q And what what did she say about why she was (indiscernible)? A (Indiscernible) for the harm to others and refuses to take medication, is (indiscernible) grave disability. He is in CIPP on the criminal trespass charges, found not competent for trial. Recommended psychiatric care. He is disheveled, even after court-ordered med. He is yelling, cursing, threatening to staff. He is focused on getting his money and would like to return to threaten the staff at OPA over money is released. Said if he does not get his money, "you know what I will do to them." Those are in quotations. Saying saying he is the son of God and will go see President Bush about his President Bush	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 couple of hospitalizations. So I am impressed. I congratulate him on that. Q So sleep is very important, isn't it, in terms of psychotic conditions? A I think yes, sleep is one of the main things for everybody's (indiscernible) for him, yes. Q And isn't it Bill often doesn't get much sleep? A When he gets the most psychotic he becomes, the more limited sleep he have. Q Or maybe even a reverse, that the less sleep he gets, the more psychotic he gets? A We could go back and forth with that, yes. Q Do you have any idea why he got so much sleep last night? A Well, usually when he receives emergency medication, he actually (indiscernible) out of his sleep and gradually he escalates. However, this time, as I said, it's usually
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE WITNESS: It says Anchorage Community Mental Health, a clinician. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q And what what did she say about why she was (indiscernible)? A (Indiscernible) for the harm to others and refuses to take medication, is (indiscernible) grave disability. He is in CIPP on the criminal trespass charges, found not competent for trial. Recommended psychiatric care. He is disheveled, even after court-ordered med. He is yelling, cursing, threatening to staff. He is focused on getting his money and would like to return to threaten the staff at OPA over money is released. Said if he does not get his money, "you know what I will do to them." Those are in quotations. Saying saying he is the son of God and will go see President Bush about his President Bush about this (indiscernible) unable to regulate his	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 couple of hospitalizations. So I am impressed. I congratulate him on that. Q So sleep is very important, isn't it, in terms of psychotic conditions? A I think yes, sleep is one of the main things for everybody's (indiscernible) for him, yes. Q And isn't it Bill often doesn't get much sleep? A When he gets the most psychotic he becomes, the more limited sleep he have. Q Or maybe even a reverse, that the less sleep he gets, the more psychotic he gets? A We could go back and forth with that, yes. Q Do you have any idea why he got so much sleep last night? A Well, usually when he receives emergency medication, he actually (indiscernible) out of his sleep and gradually he escalates. However, this time, as I said, it's usually the you know, the sleep gradually declines. For
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE WITNESS: It says Anchorage Community Mental Health, a clinician. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q And what what did she say about why she was (indiscernible)? A (Indiscernible) for the harm to others and refuses to take medication, is (indiscernible) grave disability. He is in CIPP on the criminal trespass charges, found not competent for trial. Recommended psychiatric care. He is disheveled, even after court-ordered med. He is yelling, cursing, threatening to staff. He is focused on getting his money and would like to return to threaten the staff at OPA over money is released. Said if he does not get his money, "you know what I will do to them." Those are in quotations. Saying saying he is the son of God and will go see President Bush about his President Bush about this (indiscernible) unable to regulate his behavior. He I can't read that, something he admit	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 couple of hospitalizations. So I am impressed. I congratulate him on that. Q So sleep is very important, isn't it, in terms of psychotic conditions? A I think yes, sleep is one of the main things for everybody's (indiscernible) for him, yes. Q And isn't it Bill often doesn't get much sleep? A When he gets the most psychotic he becomes, the more limited sleep he have. Q Or maybe even a reverse, that the less sleep he gets, the more psychotic he gets? A We could go back and forth with that, yes. Q Do you have any idea why he got so much sleep last night? A Well, usually when he receives emergency medication, he actually (indiscernible) out of his sleep and gradually he escalates. However, this time, as I said, it's usually the you know, the sleep gradually declines. For this week, he has been sleeping good (indiscernible).
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE WITNESS: It says Anchorage Community Mental Health, a clinician. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q And what what did she say about why she was (indiscernible)? A (Indiscernible) for the harm to others and refuses to take medication, is (indiscernible) grave disability. He is in CIPP on the criminal trespass charges, found not competent for trial. Recommended psychiatric care. He is disheveled, even after court-ordered med. He is yelling, cursing, threatening to staff. He is focused on getting his money and would like to return to threaten the staff at OPA over money is released. Said if he does not get his money, "you know what I will do to them." Those are in quotations. Saying saying he is the son of God and will go see President Bush about his President Bush about this (indiscernible) unable to regulate his behavior. He I can't read that, something he admit to API and (indiscernible) by history to assault	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 couple of hospitalizations. So I am impressed. I congratulate him on that. Q So sleep is very important, isn't it, in terms of psychotic conditions? A I think yes, sleep is one of the main things for everybody's (indiscernible) for him, yes. Q And isn't it Bill often doesn't get much sleep? A When he gets the most psychotic he becomes, the more limited sleep he have. Q Or maybe even a reverse, that the less sleep he gets, the more psychotic he gets? A We could go back and forth with that, yes. Q Do you have any idea why he got so much sleep last night? A Well, usually when he receives emergency medication, he actually (indiscernible) out of his sleep and gradually he escalates. However, this time, as I said, it's usually the you know, the sleep good (indiscernible). Perhaps he got to emergency medication, he
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE WITNESS: It says Anchorage Community Mental Health, a clinician. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q And what what did she say about why she was (indiscernible)? A (Indiscernible) for the harm to others and refuses to take medication, is (indiscernible) grave disability. He is in CIPP on the criminal trespass charges, found not competent for trial. Recommended psychiatric care. He is disheveled, even after court-ordered med. He is yelling, cursing, threatening to staff. He is focused on getting his money and would like to return to threaten the staff at OPA over money is released. Said if he does not get his money, "you know what I will do to them." Those are in quotations. Saying saying he is the son of God and will go see President Bush about his President Bush about this (indiscernible) unable to regulate his behavior. He I can't read that, something he admit	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 couple of hospitalizations. So I am impressed. I congratulate him on that. Q So sleep is very important, isn't it, in terms of psychotic conditions? A I think yes, sleep is one of the main things for everybody's (indiscernible) for him, yes. Q And isn't it Bill often doesn't get much sleep? A When he gets the most psychotic he becomes, the more limited sleep he have. Q Or maybe even a reverse, that the less sleep he gets, the more psychotic he gets? A We could go back and forth with that, yes. Q Do you have any idea why he got so much sleep last night? A Well, usually when he receives emergency medication, he actually (indiscernible) out of his sleep and gradually he escalates. However, this time, as I said, it's usually the you know, the sleep gradually declines. For this week, he has been sleeping good (indiscernible).

	Page 126		Page 128
1	he has opened a great bond with one of our	1	Q And by not receiving the right care, you
2	(indiscernible) staff (indiscernible). So all of	2	does that mean that because you are not being allowed
3	those are the kinds of (indiscernible).	3	to give him medication against his will?
4	Q Okay. So when I look at well, I'll phrase	4	A That is not the only thing. When I say right
5	it as a question.	5	care is to get treatment, medication, to be able to
б	Isn't one of the reasons that you kept on	6	have extended case management, care to be able to have
7	hung on to him now is because of, kind of, community	7	outpatient care, to be able to have a healthier
8	pressures?	8	relationship with his guardian, to be able to create
9	A Not specifically. I use my clinical	9	some level of relationship with people in the
10	judgment. He was he was not experiencing safe	10	community, to keep himself fed, and in the hospital to
11	behavior and his behavior was deteriorating, as I	11	get medication to get to the level that he can show
12	mentioned earlier some of that.	12	some level of insight to experience more stability
13	And I became concerned and I wanted to you	13	(indiscernible).
14	know, I I also (indiscernible), he is the victim of	14	Q Doesn't Bill have a lot of trouble
15	the system and I feel (indiscernible) pay the price.	15	maintaining housing?
16	And I did not feel that this is the time for him I	16	A He does.
17	did not find him safe this time to let him go against	17	Q And is that because his behavior is such that
18	medical at that point.	18	he gets kicked out of housing pretty regularly?
19	Q But isn't running out and jumping out in	19	A I am not the one (indiscernible)
20	front of cars (indiscernible) more concerned?	20	speculation. So I think that
21	A He (indiscernible). But again, you evaluate	21	Q Yeah. I don't want you to speculate. No,
22	the patient for the (indiscernible) that they are	22	no, just what you know.
23	there, so	23	But I think you testified, didn't you, that
24	Q All right. Now, would you let Mr. Bigley	24	he is not welcome at either of the homeless shelters;
25	come and go from API as he wishes, including being	25	is that correct?
	Page 127		Page 129
1	given food, good sleeping conditions, laundry, and	1	A Yes.
1 2	toiletry items?	1 2	Q So even that is not available to him?
	toiletry items? A First of all, I am not in administration,	2 3	Q So even that is not available to him?A Well, Bill is very limited based on
2	toiletry items? A First of all, I am not in administration, but administrative situation.	2	Q So even that is not available to him?A Well, Bill is very limited based ondiscussing with the treatment team, the social
2 3	toiletry items? A First of all, I am not in administration, but administrative situation. Secondly, this is not a placement. This is	2 3	Q So even that is not available to him?A Well, Bill is very limited based on
2 3 4	toiletry items? A First of all, I am not in administration, but administrative situation.	2 3 4	Q So even that is not available to him? A Well, Bill is very limited based on discussing with the treatment team, the social workers, contact with the guardian. The resources you know, there is limited places for him to live.
2 3 4	toiletry items? A First of all, I am not in administration, but administrative situation. Secondly, this is not a placement. This is acute care hospital. And we have to recognize that, but	2 3 4 5	Q So even that is not available to him? A Well, Bill is very limited based on discussing with the treatment team, the social workers, contact with the guardian. The resources you know, there is limited places for him to live. And the place that he is staying right now I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	toiletry items? A First of all, I am not in administration, but administrative situation. Secondly, this is not a placement. This is acute care hospital. And we have to recognize that, but Q In light of kind of these the you have	2 3 4 5 6	Q So even that is not available to him? A Well, Bill is very limited based on discussing with the treatment team, the social workers, contact with the guardian. The resources you know, there is limited places for him to live. And the place that he is staying right now I have never visited. I think called Paradise Inn.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 toiletry items? A First of all, I am not in administration, but administrative situation. Secondly, this is not a placement. This is acute care hospital. And we have to recognize that, but Q In light of kind of these the you have been here throughout the testimony, haven't you? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q So even that is not available to him? A Well, Bill is very limited based on discussing with the treatment team, the social workers, contact with the guardian. The resources you know, there is limited places for him to live. And the place that he is staying right now I have never visited. I think called Paradise Inn. What I hear is not really humane for somebody with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 toiletry items? A First of all, I am not in administration, but administrative situation. Secondly, this is not a placement. This is acute care hospital. And we have to recognize that, but Q In light of kind of these the you have been here throughout the testimony, haven't you? A Today, yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q So even that is not available to him? A Well, Bill is very limited based on discussing with the treatment team, the social workers, contact with the guardian. The resources you know, there is limited places for him to live. And the place that he is staying right now I have never visited. I think called Paradise Inn. What I hear is not really humane for somebody with what is offered to him to stay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 toiletry items? A First of all, I am not in administration, but administrative situation. Secondly, this is not a placement. This is acute care hospital. And we have to recognize that, but Q In light of kind of these the you have been here throughout the testimony, haven't you? A Today, yes. Q Yeah. And yesterday. So you heard 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q So even that is not available to him? A Well, Bill is very limited based on discussing with the treatment team, the social workers, contact with the guardian. The resources you know, there is limited places for him to live. And the place that he is staying right now I have never visited. I think called Paradise Inn. What I hear is not really humane for somebody with what is offered to him to stay. Q So when he doesn't have any housing, wouldn't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 toiletry items? A First of all, I am not in administration, but administrative situation. Secondly, this is not a placement. This is acute care hospital. And we have to recognize that, but Q In light of kind of these the you have been here throughout the testimony, haven't you? A Today, yes. Q Yeah. And yesterday. So you heard Ms. Jackson talk about all the problems in the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q So even that is not available to him? A Well, Bill is very limited based on discussing with the treatment team, the social workers, contact with the guardian. The resources you know, there is limited places for him to live. And the place that he is staying right now I have never visited. I think called Paradise Inn. What I hear is not really humane for somebody with what is offered to him to stay. Q So when he doesn't have any housing, wouldn't it be really helpful for him to be able to come to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 toiletry items? A First of all, I am not in administration, but administrative situation. Secondly, this is not a placement. This is acute care hospital. And we have to recognize that, but Q In light of kind of these the you have been here throughout the testimony, haven't you? A Today, yes. Q Yeah. And yesterday. So you heard Ms. Jackson talk about all the problems in the community and you are aware of the problems in the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q So even that is not available to him? A Well, Bill is very limited based on discussing with the treatment team, the social workers, contact with the guardian. The resources you know, there is limited places for him to live. And the place that he is staying right now I have never visited. I think called Paradise Inn. What I hear is not really humane for somebody with what is offered to him to stay. Q So when he doesn't have any housing, wouldn't it be really helpful for him to be able to come to API?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 toiletry items? A First of all, I am not in administration, but administrative situation. Secondly, this is not a placement. This is acute care hospital. And we have to recognize that, but Q In light of kind of these the you have been here throughout the testimony, haven't you? A Today, yes. Q Yeah. And yesterday. So you heard Ms. Jackson talk about all the problems in the community and you are aware of the problems in the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q So even that is not available to him? A Well, Bill is very limited based on discussing with the treatment team, the social workers, contact with the guardian. The resources you know, there is limited places for him to live. And the place that he is staying right now I have never visited. I think called Paradise Inn. What I hear is not really humane for somebody with what is offered to him to stay. Q So when he doesn't have any housing, wouldn't it be really helpful for him to be able to come to API? A Again
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 toiletry items? A First of all, I am not in administration, but administrative situation. Secondly, this is not a placement. This is acute care hospital. And we have to recognize that, but Q In light of kind of these the you have been here throughout the testimony, haven't you? A Today, yes. Q Yeah. And yesterday. So you heard Ms. Jackson talk about all the problems in the community, aren't you? A Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q So even that is not available to him? A Well, Bill is very limited based on discussing with the treatment team, the social workers, contact with the guardian. The resources you know, there is limited places for him to live. And the place that he is staying right now I have never visited. I think called Paradise Inn. What I hear is not really humane for somebody with what is offered to him to stay. Q So when he doesn't have any housing, wouldn't it be really helpful for him to be able to come to API? A Again MS. DERRY: Objection, asked and answered.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 toiletry items? A First of all, I am not in administration, but administrative situation. Secondly, this is not a placement. This is acute care hospital. And we have to recognize that, but Q In light of kind of these the you have been here throughout the testimony, haven't you? A Today, yes. Q Yeah. And yesterday. So you heard Ms. Jackson talk about all the problems in the community, aren't you? A Yes. Q So don't you think it would be and isn't 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q So even that is not available to him? A Well, Bill is very limited based on discussing with the treatment team, the social workers, contact with the guardian. The resources you know, there is limited places for him to live. And the place that he is staying right now I have never visited. I think called Paradise Inn. What I hear is not really humane for somebody with what is offered to him to stay. Q So when he doesn't have any housing, wouldn't it be really helpful for him to be able to come to API? A Again MS. DERRY: Objection, asked and answered. Dr. Khari has already stated that API is an acute
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 toiletry items? A First of all, I am not in administration, but administrative situation. Secondly, this is not a placement. This is acute care hospital. And we have to recognize that, but Q In light of kind of these the you have been here throughout the testimony, haven't you? A Today, yes. Q Yeah. And yesterday. So you heard Ms. Jackson talk about all the problems in the community and you are aware of the problems in the community, aren't you? A Yes. Q So don't you think it would be and isn't Mr. Bigley a kind of a special case, or pretty 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q So even that is not available to him? A Well, Bill is very limited based on discussing with the treatment team, the social workers, contact with the guardian. The resources you know, there is limited places for him to live. And the place that he is staying right now I have never visited. I think called Paradise Inn. What I hear is not really humane for somebody with what is offered to him to stay. Q So when he doesn't have any housing, wouldn't it be really helpful for him to be able to come to API? A Again MS. DERRY: Objection, asked and answered. Dr. Khari has already stated that API is an acute clinic care facility. That option is not available.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 toiletry items? A First of all, I am not in administration, but administrative situation. Secondly, this is not a placement. This is acute care hospital. And we have to recognize that, but Q In light of kind of these the you have been here throughout the testimony, haven't you? A Today, yes. Q Yeah. And yesterday. So you heard Ms. Jackson talk about all the problems in the community and you are aware of the problems in the community, aren't you? A Yes. Q So don't you think it would be and isn't Mr. Bigley a kind of a special case, or pretty unique? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q So even that is not available to him? A Well, Bill is very limited based on discussing with the treatment team, the social workers, contact with the guardian. The resources you know, there is limited places for him to live. And the place that he is staying right now I have never visited. I think called Paradise Inn. What I hear is not really humane for somebody with what is offered to him to stay. Q So when he doesn't have any housing, wouldn't it be really helpful for him to be able to come to API? A Again MS. DERRY: Objection, asked and answered. Dr. Khari has already stated that API is an acute clinic care facility. That option is not available. And furthermore, she doesn't have the ability
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 toiletry items? A First of all, I am not in administration, but administrative situation. Secondly, this is not a placement. This is acute care hospital. And we have to recognize that, but Q In light of kind of these the you have been here throughout the testimony, haven't you? A Today, yes. Q Yeah. And yesterday. So you heard Ms. Jackson talk about all the problems in the community and you are aware of the problems in the community, aren't you? A Yes. Q So don't you think it would be and isn't Mr. Bigley a kind of a special case, or pretty unique? A Every patient is special. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q So even that is not available to him? A Well, Bill is very limited based on discussing with the treatment team, the social workers, contact with the guardian. The resources you know, there is limited places for him to live. And the place that he is staying right now I have never visited. I think called Paradise Inn. What I hear is not really humane for somebody with what is offered to him to stay. Q So when he doesn't have any housing, wouldn't it be really helpful for him to be able to come to API? A Again MS. DERRY: Objection, asked and answered. Dr. Khari has already stated that API is an acute clinic care facility. That option is not available. And furthermore, she doesn't have the ability
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 toiletry items? A First of all, I am not in administration, but administrative situation. Secondly, this is not a placement. This is acute care hospital. And we have to recognize that, but Q In light of kind of these the you have been here throughout the testimony, haven't you? A Today, yes. Q Yeah. And yesterday. So you heard Ms. Jackson talk about all the problems in the community and you are aware of the problems in the community, aren't you? A Yes. Q So don't you think it would be and isn't Mr. Bigley a kind of a special case, or pretty unique? A Every patient is special. Q Does he is he one of the isn't he one 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q So even that is not available to him? A Well, Bill is very limited based on discussing with the treatment team, the social workers, contact with the guardian. The resources you know, there is limited places for him to live. And the place that he is staying right now I have never visited. I think called Paradise Inn. What I hear is not really humane for somebody with what is offered to him to stay. Q So when he doesn't have any housing, wouldn't it be really helpful for him to be able to come to API? A Again MS. DERRY: Objection, asked and answered. Dr. Khari has already stated that API is an acute clinic care facility. That option is not available. And furthermore, she doesn't have the ability to make such a petition. THE COURT: (Indiscernible) respond.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 toiletry items? A First of all, I am not in administration, but administrative situation. Secondly, this is not a placement. This is acute care hospital. And we have to recognize that, but Q In light of kind of these the you have been here throughout the testimony, haven't you? A Today, yes. Q Yeah. And yesterday. So you heard Ms. Jackson talk about all the problems in the community and you are aware of the problems in the community, aren't you? A Yes. Q So don't you think it would be and isn't Mr. Bigley a kind of a special case, or pretty unique? A Every patient is special. Q Does he is he one of the isn't he one of the most difficult people to have a satisfactory 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q So even that is not available to him? A Well, Bill is very limited based on discussing with the treatment team, the social workers, contact with the guardian. The resources you know, there is limited places for him to live. And the place that he is staying right now I have never visited. I think called Paradise Inn. What I hear is not really humane for somebody with what is offered to him to stay. Q So when he doesn't have any housing, wouldn't it be really helpful for him to be able to come to API? A Again MS. DERRY: Objection, asked and answered. Dr. Khari has already stated that API is an acute clinic care facility. That option is not available. And furthermore, she doesn't have the ability to make such a petition. THE COURT: (Indiscernible) respond. MR. GOTTSTEIN: I don't think I asked her
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 toiletry items? A First of all, I am not in administration, but administrative situation. Secondly, this is not a placement. This is acute care hospital. And we have to recognize that, but Q In light of kind of these the you have been here throughout the testimony, haven't you? A Today, yes. Q Yeah. And yesterday. So you heard Ms. Jackson talk about all the problems in the community and you are aware of the problems in the community, aren't you? A Yes. Q So don't you think it would be and isn't Mr. Bigley a kind of a special case, or pretty unique? A Every patient is special. Q Does he is he one of the isn't he one of the most difficult people to have a satisfactory arrangement worked out for him? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q So even that is not available to him? A Well, Bill is very limited based on discussing with the treatment team, the social workers, contact with the guardian. The resources you know, there is limited places for him to live. And the place that he is staying right now I have never visited. I think called Paradise Inn. What I hear is not really humane for somebody with what is offered to him to stay. Q So when he doesn't have any housing, wouldn't it be really helpful for him to be able to come to API? A Again MS. DERRY: Objection, asked and answered. Dr. Khari has already stated that API is an acute clinic care facility. That option is not available. And furthermore, she doesn't have the ability to make such a petition. THE COURT: (Indiscernible) respond. MR. GOTTSTEIN: I don't think I asked her
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 toiletry items? A First of all, I am not in administration, but administrative situation. Secondly, this is not a placement. This is acute care hospital. And we have to recognize that, but Q In light of kind of these the you have been here throughout the testimony, haven't you? A Today, yes. Q Yeah. And yesterday. So you heard Ms. Jackson talk about all the problems in the community and you are aware of the problems in the community, aren't you? A Yes. Q So don't you think it would be and isn't Mr. Bigley a kind of a special case, or pretty unique? A Every patient is special. Q Does he is he one of the isn't he one of the most difficult people to have a satisfactory arrangement worked out for him? A Well, I would say that he is not the only 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q So even that is not available to him? A Well, Bill is very limited based on discussing with the treatment team, the social workers, contact with the guardian. The resources you know, there is limited places for him to live. And the place that he is staying right now I have never visited. I think called Paradise Inn. What I hear is not really humane for somebody with what is offered to him to stay. Q So when he doesn't have any housing, wouldn't it be really helpful for him to be able to come to API? A Again MS. DERRY: Objection, asked and answered. Dr. Khari has already stated that API is an acute clinic care facility. That option is not available. And furthermore, she doesn't have the ability to make such a petition. THE COURT: (Indiscernible) respond. MR. GOTTSTEIN: I don't think I asked her if it would be helpful. I didn't ask if he could, so
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 toiletry items? A First of all, I am not in administration, but administrative situation. Secondly, this is not a placement. This is acute care hospital. And we have to recognize that, but Q In light of kind of these the you have been here throughout the testimony, haven't you? A Today, yes. Q Yeah. And yesterday. So you heard Ms. Jackson talk about all the problems in the community and you are aware of the problems in the community, aren't you? A Yes. Q So don't you think it would be and isn't Mr. Bigley a kind of a special case, or pretty unique? A Every patient is special. Q Does he is he one of the isn't he one of the most difficult people to have a satisfactory arrangement worked out for him? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q So even that is not available to him? A Well, Bill is very limited based on discussing with the treatment team, the social workers, contact with the guardian. The resources you know, there is limited places for him to live. And the place that he is staying right now I have never visited. I think called Paradise Inn. What I hear is not really humane for somebody with what is offered to him to stay. Q So when he doesn't have any housing, wouldn't it be really helpful for him to be able to come to API? A Again MS. DERRY: Objection, asked and answered. Dr. Khari has already stated that API is an acute clinic care facility. That option is not available. And furthermore, she doesn't have the ability to make such a petition. THE COURT: (Indiscernible) respond. MR. GOTTSTEIN: I don't think I asked her

	Page 130		Page 132
1	Let's move on.	1	of support.
2	BY MR. GOTTSTEIN:	2	Q Do you think it would help him in the
3	Q Hasn't Bill been pretty successfully let out	3	community to have someone with him when he started
4	on pass in the past?	4	getting hostile, to deescalate that situation?
5	A I was not his clinician, so I think the	5	A The way I have seen Bill when he gets so
6	way I recall, I have to again review the chart,	6	hostile, to that state of threatening and psychotic,
7	(indiscernible) providing care for him. He I could	7	he has no rational process. He basically he
8	not believe that he had any pass.	8	(indiscernible) boundary, the space.
9	Q Isn't it true that passes aren't allowed on	9	Even if you try to calm him down, try to give
10	Taku?	10	him some statement that is soothing, he is so
11	A Well, for forensic patient. He is not a	11	paranoid, he is so psychotic, he breaks the whole
12	forensic patient; he is a civil patient.	12	thing.
13	Q But still, isn't it are people not really	13	So I really think for his own safety and
14	allowed out on pass from Taku?	14	others, when he is in that state, for him for
15	A As I said, this is a civil patient. If they	15	any either party to be safe for him to be
16	are stable enough.	16	(indiscernible).
17	But if he is in a forensic unit when	17	Q So
18	individual comes to forensic unit, that means they are	18	MS. DERRY: Your Honor, could we take a short
19	not maintaining some level of stability. However,	19	break, please?
20	even in the Taku, I had patient that was very stable	20	THE COURT: What's your sense of how much
21	and I have authorized a pass for them.	21	longer you're going to take?
22	Q Okay. So you could give him as pass?	22	MR. GOTTSTEIN: I think I'm just about
23	A Yes.	23	THE COURT: Are you two minutes, three
24	Q Now, you say that he well, do you believe	24	minutes away?
25	that he could use intensive case management?	25	MR. GOTTSTEIN: No.
	Page 131		Page 133
1	A Yes.	1	THE COURT: How much longer?
2	Q Do you think it would be helpful to him to	2	MR. GOTTSTEIN: Fifteen minutes.
3	have someone with him in the community?	3	THE COURT: Let's take a break now, and then
4	A The answer is yes. But also you have to be	4	I'll give you a (indiscernible).
5	in the level of stability that he cannot damage that	5	MS. DERRY: Thank you.
6	relationship that (indiscernible). Because you know,	6	THE COURT: So where are we here? Can we go
7	he's (indiscernible) benefit from any support that	7	off record? It's we'll be at least ten minutes,
8	anyone could provide for him, but also has to be at a	8	Madame Clerk. So let's call it 12:15. We'll be back
9	level that he can create a healthy bond who he is	9	at 12:15.
10	connecting with rather than that he is in the hostile	10	12:06:04
11	level and psychotic state.	11	(Off record.)
12	He (indiscernible) because there are he	12	12:20:24
13	may again just like housing, he burnt his bridges.	13	THE CLERK: And I'm here with the calendar.
14	And then that individual may feel threatened or not	14	THE COURT: All right. We're just trying to
15	too comfortable. So yes, I (indiscernible), but also	15	figure out how much additional time we need. Let's
16	not he needs to be in more stable state.	16	assume that two hours and another hour of cross, we
17	Q Now, didn't you testify that you actually	17	are three hours until the State case is done.
18	took him out for lunch in the community?	18	How long, Mr. Gottstein, do you think your
19	A He was stable at that time. He was staying	19	case will take?
	• •	0.0	
20	with (indiscernible) facility. I felt safe. I put	20	MR. GOTTSTEIN: It depends on I think we
21	with (indiscernible) facility. I felt safe. I put him in my car with no reservation.	21	need to deal with can we deal with these
21 22	with (indiscernible) facility. I felt safe. I puthim in my car with no reservation.Q Do you think that it would help him to get	21 22	need to deal with can we deal with these submissions, and I can figure out if I need to call
21 22 23	with (indiscernible) facility. I felt safe. I puthim in my car with no reservation.Q Do you think that it would help him to getfood if someone was to, you know, take him out to	21 22 23	need to deal with can we deal with these submissions, and I can figure out if I need to call all these people? Because that's what it depends on,
21 22	with (indiscernible) facility. I felt safe. I puthim in my car with no reservation.Q Do you think that it would help him to get	21 22	need to deal with can we deal with these submissions, and I can figure out if I need to call

	Page 134		Page 136
1	THE COURT: What are you trying to do? I	1	regarding all those documents is just make a general
2	mean, if you are going to call a witness, then	2	objection to them as being irrelevant in general, that
3	let's (indiscernible) affidavit (indiscernible),	3	they are
4	whatever that is, Mr. and Mrs. Mosher, are you going	4	THE COURT: I told you what they are
5	to say here's the affidavit; that's the direct	5	pardon me. You can make that objection
6	testimony on (indiscernible)?	6	MS. DERRY: And that's what I would do,
7	MR. GOTTSTEIN: Here's what I propose. It	7	because none of them have contact with Mr. Bigley
8	depends if it's admissible.	8	specifically in the last year, but especially in the
9	And first off, for Loren, Loren passed	9	last six months. So a blanket objection such as that,
10	THE COURT: If you're trying to present it as	10	I mean, because I see I feel they are irrelevant,
11	direct testimony, as a way of speeding things up, then	11	and especially to the case at hand. And so I
12	I'll allow that, subject to whatever objection they	12	THE COURT: I haven't read the stuff. I have
13	might raise to the content of the affidavit. But	13	no idea what their content are.
14	if	14	MS. DERRY: And that would be my objections
15	MR. GOTTSTEIN: And that's exactly what I	15	(indiscernible).
16	not for Mosher, but for Whitaker, (indiscernible), and	16	THE COURT: Well, let's assume
17	Jackson for sure.	17	MS. DERRY: But
18	I don't know about the availability of	18	THE COURT: Let's assume that I deny the
19	(indiscernible), because he is no longer with Choices.	19	(indiscernible) objection. I have no idea what
20	But that's that's exactly what I proposed before.	20	(indiscernible).
21	So that's yes. He'll be available for cross	21	Do you have an objection to presenting that
22	examination.	22	direct testimony in that fashion? Basically it's
23	THE COURT: Whatever those documents are for	23	going to be, Mr. Mosher, here's his affidavit,
24	each of your witnesses, then that's going to be the	24	(indiscernible) cross.
25	(indiscernible) of direct testimony. And the State is	25	MS. DERRY: No, Your Honor. I think that
	Page 135		Page 137
			idge 137
1	perfectly capable of able to say, all right, I	1	that is a good way to expedite this, especially for
1 2	perfectly capable of able to say, all right, I object to (indiscernible) and object to	1 2	that is a good way to expedite this, especially for the benefit of Mr. Bigley in the hospital, in order to
	object to (indiscernible) and object to (indiscernible) because it's hearsay.		that is a good way to expedite this, especially for
2	object to (indiscernible) and object to	2	that is a good way to expedite this, especially for the benefit of Mr. Bigley in the hospital, in order to help make decisions for Mr. Bigley. THE COURT: So just
2 3	object to (indiscernible) and object to (indiscernible) because it's hearsay.	2 3	that is a good way to expedite this, especially for the benefit of Mr. Bigley in the hospital, in order to help make decisions for Mr. Bigley.
2 3 4	object to (indiscernible) and object to (indiscernible) because it's hearsay. But (indiscernible) hearsay of the actual (indiscernible) if that person is technically available to be cross examined, and must be available	2 3 4 5 6	that is a good way to expedite this, especially for the benefit of Mr. Bigley in the hospital, in order to help make decisions for Mr. Bigley. THE COURT: So just MR. GOTTSTEIN: Okay. So that covers the notice of filing, which is what I thought I had done.
2 3 4 5 6 7	object to (indiscernible) and object to (indiscernible) because it's hearsay. But (indiscernible) hearsay of the actual (indiscernible) if that person is technically available to be cross examined, and must be available to be cross examined.	2 3 4 5	that is a good way to expedite this, especially for the benefit of Mr. Bigley in the hospital, in order to help make decisions for Mr. Bigley. THE COURT: So just MR. GOTTSTEIN: Okay. So that covers the notice of filing, which is what I thought I had done. The affidavit of Robert Whitaker, the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	object to (indiscernible) and object to (indiscernible) because it's hearsay. But (indiscernible) hearsay of the actual (indiscernible) if that person is technically available to be cross examined, and must be available to be cross examined. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6	that is a good way to expedite this, especially for the benefit of Mr. Bigley in the hospital, in order to help make decisions for Mr. Bigley. THE COURT: So just MR. GOTTSTEIN: Okay. So that covers the notice of filing, which is what I thought I had done. The affidavit of Robert Whitaker, the affidavit of Ronald (indiscernible), the affidavit of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	object to (indiscernible) and object to (indiscernible) because it's hearsay. But (indiscernible) hearsay of the actual (indiscernible) if that person is technically available to be cross examined, and must be available to be cross examined. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Yes. THE COURT: And this is a way to short	2 3 4 5 6 7	that is a good way to expedite this, especially for the benefit of Mr. Bigley in the hospital, in order to help make decisions for Mr. Bigley. THE COURT: So just MR. GOTTSTEIN: Okay. So that covers the notice of filing, which is what I thought I had done. The affidavit of Robert Whitaker, the affidavit of Ronald (indiscernible), the affidavit of Paul Cornils. I'll probably have to subpoena him
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	object to (indiscernible) and object to (indiscernible) because it's hearsay. But (indiscernible) hearsay of the actual (indiscernible) if that person is technically available to be cross examined, and must be available to be cross examined. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Yes. THE COURT: And this is a way to short circuit pre-filing of direct testimony. You have all	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	that is a good way to expedite this, especially for the benefit of Mr. Bigley in the hospital, in order to help make decisions for Mr. Bigley. THE COURT: So just MR. GOTTSTEIN: Okay. So that covers the notice of filing, which is what I thought I had done. The affidavit of Robert Whitaker, the affidavit of Ronald (indiscernible), the affidavit of Paul Cornils. I'll probably have to subpoena him maybe to get him. The affidavit of Grace Jackson
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	object to (indiscernible) and object to (indiscernible) because it's hearsay. But (indiscernible) hearsay of the actual (indiscernible) if that person is technically available to be cross examined, and must be available to be cross examined. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Yes. THE COURT: And this is a way to short circuit pre-filing of direct testimony. You have all of your ability to make whatever objection, you know,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	that is a good way to expedite this, especially for the benefit of Mr. Bigley in the hospital, in order to help make decisions for Mr. Bigley. THE COURT: So just MR. GOTTSTEIN: Okay. So that covers the notice of filing, which is what I thought I had done. The affidavit of Robert Whitaker, the affidavit of Ronald (indiscernible), the affidavit of Paul Cornils. I'll probably have to subpoena him maybe to get him. The affidavit of Grace Jackson dated May 16th, affidavit of Grace Jackson dated
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	object to (indiscernible) and object to (indiscernible) because it's hearsay. But (indiscernible) hearsay of the actual (indiscernible) if that person is technically available to be cross examined, and must be available to be cross examined. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Yes. THE COURT: And this is a way to short circuit pre-filing of direct testimony. You have all of your ability to make whatever objection, you know, internally, as if he was speaking those identical	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	that is a good way to expedite this, especially for the benefit of Mr. Bigley in the hospital, in order to help make decisions for Mr. Bigley. THE COURT: So just MR. GOTTSTEIN: Okay. So that covers the notice of filing, which is what I thought I had done. The affidavit of Robert Whitaker, the affidavit of Ronald (indiscernible), the affidavit of Paul Cornils. I'll probably have to subpoena him maybe to get him. The affidavit of Grace Jackson dated May 16th, affidavit of Grace Jackson dated May 20th.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	object to (indiscernible) and object to (indiscernible) because it's hearsay. But (indiscernible) hearsay of the actual (indiscernible) if that person is technically available to be cross examined, and must be available to be cross examined. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Yes. THE COURT: And this is a way to short circuit pre-filing of direct testimony. You have all of your ability to make whatever objection, you know, internally, as if he was speaking those identical words.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that is a good way to expedite this, especially for the benefit of Mr. Bigley in the hospital, in order to help make decisions for Mr. Bigley. THE COURT: So just MR. GOTTSTEIN: Okay. So that covers the notice of filing, which is what I thought I had done. The affidavit of Robert Whitaker, the affidavit of Ronald (indiscernible), the affidavit of Paul Cornils. I'll probably have to subpoena him maybe to get him. The affidavit of Grace Jackson dated May 16th, affidavit of Grace Jackson dated May 20th. Now, I would like also, as a way to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	object to (indiscernible) and object to (indiscernible) because it's hearsay. But (indiscernible) hearsay of the actual (indiscernible) if that person is technically available to be cross examined, and must be available to be cross examined. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Yes. THE COURT: And this is a way to short circuit pre-filing of direct testimony. You have all of your ability to make whatever objection, you know, internally, as if he was speaking those identical words. MS. DERRY: The State has already filed a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that is a good way to expedite this, especially for the benefit of Mr. Bigley in the hospital, in order to help make decisions for Mr. Bigley. THE COURT: So just MR. GOTTSTEIN: Okay. So that covers the notice of filing, which is what I thought I had done. The affidavit of Robert Whitaker, the affidavit of Ronald (indiscernible), the affidavit of Paul Cornils. I'll probably have to subpoena him maybe to get him. The affidavit of Grace Jackson dated May 16th, affidavit of Grace Jackson dated May 20th. Now, I would like also, as a way to streamline it, to introduce which I also thought
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	object to (indiscernible) and object to (indiscernible) because it's hearsay. But (indiscernible) hearsay of the actual (indiscernible) if that person is technically available to be cross examined, and must be available to be cross examined. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Yes. THE COURT: And this is a way to short circuit pre-filing of direct testimony. You have all of your ability to make whatever objection, you know, internally, as if he was speaking those identical words. MS. DERRY: The State has already filed a motion in limine on that behalf, and we would object	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that is a good way to expedite this, especially for the benefit of Mr. Bigley in the hospital, in order to help make decisions for Mr. Bigley. THE COURT: So just MR. GOTTSTEIN: Okay. So that covers the notice of filing, which is what I thought I had done. The affidavit of Robert Whitaker, the affidavit of Ronald (indiscernible), the affidavit of Paul Cornils. I'll probably have to subpoena him maybe to get him. The affidavit of Grace Jackson dated May 16th, affidavit of Grace Jackson dated May 20th. Now, I would like also, as a way to streamline it, to introduce which I also thought that there her testimony on May 14th, 2008, in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	object to (indiscernible) and object to (indiscernible) because it's hearsay. But (indiscernible) hearsay of the actual (indiscernible) if that person is technically available to be cross examined, and must be available to be cross examined. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Yes. THE COURT: And this is a way to short circuit pre-filing of direct testimony. You have all of your ability to make whatever objection, you know, internally, as if he was speaking those identical words. MS. DERRY: The State has already filed a motion in limine on that behalf, and we would object to the introduction of that into whatever	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that is a good way to expedite this, especially for the benefit of Mr. Bigley in the hospital, in order to help make decisions for Mr. Bigley. THE COURT: So just MR. GOTTSTEIN: Okay. So that covers the notice of filing, which is what I thought I had done. The affidavit of Robert Whitaker, the affidavit of Ronald (indiscernible), the affidavit of Paul Cornils. I'll probably have to subpoena him maybe to get him. The affidavit of Grace Jackson dated May 16th, affidavit of Grace Jackson dated May 20th. Now, I would like also, as a way to streamline it, to introduce which I also thought that there her testimony on May 14th, 2008, in other words, cross examination then, and then
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	object to (indiscernible) and object to (indiscernible) because it's hearsay. But (indiscernible) hearsay of the actual (indiscernible) if that person is technically available to be cross examined, and must be available to be cross examined. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Yes. THE COURT: And this is a way to short circuit pre-filing of direct testimony. You have all of your ability to make whatever objection, you know, internally, as if he was speaking those identical words. MS. DERRY: The State has already filed a motion in limine on that behalf, and we would object to the introduction of that into whatever (indiscernible)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that is a good way to expedite this, especially for the benefit of Mr. Bigley in the hospital, in order to help make decisions for Mr. Bigley. THE COURT: So just MR. GOTTSTEIN: Okay. So that covers the notice of filing, which is what I thought I had done. The affidavit of Robert Whitaker, the affidavit of Ronald (indiscernible), the affidavit of Paul Cornils. I'll probably have to subpoena him maybe to get him. The affidavit of Grace Jackson dated May 16th, affidavit of Grace Jackson dated May 20th. Now, I would like also, as a way to streamline it, to introduce which I also thought that there her testimony on May 14th, 2008, in other words, cross examination then, and then redirect. And I don't mind, you know, restarting, you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	object to (indiscernible) and object to (indiscernible) because it's hearsay. But (indiscernible) hearsay of the actual (indiscernible) if that person is technically available to be cross examined, and must be available to be cross examined. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Yes. THE COURT: And this is a way to short circuit pre-filing of direct testimony. You have all of your ability to make whatever objection, you know, internally, as if he was speaking those identical words. MS. DERRY: The State has already filed a motion in limine on that behalf, and we would object to the introduction of that into whatever (indiscernible) THE COURT: Your preference is if I spent	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that is a good way to expedite this, especially for the benefit of Mr. Bigley in the hospital, in order to help make decisions for Mr. Bigley. THE COURT: So just MR. GOTTSTEIN: Okay. So that covers the notice of filing, which is what I thought I had done. The affidavit of Robert Whitaker, the affidavit of Ronald (indiscernible), the affidavit of Paul Cornils. I'll probably have to subpoena him maybe to get him. The affidavit of Grace Jackson dated May 16th, affidavit of Grace Jackson dated May 20th. Now, I would like also, as a way to streamline it, to introduce which I also thought that there her testimony on May 14th, 2008, in other words, cross examination then, and then redirect. And I don't mind, you know, restarting, you know. But I would like to have that also as kind of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	object to (indiscernible) and object to (indiscernible) because it's hearsay. But (indiscernible) hearsay of the actual (indiscernible) if that person is technically available to be cross examined, and must be available to be cross examined. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Yes. THE COURT: And this is a way to short circuit pre-filing of direct testimony. You have all of your ability to make whatever objection, you know, internally, as if he was speaking those identical words. MS. DERRY: The State has already filed a motion in limine on that behalf, and we would object to the introduction of that into whatever (indiscernible) THE COURT: Your preference is if I spent five hours listening to direct testimony rather than	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	that is a good way to expedite this, especially for the benefit of Mr. Bigley in the hospital, in order to help make decisions for Mr. Bigley. THE COURT: So just MR. GOTTSTEIN: Okay. So that covers the notice of filing, which is what I thought I had done. The affidavit of Robert Whitaker, the affidavit of Ronald (indiscernible), the affidavit of Paul Cornils. I'll probably have to subpoena him maybe to get him. The affidavit of Grace Jackson dated May 16th, affidavit of Grace Jackson dated May 20th. Now, I would like also, as a way to streamline it, to introduce which I also thought that there her testimony on May 14th, 2008, in other words, cross examination then, and then redirect. And I don't mind, you know, restarting, you know. But I would like to have that also as kind of part of the written testimony.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	object to (indiscernible) and object to (indiscernible) because it's hearsay. But (indiscernible) hearsay of the actual (indiscernible) if that person is technically available to be cross examined, and must be available to be cross examined. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Yes. THE COURT: And this is a way to short circuit pre-filing of direct testimony. You have all of your ability to make whatever objection, you know, internally, as if he was speaking those identical words. MS. DERRY: The State has already filed a motion in limine on that behalf, and we would object to the introduction of that into whatever (indiscernible) THE COURT: Your preference is if I spent five hours listening to direct testimony rather than direct testimony be handed as a document?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that is a good way to expedite this, especially for the benefit of Mr. Bigley in the hospital, in order to help make decisions for Mr. Bigley. THE COURT: So just MR. GOTTSTEIN: Okay. So that covers the notice of filing, which is what I thought I had done. The affidavit of Robert Whitaker, the affidavit of Ronald (indiscernible), the affidavit of Paul Cornils. I'll probably have to subpoena him maybe to get him. The affidavit of Grace Jackson dated May 16th, affidavit of Grace Jackson dated May 20th. Now, I would like also, as a way to streamline it, to introduce which I also thought that there her testimony on May 14th, 2008, in other words, cross examination then, and then redirect. And I don't mind, you know, restarting, you know. But I would like to have that also as kind of part of the written testimony. MS. DERRY: Your Honor, if we may, could we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	object to (indiscernible) and object to (indiscernible) because it's hearsay. But (indiscernible) hearsay of the actual (indiscernible) if that person is technically available to be cross examined, and must be available to be cross examined. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Yes. THE COURT: And this is a way to short circuit pre-filing of direct testimony. You have all of your ability to make whatever objection, you know, internally, as if he was speaking those identical words. MS. DERRY: The State has already filed a motion in limine on that behalf, and we would object to the introduction of that into whatever (indiscernible) THE COURT: Your preference is if I spent five hours listening to direct testimony rather than direct testimony be handed as a document? MS. DERRY: Well, I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 that is a good way to expedite this, especially for the benefit of Mr. Bigley in the hospital, in order to help make decisions for Mr. Bigley. THE COURT: So just MR. GOTTSTEIN: Okay. So that covers the notice of filing, which is what I thought I had done. The affidavit of Robert Whitaker, the affidavit of Ronald (indiscernible), the affidavit of Paul Cornils. I'll probably have to subpoena him maybe to get him. The affidavit of Grace Jackson dated May 16th, affidavit of Grace Jackson dated May 20th. Now, I would like also, as a way to streamline it, to introduce which I also thought that there her testimony on May 14th, 2008, in other words, cross examination then, and then redirect. And I don't mind, you know, restarting, you know. But I would like to have that also as kind of part of the written testimony. MS. DERRY: Your Honor, if we may, could we just if Mr. Gottstein chooses to introduce
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	object to (indiscernible) and object to (indiscernible) because it's hearsay. But (indiscernible) hearsay of the actual (indiscernible) if that person is technically available to be cross examined, and must be available to be cross examined. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Yes. THE COURT: And this is a way to short circuit pre-filing of direct testimony. You have all of your ability to make whatever objection, you know, internally, as if he was speaking those identical words. MS. DERRY: The State has already filed a motion in limine on that behalf, and we would object to the introduction of that into whatever (indiscernible) THE COURT: Your preference is if I spent five hours listening to direct testimony rather than direct testimony be handed as a document? MS. DERRY: Well, I THE COURT: If that's your preference, then	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 that is a good way to expedite this, especially for the benefit of Mr. Bigley in the hospital, in order to help make decisions for Mr. Bigley. THE COURT: So just MR. GOTTSTEIN: Okay. So that covers the notice of filing, which is what I thought I had done. The affidavit of Robert Whitaker, the affidavit of Ronald (indiscernible), the affidavit of Paul Cornils. I'll probably have to subpoena him maybe to get him. The affidavit of Grace Jackson dated May 16th, affidavit of Grace Jackson dated May 20th. Now, I would like also, as a way to streamline it, to introduce which I also thought that there her testimony on May 14th, 2008, in other words, cross examination then, and then redirect. And I don't mind, you know, restarting, you know. But I would like to have that also as kind of part of the written testimony. MS. DERRY: Your Honor, if we may, could we just if Mr. Gottstein chooses to introduce something at the point in time in which a witness is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	object to (indiscernible) and object to (indiscernible) because it's hearsay. But (indiscernible) hearsay of the actual (indiscernible) if that person is technically available to be cross examined, and must be available to be cross examined. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Yes. THE COURT: And this is a way to short circuit pre-filing of direct testimony. You have all of your ability to make whatever objection, you know, internally, as if he was speaking those identical words. MS. DERRY: The State has already filed a motion in limine on that behalf, and we would object to the introduction of that into whatever (indiscernible) THE COURT: Your preference is if I spent five hours listening to direct testimony rather than direct testimony be handed as a document? MS. DERRY: Well, I THE COURT: If that's your preference, then we'll do it that way.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that is a good way to expedite this, especially for the benefit of Mr. Bigley in the hospital, in order to help make decisions for Mr. Bigley. THE COURT: So just MR. GOTTSTEIN: Okay. So that covers the notice of filing, which is what I thought I had done. The affidavit of Robert Whitaker, the affidavit of Ronald (indiscernible), the affidavit of Paul Cornils. I'll probably have to subpoena him maybe to get him. The affidavit of Grace Jackson dated May 16th, affidavit of Grace Jackson dated May 20th. Now, I would like also, as a way to streamline it, to introduce which I also thought that there her testimony on May 14th, 2008, in other words, cross examination then, and then redirect. And I don't mind, you know, restarting, you know. But I would like to have that also as kind of part of the written testimony. MS. DERRY: Your Honor, if we may, could we just if Mr. Gottstein chooses to introduce something at the point in time in which a witness is testifying, then we can make our objections then. I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	object to (indiscernible) and object to (indiscernible) because it's hearsay. But (indiscernible) hearsay of the actual (indiscernible) if that person is technically available to be cross examined, and must be available to be cross examined. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Yes. THE COURT: And this is a way to short circuit pre-filing of direct testimony. You have all of your ability to make whatever objection, you know, internally, as if he was speaking those identical words. MS. DERRY: The State has already filed a motion in limine on that behalf, and we would object to the introduction of that into whatever (indiscernible) THE COURT: Your preference is if I spent five hours listening to direct testimony rather than direct testimony be handed as a document? MS. DERRY: Well, I THE COURT: If that's your preference, then	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 that is a good way to expedite this, especially for the benefit of Mr. Bigley in the hospital, in order to help make decisions for Mr. Bigley. THE COURT: So just MR. GOTTSTEIN: Okay. So that covers the notice of filing, which is what I thought I had done. The affidavit of Robert Whitaker, the affidavit of Ronald (indiscernible), the affidavit of Paul Cornils. I'll probably have to subpoena him maybe to get him. The affidavit of Grace Jackson dated May 16th, affidavit of Grace Jackson dated May 20th. Now, I would like also, as a way to streamline it, to introduce which I also thought that there her testimony on May 14th, 2008, in other words, cross examination then, and then redirect. And I don't mind, you know, restarting, you know. But I would like to have that also as kind of part of the written testimony. MS. DERRY: Your Honor, if we may, could we just if Mr. Gottstein chooses to introduce something at the point in time in which a witness is

	Page 138		Page 140
1	THE COURT: It really depends on what it is	1	is a question about is Mr. Cornils.
2	that he's I would look (indiscernible).	2	THE COURT: So
3	But let's say, for example, it is deposition	3	MS. DERRY: I'm sorry. So that means that
4	(indiscernible) require testimony in a hearing.	4	he's going to give us all of the written testimony
5	What I'm proposing is instead of using this	5	that he would like to provide us?
6	hearing time to do that, the State has (indiscernible)	6	THE COURT: And he's already done it. He's
7	direct the proper direct testimony, that you go	7	already done it.
8	through that and have an opportunity to advance to	8	MS. DERRY: Okay. Yeah.
9	say, we make the following objections to these	9	THE COURT: But yeah. It's going to
10	contents of the document based on whatever whatever	10	MS. DERRY: With the other people he actually
11	those objections are.	11	intended to call and make available for cross?
12	And then I will and you'll have that	12	THE COURT: They are going to be available in
13	(indiscernible) in advance. And I'll probably then	13	some sequence.
14	I'll make a ruling on that. And if something you	14	MR. GOTTSTEIN: Except that there's
15	know, three paragraphs of the affidavit are out,	15	THE COURT: If there's some people not
16	they're out.	16	available, the testimony is not coming in.
17	Now the direct testimony will be whittled	17	MR. GOTTSTEIN: Under 804(B), Dr. Mosher
18	down to something. The State can cross examine do	18	is I made that (indiscernible), Your Honor, as
19	its cross. Okay.	19	(indiscernible) 804(B).
20	On this testimony of her regarding prior	20	MS. DERRY: And, Your Honor, all of these
21	transcripts, prior testimony, I assume that there were	21	witnesses can be available telephonically for cross
22	objections throughout their rulings (indiscernible)	22	examination?
23	make sense to just let that you don't have to do	23	THE COURT: I assume they're going to be
24	that, but (indiscernible) that comes in.	24	available here in person or telephonically. And
25	And if they're talking if they're talking	25	(indiscernible) 804.
	Page 139		Page 141
1	about API's (indiscernible) policies (indiscernible),	1	MR. GOTTSTEIN: Loren Mosher and Sarah
2	it's not (indiscernible). You just sort of make those	2	(indiscernible). And then (indiscernible).
3	relevancy objections, but make it fine-tuned, and I'll	3	THE COURT: 804, I'm sorry, (B)(1).
4	(indiscernible) all in trial.	4	MR. GOTTSTEIN: (B)(1).
5	MS. DERRY: If Mr. Gottstein provides all	5	MS. DERRY: Your Honor, I the State would
6	that to the State in proper format prior to him	6	strenuously object to that, given that although there
7	calling the witnesses, we'd be happy to do that, to	7	may have been former testimony
8	make the appropriate objections based on	8	THE COURT: I'm going to let you do that, but
9	(indiscernible).	9	I need to have that in front of me. I have no idea
10	THE COURT: (Indiscernible), whatever that	10	whether it meets the criteria of being (indiscernible)
11	is.	11	proceeding. I'll (indiscernible).
12	MR. GOTTSTEIN: It was it was served	12	But I understand that you are objecting under
13	(indiscernible).	13	the and I'll rule in advance so that you know
14	THE COURT: (Indiscernible.) With every one	14	(indiscernible).
15	of those, I will assume that you are going to tell	15	MR. GOTTSTEIN: Well, I can't bring
16	them by the end of the day whether you are going to	16	Dr. Mosher because he's dead. And that's why he's
17	pull any of those things, (indiscernible) at which	17	unavailable. And that's and I believe it's
18	time you are actually going to pull (indiscernible).	18	admissible under
19	If you're not going to pull those, you have	19	THE COURT: (Indiscernible)
20	to make those people available for cross examination.	20	MR. GOTTSTEIN: 804
21	MR. GOTTSTEIN: By the end of the day today?	21	THE COURT: not in, it's not in.
22	THE COURT: Not by the end of the day today,	22	MR. GOTTSTEIN: Well, but it's admissible
23	but whenever this next hearing is going to be, the	23	under $804(B(1))$ for that reason.
24	next hearing (indiscernible).	24	THE COURT: (Indiscernible) say it's not.
25	MR. GOTTSTEIN: Okay. And the only one there	25	MR. GOTTSTEIN: Oh, yeah, yeah. I thought

	Page 142		Page 144
1	you were ruling now about (indiscernible).	1	MR. GOTTSTEIN: Yes. And it is, except for
2	THE COURT: But let's say (indiscernible) is	2	Ms. Porter, and that's (indiscernible).
3	available. If I exclude it, then you could bring that	3	MS. DERRY: And I would like to be able to
4	person in by telephone and do direct.	4	cross examine her.
5	MR. GOTTSTEIN: Okay. And the how about	5	THE COURT: Okay. So can we simply say that
6	this. With the with the grounds for Ms. Porter's	6	the State can make internal objections to the content.
7	unavailability is that she (indiscernible). And if	7	You should do that in advance and I will rule, but
8	you	8	that but that there's no point in having them
9	THE COURT: She'll probably (indiscernible)	9	available for cross examination (indiscernible)
10	telephones there.	10	stipulate in the (indiscernible) appropriate that that
11	MR. GOTTSTEIN: Right. So if you want	11	individual has never evaluated Mr. Bigley or has not
12	THE COURT: So the more simple question is	12	seen (indiscernible), whatever it is that the
13	whether or not (indiscernible) different proceeding,	13	questions are?
14	we see other other	14	MS. DERRY: The State still would like them
15	MR. GOTTSTEIN: Right.	15	to be available for cross examination.
16	THE COURT: If I rule against you, then you	16	THE COURT: Why? If all you're going to
17	can try and have her available by telephone to take	17	MS. DERRY: Because well, I think we need
18	live direct testimony. That's all I'm saying.	18	time to review the affidavits and the other testimony
19	MR. GOTTSTEIN: Okay. So that okay. And	19	(indiscernible).
20	that would be on the other grounds? Then you agree	20	THE COURT: That's fair. Then let's do it
21	she's not available because she's a	21	this way. What I want you to do is to I don't want
22	THE COURT: I don't know. I don't know. I	22	to (indiscernible) precious hearing time so we can say
23	don't think you are unavailable (indiscernible).	23	we don't really need these people.
24	MR. GOTTSTEIN: All right. I'm not trying to	24	What I want (indiscernible) a list of people
25	be difficult. But what I'm saying is	25	where you agree that you don't want any more cross
	Daga 142		
	Page 143		Page 145
1	THE COURT: If she's in the middle of, you	1	Page 145 examination, because there's a stipulation that that
1 2	THE COURT: If she's in the middle of, you know she's climbing a mountain (indiscernible), she	2	examination, because there's a stipulation that that person has never seen Mr. Bigley and never evaluated
	THE COURT: If she's in the middle of, you know she's climbing a mountain (indiscernible), she probably isn't available. If she's sitting in		examination, because there's a stipulation that that person has never seen Mr. Bigley and never evaluated or (indiscernible), whatever it is that you
2	THE COURT: If she's in the middle of, you know she's climbing a mountain (indiscernible), she probably isn't available. If she's sitting in (indiscernible) comfortable (indiscernible), she's not	2	examination, because there's a stipulation that that person has never seen Mr. Bigley and never evaluated
2 3	THE COURT: If she's in the middle of, you know she's climbing a mountain (indiscernible), she probably isn't available. If she's sitting in	2 3	examination, because there's a stipulation that that person has never seen Mr. Bigley and never evaluated or (indiscernible), whatever it is that you
2 3 4	THE COURT: If she's in the middle of, you know she's climbing a mountain (indiscernible), she probably isn't available. If she's sitting in (indiscernible) comfortable (indiscernible), she's not unavailable. MR. GOTTSTEIN: She's had a baby, and I	2 3 4	examination, because there's a stipulation that that person has never seen Mr. Bigley and never evaluated or (indiscernible), whatever it is that you (indiscernible).
2 3 4 5	THE COURT: If she's in the middle of, you know she's climbing a mountain (indiscernible), she probably isn't available. If she's sitting in (indiscernible) comfortable (indiscernible), she's not unavailable. MR. GOTTSTEIN: She's had a baby, and I haven't been able to find her since then.	2 3 4 5	examination, because there's a stipulation that that person has never seen Mr. Bigley and never evaluated or (indiscernible), whatever it is that you (indiscernible). If on the other hand you want to do further evaluation, that's fine. But the one, two, three, five of them that you want, that person will be
2 3 4 5 6	THE COURT: If she's in the middle of, you know she's climbing a mountain (indiscernible), she probably isn't available. If she's sitting in (indiscernible) comfortable (indiscernible), she's not unavailable. MR. GOTTSTEIN: She's had a baby, and I haven't been able to find her since then. THE COURT: (Indiscernible.)	2 3 4 5 6	examination, because there's a stipulation that that person has never seen Mr. Bigley and never evaluated or (indiscernible), whatever it is that you (indiscernible). If on the other hand you want to do further evaluation, that's fine. But the one, two, three, five of them that you want, that person will be available. And we'll do that in advance so that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE COURT: If she's in the middle of, you know she's climbing a mountain (indiscernible), she probably isn't available. If she's sitting in (indiscernible) comfortable (indiscernible), she's not unavailable. MR. GOTTSTEIN: She's had a baby, and I haven't been able to find her since then. THE COURT: (Indiscernible.) MS. DERRY: I don't think (indiscernible)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	examination, because there's a stipulation that that person has never seen Mr. Bigley and never evaluated or (indiscernible), whatever it is that you (indiscernible). If on the other hand you want to do further evaluation, that's fine. But the one, two, three, five of them that you want, that person will be available. And we'll do that in advance so that everyone is prepared, okay?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	THE COURT: If she's in the middle of, you know she's climbing a mountain (indiscernible), she probably isn't available. If she's sitting in (indiscernible) comfortable (indiscernible), she's not unavailable. MR. GOTTSTEIN: She's had a baby, and I haven't been able to find her since then. THE COURT: (Indiscernible.) MS. DERRY: I don't think (indiscernible) raise the air of (indiscernible) unavailable.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	examination, because there's a stipulation that that person has never seen Mr. Bigley and never evaluated or (indiscernible), whatever it is that you (indiscernible). If on the other hand you want to do further evaluation, that's fine. But the one, two, three, five of them that you want, that person will be available. And we'll do that in advance so that everyone is prepared, okay? MS. DERRY: We'll prepare all of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	THE COURT: If she's in the middle of, you know she's climbing a mountain (indiscernible), she probably isn't available. If she's sitting in (indiscernible) comfortable (indiscernible), she's not unavailable. MR. GOTTSTEIN: She's had a baby, and I haven't been able to find her since then. THE COURT: (Indiscernible.) MS. DERRY: I don't think (indiscernible) raise the air of (indiscernible) unavailable. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Just (indiscernible).	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	examination, because there's a stipulation that that person has never seen Mr. Bigley and never evaluated or (indiscernible), whatever it is that you (indiscernible). If on the other hand you want to do further evaluation, that's fine. But the one, two, three, five of them that you want, that person will be available. And we'll do that in advance so that everyone is prepared, okay? MS. DERRY: We'll prepare all of the THE COURT: And the reason the reason
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	THE COURT: If she's in the middle of, you know she's climbing a mountain (indiscernible), she probably isn't available. If she's sitting in (indiscernible) comfortable (indiscernible), she's not unavailable. MR. GOTTSTEIN: She's had a baby, and I haven't been able to find her since then. THE COURT: (Indiscernible.) MS. DERRY: I don't think (indiscernible) raise the air of (indiscernible) unavailable. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Just (indiscernible). THE COURT: Let's assume that (indiscernible)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	examination, because there's a stipulation that that person has never seen Mr. Bigley and never evaluated or (indiscernible), whatever it is that you (indiscernible). If on the other hand you want to do further evaluation, that's fine. But the one, two, three, five of them that you want, that person will be available. And we'll do that in advance so that everyone is prepared, okay? MS. DERRY: We'll prepare all of the THE COURT: And the reason the reason that's all important, because it sounds to me like
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE COURT: If she's in the middle of, you know she's climbing a mountain (indiscernible), she probably isn't available. If she's sitting in (indiscernible) comfortable (indiscernible), she's not unavailable. MR. GOTTSTEIN: She's had a baby, and I haven't been able to find her since then. THE COURT: (Indiscernible.) MS. DERRY: I don't think (indiscernible) raise the air of (indiscernible) unavailable. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Just (indiscernible). THE COURT: Let's assume that (indiscernible) all of that is in. Do you (indiscernible) any sense	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	examination, because there's a stipulation that that person has never seen Mr. Bigley and never evaluated or (indiscernible), whatever it is that you (indiscernible). If on the other hand you want to do further evaluation, that's fine. But the one, two, three, five of them that you want, that person will be available. And we'll do that in advance so that everyone is prepared, okay? MS. DERRY: We'll prepare all of the THE COURT: And the reason the reason that's all important, because it sounds to me like (indiscernible) three hours for your (indiscernible)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE COURT: If she's in the middle of, you know she's climbing a mountain (indiscernible), she probably isn't available. If she's sitting in (indiscernible) comfortable (indiscernible), she's not unavailable. MR. GOTTSTEIN: She's had a baby, and I haven't been able to find her since then. THE COURT: (Indiscernible.) MS. DERRY: I don't think (indiscernible) raise the air of (indiscernible) unavailable. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Just (indiscernible). THE COURT: Let's assume that (indiscernible) all of that is in. Do you (indiscernible) any sense in how long your cross would be?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	examination, because there's a stipulation that that person has never seen Mr. Bigley and never evaluated or (indiscernible), whatever it is that you (indiscernible). If on the other hand you want to do further evaluation, that's fine. But the one, two, three, five of them that you want, that person will be available. And we'll do that in advance so that everyone is prepared, okay? MS. DERRY: We'll prepare all of the THE COURT: And the reason the reason that's all important, because it sounds to me like (indiscernible) three hours for your (indiscernible) case, and only about 10, 15 minutes of the examination
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE COURT: If she's in the middle of, you know she's climbing a mountain (indiscernible), she probably isn't available. If she's sitting in (indiscernible) comfortable (indiscernible), she's not unavailable. MR. GOTTSTEIN: She's had a baby, and I haven't been able to find her since then. THE COURT: (Indiscernible.) MS. DERRY: I don't think (indiscernible) raise the air of (indiscernible) unavailable. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Just (indiscernible). THE COURT: Let's assume that (indiscernible) all of that is in. Do you (indiscernible) any sense in how long your cross would be? MS. DERRY: No. If all of that is brief.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	examination, because there's a stipulation that that person has never seen Mr. Bigley and never evaluated or (indiscernible), whatever it is that you (indiscernible). If on the other hand you want to do further evaluation, that's fine. But the one, two, three, five of them that you want, that person will be available. And we'll do that in advance so that everyone is prepared, okay? MS. DERRY: We'll prepare all of the THE COURT: And the reason the reason that's all important, because it sounds to me like (indiscernible) three hours for your (indiscernible) case, and only about 10, 15 minutes of the examination of the one or so here. So I've got a three-and-a-half
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE COURT: If she's in the middle of, you know she's climbing a mountain (indiscernible), she probably isn't available. If she's sitting in (indiscernible) comfortable (indiscernible), she's not unavailable. MR. GOTTSTEIN: She's had a baby, and I haven't been able to find her since then. THE COURT: (Indiscernible.) MS. DERRY: I don't think (indiscernible) raise the air of (indiscernible) unavailable. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Just (indiscernible). THE COURT: Let's assume that (indiscernible) all of that is in. Do you (indiscernible) any sense in how long your cross would be? MS. DERRY: No. If all of that is brief. Brief, Your Honor. Because this whole question is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	examination, because there's a stipulation that that person has never seen Mr. Bigley and never evaluated or (indiscernible), whatever it is that you (indiscernible). If on the other hand you want to do further evaluation, that's fine. But the one, two, three, five of them that you want, that person will be available. And we'll do that in advance so that everyone is prepared, okay? MS. DERRY: We'll prepare all of the THE COURT: And the reason the reason that's all important, because it sounds to me like (indiscernible) three hours for your (indiscernible) case, and only about 10, 15 minutes of the examination of the one or so here. So I've got a three-and-a-half hour window I've got to find rather than two more
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE COURT: If she's in the middle of, you know she's climbing a mountain (indiscernible), she probably isn't available. If she's sitting in (indiscernible) comfortable (indiscernible), she's not unavailable. MR. GOTTSTEIN: She's had a baby, and I haven't been able to find her since then. THE COURT: (Indiscernible.) MS. DERRY: I don't think (indiscernible) raise the air of (indiscernible) unavailable. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Just (indiscernible). THE COURT: Let's assume that (indiscernible) all of that is in. Do you (indiscernible) any sense in how long your cross would be? MS. DERRY: No. If all of that is brief. Brief, Your Honor. Because this whole question is whether or not they have personal knowledge of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	examination, because there's a stipulation that that person has never seen Mr. Bigley and never evaluated or (indiscernible), whatever it is that you (indiscernible). If on the other hand you want to do further evaluation, that's fine. But the one, two, three, five of them that you want, that person will be available. And we'll do that in advance so that everyone is prepared, okay? MS. DERRY: We'll prepare all of the THE COURT: And the reason the reason that's all important, because it sounds to me like (indiscernible) three hours for your (indiscernible) case, and only about 10, 15 minutes of the examination of the one or so here. So I've got a three-and-a-half hour window I've got to find rather than two more days. I can get you three hours more quickly than I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE COURT: If she's in the middle of, you know she's climbing a mountain (indiscernible), she probably isn't available. If she's sitting in (indiscernible) comfortable (indiscernible), she's not unavailable. MR. GOTTSTEIN: She's had a baby, and I haven't been able to find her since then. THE COURT: (Indiscernible.) MS. DERRY: I don't think (indiscernible) raise the air of (indiscernible) unavailable. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Just (indiscernible). THE COURT: Let's assume that (indiscernible) all of that is in. Do you (indiscernible) any sense in how long your cross would be? MS. DERRY: No. If all of that is brief. Brief, Your Honor. Because this whole question is whether or not they have personal knowledge of (indiscernible).	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	examination, because there's a stipulation that that person has never seen Mr. Bigley and never evaluated or (indiscernible), whatever it is that you (indiscernible). If on the other hand you want to do further evaluation, that's fine. But the one, two, three, five of them that you want, that person will be available. And we'll do that in advance so that everyone is prepared, okay? MS. DERRY: We'll prepare all of the THE COURT: And the reason the reason that's all important, because it sounds to me like (indiscernible) three hours for your (indiscernible) case, and only about 10, 15 minutes of the examination of the one or so here. So I've got a three-and-a-half hour window I've got to find rather than two more days. I can get you three hours more quickly than I can get you two days.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	THE COURT: If she's in the middle of, you know she's climbing a mountain (indiscernible), she probably isn't available. If she's sitting in (indiscernible) comfortable (indiscernible), she's not unavailable. MR. GOTTSTEIN: She's had a baby, and I haven't been able to find her since then. THE COURT: (Indiscernible.) MS. DERRY: I don't think (indiscernible) raise the air of (indiscernible) unavailable. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Just (indiscernible). THE COURT: Let's assume that (indiscernible) all of that is in. Do you (indiscernible) any sense in how long your cross would be? MS. DERRY: No. If all of that is brief. Brief, Your Honor. Because this whole question is whether or not they have personal knowledge of (indiscernible). THE COURT: Then we're probably only going to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	examination, because there's a stipulation that that person has never seen Mr. Bigley and never evaluated or (indiscernible), whatever it is that you (indiscernible). If on the other hand you want to do further evaluation, that's fine. But the one, two, three, five of them that you want, that person will be available. And we'll do that in advance so that everyone is prepared, okay? MS. DERRY: We'll prepare all of the THE COURT: And the reason the reason that's all important, because it sounds to me like (indiscernible) three hours for your (indiscernible) case, and only about 10, 15 minutes of the examination of the one or so here. So I've got a three-and-a-half hour window I've got to find rather than two more days. I can get you three hours more quickly than I can get you two days. MR. GOTTSTEIN: I've got a couple more
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE COURT: If she's in the middle of, you know she's climbing a mountain (indiscernible), she probably isn't available. If she's sitting in (indiscernible) comfortable (indiscernible), she's not unavailable. MR. GOTTSTEIN: She's had a baby, and I haven't been able to find her since then. THE COURT: (Indiscernible.) MS. DERRY: I don't think (indiscernible) raise the air of (indiscernible) unavailable. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Just (indiscernible). THE COURT: Let's assume that (indiscernible) all of that is in. Do you (indiscernible) any sense in how long your cross would be? MS. DERRY: No. If all of that is brief. Brief, Your Honor. Because this whole question is whether or not they have personal knowledge of (indiscernible). THE COURT: Then we're probably only going to need a half hour or so (indiscernible). If all you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	examination, because there's a stipulation that that person has never seen Mr. Bigley and never evaluated or (indiscernible), whatever it is that you (indiscernible). If on the other hand you want to do further evaluation, that's fine. But the one, two, three, five of them that you want, that person will be available. And we'll do that in advance so that everyone is prepared, okay? MS. DERRY: We'll prepare all of the THE COURT: And the reason the reason that's all important, because it sounds to me like (indiscernible) three hours for your (indiscernible) case, and only about 10, 15 minutes of the examination of the one or so here. So I've got a three-and-a-half hour window I've got to find rather than two more days. I can get you three hours more quickly than I can get you two days. MR. GOTTSTEIN: I've got a couple more witnesses, but at this point it's not very much.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE COURT: If she's in the middle of, you know she's climbing a mountain (indiscernible), she probably isn't available. If she's sitting in (indiscernible) comfortable (indiscernible), she's not unavailable. MR. GOTTSTEIN: She's had a baby, and I haven't been able to find her since then. THE COURT: (Indiscernible.) MS. DERRY: I don't think (indiscernible) raise the air of (indiscernible) unavailable. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Just (indiscernible). THE COURT: Let's assume that (indiscernible) all of that is in. Do you (indiscernible) any sense in how long your cross would be? MS. DERRY: No. If all of that is brief. Brief, Your Honor. Because this whole question is whether or not they have personal knowledge of (indiscernible). THE COURT: Then we're probably only going to need a half hour or so (indiscernible) all you intend	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	examination, because there's a stipulation that that person has never seen Mr. Bigley and never evaluated or (indiscernible), whatever it is that you (indiscernible). If on the other hand you want to do further evaluation, that's fine. But the one, two, three, five of them that you want, that person will be available. And we'll do that in advance so that everyone is prepared, okay? MS. DERRY: We'll prepare all of the THE COURT: And the reason the reason that's all important, because it sounds to me like (indiscernible) three hours for your (indiscernible) case, and only about 10, 15 minutes of the examination of the one or so here. So I've got a three-and-a-half hour window I've got to find rather than two more days. I can get you three hours more quickly than I can get you two days. MR. GOTTSTEIN: I've got a couple more witnesses, but at this point it's not very much. THE COURT: Okay. So
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE COURT: If she's in the middle of, you know she's climbing a mountain (indiscernible), she probably isn't available. If she's sitting in (indiscernible) comfortable (indiscernible), she's not unavailable. MR. GOTTSTEIN: She's had a baby, and I haven't been able to find her since then. THE COURT: (Indiscernible.) MS. DERRY: I don't think (indiscernible) raise the air of (indiscernible) unavailable. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Just (indiscernible). THE COURT: Let's assume that (indiscernible) all of that is in. Do you (indiscernible) any sense in how long your cross would be? MS. DERRY: No. If all of that is brief. Brief, Your Honor. Because this whole question is whether or not they have personal knowledge of (indiscernible). THE COURT: Then we're probably only going to need a half hour or so (indiscernible) all you intend to ask them, have you ever seen Mr. Bigley, have you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	examination, because there's a stipulation that that person has never seen Mr. Bigley and never evaluated or (indiscernible), whatever it is that you (indiscernible). If on the other hand you want to do further evaluation, that's fine. But the one, two, three, five of them that you want, that person will be available. And we'll do that in advance so that everyone is prepared, okay? MS. DERRY: We'll prepare all of the THE COURT: And the reason the reason that's all important, because it sounds to me like (indiscernible) three hours for your (indiscernible) case, and only about 10, 15 minutes of the examination of the one or so here. So I've got a three-and-a-half hour window I've got to find rather than two more days. I can get you three hours more quickly than I can get you two days. MR. GOTTSTEIN: I've got a couple more witnesses, but at this point it's not very much. THE COURT: Okay. So MR. GOTTSTEIN: Maybe half a day.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE COURT: If she's in the middle of, you know she's climbing a mountain (indiscernible), she probably isn't available. If she's sitting in (indiscernible) comfortable (indiscernible), she's not unavailable. MR. GOTTSTEIN: She's had a baby, and I haven't been able to find her since then. THE COURT: (Indiscernible.) MS. DERRY: I don't think (indiscernible) raise the air of (indiscernible) unavailable. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Just (indiscernible). THE COURT: Let's assume that (indiscernible) all of that is in. Do you (indiscernible) any sense in how long your cross would be? MS. DERRY: No. If all of that is brief. Brief, Your Honor. Because this whole question is whether or not they have personal knowledge of (indiscernible). THE COURT: Then we're probably only going to need a half hour or so (indiscernible). If all you are going to ask them (indiscernible) all you intend to ask them, have you ever seen Mr. Bigley, have you ever evaluated him, can't we stipulate that the answer	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	examination, because there's a stipulation that that person has never seen Mr. Bigley and never evaluated or (indiscernible), whatever it is that you (indiscernible). If on the other hand you want to do further evaluation, that's fine. But the one, two, three, five of them that you want, that person will be available. And we'll do that in advance so that everyone is prepared, okay? MS. DERRY: We'll prepare all of the THE COURT: And the reason the reason that's all important, because it sounds to me like (indiscernible) three hours for your (indiscernible) case, and only about 10, 15 minutes of the examination of the one or so here. So I've got a three-and-a-half hour window I've got to find rather than two more days. I can get you three hours more quickly than I can get you two days. MR. GOTTSTEIN: I've got a couple more witnesses, but at this point it's not very much. THE COURT: Okay. So MR. GOTTSTEIN: Maybe half a day. THE COURT: Are we going to get this done if
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE COURT: If she's in the middle of, you know she's climbing a mountain (indiscernible), she probably isn't available. If she's sitting in (indiscernible) comfortable (indiscernible), she's not unavailable. MR. GOTTSTEIN: She's had a baby, and I haven't been able to find her since then. THE COURT: (Indiscernible.) MS. DERRY: I don't think (indiscernible) raise the air of (indiscernible) unavailable. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Just (indiscernible). THE COURT: Let's assume that (indiscernible) all of that is in. Do you (indiscernible) any sense in how long your cross would be? MS. DERRY: No. If all of that is brief. Brief, Your Honor. Because this whole question is whether or not they have personal knowledge of (indiscernible). THE COURT: Then we're probably only going to need a half hour or so (indiscernible) all you intend to ask them, have you ever seen Mr. Bigley, have you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	examination, because there's a stipulation that that person has never seen Mr. Bigley and never evaluated or (indiscernible), whatever it is that you (indiscernible). If on the other hand you want to do further evaluation, that's fine. But the one, two, three, five of them that you want, that person will be available. And we'll do that in advance so that everyone is prepared, okay? MS. DERRY: We'll prepare all of the THE COURT: And the reason the reason that's all important, because it sounds to me like (indiscernible) three hours for your (indiscernible) case, and only about 10, 15 minutes of the examination of the one or so here. So I've got a three-and-a-half hour window I've got to find rather than two more days. I can get you three hours more quickly than I can get you two days. MR. GOTTSTEIN: I've got a couple more witnesses, but at this point it's not very much. THE COURT: Okay. So MR. GOTTSTEIN: Maybe half a day.

	Page 146		Page 148
1	MR. GOTTSTEIN: I don't think so, in light of	1	any
2	the number of witnesses you're going to call. I'm	2	MR. GOTTSTEIN: And I would (indiscernible)
3	being honest about it.	3	stipulate to the whole case.
4	THE COURT: Then we need to be more efficient	4	THE COURT: Trust me. They won't, nor would
5	in our use of time. We are going to attempt to be	5	you. There is evidence that people are in agreement
6	done in one more day.	6	on (indiscernible) stipulation.
7	And I'll do that next Tuesday is a	7	MS. DERRY: Your Honor, if I may, I have one
8	holiday; Monday is not a holiday. Do you want to do	8	housekeeping issue before we resume.
9	this Monday? That'll give you time to do the sort of	9	We discussed this morning posting court
10	objection stuff.	10	documents proceedings on Mr. Gottstein's Web site.
11	MS. DERRY: Yes. We can do that this	11	It's kind of my contention that virtually every
12	afternoon.	12	document in this case, other than what he mentioned
13	THE COURT: Can you have your people	13	this morning, the motion to strike and the protective
14	available Monday?	14	order, including the court's confidential log notes,
15	MR. GOTTSTEIN: Yes (indiscernible).	15	has been posted on Mr. Gottstein's Web site, and
16	THE COURT: So, Ellen?	16	paralegal (indiscernible) printed these out for me.
17	THE CLERK: Yes.	17	MR. GOTTSTEIN: I don't believe those are
18	THE COURT: The only thing I have Monday is	18	confidential, Your Honor. I think I marked them that
19	my trial is the Knowles (phonetic) trial?	19	way, didn't I?
20	THE CLERK: Right.	20	MS. DERRY: The Court marked them
21	THE COURT: Right. Then this trial the	21	confidential; you marked them not confidential.
22	API hearing will take place 8:30 to 1:30 on Monday.	22	THE COURT: Well, we're going to be fair. My
23	We'll just have to reschedule the completion of the	23	in-court clerk marked them confidential, but they
24	civil trial. But I'll deal with that tomorrow when we	24	aren't. Typically they are because typically they're
25	resume that.	25	closed I mean, they are confidential. But since he
	$D_{actor} = 1.47$		- 140
	Page 147		Page 149
1	THE CLERK: Okay.	1	allowed it to be open, we allowed it to be open,
1 2		1 2	
	THE CLERK: Okay.		allowed it to be open, we allowed it to be open,
2	THE CLERK: Okay. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Can it'll be here?	2	allowed it to be open, we allowed it to be open, subject to the discovery deposition protective order.
2 3	THE CLERK: Okay. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Can it'll be here? THE COURT: It's going to be here. MS. DERRY: Your Honor, may I suggest that a way to expedite proceedings, that perhaps we limit	2 3	allowed it to be open, we allowed it to be open, subject to the discovery deposition protective order. But that protective order is not applicable
2 3 4	THE CLERK: Okay. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Can it'll be here? THE COURT: It's going to be here. MS. DERRY: Your Honor, may I suggest that a way to expedite proceedings, that perhaps we limit testimony, direct, cross, (indiscernible) to	2 3 4	allowed it to be open, we allowed it to be open, subject to the discovery deposition protective order. But that protective order is not applicable to the log notes. MS. DERRY: I have well, the State has asked in its most recent protective order for
2 3 4 5	THE CLERK: Okay. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Can it'll be here? THE COURT: It's going to be here. MS. DERRY: Your Honor, may I suggest that a way to expedite proceedings, that perhaps we limit testimony, direct, cross, (indiscernible) to Mr. Bigley's current capacity. He has a very long	2 3 4 5	allowed it to be open, we allowed it to be open, subject to the discovery deposition protective order. But that protective order is not applicable to the log notes. MS. DERRY: I have well, the State has asked in its most recent protective order for THE COURT: What would be the basis for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE CLERK: Okay. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Can it'll be here? THE COURT: It's going to be here. MS. DERRY: Your Honor, may I suggest that a way to expedite proceedings, that perhaps we limit testimony, direct, cross, (indiscernible) to Mr. Bigley's current capacity. He has a very long history at API and other facilities.	2 3 4 5 6	allowed it to be open, we allowed it to be open, subject to the discovery deposition protective order. But that protective order is not applicable to the log notes. MS. DERRY: I have well, the State has asked in its most recent protective order for THE COURT: What would be the basis for concluding (indiscernible) private, court documents
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE CLERK: Okay. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Can it'll be here? THE COURT: It's going to be here. MS. DERRY: Your Honor, may I suggest that a way to expedite proceedings, that perhaps we limit testimony, direct, cross, (indiscernible) to Mr. Bigley's current capacity. He has a very long history at API and other facilities. THE COURT: I don't think that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	allowed it to be open, we allowed it to be open, subject to the discovery deposition protective order. But that protective order is not applicable to the log notes. MS. DERRY: I have well, the State has asked in its most recent protective order for THE COURT: What would be the basis for concluding (indiscernible) private, court documents that are not confidential?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	THE CLERK: Okay. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Can it'll be here? THE COURT: It's going to be here. MS. DERRY: Your Honor, may I suggest that a way to expedite proceedings, that perhaps we limit testimony, direct, cross, (indiscernible) to Mr. Bigley's current capacity. He has a very long history at API and other facilities. THE COURT: I don't think that MS. DERRY: And I think that's part	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	allowed it to be open, we allowed it to be open, subject to the discovery deposition protective order. But that protective order is not applicable to the log notes. MS. DERRY: I have well, the State has asked in its most recent protective order for THE COURT: What would be the basis for concluding (indiscernible) private, court documents that are not confidential? MS. DERRY: For the protection of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	THE CLERK: Okay. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Can it'll be here? THE COURT: It's going to be here. MS. DERRY: Your Honor, may I suggest that a way to expedite proceedings, that perhaps we limit testimony, direct, cross, (indiscernible) to Mr. Bigley's current capacity. He has a very long history at API and other facilities. THE COURT: I don't think that MS. DERRY: And I think that's part THE COURT: I don't think that when you read	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	allowed it to be open, we allowed it to be open, subject to the discovery deposition protective order. But that protective order is not applicable to the log notes. MS. DERRY: I have well, the State has asked in its most recent protective order for THE COURT: What would be the basis for concluding (indiscernible) private, court documents that are not confidential? MS. DERRY: For the protection of the witnesses in this case, specifically Dr. Khari. As
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	THE CLERK: Okay. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Can it'll be here? THE COURT: It's going to be here. MS. DERRY: Your Honor, may I suggest that a way to expedite proceedings, that perhaps we limit testimony, direct, cross, (indiscernible) to Mr. Bigley's current capacity. He has a very long history at API and other facilities. THE COURT: I don't think that MS. DERRY: And I think that THE COURT: I don't think that when you read (indiscernible), and it says that one of the things	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	allowed it to be open, we allowed it to be open, subject to the discovery deposition protective order. But that protective order is not applicable to the log notes. MS. DERRY: I have well, the State has asked in its most recent protective order for THE COURT: What would be the basis for concluding (indiscernible) private, court documents that are not confidential? MS. DERRY: For the protection of the witnesses in this case, specifically Dr. Khari. As stated in our first motion for protective order and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE CLERK: Okay. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Can it'll be here? THE COURT: It's going to be here. MS. DERRY: Your Honor, may I suggest that a way to expedite proceedings, that perhaps we limit testimony, direct, cross, (indiscernible) to Mr. Bigley's current capacity. He has a very long history at API and other facilities. THE COURT: I don't think that MS. DERRY: And I think that '- THE COURT: I don't think that when you read (indiscernible), and it says that one of the things that are relevant to more (indiscernible) case	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 allowed it to be open, we allowed it to be open, subject to the discovery deposition protective order. But that protective order is not applicable to the log notes. MS. DERRY: I have well, the State has asked in its most recent protective order for THE COURT: What would be the basis for concluding (indiscernible) private, court documents that are not confidential? MS. DERRY: For the protection of the witnesses in this case, specifically Dr. Khari. As stated in our first motion for protective order and (indiscernible) of respondents' I don't think that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE CLERK: Okay. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Can it'll be here? THE COURT: It's going to be here. MS. DERRY: Your Honor, may I suggest that a way to expedite proceedings, that perhaps we limit testimony, direct, cross, (indiscernible) to Mr. Bigley's current capacity. He has a very long history at API and other facilities. THE COURT: I don't think that MS. DERRY: And I think that THE COURT: I don't think that when you read (indiscernible), and it says that one of the things that are relevant to more (indiscernible) case history, I (indiscernible).	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	allowed it to be open, we allowed it to be open, subject to the discovery deposition protective order. But that protective order is not applicable to the log notes. MS. DERRY: I have well, the State has asked in its most recent protective order for THE COURT: What would be the basis for concluding (indiscernible) private, court documents that are not confidential? MS. DERRY: For the protection of the witnesses in this case, specifically Dr. Khari. As stated in our first motion for protective order and (indiscernible) of respondents' I don't think that he has the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE CLERK: Okay. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Can it'll be here? THE COURT: It's going to be here. MS. DERRY: Your Honor, may I suggest that a way to expedite proceedings, that perhaps we limit testimony, direct, cross, (indiscernible) to Mr. Bigley's current capacity. He has a very long history at API and other facilities. THE COURT: I don't think that MS. DERRY: And I think that THE COURT: I don't think that when you read (indiscernible), and it says that one of the things that are relevant to more (indiscernible) case history, I (indiscernible). I agree with you that what he did in 2002,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	allowed it to be open, we allowed it to be open, subject to the discovery deposition protective order. But that protective order is not applicable to the log notes. MS. DERRY: I have well, the State has asked in its most recent protective order for THE COURT: What would be the basis for concluding (indiscernible) private, court documents that are not confidential? MS. DERRY: For the protection of the witnesses in this case, specifically Dr. Khari. As stated in our first motion for protective order and (indiscernible) of respondents' I don't think that he has the THE COURT: I think that Mr. Bigley gets to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE CLERK: Okay. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Can it'll be here? THE COURT: It's going to be here. MS. DERRY: Your Honor, may I suggest that a way to expedite proceedings, that perhaps we limit testimony, direct, cross, (indiscernible) to Mr. Bigley's current capacity. He has a very long history at API and other facilities. THE COURT: I don't think that MS. DERRY: And I think that THE COURT: I don't think that spart THE COURT: I don't think that when you read (indiscernible), and it says that one of the things that are relevant to more (indiscernible) case history, I (indiscernible). I agree with you that what he did in 2002, for example, has much less relevance than what	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 allowed it to be open, we allowed it to be open, subject to the discovery deposition protective order. But that protective order is not applicable to the log notes. MS. DERRY: I have well, the State has asked in its most recent protective order for THE COURT: What would be the basis for concluding (indiscernible) private, court documents that are not confidential? MS. DERRY: For the protection of the witnesses in this case, specifically Dr. Khari. As stated in our first motion for protective order and (indiscernible) of respondents' I don't think that he has the THE COURT: I think that Mr. Bigley gets to speak to his attorney.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE CLERK: Okay. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Can it'll be here? THE COURT: It's going to be here. MS. DERRY: Your Honor, may I suggest that a way to expedite proceedings, that perhaps we limit testimony, direct, cross, (indiscernible) to Mr. Bigley's current capacity. He has a very long history at API and other facilities. THE COURT: I don't think that MS. DERRY: And I think that THE COURT: I don't think that spart THE COURT: I don't think that when you read (indiscernible), and it says that one of the things that are relevant to more (indiscernible) case history, I (indiscernible). I agree with you that what he did in 2002, for example, has much less relevance than what happened October 8th or in early '08. But we've been	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 allowed it to be open, we allowed it to be open, subject to the discovery deposition protective order. But that protective order is not applicable to the log notes. MS. DERRY: I have well, the State has asked in its most recent protective order for THE COURT: What would be the basis for concluding (indiscernible) private, court documents that are not confidential? MS. DERRY: For the protection of the witnesses in this case, specifically Dr. Khari. As stated in our first motion for protective order and (indiscernible) of respondents' I don't think that he has the THE COURT: I think that Mr. Bigley gets to speak to his attorney. MS. DERRY: And with regard to the witnesses,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE CLERK: Okay. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Can it'll be here? THE COURT: It's going to be here. MS. DERRY: Your Honor, may I suggest that a way to expedite proceedings, that perhaps we limit testimony, direct, cross, (indiscernible) to Mr. Bigley's current capacity. He has a very long history at API and other facilities. THE COURT: I don't think that MS. DERRY: And I think that's part THE COURT: I don't think that when you read (indiscernible), and it says that one of the things that are relevant to more (indiscernible) case history, I (indiscernible). I agree with you that what he did in 2002, for example, has much less relevance than what happened October 8th or in early '08. But we've been focusing on 2008, and that's where we should be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 allowed it to be open, we allowed it to be open, subject to the discovery deposition protective order. But that protective order is not applicable to the log notes. MS. DERRY: I have well, the State has asked in its most recent protective order for THE COURT: What would be the basis for concluding (indiscernible) private, court documents that are not confidential? MS. DERRY: For the protection of the witnesses in this case, specifically Dr. Khari. As stated in our first motion for protective order and (indiscernible) of respondents' I don't think that he has the THE COURT: I think that Mr. Bigley gets to speak to his attorney. MS. DERRY: And with regard to the witnesses, specifically his treating treatment team
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	THE CLERK: Okay. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Can it'll be here? THE COURT: It's going to be here. MS. DERRY: Your Honor, may I suggest that a way to expedite proceedings, that perhaps we limit testimony, direct, cross, (indiscernible) to Mr. Bigley's current capacity. He has a very long history at API and other facilities. THE COURT: I don't think that MS. DERRY: And I think that '- THE COURT: I don't think that spart THE COURT: I don't think that when you read (indiscernible), and it says that one of the things that are relevant to more (indiscernible) case history, I (indiscernible). I agree with you that what he did in 2002, for example, has much less relevance than what happened October 8th or in early '08. But we've been focusing on 2008, and that's where we should be focusing.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 allowed it to be open, we allowed it to be open, subject to the discovery deposition protective order. But that protective order is not applicable to the log notes. MS. DERRY: I have well, the State has asked in its most recent protective order for THE COURT: What would be the basis for concluding (indiscernible) private, court documents that are not confidential? MS. DERRY: For the protection of the witnesses in this case, specifically Dr. Khari. As stated in our first motion for protective order and (indiscernible) of respondents' I don't think that he has the THE COURT: I think that Mr. Bigley gets to speak to his attorney. MS. DERRY: And with regard to the witnesses, specifically his treating treatment team THE COURT: I have not looked at this motion.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE CLERK: Okay. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Can it'll be here? THE COURT: It's going to be here. MS. DERRY: Your Honor, may I suggest that a way to expedite proceedings, that perhaps we limit testimony, direct, cross, (indiscernible) to Mr. Bigley's current capacity. He has a very long history at API and other facilities. THE COURT: I don't think that MS. DERRY: And I think that 's part THE COURT: I don't think that 's part THE COURT: I don't think that when you read (indiscernible), and it says that one of the things that are relevant to more (indiscernible) case history, I (indiscernible). I agree with you that what he did in 2002, for example, has much less relevance than what happened October 8th or in early '08. But we've been focusing on 2008, and that's where we should be focusing. MS. DERRY: If the parties prepared a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 allowed it to be open, we allowed it to be open, subject to the discovery deposition protective order. But that protective order is not applicable to the log notes. MS. DERRY: I have well, the State has asked in its most recent protective order for THE COURT: What would be the basis for concluding (indiscernible) private, court documents that are not confidential? MS. DERRY: For the protection of the witnesses in this case, specifically Dr. Khari. As stated in our first motion for protective order and (indiscernible) of respondents' I don't think that he has the THE COURT: I think that Mr. Bigley gets to speak to his attorney. MS. DERRY: And with regard to the witnesses, specifically his treating treatment team THE COURT: I have not looked at this motion. What is that (indiscernible) is needed
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE CLERK: Okay. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Can it'll be here? THE COURT: It's going to be here. MS. DERRY: Your Honor, may I suggest that a way to expedite proceedings, that perhaps we limit testimony, direct, cross, (indiscernible) to Mr. Bigley's current capacity. He has a very long history at API and other facilities. THE COURT: I don't think that MS. DERRY: And I think that's part THE COURT: I don't think that when you read (indiscernible), and it says that one of the things that are relevant to more (indiscernible) case history, I (indiscernible). I agree with you that what he did in 2002, for example, has much less relevance than what happened October 8th or in early '08. But we've been focusing on 2008, and that's where we should be focusing. MS. DERRY: If the parties prepared a stipulation that, you know, based on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 allowed it to be open, we allowed it to be open, subject to the discovery deposition protective order. But that protective order is not applicable to the log notes. MS. DERRY: I have well, the State has asked in its most recent protective order for THE COURT: What would be the basis for concluding (indiscernible) private, court documents that are not confidential? MS. DERRY: For the protection of the witnesses in this case, specifically Dr. Khari. As stated in our first motion for protective order and (indiscernible) of respondents' I don't think that he has the THE COURT: I think that Mr. Bigley gets to speak to his attorney. MS. DERRY: And with regard to the witnesses, specifically his treating treatment team THE COURT: I have not looked at this motion. What is that (indiscernible) is needed (indiscernible)?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE CLERK: Okay. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Can it'll be here? THE COURT: It's going to be here. MS. DERRY: Your Honor, may I suggest that a way to expedite proceedings, that perhaps we limit testimony, direct, cross, (indiscernible) to Mr. Bigley's current capacity. He has a very long history at API and other facilities. THE COURT: I don't think that MS. DERRY: And I think that's part THE COURT: I don't think that when you read (indiscernible), and it says that one of the things that are relevant to more (indiscernible) case history, I (indiscernible). I agree with you that what he did in 2002, for example, has much less relevance than what happened October 8th or in early '08. But we've been focusing on 2008, and that's where we should be focusing. MS. DERRY: If the parties prepared a stipulation that, you know, based on THE COURT: (Indiscernible) stipulation, I'm	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 allowed it to be open, we allowed it to be open, subject to the discovery deposition protective order. But that protective order is not applicable to the log notes. MS. DERRY: I have well, the State has asked in its most recent protective order for THE COURT: What would be the basis for concluding (indiscernible) private, court documents that are not confidential? MS. DERRY: For the protection of the witnesses in this case, specifically Dr. Khari. As stated in our first motion for protective order and (indiscernible) of respondents' I don't think that he has the THE COURT: I think that Mr. Bigley gets to speak to his attorney. MS. DERRY: And with regard to the witnesses, specifically his treating treatment team THE COURT: I have not looked at this motion. What is that (indiscernible) is needed (indiscernible)? MS. DERRY: We would like all of this to be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE CLERK: Okay. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Can it'll be here? THE COURT: It's going to be here. MS. DERRY: Your Honor, may I suggest that a way to expedite proceedings, that perhaps we limit testimony, direct, cross, (indiscernible) to Mr. Bigley's current capacity. He has a very long history at API and other facilities. THE COURT: I don't think that MS. DERRY: And I think that 's part THE COURT: I don't think that when you read (indiscernible), and it says that one of the things that are relevant to more (indiscernible) case history, I (indiscernible). I agree with you that what he did in 2002, for example, has much less relevance than what happened October 8th or in early '08. But we've been focusing on 2008, and that's where we should be focusing. MS. DERRY: If the parties prepared a stipulation that, you know, based on THE COURT: (Indiscernible) stipulation, I'm a happy man. I'd encourage any stipulation to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 allowed it to be open, we allowed it to be open, subject to the discovery deposition protective order. But that protective order is not applicable to the log notes. MS. DERRY: I have well, the State has asked in its most recent protective order for THE COURT: What would be the basis for concluding (indiscernible) private, court documents that are not confidential? MS. DERRY: For the protection of the witnesses in this case, specifically Dr. Khari. As stated in our first motion for protective order and (indiscernible) of respondents' I don't think that he has the THE COURT: I think that Mr. Bigley gets to speak to his attorney. MS. DERRY: And with regard to the witnesses, specifically his treating treatment team THE COURT: I have not looked at this motion. What is that (indiscernible) is needed (indiscernible)? MS. DERRY: We would like all of this to be kept confidential.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE CLERK: Okay. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Can it'll be here? THE COURT: It's going to be here. MS. DERRY: Your Honor, may I suggest that a way to expedite proceedings, that perhaps we limit testimony, direct, cross, (indiscernible) to Mr. Bigley's current capacity. He has a very long history at API and other facilities. THE COURT: I don't think that MS. DERRY: And I think that's part THE COURT: I don't think that when you read (indiscernible), and it says that one of the things that are relevant to more (indiscernible) case history, I (indiscernible). I agree with you that what he did in 2002, for example, has much less relevance than what happened October 8th or in early '08. But we've been focusing on 2008, and that's where we should be focusing. MS. DERRY: If the parties prepared a stipulation that, you know, based on THE COURT: (Indiscernible) stipulation, I'm	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 allowed it to be open, we allowed it to be open, subject to the discovery deposition protective order. But that protective order is not applicable to the log notes. MS. DERRY: I have well, the State has asked in its most recent protective order for THE COURT: What would be the basis for concluding (indiscernible) private, court documents that are not confidential? MS. DERRY: For the protection of the witnesses in this case, specifically Dr. Khari. As stated in our first motion for protective order and (indiscernible) of respondents' I don't think that he has the THE COURT: I think that Mr. Bigley gets to speak to his attorney. MS. DERRY: And with regard to the witnesses, specifically his treating treatment team THE COURT: I have not looked at this motion. What is that (indiscernible) is needed (indiscernible)? MS. DERRY: We would like all of this to be

	Page 150		Page 152
1	MS. DERRY: In the past, Mr. Gottstein has	1	discovery trumps the more general so
2	targeted physicians who have treated Mr. Bigley,	2	MR. GOTTSTEIN: I understand.
3	posting all the court documents and various things.	3	THE COURT: So if someone was to file a
4	He's filing complaints with the federal	4	deposition deposition order
5	government, asking the DA to investigate the treating	5	MR. GOTTSTEIN: Right. And that was actually
6	physicians for various criminal charges.	6	my point. And so I don't feel (indiscernible)
7	API has had these physicians have had	7	question was (indiscernible). But what I'm saying is
8	trouble attracting and retaining (indiscernible). API	8	now
9	has had trouble getting new physicians in because of	9	THE COURT: (Indiscernible) obviously a way
10	these issues.	10	that shows me good faith, if you but I
11		11	e .
	The State feels that this is both in		MR. GOTTSTEIN: Well, I wouldn't post them.
12	Mr. Bigley's best interests and in the hospital and	12	THE COURT: Do not attempt to say it's filed,
13	the treating physicians' best interests, to have	13	therefore it's a document in the court file, therefore
14	people who can continue to treat the mentally ill	14	it trumps the ban on deposition publication. The more
15	without fear of harassment by Mr. Bigley's attorney.	15	specific order (indiscernible).
16	THE COURT: I don't understand why	16	MR. GOTTSTEIN: Right. Unless it's the
17	publication of public testimony, or publication of	17	normal
18	public documents is harassment.	18	THE COURT: (Indiscernible.)
19	MS. DERRY: Is harassment?	19	MR. GOTTSTEIN: Yeah. Or (indiscernible) in
20	THE COURT: Why is it harassment?	20	the hearing or something like that, right? And you
21	MS. DERRY: I think (indiscernible)	21	can address it then.
22	Mr. Gottstein to (indiscernible) get doctors to no	22	THE COURT: I'm not giving you advisory
23	longer work here.	23	opinions (indiscernible).
24	And the fact of the matter is that API has a	24	MR. GOTTSTEIN: Yes, Your Honor.
25	hard time getting doctors to work here because of	25	THE COURT: All right. So we're going back
	Page 151		Page 153
1		-	
1	Mr. Gottstein's actions. These doctors many doctors on staff (indiscernible) on here to testify	1	on record. Or I guess we already were.
2	doctors on statt (indiscernible) on here to testity		
	· · · · ·	2	If we aren't, we are now, and we're going to
3	about this, have hired private attorneys to deal with	3	continue
3 4	about this, have hired private attorneys to deal with these issues, as well. And the State in the best	3 4	continue THE CLERK: We have been on record ever since
3 4 5	about this, have hired private attorneys to deal with these issues, as well. And the State in the best interest of	3 4 5	continue THE CLERK: We have been on record ever since Ellen came on, so I'm still here. We are on record,
3 4 5 6	about this, have hired private attorneys to deal with these issues, as well. And the State in the best interest of THE COURT: I'm not concluding I am not	3 4 5 6	continue THE CLERK: We have been on record ever since Ellen came on, so I'm still here. We are on record, and Ellen has left the courtroom.
3 4 5 6 7	about this, have hired private attorneys to deal with these issues, as well. And the State in the best interest of THE COURT: I'm not concluding I am not issuing a protective order beyond the one I've already	3 4 5 6 7	continue THE CLERK: We have been on record ever since Ellen came on, so I'm still here. We are on record, and Ellen has left the courtroom. THE COURT: Thank you.
3 4 5 6 7 8	about this, have hired private attorneys to deal with these issues, as well. And the State in the best interest of THE COURT: I'm not concluding I am not issuing a protective order beyond the one I've already (indiscernible).	3 4 5 6 7 8	continue THE CLERK: We have been on record ever since Ellen came on, so I'm still here. We are on record, and Ellen has left the courtroom. THE COURT: Thank you. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Okay. And I think
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	about this, have hired private attorneys to deal with these issues, as well. And the State in the best interest of THE COURT: I'm not concluding I am not issuing a protective order beyond the one I've already (indiscernible). MR. GOTTSTEIN: Your Honor, one of the things	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	continue THE CLERK: We have been on record ever since Ellen came on, so I'm still here. We are on record, and Ellen has left the courtroom. THE COURT: Thank you. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Okay. And I think THE COURT: You were about to finish up with
3 4 5 6 7 8	about this, have hired private attorneys to deal with these issues, as well. And the State in the best interest of THE COURT: I'm not concluding I am not issuing a protective order beyond the one I've already (indiscernible). MR. GOTTSTEIN: Your Honor, one of the things that I and I try I tried to (indiscernible), but	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	continue THE CLERK: We have been on record ever since Ellen came on, so I'm still here. We are on record, and Ellen has left the courtroom. THE COURT: Thank you. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Okay. And I think THE COURT: You were about to finish up with your cross.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	about this, have hired private attorneys to deal with these issues, as well. And the State in the best interest of THE COURT: I'm not concluding I am not issuing a protective order beyond the one I've already (indiscernible). MR. GOTTSTEIN: Your Honor, one of the things that I and I try I tried to (indiscernible), but she kind of expedited this issue about publication of	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	continue THE CLERK: We have been on record ever since Ellen came on, so I'm still here. We are on record, and Ellen has left the courtroom. THE COURT: Thank you. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Okay. And I think THE COURT: You were about to finish up with your cross. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Right. I think there's a
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	about this, have hired private attorneys to deal with these issues, as well. And the State in the best interest of THE COURT: I'm not concluding I am not issuing a protective order beyond the one I've already (indiscernible). MR. GOTTSTEIN: Your Honor, one of the things that I and I try I tried to (indiscernible), but she kind of expedited this issue about publication of the depositions. But I think I (indiscernible)	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	continue THE CLERK: We have been on record ever since Ellen came on, so I'm still here. We are on record, and Ellen has left the courtroom. THE COURT: Thank you. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Okay. And I think THE COURT: You were about to finish up with your cross. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Right. I think there's a problem with Mr. Hughes needing to testify today, and
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	about this, have hired private attorneys to deal with these issues, as well. And the State in the best interest of THE COURT: I'm not concluding I am not issuing a protective order beyond the one I've already (indiscernible). MR. GOTTSTEIN: Your Honor, one of the things that I and I try I tried to (indiscernible), but she kind of expedited this issue about publication of	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	continue THE CLERK: We have been on record ever since Ellen came on, so I'm still here. We are on record, and Ellen has left the courtroom. THE COURT: Thank you. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Okay. And I think THE COURT: You were about to finish up with your cross. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Right. I think there's a
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	about this, have hired private attorneys to deal with these issues, as well. And the State in the best interest of THE COURT: I'm not concluding I am not issuing a protective order beyond the one I've already (indiscernible). MR. GOTTSTEIN: Your Honor, one of the things that I and I try I tried to (indiscernible), but she kind of expedited this issue about publication of the depositions. But I think I (indiscernible)	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	continue THE CLERK: We have been on record ever since Ellen came on, so I'm still here. We are on record, and Ellen has left the courtroom. THE COURT: Thank you. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Okay. And I think THE COURT: You were about to finish up with your cross. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Right. I think there's a problem with Mr. Hughes needing to testify today, and
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	about this, have hired private attorneys to deal with these issues, as well. And the State in the best interest of THE COURT: I'm not concluding I am not issuing a protective order beyond the one I've already (indiscernible). MR. GOTTSTEIN: Your Honor, one of the things that I and I try I tried to (indiscernible), but she kind of expedited this issue about publication of the depositions. But I think I (indiscernible) protective motion. And so are you denying that or	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	continue THE CLERK: We have been on record ever since Ellen came on, so I'm still here. We are on record, and Ellen has left the courtroom. THE COURT: Thank you. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Okay. And I think THE COURT: You were about to finish up with your cross. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Right. I think there's a problem with Mr. Hughes needing to testify today, and I was wondering if we might take him now. They
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	about this, have hired private attorneys to deal with these issues, as well. And the State in the best interest of THE COURT: I'm not concluding I am not issuing a protective order beyond the one I've already (indiscernible). MR. GOTTSTEIN: Your Honor, one of the things that I and I try I tried to (indiscernible), but she kind of expedited this issue about publication of the depositions. But I think I (indiscernible) protective motion. And so are you denying that or just going back to the where we were?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	continue THE CLERK: We have been on record ever since Ellen came on, so I'm still here. We are on record, and Ellen has left the courtroom. THE COURT: Thank you. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Okay. And I think THE COURT: You were about to finish up with your cross. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Right. I think there's a problem with Mr. Hughes needing to testify today, and I was wondering if we might take him now. They expressed concern.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	about this, have hired private attorneys to deal with these issues, as well. And the State in the best interest of THE COURT: I'm not concluding I am not issuing a protective order beyond the one I've already (indiscernible). MR. GOTTSTEIN: Your Honor, one of the things that I and I try I tried to (indiscernible), but she kind of expedited this issue about publication of the depositions. But I think I (indiscernible) protective motion. And so are you denying that or just going back to the where we were? THE COURT: The protective order regarding	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	continue THE CLERK: We have been on record ever since Ellen came on, so I'm still here. We are on record, and Ellen has left the courtroom. THE COURT: Thank you. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Okay. And I think THE COURT: You were about to finish up with your cross. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Right. I think there's a problem with Mr. Hughes needing to testify today, and I was wondering if we might take him now. They expressed concern. MS. DERRY: Well, it would be fantastic if we
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	about this, have hired private attorneys to deal with these issues, as well. And the State in the best interest of THE COURT: I'm not concluding I am not issuing a protective order beyond the one I've already (indiscernible). MR. GOTTSTEIN: Your Honor, one of the things that I and I try I tried to (indiscernible), but she kind of expedited this issue about publication of the depositions. But I think I (indiscernible) protective motion. And so are you denying that or just going back to the where we were? THE COURT: The protective order regarding depositions and discovery (indiscernible) remains in	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	continue THE CLERK: We have been on record ever since Ellen came on, so I'm still here. We are on record, and Ellen has left the courtroom. THE COURT: Thank you. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Okay. And I think THE COURT: You were about to finish up with your cross. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Right. I think there's a problem with Mr. Hughes needing to testify today, and I was wondering if we might take him now. They expressed concern. MS. DERRY: Well, it would be fantastic if we could actually finish with Dr. Khari so she can
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	about this, have hired private attorneys to deal with these issues, as well. And the State in the best interest of THE COURT: I'm not concluding I am not issuing a protective order beyond the one I've already (indiscernible). MR. GOTTSTEIN: Your Honor, one of the things that I and I try I tried to (indiscernible), but she kind of expedited this issue about publication of the depositions. But I think I (indiscernible) protective motion. And so are you denying that or just going back to the where we were? THE COURT: The protective order regarding depositions and discovery (indiscernible) remains in play. This request I understood to be referring to	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	continue THE CLERK: We have been on record ever since Ellen came on, so I'm still here. We are on record, and Ellen has left the courtroom. THE COURT: Thank you. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Okay. And I think THE COURT: You were about to finish up with your cross. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Right. I think there's a problem with Mr. Hughes needing to testify today, and I was wondering if we might take him now. They expressed concern. MS. DERRY: Well, it would be fantastic if we could actually finish with Dr. Khari so she can actually be a doctor again.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	about this, have hired private attorneys to deal with these issues, as well. And the State in the best interest of THE COURT: I'm not concluding I am not issuing a protective order beyond the one I've already (indiscernible). MR. GOTTSTEIN: Your Honor, one of the things that I and I try I tried to (indiscernible), but she kind of expedited this issue about publication of the depositions. But I think I (indiscernible) protective motion. And so are you denying that or just going back to the where we were? THE COURT: The protective order regarding depositions and discovery (indiscernible) remains in play. This request I understood to be referring to pleadings and log notes. MR. GOTTSTEIN: It is both.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	continue THE CLERK: We have been on record ever since Ellen came on, so I'm still here. We are on record, and Ellen has left the courtroom. THE COURT: Thank you. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Okay. And I think THE COURT: You were about to finish up with your cross. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Right. I think there's a problem with Mr. Hughes needing to testify today, and I was wondering if we might take him now. They expressed concern. MS. DERRY: Well, it would be fantastic if we could actually finish with Dr. Khari so she can actually be a doctor again. THE COURT: (Indiscernible.)
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	about this, have hired private attorneys to deal with these issues, as well. And the State in the best interest of THE COURT: I'm not concluding I am not issuing a protective order beyond the one I've already (indiscernible). MR. GOTTSTEIN: Your Honor, one of the things that I and I try I tried to (indiscernible), but she kind of expedited this issue about publication of the depositions. But I think I (indiscernible) protective motion. And so are you denying that or just going back to the where we were? THE COURT: The protective order regarding depositions and discovery (indiscernible) remains in play. This request I understood to be referring to pleadings and log notes.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	continue THE CLERK: We have been on record ever since Ellen came on, so I'm still here. We are on record, and Ellen has left the courtroom. THE COURT: Thank you. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Okay. And I think THE COURT: You were about to finish up with your cross. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Right. I think there's a problem with Mr. Hughes needing to testify today, and I was wondering if we might take him now. They expressed concern. MS. DERRY: Well, it would be fantastic if we could actually finish with Dr. Khari so she can actually be a doctor again. THE COURT: (Indiscernible.) MR. GOTTSTEIN: All right. And I've got
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	about this, have hired private attorneys to deal with these issues, as well. And the State in the best interest of THE COURT: I'm not concluding I am not issuing a protective order beyond the one I've already (indiscernible). MR. GOTTSTEIN: Your Honor, one of the things that I and I try I tried to (indiscernible), but she kind of expedited this issue about publication of the depositions. But I think I (indiscernible) protective motion. And so are you denying that or just going back to the where we were? THE COURT: The protective order regarding depositions and discovery (indiscernible) remains in play. This request I understood to be referring to pleadings and log notes. MR. GOTTSTEIN: It is both. THE COURT: I'm not ruling the protective order regarding deposition discovery remains in place.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 continue THE CLERK: We have been on record ever since Ellen came on, so I'm still here. We are on record, and Ellen has left the courtroom. THE COURT: Thank you. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Okay. And I think THE COURT: You were about to finish up with your cross. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Right. I think there's a problem with Mr. Hughes needing to testify today, and I was wondering if we might take him now. They expressed concern. MS. DERRY: Well, it would be fantastic if we could actually finish with Dr. Khari so she can actually be a doctor again. THE COURT: (Indiscernible.) MR. GOTTSTEIN: All right. And I've got
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	about this, have hired private attorneys to deal with these issues, as well. And the State in the best interest of THE COURT: I'm not concluding I am not issuing a protective order beyond the one I've already (indiscernible). MR. GOTTSTEIN: Your Honor, one of the things that I and I try I tried to (indiscernible), but she kind of expedited this issue about publication of the depositions. But I think I (indiscernible) protective motion. And so are you denying that or just going back to the where we were? THE COURT: The protective order regarding depositions and discovery (indiscernible) remains in play. This request I understood to be referring to pleadings and log notes. MR. GOTTSTEIN: It is both. THE COURT: I'm not ruling the protective order regarding deposition discovery remains in place. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Okay.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 continue THE CLERK: We have been on record ever since Ellen came on, so I'm still here. We are on record, and Ellen has left the courtroom. THE COURT: Thank you. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Okay. And I think THE COURT: You were about to finish up with your cross. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Right. I think there's a problem with Mr. Hughes needing to testify today, and I was wondering if we might take him now. They expressed concern. MS. DERRY: Well, it would be fantastic if we could actually finish with Dr. Khari so she can actually be a doctor again. THE COURT: (Indiscernible.) MR. GOTTSTEIN: All right. And I've got Jerry Jenkins coming, too. THE COURT: (Indiscernible.) BY MR. GOTTSTEIN:
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	about this, have hired private attorneys to deal with these issues, as well. And the State in the best interest of THE COURT: I'm not concluding I am not issuing a protective order beyond the one I've already (indiscernible). MR. GOTTSTEIN: Your Honor, one of the things that I and I try I tried to (indiscernible), but she kind of expedited this issue about publication of the depositions. But I think I (indiscernible) protective motion. And so are you denying that or just going back to the where we were? THE COURT: The protective order regarding depositions and discovery (indiscernible) remains in play. This request I understood to be referring to pleadings and log notes. MR. GOTTSTEIN: It is both. THE COURT: I'm not ruling the protective order regarding deposition discovery remains in place. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Okay. THE COURT: It is not extended to log notes	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	continue THE CLERK: We have been on record ever since Ellen came on, so I'm still here. We are on record, and Ellen has left the courtroom. THE COURT: Thank you. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Okay. And I think THE COURT: You were about to finish up with your cross. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Right. I think there's a problem with Mr. Hughes needing to testify today, and I was wondering if we might take him now. They expressed concern. MS. DERRY: Well, it would be fantastic if we could actually finish with Dr. Khari so she can actually be a doctor again. THE COURT: (Indiscernible.) MR. GOTTSTEIN: All right. And I've got Jerry Jenkins coming, too. THE COURT: (Indiscernible.) BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Okay. Now, I think you testified that on
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	about this, have hired private attorneys to deal with these issues, as well. And the State in the best interest of THE COURT: I'm not concluding I am not issuing a protective order beyond the one I've already (indiscernible). MR. GOTTSTEIN: Your Honor, one of the things that I and I try I tried to (indiscernible), but she kind of expedited this issue about publication of the depositions. But I think I (indiscernible) protective motion. And so are you denying that or just going back to the where we were? THE COURT: The protective order regarding depositions and discovery (indiscernible) remains in play. This request I understood to be referring to pleadings and log notes. MR. GOTTSTEIN: It is both. THE COURT: I'm not ruling the protective order regarding deposition discovery remains in place. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Okay.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 continue THE CLERK: We have been on record ever since Ellen came on, so I'm still here. We are on record, and Ellen has left the courtroom. THE COURT: Thank you. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Okay. And I think THE COURT: You were about to finish up with your cross. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Right. I think there's a problem with Mr. Hughes needing to testify today, and I was wondering if we might take him now. They expressed concern. MS. DERRY: Well, it would be fantastic if we could actually finish with Dr. Khari so she can actually be a doctor again. THE COURT: (Indiscernible.) MR. GOTTSTEIN: All right. And I've got Jerry Jenkins coming, too. THE COURT: (Indiscernible.) BY MR. GOTTSTEIN:

	Page 154		Page 156
1	A That's what I said.	1	THE COURT: Overruled. You can answer that
2	Q Okay. Are you aware that that's the day	2	question.
3	after Dr. Jackson testified on cross and redirect	3	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Could you please
4	about the brain damage that these drugs were causing	4	repeat the question?
5	him?	5	BY MR. GOTTSTEIN:
6	MS. DERRY: Object, calls for speculation.	6	Q I'm just wondering what you meant by that
7	BY MR. GOTTSTEIN:	7	or what you meant by that thing, that the patient is
8	Q So	8	non-compliant with psychotropic medications with his
9	THE COURT: Overruled.	9	attorney's encouragement?
10	BY MR. GOTTSTEIN:	10	A It was mentioned (indiscernible) to review
11	Q if that's true, wouldn't that possibly	11	that chart. But however, the (indiscernible) simply
12	explain a reason why he would become pretty agitated	12	what it says, that patient is not taking his
13	about being given these drugs?	13	medication, and his lawyer is supporting that
14	A As I said, I don't have (indiscernible)	14	decision.
15	picture of May 16 in my mind, and as far as if	15	But it is encouragement that is contributing
16	Dr. Jackson has testified	16	for him not to take the medication now.
17	THE CLERK: I need the witness to speak up.	17	Q So would that mean to you that normally,
18	She is fading.	18	patients' non-compliance with psychotropic medication
19	THE WITNESS: I mentioned that I am not	19	is not supported by their attorneys?
20	exactly aware I don't have a vivid picture in my	20	MS. DERRY: Objection, relevance. I don't
21	mind for May 16th May 16th, exactly what happened	21	know what the support of you or any other attorney has
22	when Dr. Jackson testified. Actually, I need to look	22	to do with whether or not he has capacity.
23	at to see what was the clinician even. Is that the	23	THE COURT: (Indiscernible.)
24	2007, 2008 (indiscernible)?	24	MR. GOTTSTEIN: It relates to whether or not
25	BY MR. GOTTSTEIN:	25	they're treating him differently because I'm
	Page 155		Page 157
1	Q 2008.	1	representing him.
2	Q 2008. A 2008.	2	representing him. MS. DERRY: Again, Your Honor
2 3	Q 2008.A 2008.Q I mean, I'm satisfied with the answer at this	2 3	representing him. MS. DERRY: Again, Your Honor THE COURT: (Indiscernible) that question.
2 3 4	Q 2008.A 2008.Q I mean, I'm satisfied with the answer at this point, but if you want to supplement it, that's fine.	2 3 4	representing him. MS. DERRY: Again, Your Honor THE COURT: (Indiscernible) that question. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN:
2 3 4 5	 Q 2008. A 2008. Q I mean, I'm satisfied with the answer at this point, but if you want to supplement it, that's fine. A As I said, I'm not even sure if I was the 	2 3 4 5	representing him. MS. DERRY: Again, Your Honor THE COURT: (Indiscernible) that question. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Are you are you treating Mr. Bigley
2 3 4 5 6	 Q 2008. A 2008. Q I mean, I'm satisfied with the answer at this point, but if you want to supplement it, that's fine. A As I said, I'm not even sure if I was the clinician at that time. 	2 3 4 5 6	representing him. MS. DERRY: Again, Your Honor THE COURT: (Indiscernible) that question. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Are you are you treating Mr. Bigley different because of my representation than you would
2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q 2008. A 2008. Q I mean, I'm satisfied with the answer at this point, but if you want to supplement it, that's fine. A As I said, I'm not even sure if I was the clinician at that time. Q Looking at page 5 of Exhibit B, we covered 	2 3 4 5 6 7	representing him. MS. DERRY: Again, Your Honor THE COURT: (Indiscernible) that question. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Are you are you treating Mr. Bigley different because of my representation than you would other patients?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q 2008. A 2008. Q I mean, I'm satisfied with the answer at this point, but if you want to supplement it, that's fine. A As I said, I'm not even sure if I was the clinician at that time. Q Looking at page 5 of Exhibit B, we covered this. This is a little bit different. But does it 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 representing him. MS. DERRY: Again, Your Honor THE COURT: (Indiscernible) that question. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Are you are you treating Mr. Bigley different because of my representation than you would other patients? A Well, that's (indiscernible) on the decision
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q 2008. A 2008. Q I mean, I'm satisfied with the answer at this point, but if you want to supplement it, that's fine. A As I said, I'm not even sure if I was the clinician at that time. Q Looking at page 5 of Exhibit B, we covered this. This is a little bit different. But does it say the patient is non-compliant with psychotropic 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 representing him. MS. DERRY: Again, Your Honor THE COURT: (Indiscernible) that question. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Are you are you treating Mr. Bigley different because of my representation than you would other patients? A Well, that's (indiscernible) on the decision of it. Usually with the we would there will be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q 2008. A 2008. Q I mean, I'm satisfied with the answer at this point, but if you want to supplement it, that's fine. A As I said, I'm not even sure if I was the clinician at that time. Q Looking at page 5 of Exhibit B, we covered this. This is a little bit different. But does it say the patient is non-compliant with psychotropic medications with his attorney's encouragement? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 representing him. MS. DERRY: Again, Your Honor THE COURT: (Indiscernible) that question. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Are you are you treating Mr. Bigley different because of my representation than you would other patients? A Well, that's (indiscernible) on the decision of it. Usually with the we would there will be patients that they will not be compliant with their
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q 2008. A 2008. Q I mean, I'm satisfied with the answer at this point, but if you want to supplement it, that's fine. A As I said, I'm not even sure if I was the clinician at that time. Q Looking at page 5 of Exhibit B, we covered this. This is a little bit different. But does it say the patient is non-compliant with psychotropic medications with his attorney's encouragement? A Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 representing him. MS. DERRY: Again, Your Honor THE COURT: (Indiscernible) that question. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Are you are you treating Mr. Bigley different because of my representation than you would other patients? A Well, that's (indiscernible) on the decision of it. Usually with the we would there will be patients that they will not be compliant with their medication based on their own either mental state or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q 2008. A 2008. Q I mean, I'm satisfied with the answer at this point, but if you want to supplement it, that's fine. A As I said, I'm not even sure if I was the clinician at that time. Q Looking at page 5 of Exhibit B, we covered this. This is a little bit different. But does it say the patient is non-compliant with psychotropic medications with his attorney's encouragement? A Yes. Q Okay. And what what did you mean by that? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 representing him. MS. DERRY: Again, Your Honor THE COURT: (Indiscernible) that question. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Are you are you treating Mr. Bigley different because of my representation than you would other patients? A Well, that's (indiscernible) on the decision of it. Usually with the we would there will be patients that they will not be compliant with their medication based on their own either mental state or the way (indiscernible) taking the medication. But it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q 2008. A 2008. Q I mean, I'm satisfied with the answer at this point, but if you want to supplement it, that's fine. A As I said, I'm not even sure if I was the clinician at that time. Q Looking at page 5 of Exhibit B, we covered this. This is a little bit different. But does it say the patient is non-compliant with psychotropic medications with his attorney's encouragement? A Yes. Q Okay. And what what did you mean by that? MS. DERRY: Objection. Dr. Khari did not 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 representing him. MS. DERRY: Again, Your Honor THE COURT: (Indiscernible) that question. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Are you are you treating Mr. Bigley different because of my representation than you would other patients? A Well, that's (indiscernible) on the decision of it. Usually with the we would there will be patients that they will not be compliant with their medication based on their own either mental state or the way (indiscernible) taking the medication. But it is not very common for me to see that actually a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q 2008. A 2008. Q I mean, I'm satisfied with the answer at this point, but if you want to supplement it, that's fine. A As I said, I'm not even sure if I was the clinician at that time. Q Looking at page 5 of Exhibit B, we covered this. This is a little bit different. But does it say the patient is non-compliant with psychotropic medications with his attorney's encouragement? A Yes. Q Okay. And what what did you mean by that? MS. DERRY: Objection. Dr. Khari did not (indiscernible) this record. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 representing him. MS. DERRY: Again, Your Honor THE COURT: (Indiscernible) that question. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Are you are you treating Mr. Bigley different because of my representation than you would other patients? A Well, that's (indiscernible) on the decision of it. Usually with the we would there will be patients that they will not be compliant with their medication based on their own either mental state or the way (indiscernible) taking the medication. But it is not very common for me to see that actually a lawyer get involved and encourage the individual not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q 2008. A 2008. Q I mean, I'm satisfied with the answer at this point, but if you want to supplement it, that's fine. A As I said, I'm not even sure if I was the clinician at that time. Q Looking at page 5 of Exhibit B, we covered this. This is a little bit different. But does it say the patient is non-compliant with psychotropic medications with his attorney's encouragement? A Yes. Q Okay. And what what did you mean by that? MS. DERRY: Objection. Dr. Khari did not (indiscernible) this record. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 representing him. MS. DERRY: Again, Your Honor THE COURT: (Indiscernible) that question. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Are you are you treating Mr. Bigley different because of my representation than you would other patients? A Well, that's (indiscernible) on the decision of it. Usually with the we would there will be patients that they will not be compliant with their medication based on their own either mental state or the way (indiscernible) taking the medication. But it is not very common for me to see that actually a lawyer get involved and encourage the individual not to take the medication.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q 2008. A 2008. Q I mean, I'm satisfied with the answer at this point, but if you want to supplement it, that's fine. A As I said, I'm not even sure if I was the clinician at that time. Q Looking at page 5 of Exhibit B, we covered this. This is a little bit different. But does it say the patient is non-compliant with psychotropic medications with his attorney's encouragement? A Yes. Q Okay. And what what did you mean by that? MS. DERRY: Objection. Dr. Khari did not (indiscernible) this record. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q On page 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 representing him. MS. DERRY: Again, Your Honor THE COURT: (Indiscernible) that question. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Are you are you treating Mr. Bigley different because of my representation than you would other patients? A Well, that's (indiscernible) on the decision of it. Usually with the we would there will be patients that they will not be compliant with their medication based on their own either mental state or the way (indiscernible) taking the medication. But it is not very common for me to see that actually a lawyer get involved and encourage the individual not to take the medication.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q 2008. A 2008. Q I mean, I'm satisfied with the answer at this point, but if you want to supplement it, that's fine. A As I said, I'm not even sure if I was the clinician at that time. Q Looking at page 5 of Exhibit B, we covered this. This is a little bit different. But does it say the patient is non-compliant with psychotropic medications with his attorney's encouragement? A Yes. Q Okay. And what what did you mean by that? MS. DERRY: Objection. Dr. Khari did not (indiscernible) this record. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q On page THE COURT: Say the objection. I didn't 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 representing him. MS. DERRY: Again, Your Honor THE COURT: (Indiscernible) that question. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Are you are you treating Mr. Bigley different because of my representation than you would other patients? A Well, that's (indiscernible) on the decision of it. Usually with the we would there will be patients that they will not be compliant with their medication based on their own either mental state or the way (indiscernible) taking the medication. But it is not very common for me to see that actually a lawyer get involved and encourage the individual not to take the medication. In answer to your question, so that has a way (indiscernible) conscious, subconscious level. And I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q 2008. A 2008. Q I mean, I'm satisfied with the answer at this point, but if you want to supplement it, that's fine. A As I said, I'm not even sure if I was the clinician at that time. Q Looking at page 5 of Exhibit B, we covered this. This is a little bit different. But does it say the patient is non-compliant with psychotropic medications with his attorney's encouragement? A Yes. Q Okay. And what what did you mean by that? MS. DERRY: Objection. Dr. Khari did not (indiscernible) this record. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q On page THE COURT: Say the objection. I didn't hear 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 representing him. MS. DERRY: Again, Your Honor THE COURT: (Indiscernible) that question. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Are you are you treating Mr. Bigley different because of my representation than you would other patients? A Well, that's (indiscernible) on the decision of it. Usually with the we would there will be patients that they will not be compliant with their medication based on their own either mental state or the way (indiscernible) taking the medication. But it is not very common for me to see that actually a lawyer get involved and encourage the individual not to take the medication. In answer to your question, so that has a way (indiscernible) conscious, subconscious level. And I will go back with what I answered earlier in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q 2008. A 2008. Q I mean, I'm satisfied with the answer at this point, but if you want to supplement it, that's fine. A As I said, I'm not even sure if I was the clinician at that time. Q Looking at page 5 of Exhibit B, we covered this. This is a little bit different. But does it say the patient is non-compliant with psychotropic medications with his attorney's encouragement? A Yes. Q Okay. And what what did you mean by that? MS. DERRY: Objection. Dr. Khari did not (indiscernible) this record. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q On page THE COURT: Say the objection. I didn't hear MS. DERRY: Objection. Dr. Khari did not 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	representing him. MS. DERRY: Again, Your Honor THE COURT: (Indiscernible) that question. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Are you are you treating Mr. Bigley different because of my representation than you would other patients? A Well, that's (indiscernible) on the decision of it. Usually with the we would there will be patients that they will not be compliant with their medication based on their own either mental state or the way (indiscernible) taking the medication. But it is not very common for me to see that actually a lawyer get involved and encourage the individual not to take the medication. In answer to your question, so that has a way (indiscernible) conscious, subconscious level. And I will go back with what I answered earlier in the testimony.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q 2008. A 2008. Q I mean, I'm satisfied with the answer at this point, but if you want to supplement it, that's fine. A As I said, I'm not even sure if I was the clinician at that time. Q Looking at page 5 of Exhibit B, we covered this. This is a little bit different. But does it say the patient is non-compliant with psychotropic medications with his attorney's encouragement? A Yes. Q Okay. And what what did you mean by that? MS. DERRY: Objection. Dr. Khari did not (indiscernible) this record. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q On page THE COURT: Say the objection. I didn't hear 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	representing him. MS. DERRY: Again, Your Honor THE COURT: (Indiscernible) that question. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Are you are you treating Mr. Bigley different because of my representation than you would other patients? A Well, that's (indiscernible) on the decision of it. Usually with the we would there will be patients that they will not be compliant with their medication based on their own either mental state or the way (indiscernible) taking the medication. But it is not very common for me to see that actually a lawyer get involved and encourage the individual not to take the medication. In answer to your question, so that has a way (indiscernible) conscious, subconscious level. And I will go back with what I answered earlier in the testimony. At the end, when the patient is being
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q 2008. A 2008. Q I mean, I'm satisfied with the answer at this point, but if you want to supplement it, that's fine. A As I said, I'm not even sure if I was the clinician at that time. Q Looking at page 5 of Exhibit B, we covered this. This is a little bit different. But does it say the patient is non-compliant with psychotropic medications with his attorney's encouragement? A Yes. Q Okay. And what what did you mean by that? MS. DERRY: Objection. Dr. Khari did not (indiscernible) this record. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q On page THE COURT: Say the objection. I didn't hear MS. DERRY: Objection. Dr. Khari did not author this record. She merely signed off on it, as Mr. Gottstein is aware. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	representing him. MS. DERRY: Again, Your Honor THE COURT: (Indiscernible) that question. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Are you are you treating Mr. Bigley different because of my representation than you would other patients? A Well, that's (indiscernible) on the decision of it. Usually with the we would there will be patients that they will not be compliant with their medication based on their own either mental state or the way (indiscernible) taking the medication. But it is not very common for me to see that actually a lawyer get involved and encourage the individual not to take the medication. In answer to your question, so that has a way (indiscernible) conscious, subconscious level. And I will go back with what I answered earlier in the testimony.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q 2008. A 2008. Q I mean, I'm satisfied with the answer at this point, but if you want to supplement it, that's fine. A As I said, I'm not even sure if I was the clinician at that time. Q Looking at page 5 of Exhibit B, we covered this. This is a little bit different. But does it say the patient is non-compliant with psychotropic medications with his attorney's encouragement? A Yes. Q Okay. And what what did you mean by that? MS. DERRY: Objection. Dr. Khari did not (indiscernible) this record. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q On page THE COURT: Say the objection. I didn't hear MS. DERRY: Objection. Dr. Khari did not author this record. She merely signed off on it, as Mr. Gottstein is aware. THE COURT: She signed off on it? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	representing him. MS. DERRY: Again, Your Honor THE COURT: (Indiscernible) that question. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Are you are you treating Mr. Bigley different because of my representation than you would other patients? A Well, that's (indiscernible) on the decision of it. Usually with the we would there will be patients that they will not be compliant with their medication based on their own either mental state or the way (indiscernible) taking the medication. But it is not very common for me to see that actually a lawyer get involved and encourage the individual not to take the medication. In answer to your question, so that has a way (indiscernible) conscious, subconscious level. And I will go back with what I answered earlier in the testimony. At the end, when the patient is being discharged, I think (indiscernible), we are finding him in the state that he doesn't meet the criteria to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q 2008. A 2008. Q I mean, I'm satisfied with the answer at this point, but if you want to supplement it, that's fine. A As I said, I'm not even sure if I was the clinician at that time. Q Looking at page 5 of Exhibit B, we covered this. This is a little bit different. But does it say the patient is non-compliant with psychotropic medications with his attorney's encouragement? A Yes. Q Okay. And what what did you mean by that? MS. DERRY: Objection. Dr. Khari did not (indiscernible) this record. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q On page THE COURT: Say the objection. I didn't hear MS. DERRY: Objection. Dr. Khari did not author this record. She merely signed off on it, as Mr. Gottstein is aware. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	representing him. MS. DERRY: Again, Your Honor THE COURT: (Indiscernible) that question. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Are you are you treating Mr. Bigley different because of my representation than you would other patients? A Well, that's (indiscernible) on the decision of it. Usually with the we would there will be patients that they will not be compliant with their medication based on their own either mental state or the way (indiscernible) taking the medication. But it is not very common for me to see that actually a lawyer get involved and encourage the individual not to take the medication. In answer to your question, so that has a way (indiscernible) conscious, subconscious level. And I will go back with what I answered earlier in the testimony. At the end, when the patient is being discharged, I think (indiscernible), we are finding
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q 2008. A 2008. Q I mean, I'm satisfied with the answer at this point, but if you want to supplement it, that's fine. A As I said, I'm not even sure if I was the clinician at that time. Q Looking at page 5 of Exhibit B, we covered this. This is a little bit different. But does it say the patient is non-compliant with psychotropic medications with his attorney's encouragement? A Yes. Q Okay. And what what did you mean by that? MS. DERRY: Objection. Dr. Khari did not (indiscernible) this record. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q On page THE COURT: Say the objection. I didn't hear MS. DERRY: Objection. Dr. Khari did not author this record. She merely signed off on it, as Mr. Gottstein is aware. THE COURT: She signed off on it? MS. DERRY: Another required by the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	representing him. MS. DERRY: Again, Your Honor THE COURT: (Indiscernible) that question. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Are you are you treating Mr. Bigley different because of my representation than you would other patients? A Well, that's (indiscernible) on the decision of it. Usually with the we would there will be patients that they will not be compliant with their medication based on their own either mental state or the way (indiscernible) taking the medication. But it is not very common for me to see that actually a lawyer get involved and encourage the individual not to take the medication. In answer to your question, so that has a way (indiscernible) conscious, subconscious level. And I will go back with what I answered earlier in the testimony. At the end, when the patient is being discharged, I think (indiscernible), we are finding him in the state that he doesn't meet the criteria to stay in the hospital. So there is no reason to give

	Page 158		Page 160
1	clinician, I have my obligation to continue to	1	And, Your Honor, may I speak to Dr. Khari
2	(indiscernible), but would that benefit my patient.	2	privately for just a moment?
3	So my treatment is not going to change, because still	3	THE COURT: No.
4	I would continue to say what I think they would	4	MS. DERRY: You can answer. Absolutely pull
5	benefit from.	5	no punches.
6	Q So I I by this when you say	б	THE WITNESS: I try to be honest with him.
7	attorney's encouragement, do you mean that I'm	7	MS. DERRY: I'm sorry?
8	encouraging him to be none compliant?	8	THE COURT: When I say you can't consult
9	MS. DERRY: Objection, relevance.	9	privately, you can't just then turn around and tell
10	THE WITNESS: Again, I did not	10	her what you wanted to say privately. You can't talk
11	(indiscernible) this, but I co-signed it.	11	to your witness during her examination.
12	And that statement, yes, I do understand that	12	MS. DERRY: Yes, Your Honor.
13	you do recommend him. And he has mentioned it in some	13	THE COURT: If I have to move you out of this
14	level of his psychosis or through the engagement.	14	room, I will do that.
15	When medication issue came up, he has told me himself	15	Now, I realize that you are in close quarters
16	directly few times that you will be in prison,	16	(indiscernible) proceeding (indiscernible), and I
17	Gottstein will take care of you, and that you cannot	17	realize I have to tolerate some of that, and I will
18	give me medication against my wish.	18	continue to tolerate some of that. But you may not
19	And my sense my sense and my understanding	19	talk to her when I just told you not to.
20	of the whole (indiscernible) aspect from different	20	MS. DERRY: I'm sorry, Your Honor.
21	different angles, that and also through the Court, you	21	THE COURT: Please state
22	know, you are not really supporting you do not	22	MR. GOTTSTEIN: Yeah. No.
23 24	think that he is benefiting from psychotropic medication and he should not take it. From that	23	THE COURT: Ask the question.
24 25	context, yeah.	24 25	BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: O Why why do you think that?
		20	
	Dago Ibul		
1	Page 159	1	Page 161
1	Q Well, all that mostly (indiscernible) as his	1	A I (indiscernible).
2	Q Well, all that mostly (indiscernible) as his attorney, I am trying to represent his rights his	2	A I (indiscernible).Q Well, why do you think that I am encouraging
2 3	Q Well, all that mostly (indiscernible) as his attorney, I am trying to represent his rights his legal right to not take medications (indiscernible),	2 3	A I (indiscernible).Q Well, why do you think that I am encouragingMr. Bigley to be non-compliant?
2 3 4	Q Well, all that mostly (indiscernible) as his attorney, I am trying to represent his rights his legal right to not take medications (indiscernible), as well.	2 3 4	A I (indiscernible).Q Well, why do you think that I am encouragingMr. Bigley to be non-compliant?A Well, based on the repeated action that you
2 3 4 5	Q Well, all that mostly (indiscernible) as his attorney, I am trying to represent his rights his legal right to not take medications (indiscernible), as well. MS. DERRY: Objection, relevance, foundation,	2 3 4 5	A I (indiscernible).Q Well, why do you think that I am encouragingMr. Bigley to be non-compliant?A Well, based on the repeated action that youhave (indiscernible) medication should not be given to
2 3 4 5 6	Q Well, all that mostly (indiscernible) as his attorney, I am trying to represent his rights his legal right to not take medications (indiscernible), as well. MS. DERRY: Objection, relevance, foundation, speculation.	2 3 4 5 6	A I (indiscernible).Q Well, why do you think that I am encouragingMr. Bigley to be non-compliant?A Well, based on the repeated action that you have (indiscernible) medication should not be given toMr. Bigley, no medication should be given to him.
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q Well, all that mostly (indiscernible) as his attorney, I am trying to represent his rights his legal right to not take medications (indiscernible), as well. MS. DERRY: Objection, relevance, foundation, speculation. THE COURT: Make it a question.	2 3 4 5 6 7	 A I (indiscernible). Q Well, why do you think that I am encouraging Mr. Bigley to be non-compliant? A Well, based on the repeated action that you have (indiscernible) medication should not be given to Mr. Bigley, no medication should be given to him. And based on some of the statement that he
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q Well, all that mostly (indiscernible) as his attorney, I am trying to represent his rights his legal right to not take medications (indiscernible), as well. MS. DERRY: Objection, relevance, foundation, speculation. THE COURT: Make it a question. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A I (indiscernible). Q Well, why do you think that I am encouraging Mr. Bigley to be non-compliant? A Well, based on the repeated action that you have (indiscernible) medication should not be given to Mr. Bigley, no medication should be given to him. And based on some of the statement that he has made, he he does not he feels like he's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q Well, all that mostly (indiscernible) as his attorney, I am trying to represent his rights his legal right to not take medications (indiscernible), as well. MS. DERRY: Objection, relevance, foundation, speculation. THE COURT: Make it a question. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q So when you mean encouragement, are you 	2 3 4 5 6 7	 A I (indiscernible). Q Well, why do you think that I am encouraging Mr. Bigley to be non-compliant? A Well, based on the repeated action that you have (indiscernible) medication should not be given to Mr. Bigley, no medication should be given to him. And based on some of the statement that he has made, he he does not he feels like he's you are representing him and you are asking him not to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q Well, all that mostly (indiscernible) as his attorney, I am trying to represent his rights his legal right to not take medications (indiscernible), as well. MS. DERRY: Objection, relevance, foundation, speculation. THE COURT: Make it a question. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q So when you mean encouragement, are you are you just talking about my my representation of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A I (indiscernible). Q Well, why do you think that I am encouraging Mr. Bigley to be non-compliant? A Well, based on the repeated action that you have (indiscernible) medication should not be given to Mr. Bigley, no medication should be given to him. And based on some of the statement that he has made, he he does not he feels like he's you are representing him and you are asking him not to take medication, and if he does take medication when
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q Well, all that mostly (indiscernible) as his attorney, I am trying to represent his rights his legal right to not take medications (indiscernible), as well. MS. DERRY: Objection, relevance, foundation, speculation. THE COURT: Make it a question. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q So when you mean encouragement, are you 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A I (indiscernible). Q Well, why do you think that I am encouraging Mr. Bigley to be non-compliant? A Well, based on the repeated action that you have (indiscernible) medication should not be given to Mr. Bigley, no medication should be given to him. And based on some of the statement that he has made, he he does not he feels like he's you are representing him and you are asking him not to take medication, and if he does take medication when he doesn't want to take it, from his aspect, that's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q Well, all that mostly (indiscernible) as his attorney, I am trying to represent his rights his legal right to not take medications (indiscernible), as well. MS. DERRY: Objection, relevance, foundation, speculation. THE COURT: Make it a question. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q So when you mean encouragement, are you are you just talking about my my representation of him A Well	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A I (indiscernible). Q Well, why do you think that I am encouraging Mr. Bigley to be non-compliant? A Well, based on the repeated action that you have (indiscernible) medication should not be given to Mr. Bigley, no medication should be given to him. And based on some of the statement that he has made, he he does not he feels like he's you are representing him and you are asking him not to take medication, and if he does take medication when
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q Well, all that mostly (indiscernible) as his attorney, I am trying to represent his rights his legal right to not take medications (indiscernible), as well. MS. DERRY: Objection, relevance, foundation, speculation. THE COURT: Make it a question. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q So when you mean encouragement, are you are you just talking about my my representation of him A Well 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A I (indiscernible). Q Well, why do you think that I am encouraging Mr. Bigley to be non-compliant? A Well, based on the repeated action that you have (indiscernible) medication should not be given to Mr. Bigley, no medication should be given to him. And based on some of the statement that he has made, he he does not he feels like he's you are representing him and you are asking him not to take medication, and if he does take medication when he doesn't want to take it, from his aspect, that's we will end up to go to jail, you know, all of us
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q Well, all that mostly (indiscernible) as his attorney, I am trying to represent his rights his legal right to not take medications (indiscernible), as well. MS. DERRY: Objection, relevance, foundation, speculation. THE COURT: Make it a question. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q So when you mean encouragement, are you are you just talking about my my representation of him A Well Q or do you think that I'm actually 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A I (indiscernible). Q Well, why do you think that I am encouraging Mr. Bigley to be non-compliant? A Well, based on the repeated action that you have (indiscernible) medication should not be given to Mr. Bigley, no medication should be given to him. And based on some of the statement that he has made, he he does not he feels like he's you are representing him and you are asking him not to take medication, and if he does take medication when he doesn't want to take it, from his aspect, that's we will end up to go to jail, you know, all of us together.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q Well, all that mostly (indiscernible) as his attorney, I am trying to represent his rights his legal right to not take medications (indiscernible), as well. MS. DERRY: Objection, relevance, foundation, speculation. THE COURT: Make it a question. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q So when you mean encouragement, are you are you just talking about my my representation of him A Well Q or do you think that I'm actually encouraging him not to take medication? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A I (indiscernible). Q Well, why do you think that I am encouraging Mr. Bigley to be non-compliant? A Well, based on the repeated action that you have (indiscernible) medication should not be given to Mr. Bigley, no medication should be given to him. And based on some of the statement that he has made, he he does not he feels like he's you are representing him and you are asking him not to take medication, and if he does take medication when he doesn't want to take it, from his aspect, that's we will end up to go to jail, you know, all of us together. So how you (indiscernible), basically that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q Well, all that mostly (indiscernible) as his attorney, I am trying to represent his rights his legal right to not take medications (indiscernible), as well. MS. DERRY: Objection, relevance, foundation, speculation. THE COURT: Make it a question. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q So when you mean encouragement, are you are you just talking about my my representation of him A Well Q or do you think that I'm actually encouraging him not to take medication? MS. DERRY: Object to form, (indiscernible). THE WITNESS: My understanding is that both 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A I (indiscernible). Q Well, why do you think that I am encouraging Mr. Bigley to be non-compliant? A Well, based on the repeated action that you have (indiscernible) medication should not be given to Mr. Bigley, no medication should be given to him. And based on some of the statement that he has made, he he does not he feels like he's you are representing him and you are asking him not to take medication, and if he does take medication when he doesn't want to take it, from his aspect, that's we will end up to go to jail, you know, all of us together. So how you (indiscernible), basically that you are continually asking that the (indiscernible) no
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q Well, all that mostly (indiscernible) as his attorney, I am trying to represent his rights his legal right to not take medications (indiscernible), as well. MS. DERRY: Objection, relevance, foundation, speculation. THE COURT: Make it a question. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q So when you mean encouragement, are you are you just talking about my my representation of him A Well Q or do you think that I'm actually encouraging him not to take medication? MS. DERRY: Object to form, (indiscernible). 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A I (indiscernible). Q Well, why do you think that I am encouraging Mr. Bigley to be non-compliant? A Well, based on the repeated action that you have (indiscernible) medication should not be given to Mr. Bigley, no medication should be given to him. And based on some of the statement that he has made, he he does not he feels like he's you are representing him and you are asking him not to take medication, and if he does take medication when he doesn't want to take it, from his aspect, that's we will end up to go to jail, you know, all of us together. So how you (indiscernible), basically that you are continually asking that the (indiscernible) no medication to be given on a scheduled dose or in emergency setting situation to be given to him.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q Well, all that mostly (indiscernible) as his attorney, I am trying to represent his rights his legal right to not take medications (indiscernible), as well. MS. DERRY: Objection, relevance, foundation, speculation. THE COURT: Make it a question. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q So when you mean encouragement, are you are you just talking about my my representation of him A Well Q or do you think that I'm actually encouraging him not to take medication? MS. DERRY: Object to form, (indiscernible). THE WITNESS: My understanding is that both apply, both what you are saying, that applies in this case. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A I (indiscernible). Q Well, why do you think that I am encouraging Mr. Bigley to be non-compliant? A Well, based on the repeated action that you have (indiscernible) medication should not be given to Mr. Bigley, no medication should be given to him. And based on some of the statement that he has made, he he does not he feels like he's you are representing him and you are asking him not to take medication, and if he does take medication when he doesn't want to take it, from his aspect, that's we will end up to go to jail, you know, all of us together. So how you (indiscernible), basically that you are continually asking that the (indiscernible) no medication to be given on a scheduled dose or in emergency setting situation to be given to him. Q Isn't it true that I've always done that when he doesn't want to?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q Well, all that mostly (indiscernible) as his attorney, I am trying to represent his rights his legal right to not take medications (indiscernible), as well. MS. DERRY: Objection, relevance, foundation, speculation. THE COURT: Make it a question. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q So when you mean encouragement, are you are you just talking about my my representation of him A Well Q or do you think that I'm actually encouraging him not to take medication? MS. DERRY: Object to form, (indiscernible). THE WITNESS: My understanding is that both apply, both what you are saying, that applies in this case. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A I (indiscernible). Q Well, why do you think that I am encouraging Mr. Bigley to be non-compliant? A Well, based on the repeated action that you have (indiscernible) medication should not be given to Mr. Bigley, no medication should be given to him. And based on some of the statement that he has made, he he does not he feels like he's you are representing him and you are asking him not to take medication, and if he does take medication when he doesn't want to take it, from his aspect, that's we will end up to go to jail, you know, all of us together. So how you (indiscernible), basically that you are continually asking that the (indiscernible) no medication to be given on a scheduled dose or in emergency setting situation to be given to him. Q Isn't it true that I've always done that when he doesn't want to? MS. DERRY: Objection. He's asking her to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q Well, all that mostly (indiscernible) as his attorney, I am trying to represent his rights his legal right to not take medications (indiscernible), as well. MS. DERRY: Objection, relevance, foundation, speculation. THE COURT: Make it a question. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q So when you mean encouragement, are you are you just talking about my my representation of him A Well Q or do you think that I'm actually encouraging him not to take medication? MS. DERRY: Object to form, (indiscernible). THE WITNESS: My understanding is that both apply, both what you are saying, that applies in this case. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q And why why would you have that? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A I (indiscernible). Q Well, why do you think that I am encouraging Mr. Bigley to be non-compliant? A Well, based on the repeated action that you have (indiscernible) medication should not be given to Mr. Bigley, no medication should be given to him. And based on some of the statement that he has made, he he does not he feels like he's you are representing him and you are asking him not to take medication, and if he does take medication when he doesn't want to take it, from his aspect, that's we will end up to go to jail, you know, all of us together. So how you (indiscernible), basically that you are continually asking that the (indiscernible) no medication to be given on a scheduled dose or in emergency setting situation to be given to him. Q Isn't it true that I've always done that when he doesn't want to? MS. DERRY: Objection. He's asking her to comment on the ultimate legal issue.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q Well, all that mostly (indiscernible) as his attorney, I am trying to represent his rights his legal right to not take medications (indiscernible), as well. MS. DERRY: Objection, relevance, foundation, speculation. THE COURT: Make it a question. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q So when you mean encouragement, are you are you just talking about my my representation of him A Well Q or do you think that I'm actually encouraging him not to take medication? MS. DERRY: Object to form, (indiscernible). THE WITNESS: My understanding is that both apply, both what you are saying, that applies in this case. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q And why why would you have that? A What do you mean by that? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A I (indiscernible). Q Well, why do you think that I am encouraging Mr. Bigley to be non-compliant? A Well, based on the repeated action that you have (indiscernible) medication should not be given to Mr. Bigley, no medication should be given to him. And based on some of the statement that he has made, he he does not he feels like he's you are representing him and you are asking him not to take medication, and if he does take medication when he doesn't want to take it, from his aspect, that's we will end up to go to jail, you know, all of us together. So how you (indiscernible), basically that you are continually asking that the (indiscernible) no medication to be given on a scheduled dose or in emergency setting situation to be given to him. Q Isn't it true that I've always done that when he doesn't want to? MS. DERRY: Objection. He's asking her to comment on the ultimate legal issue. THE COURT: (Indiscernible.) Do that, but
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q Well, all that mostly (indiscernible) as his attorney, I am trying to represent his rights his legal right to not take medications (indiscernible), as well. MS. DERRY: Objection, relevance, foundation, speculation. THE COURT: Make it a question. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q So when you mean encouragement, are you are you just talking about my my representation of him A Well Q or do you think that I'm actually encouraging him not to take medication? MS. DERRY: Object to form, (indiscernible). THE WITNESS: My understanding is that both apply, both what you are saying, that applies in this case. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q And why why would you have that? A What do you mean by that? Q Why would you think that? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A I (indiscernible). Q Well, why do you think that I am encouraging Mr. Bigley to be non-compliant? A Well, based on the repeated action that you have (indiscernible) medication should not be given to Mr. Bigley, no medication should be given to him. And based on some of the statement that he has made, he he does not he feels like he's you are representing him and you are asking him not to take medication, and if he does take medication when he doesn't want to take it, from his aspect, that's we will end up to go to jail, you know, all of us together. So how you (indiscernible), basically that you are continually asking that the (indiscernible) no medication to be given on a scheduled dose or in emergency setting situation to be given to him. Q Isn't it true that I've always done that when he doesn't want to? MS. DERRY: Objection. He's asking her to comment on the ultimate legal issue. THE COURT: (Indiscernible.) Do that, but why is this important?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q Well, all that mostly (indiscernible) as his attorney, I am trying to represent his rights his legal right to not take medications (indiscernible), as well. MS. DERRY: Objection, relevance, foundation, speculation. THE COURT: Make it a question. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q So when you mean encouragement, are you are you just talking about my my representation of him A Well Q or do you think that I'm actually encouraging him not to take medication? MS. DERRY: Object to form, (indiscernible). THE WITNESS: My understanding is that both apply, both what you are saying, that applies in this case. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q And why why would you have that? A What do you mean by that? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A I (indiscernible). Q Well, why do you think that I am encouraging Mr. Bigley to be non-compliant? A Well, based on the repeated action that you have (indiscernible) medication should not be given to Mr. Bigley, no medication should be given to him. And based on some of the statement that he has made, he he does not he feels like he's you are representing him and you are asking him not to take medication, and if he does take medication when he doesn't want to take it, from his aspect, that's we will end up to go to jail, you know, all of us together. So how you (indiscernible), basically that you are continually asking that the (indiscernible) no medication to be given on a scheduled dose or in emergency setting situation to be given to him. Q Isn't it true that I've always done that when he doesn't want to? MS. DERRY: Objection. He's asking her to comment on the ultimate legal issue. THE COURT: (Indiscernible.) Do that, but

	Page 162		Page 164
1	But she's already answered that. I'll move on.	1	THE WITNESS: It's not necessarily I am
2	BY MR. GOTTSTEIN:	2	saying that there is brain injury. But however, as we
3	Q So I guess I mean, I guess I'm concerned	3	talked earlier during the the not the specific
4	about your statement about I'll ask a question. So	4	study. Overall, the general reading of different
5	the fact that does the fact that you co-signed this	5	report that they there is changes in the brain of
6	mean that you don't necessarily understand and agree	6	the individual with schizophrenia.
7	to everything in it?	7	There is one plus doing the imaging is
8	A No, that's not what I said. I said I support	8	just generally to get a good overview of, you know,
9	what the information is. I said that I did not review	9	what the imaging presents.
10	the information, but I I support the information	10	BY MR. GOTTSTEIN:
11	that is (indiscernible) information that is in that	11	Q So you are asking the Court to approve
12	(indiscernible) report.	12	administering him psychotropic drugs that cause brain
13	Q But I think you said you didn't know what	13	damage without knowing his current brain status; is
14	that meant, but didn't you?	14	that correct?
15	A I can't recall that. I cannot recall that.	15	MS. DERRY: I'm going to object to the
16	Q Have you assessed Mr. Bigley for possible	16	statement that psychotropic drugs cause brain damage.
17	brain damage?	17	THE COURT: Sustained.
18	A Every time for last few admissions, every	18	BY MR. GOTTSTEIN:
19	time that he did come to hospital, he refuse all form	19	Q Didn't you testify that psychotropic drugs
20 21	of intervention and however, I was hoping at some	20 21	cause brain damage? A No.
22	point if I get him to a stable level, he would benefit from some imaging of the head. But I've never reached	21	Q Didn't you testify that it causes tardive
23	the level that he could cooperate with me.	23	dyskinesia?
24	But I have done some levels of that not	24	A Yes.
25	many. Most of the time he refuse. But mostly some	25	Q And isn't that as a result of damage to the
	· · · ·		č – č
	Page 163		Page 165
1	Page 163	1	Page 165
1	lab work of (indiscernible) looked didn't	1	brain?
2	lab work of (indiscernible) looked didn't (indiscernible) or anything because he didn't consent.	2	brain? A (Indiscernible) medication, the newer
2 3	lab work of (indiscernible) looked didn't(indiscernible) or anything because he didn't consent.Q But you haven't done any specific neuro	2 3	brain? A (Indiscernible) medication, the newer antipsychotic medication (indiscernible) protective.
2 3 4	lab work of (indiscernible) looked didn't(indiscernible) or anything because he didn't consent.Q But you haven't done any specific neuroneuro psych type testing, have you?	2 3 4	brain? A (Indiscernible) medication, the newer antipsychotic medication (indiscernible) protective. Q But you couldn't cite any studies to that?
2 3	 lab work of (indiscernible) looked didn't (indiscernible) or anything because he didn't consent. Q But you haven't done any specific neuro neuro psych type testing, have you? MS. DERRY: Objection, asked and answered. 	2 3	brain?A (Indiscernible) medication, the newer antipsychotic medication (indiscernible) protective.Q But you couldn't cite any studies to that?A But that is the side effect. Side effect is
2 3 4 5	lab work of (indiscernible) looked didn't(indiscernible) or anything because he didn't consent.Q But you haven't done any specific neuroneuro psych type testing, have you?	2 3 4 5	brain?A (Indiscernible) medication, the newer antipsychotic medication (indiscernible) protective.Q But you couldn't cite any studies to that?A But that is the side effect. Side effect is different than the brain damage.
2 3 4 5 6	 lab work of (indiscernible) looked didn't (indiscernible) or anything because he didn't consent. Q But you haven't done any specific neuro neuro psych type testing, have you? MS. DERRY: Objection, asked and answered. THE COURT: Overruled. 	2 3 4 5 6	brain?A (Indiscernible) medication, the newer antipsychotic medication (indiscernible) protective.Q But you couldn't cite any studies to that?A But that is the side effect. Side effect is
2 3 4 5 6 7	 lab work of (indiscernible) looked didn't (indiscernible) or anything because he didn't consent. Q But you haven't done any specific neuro neuro psych type testing, have you? MS. DERRY: Objection, asked and answered. THE COURT: Overruled. MR. GOTTSTEIN: I think she said no. 	2 3 4 5 6 7	 brain? A (Indiscernible) medication, the newer antipsychotic medication (indiscernible) protective. Q But you couldn't cite any studies to that? A But that is the side effect. Side effect is different than the brain damage. Q Oh, I see. So brain damage is just a side
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 lab work of (indiscernible) looked didn't (indiscernible) or anything because he didn't consent. Q But you haven't done any specific neuro neuro psych type testing, have you? MS. DERRY: Objection, asked and answered. THE COURT: Overruled. MR. GOTTSTEIN: I think she said no. THE WITNESS: As I said, he did not 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 brain? A (Indiscernible) medication, the newer antipsychotic medication (indiscernible) protective. Q But you couldn't cite any studies to that? A But that is the side effect. Side effect is different than the brain damage. Q Oh, I see. So brain damage is just a side effect?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 lab work of (indiscernible) looked didn't (indiscernible) or anything because he didn't consent. Q But you haven't done any specific neuro neuro psych type testing, have you? MS. DERRY: Objection, asked and answered. THE COURT: Overruled. MR. GOTTSTEIN: I think she said no. THE WITNESS: As I said, he did not cooperate, so no neuro psych testing or no imaging of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 brain? A (Indiscernible) medication, the newer antipsychotic medication (indiscernible) protective. Q But you couldn't cite any studies to that? A But that is the side effect. Side effect is different than the brain damage. Q Oh, I see. So brain damage is just a side effect? A Well, I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 lab work of (indiscernible) looked didn't (indiscernible) or anything because he didn't consent. Q But you haven't done any specific neuro neuro psych type testing, have you? MS. DERRY: Objection, asked and answered. THE COURT: Overruled. MR. GOTTSTEIN: I think she said no. THE WITNESS: As I said, he did not cooperate, so no neuro psych testing or no imaging of the head has done actually done. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Would you have done that if he do you 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 brain? A (Indiscernible) medication, the newer antipsychotic medication (indiscernible) protective. Q But you couldn't cite any studies to that? A But that is the side effect. Side effect is different than the brain damage. Q Oh, I see. So brain damage is just a side effect? A Well, I MS. DERRY: Objection, mischaracterization of the witness THE COURT: Sustained. (Indiscernible.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 lab work of (indiscernible) looked didn't (indiscernible) or anything because he didn't consent. Q But you haven't done any specific neuro neuro psych type testing, have you? MS. DERRY: Objection, asked and answered. THE COURT: Overruled. MR. GOTTSTEIN: I think she said no. THE WITNESS: As I said, he did not cooperate, so no neuro psych testing or no imaging of the head has done actually done. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Would you have done that if he do you think it's a good idea? Is he cooperating? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 brain? A (Indiscernible) medication, the newer antipsychotic medication (indiscernible) protective. Q But you couldn't cite any studies to that? A But that is the side effect. Side effect is different than the brain damage. Q Oh, I see. So brain damage is just a side effect? A Well, I MS. DERRY: Objection, mischaracterization of the witness THE COURT: Sustained. (Indiscernible.) MR. GOTTSTEIN: All right. I'll move on.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 lab work of (indiscernible) looked didn't (indiscernible) or anything because he didn't consent. Q But you haven't done any specific neuro neuro psych type testing, have you? MS. DERRY: Objection, asked and answered. THE COURT: Overruled. MR. GOTTSTEIN: I think she said no. THE WITNESS: As I said, he did not cooperate, so no neuro psych testing or no imaging of the head has done actually done. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Would you have done that if he do you think it's a good idea? Is he cooperating? A I'm not really sure about neuro psych 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 brain? A (Indiscernible) medication, the newer antipsychotic medication (indiscernible) protective. Q But you couldn't cite any studies to that? A But that is the side effect. Side effect is different than the brain damage. Q Oh, I see. So brain damage is just a side effect? A Well, I MS. DERRY: Objection, mischaracterization of the witness THE COURT: Sustained. (Indiscernible.) MR. GOTTSTEIN: All right. I'll move on. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 lab work of (indiscernible) looked didn't (indiscernible) or anything because he didn't consent. Q But you haven't done any specific neuro neuro psych type testing, have you? MS. DERRY: Objection, asked and answered. THE COURT: Overruled. MR. GOTTSTEIN: I think she said no. THE WITNESS: As I said, he did not cooperate, so no neuro psych testing or no imaging of the head has done actually done. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Would you have done that if he do you think it's a good idea? Is he cooperating? A I'm not really sure about neuro psych testing. I don't know how much would that provide for 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 brain? A (Indiscernible) medication, the newer antipsychotic medication (indiscernible) protective. Q But you couldn't cite any studies to that? A But that is the side effect. Side effect is different than the brain damage. Q Oh, I see. So brain damage is just a side effect? A Well, I MS. DERRY: Objection, mischaracterization of the witness THE COURT: Sustained. (Indiscernible.) MR. GOTTSTEIN: All right. I'll move on. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Now, on page 12 of the of Exhibit B,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 lab work of (indiscernible) looked didn't (indiscernible) or anything because he didn't consent. Q But you haven't done any specific neuro neuro psych type testing, have you? MS. DERRY: Objection, asked and answered. THE COURT: Overruled. MR. GOTTSTEIN: I think she said no. THE WITNESS: As I said, he did not cooperate, so no neuro psych testing or no imaging of the head has done actually done. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Would you have done that if he do you think it's a good idea? Is he cooperating? A I'm not really sure about neuro psych testing. I don't know how much would that provide for me at this point. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 brain? A (Indiscernible) medication, the newer antipsychotic medication (indiscernible) protective. Q But you couldn't cite any studies to that? A But that is the side effect. Side effect is different than the brain damage. Q Oh, I see. So brain damage is just a side effect? A Well, I MS. DERRY: Objection, mischaracterization of the witness THE COURT: Sustained. (Indiscernible.) MR. GOTTSTEIN: All right. I'll move on. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Now, on page 12 of the of Exhibit B, 10/20/08, it says that Mr. Bigley has one adult
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 lab work of (indiscernible) looked didn't (indiscernible) or anything because he didn't consent. Q But you haven't done any specific neuro neuro psych type testing, have you? MS. DERRY: Objection, asked and answered. THE COURT: Overruled. MR. GOTTSTEIN: I think she said no. THE WITNESS: As I said, he did not cooperate, so no neuro psych testing or no imaging of the head has done actually done. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Would you have done that if he do you think it's a good idea? Is he cooperating? A I'm not really sure about neuro psych testing. I don't know how much would that provide for me at this point. But I would like to do imaging of the head 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 brain? A (Indiscernible) medication, the newer antipsychotic medication (indiscernible) protective. Q But you couldn't cite any studies to that? A But that is the side effect. Side effect is different than the brain damage. Q Oh, I see. So brain damage is just a side effect? A Well, I MS. DERRY: Objection, mischaracterization of the witness THE COURT: Sustained. (Indiscernible.) MR. GOTTSTEIN: All right. I'll move on. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Now, on page 12 of the of Exhibit B, 10/20/08, it says that Mr. Bigley has one adult daughter. Is that true?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 lab work of (indiscernible) looked didn't (indiscernible) or anything because he didn't consent. Q But you haven't done any specific neuro neuro psych type testing, have you? MS. DERRY: Objection, asked and answered. THE COURT: Overruled. MR. GOTTSTEIN: I think she said no. THE WITNESS: As I said, he did not cooperate, so no neuro psych testing or no imaging of the head has done actually done. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Would you have done that if he do you think it's a good idea? Is he cooperating? A I'm not really sure about neuro psych testing. I don't know how much would that provide for me at this point. But I would like to do imaging of the head first, and then from there, based on that result, then 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 brain? A (Indiscernible) medication, the newer antipsychotic medication (indiscernible) protective. Q But you couldn't cite any studies to that? A But that is the side effect. Side effect is different than the brain damage. Q Oh, I see. So brain damage is just a side effect? A Well, I MS. DERRY: Objection, mischaracterization of the witness THE COURT: Sustained. (Indiscernible.) MR. GOTTSTEIN: All right. I'll move on. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Now, on page 12 of the of Exhibit B, 10/20/08, it says that Mr. Bigley has one adult daughter. Is that true? A I got that information from the chart, so I'm
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 lab work of (indiscernible) looked didn't (indiscernible) or anything because he didn't consent. Q But you haven't done any specific neuro neuro psych type testing, have you? MS. DERRY: Objection, asked and answered. THE COURT: Overruled. MR. GOTTSTEIN: I think she said no. THE WITNESS: As I said, he did not cooperate, so no neuro psych testing or no imaging of the head has done actually done. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Would you have done that if he do you think it's a good idea? Is he cooperating? A I'm not really sure about neuro psych testing. I don't know how much would that provide for me at this point. But I would like to do imaging of the head first, and then from there, based on that result, then I need to think about next step, whatever the result 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 brain? A (Indiscernible) medication, the newer antipsychotic medication (indiscernible) protective. Q But you couldn't cite any studies to that? A But that is the side effect. Side effect is different than the brain damage. Q Oh, I see. So brain damage is just a side effect? A Well, I MS. DERRY: Objection, mischaracterization of the witness THE COURT: Sustained. (Indiscernible.) MR. GOTTSTEIN: All right. I'll move on. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Now, on page 12 of the of Exhibit B, 10/20/08, it says that Mr. Bigley has one adult daughter. Is that true? A I got that information from the chart, so I'm not really
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 lab work of (indiscernible) looked didn't (indiscernible) or anything because he didn't consent. Q But you haven't done any specific neuro neuro psych type testing, have you? MS. DERRY: Objection, asked and answered. THE COURT: Overruled. MR. GOTTSTEIN: I think she said no. THE WITNESS: As I said, he did not cooperate, so no neuro psych testing or no imaging of the head has done actually done. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Would you have done that if he do you think it's a good idea? Is he cooperating? A I'm not really sure about neuro psych testing. I don't know how much would that provide for me at this point. But I would like to do imaging of the head first, and then from there, based on that result, then I need to think about next step, whatever the result is. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 brain? A (Indiscernible) medication, the newer antipsychotic medication (indiscernible) protective. Q But you couldn't cite any studies to that? A But that is the side effect. Side effect is different than the brain damage. Q Oh, I see. So brain damage is just a side effect? A Well, I MS. DERRY: Objection, mischaracterization of the witness THE COURT: Sustained. (Indiscernible.) MR. GOTTSTEIN: All right. I'll move on. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Now, on page 12 of the of Exhibit B, 10/20/08, it says that Mr. Bigley has one adult daughter. Is that true? A I got that information from the chart, so I'm not really Q But it's not true, is it?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 lab work of (indiscernible) looked didn't (indiscernible) or anything because he didn't consent. Q But you haven't done any specific neuro neuro psych type testing, have you? MS. DERRY: Objection, asked and answered. THE COURT: Overruled. MR. GOTTSTEIN: I think she said no. THE WITNESS: As I said, he did not cooperate, so no neuro psych testing or no imaging of the head has done actually done. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Would you have done that if he do you think it's a good idea? Is he cooperating? A I'm not really sure about neuro psych testing. I don't know how much would that provide for me at this point. But I would like to do imaging of the head first, and then from there, based on that result, then I need to think about next step, whatever the result is. Q So that suggesting that you think there very 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 brain? A (Indiscernible) medication, the newer antipsychotic medication (indiscernible) protective. Q But you couldn't cite any studies to that? A But that is the side effect. Side effect is different than the brain damage. Q Oh, I see. So brain damage is just a side effect? A Well, I MS. DERRY: Objection, mischaracterization of the witness THE COURT: Sustained. (Indiscernible.) MR. GOTTSTEIN: All right. I'll move on. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Now, on page 12 of the of Exhibit B, 10/20/08, it says that Mr. Bigley has one adult daughter. Is that true? A I got that information from the chart, so I'm not really Q But it's not true, is it? MS. DERRY: Objection, relevance.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 lab work of (indiscernible) looked didn't (indiscernible) or anything because he didn't consent. Q But you haven't done any specific neuro neuro psych type testing, have you? MS. DERRY: Objection, asked and answered. THE COURT: Overruled. MR. GOTTSTEIN: I think she said no. THE WITNESS: As I said, he did not cooperate, so no neuro psych testing or no imaging of the head has done actually done. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Would you have done that if he do you think it's a good idea? Is he cooperating? A I'm not really sure about neuro psych testing. I don't know how much would that provide for me at this point. But I would like to do imaging of the head first, and then from there, based on that result, then I need to think about next step, whatever the result is. Q So that suggesting that you think there very well might be brain damage, (indiscernible)? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 brain? A (Indiscernible) medication, the newer antipsychotic medication (indiscernible) protective. Q But you couldn't cite any studies to that? A But that is the side effect. Side effect is different than the brain damage. Q Oh, I see. So brain damage is just a side effect? A Well, I MS. DERRY: Objection, mischaracterization of the witness THE COURT: Sustained. (Indiscernible.) MR. GOTTSTEIN: All right. I'll move on. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Now, on page 12 of the of Exhibit B, 10/20/08, it says that Mr. Bigley has one adult daughter. Is that true? A I got that information from the chart, so I'm not really Q But it's not true, is it? MS. DERRY: Objection, relevance. THE WITNESS: As I said, I got it from
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 lab work of (indiscernible) looked didn't (indiscernible) or anything because he didn't consent. Q But you haven't done any specific neuro neuro psych type testing, have you? MS. DERRY: Objection, asked and answered. THE COURT: Overruled. MR. GOTTSTEIN: I think she said no. THE WITNESS: As I said, he did not cooperate, so no neuro psych testing or no imaging of the head has done actually done. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Would you have done that if he do you think it's a good idea? Is he cooperating? A I'm not really sure about neuro psych testing. I don't know how much would that provide for me at this point. But I would like to do imaging of the head first, and then from there, based on that result, then I need to think about next step, whatever the result is. Q So that suggesting that you think there very well might be brain damage, (indiscernible)? MS. DERRY: Objection, mischaracterization of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 brain? A (Indiscernible) medication, the newer antipsychotic medication (indiscernible) protective. Q But you couldn't cite any studies to that? A But that is the side effect. Side effect is different than the brain damage. Q Oh, I see. So brain damage is just a side effect? A Well, I MS. DERRY: Objection, mischaracterization of the witness THE COURT: Sustained. (Indiscernible.) MR. GOTTSTEIN: All right. I'll move on. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Now, on page 12 of the of Exhibit B, 10/20/08, it says that Mr. Bigley has one adult daughter. Is that true? A I got that information from the chart, so I'm not really Q But it's not true, is it? MS. DERRY: Objection, relevance. THE WITNESS: As I said, I got it from THE COURT: Just wait. (Indiscernible.) Ask
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 lab work of (indiscernible) looked didn't (indiscernible) or anything because he didn't consent. Q But you haven't done any specific neuro neuro psych type testing, have you? MS. DERRY: Objection, asked and answered. THE COURT: Overruled. MR. GOTTSTEIN: I think she said no. THE WITNESS: As I said, he did not cooperate, so no neuro psych testing or no imaging of the head has done actually done. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Would you have done that if he do you think it's a good idea? Is he cooperating? A I'm not really sure about neuro psych testing. I don't know how much would that provide for me at this point. But I would like to do imaging of the head first, and then from there, based on that result, then I need to think about next step, whatever the result is. Q So that suggesting that you think there very well might be brain damage, (indiscernible)? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 brain? A (Indiscernible) medication, the newer antipsychotic medication (indiscernible) protective. Q But you couldn't cite any studies to that? A But that is the side effect. Side effect is different than the brain damage. Q Oh, I see. So brain damage is just a side effect? A Well, I MS. DERRY: Objection, mischaracterization of the witness THE COURT: Sustained. (Indiscernible.) MR. GOTTSTEIN: All right. I'll move on. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Now, on page 12 of the of Exhibit B, 10/20/08, it says that Mr. Bigley has one adult daughter. Is that true? A I got that information from the chart, so I'm not really Q But it's not true, is it? MS. DERRY: Objection, relevance. THE WITNESS: As I said, I got it from

	Page 166		Page 168
1	Q So on page 12, the document says one adult	1	MR. GOTTSTEIN: If I do, I will to any
2	daughter. It's not true that Mr. Bigley has only one	2	specific question.
3	adult daughter, isn't it?	3	BY MS. DERRY:
4	MS. DERRY: Object, relevance.	4	Q Dr. Khari, does Mr. Bigley come from jail to
5	THE COURT: What is the relevance of that?	5	here after being medicated?
6	MR. GOTTSTEIN: To show that these records	6	A There has been incidences that he did receive
7	are not necessarily accurate.	7	some medication in jail. I think he was discharged
8	THE COURT: What is the relevance of whether	8	(indiscernible).
9	he has one adult daughter what is the relevance	9	Q And do you have any control of whether or not
10	(indiscernible) inaccurately about the number of	10	DOC gives Mr. Bigley emergency injections?
11	daughters (indiscernible)?	11	A No.
12	MR. GOTTSTEIN: What I am trying to do is	12	Q Why is it that you released Mr. Bigley from
13	establish the general point that these documents are	13	API?
14	not necessarily accurate.	14	A He did not meet the criteria, and on some
15	MS. DERRY: Your Honor, this is a document	15	occasion actually any occasion. But he's always
16	that Mr. Gottstein introduced into evidence, and in	16	given offer to stay longer in the hospital.
17	the deposition asked if it was a true and correct copy	17	Q And does he not meet the criteria because, by
18	of	18	statute, it requires that him being admitted to API
19	THE COURT: Sustained. And I should also	19	would improve his prognosis?
20	note that I didn't realize that there were	20	A (Indiscernible.)
21	additions I don't mind the highlighting, because	21	Q Dr. Khari, do people sleep well when they're
22	(indiscernible) what the added comments	22	in jail?
23	(indiscernible) not true are not part of	23	A I assume they sleep (indiscernible).
24	(indiscernible) are excluded from this exhibit.	24	Q Do you think that they sleep well when
25	MR. GOTTSTEIN: Would you like me to submit	25	they're out on the street?
	Page 167		Page 169
1	one without I'm done.	1	A Again, the chances of having a good sleep in
2	THE COURT: Redirect?	2	the street is a lot less than (indiscernible).
3	KAHNAZ KHARI, MD	3	Q Do people sleep well when they're hungry?
4	testified as follows on:	4	A Most probably no.
5	REDIRECT EXAMINATION	5	Q Does Mr. Bigley refuse physical exams in any
6	BY MS. DERRY:	6	form when he is in API?
7	Q Dr. Khari, isn't it true that one of the	7	A Yes.
8	reasons why you released Mr. Bigley from API is	8	Q Is it impossible to evaluate whether or not
9	because you don't think that he can benefit here	9	Mr. Bigley has brain damage unless you can do a
10	without all the modalities of treatment available to him?	10	physical examination on him?
11 12	A Yes.	11 12	A Well, the exam we can evaluate
13	Q And isn't it true that that's one of the	13	(indiscernible). Q And do you think that Mr. Bigley would be
14	reasons that	14	capable of holding still long enough to have an MRI
15	MR. GOTTSTEIN: I'll note that these are	15	performed?
16	leading, but I won't object.	16	A As I said, his behavior has been never to the
17	MS. DERRY: I would be happy to rephrase my	17	point of being calm to (indiscernible) complying
18	question.	18	with the procedure.
19	THE COURT: If he isn't objecting, you can	19	Q And, Dr. Khari, I want to move on to I
20	lead all day long.	20	want to clear up for the record the difference between
20			-
20		21	IM medications, emergency medications. PO. and all
	MS. DERRY: All right.	21 22	IM medications, emergency medications, PO, and all these.
21	MS. DERRY: All right. THE COURT: I am perfectly capable of		these.
21 22	MS. DERRY: All right.	22	
21 22 23	MS. DERRY: All right. THE COURT: I am perfectly capable of appreciating what a leading question is without you	22 23	these. Okay. PO is a medical terminology. And what

	Page 170		Page 172
1	Q By mouth?	1	she's getting into the emergency medication. I
2	A By mouth, yes.	2	thought we were limited to the other one. I know it's
3	Q And what does IM mean?	3	kind of
4	A Injection.	4	THE COURT: Overruled (indiscernible).
5	Q And what does an emergency medication mean?	5	MS. DERRY: I'm sorry.
6	A Emergency, that means there is a crisis. The	6	THE COURT: Those things are related.
7	patient would benefit from the medication.	7	MS. DERRY: Yes.
8	Q When you ask someone to take a pill when	8	BY MS. DERRY:
9	they're in a crisis, can that calm them down? Just	9	Q And let me rephrase my question. Could you
10	asking them to take the pill, can that often	10	paint us a picture with words of what it looks like
11	deescalate them?	11	when someone is in a crisis?
12	A Yes.	12	A An individual that could be highly agitated,
13	Q Does making someone feel empowered deescalate	13	showing aggressive signs, showing being physical in
14	them?	14	some situation, actually striking or hitting other
15	A Yes. They are in control, they are	15	individual, either staff or other patients.
16	(indiscernible), they feel like they have some level	16	They may be throwing themselves to the walls,
17	of control, yes.	17	hitting their heads to the wall, yelling, screaming,
18	Q When you remove someone from stimuli, does	18	and not able to hear any of the direction, even any of
19	that also deescalate them?	19	the (indiscernible) is giving. So basically,
20	A Yes.	20	(indiscernible) kind of, they are not connecting in a
21	Q When you remove someone from stimuli and they	21	rational rational form.
22	are deescalated, is there still an emergency	22	Q And when Mr. Bigley arrived at the hospital
23	situation?	23	on October 20th until October 22nd, what were his
24	A No. If they are deescalated, calmed down,	24	behaviors that two two-day span?
25	no.	25	A Well, initially when he came, he was he
	Page 171		Page 173
1	Q And once they are no longer in a crisis, then	1	was labile, he was yelling, he was cursing, using
2	you could again ask them if they would like to take	2	profanity, with having defecating in his room.
3	medicine by mouth, and if they say yes, then and	3	And I believe he may have gone multiple times
4	you have found them to be competent in their stay here	4	given he was taken to quiet room and but he
5	at API, that is when you would go ahead and give them	5	he was he was invading other people's space, like
6	their medication?	6	very close in vicinity. So gradually, that was
7	A Yes. When what you mentioned, if you	7	getting worse and (indiscernible), to the point where
8	deescalate, they could manage (indiscernible)	8	he was banging the wall and the (indiscernible) his
9	behavior, they are not emergency crisis situation, you	9	body against the wall, and even with the staff that he
10	could they are able to process what is going to the	10	had (indiscernible). They were able to have a better
11	(indiscernible).	11	(indiscernible). They could not even intervene.
12	Q Right. So they're no longer in crisis?	12	Q And Mr. Bigley has been without medication
13	A Yes.	13	for essentially at least let me back up.
14	Q And then you can move on to the next stage	14	Mr. Bigley has been represented by Mr. Gottstein and
15	after no longer in crisis, and do you talk to them	15	refusing medication in 2008, is that
16	about why they got angry?	16	A I don't know exactly what date Mr. Gottstein
17	A It depends to what their level of engagement	17	got involved. But yes, I believe that is around the
18	and how much they could control are able to have	18	time.
19	rational thought, yes.	19	Q Even without Mr. Gottstein's involvement, do
20	Q And when or typically, if someone is in a	20	you know in 2008 that most Bill has Mr. Bigley
21	crisis state, are they raging, moving a lot, making	21	has mostly been non-compliant with medication?
22	large movements?	22	A He has (indiscernible) of non-medication.
23	A I think that is a kind of in most cases,	23	Q And has that non-compliance increased in
24	natural case. We don't have to	24	2008?
25	MR. GOTTSTEIN: Objection. I thought	25	A Yeah.

	Page 174		Page 176
1	Q And he's mostly refused medications	1	they stand up they stand up quickly without giving
2	altogether in 2008?	2	theirself time, so they could experience some light
3	A Yes.	3	headedness.
4	Q And how many times has he been here at API	4	And they the side effects that I am
5	just in 2008?	5	mentioning, not necessarily every patient will
6	A 2008, it's one, two, three, four, five, six,	6	experience them. I would be concerned about the
7	seven, eight, nine, ten.	7	hyperprolactinemia in a high dose, and some I would
8	Q And say that loudly, please.	8	be concerned about the metabolic syndrome, and
9	A Ten.	9	(indiscernible) tardive dyskinesia (indiscernible).
10	Q He's been at API ten times in this last year,	10	THE COURT: The third one, before the
11	2008?	11	tardive, (indiscernible) that is. What
12	A Yeah.	12	THE WITNESS: (Indiscernible) side effect,
13	Q Do you believe that he has come to API ten	13	like (indiscernible), under is that (indiscernible)
14	times in 2008 because he is not medicated?	14	reaction, which is involuntary
15	A Yes. And if you look at (indiscernible)	15	THE COURT: (Indiscernible.)
16	studies, you look at many studies, they say that	16	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry?
17	MR. GOTTSTEIN: Objection. (Indiscernible)	17	THE COURT: (Indiscernible) is the twitching?
18	laid a foundation for that.	18	THE WITNESS: It could be twitching, yes,
19	THE COURT: Overruled.	19	(indiscernible) contraction that could (indiscernible)
20	THE WITNESS: That the non-adherence increase	20	could be painful. So we should give medication to
21	number of hospitalizations, increase the relapse,	21	help with the side effects of the medication
22	so	22	(indiscernible) with the side effects.
23	MS. DERRY: No further questions.	23	THE COURT: The if he was given
24	THE COURT: What is the precise medication	24	risperidone by injection against his without his
25	you are asking me to authorize?	25	consent, you offer the oral medication, he says no,
	Page 175		Page 177
1	THE WITNESS: Risperdal.	1	and he had to be given a shot, obviously if he drops
2	THE WITNESS: Risperdal. THE COURT: Does that drug have any negative	2	and he had to be given a shot, obviously if he drops his pants and allowed the shot to be administered,
2 3	THE WITNESS: Risperdal. THE COURT: Does that drug have any negative interaction or is it contraindicated with other drugs	2 3	and he had to be given a shot, obviously if he drops his pants and allowed the shot to be administered, that would be fairly straightforward.
2 3 4	THE WITNESS: Risperdal. THE COURT: Does that drug have any negative interaction or is it contraindicated with other drugs or other street drugs or over-the-counter drugs?	2 3 4	and he had to be given a shot, obviously if he drops his pants and allowed the shot to be administered, that would be fairly straightforward. If he rejected that, is that a painful shot?
2 3 4 5	THE WITNESS: Risperdal. THE COURT: Does that drug have any negative interaction or is it contraindicated with other drugs or other street drugs or over-the-counter drugs? THE WITNESS: Overall, we don't recommend	2 3 4 5	and he had to be given a shot, obviously if he drops his pants and allowed the shot to be administered, that would be fairly straightforward. If he rejected that, is that a painful shot? THE WITNESS: Well, the injections, we all
2 3 4 5 6	THE WITNESS: Risperdal. THE COURT: Does that drug have any negative interaction or is it contraindicated with other drugs or other street drugs or over-the-counter drugs? THE WITNESS: Overall, we don't recommend medication to be taken with elicit drugs, with	2 3 4 5 6	and he had to be given a shot, obviously if he drops his pants and allowed the shot to be administered, that would be fairly straightforward. If he rejected that, is that a painful shot? THE WITNESS: Well, the injections, we all have (indiscernible) injection. It is very much the
2 3 4 5 6 7	THE WITNESS: Risperdal. THE COURT: Does that drug have any negative interaction or is it contraindicated with other drugs or other street drugs or over-the-counter drugs? THE WITNESS: Overall, we don't recommend medication to be taken with elicit drugs, with alcohol, or others. But however, if it is a	2 3 4 5 6 7	and he had to be given a shot, obviously if he drops his pants and allowed the shot to be administered, that would be fairly straightforward. If he rejected that, is that a painful shot? THE WITNESS: Well, the injections, we all have (indiscernible) injection. It is very much the same. But I have heard from many of my patients, with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE WITNESS: Risperdal. THE COURT: Does that drug have any negative interaction or is it contraindicated with other drugs or other street drugs or over-the-counter drugs? THE WITNESS: Overall, we don't recommend medication to be taken with elicit drugs, with alcohol, or others. But however, if it is a medication that is of lesser (indiscernible) when we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	and he had to be given a shot, obviously if he drops his pants and allowed the shot to be administered, that would be fairly straightforward. If he rejected that, is that a painful shot? THE WITNESS: Well, the injections, we all have (indiscernible) injection. It is very much the same. But I have heard from many of my patients, with some of the antipsychotic medication, the pain may be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE WITNESS: Risperdal. THE COURT: Does that drug have any negative interaction or is it contraindicated with other drugs or other street drugs or over-the-counter drugs? THE WITNESS: Overall, we don't recommend medication to be taken with elicit drugs, with alcohol, or others. But however, if it is a medication that is of lesser (indiscernible) when we look at a drug interaction.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	and he had to be given a shot, obviously if he drops his pants and allowed the shot to be administered, that would be fairly straightforward. If he rejected that, is that a painful shot? THE WITNESS: Well, the injections, we all have (indiscernible) injection. It is very much the same. But I have heard from many of my patients, with some of the antipsychotic medication, the pain may be a little bit more not necessarily more severe, but
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE WITNESS: Risperdal. THE COURT: Does that drug have any negative interaction or is it contraindicated with other drugs or other street drugs or over-the-counter drugs? THE WITNESS: Overall, we don't recommend medication to be taken with elicit drugs, with alcohol, or others. But however, if it is a medication that is of lesser (indiscernible) when we look at a drug interaction. THE COURT: What side effects might it have?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	and he had to be given a shot, obviously if he drops his pants and allowed the shot to be administered, that would be fairly straightforward. If he rejected that, is that a painful shot? THE WITNESS: Well, the injections, we all have (indiscernible) injection. It is very much the same. But I have heard from many of my patients, with some of the antipsychotic medication, the pain may be a little bit more not necessarily more severe, but (indiscernible) they feel a little bit of more muscle
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	THE WITNESS: Risperdal. THE COURT: Does that drug have any negative interaction or is it contraindicated with other drugs or other street drugs or over-the-counter drugs? THE WITNESS: Overall, we don't recommend medication to be taken with elicit drugs, with alcohol, or others. But however, if it is a medication that is of lesser (indiscernible) when we look at a drug interaction. THE COURT: What side effects might it have? THE WITNESS: My main concern of side	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	and he had to be given a shot, obviously if he drops his pants and allowed the shot to be administered, that would be fairly straightforward. If he rejected that, is that a painful shot? THE WITNESS: Well, the injections, we all have (indiscernible) injection. It is very much the same. But I have heard from many of my patients, with some of the antipsychotic medication, the pain may be a little bit more not necessarily more severe, but (indiscernible) they feel a little bit of more muscle contraction.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	THE WITNESS: Risperdal. THE COURT: Does that drug have any negative interaction or is it contraindicated with other drugs or other street drugs or over-the-counter drugs? THE WITNESS: Overall, we don't recommend medication to be taken with elicit drugs, with alcohol, or others. But however, if it is a medication that is of lesser (indiscernible) when we look at a drug interaction. THE COURT: What side effects might it have? THE WITNESS: My main concern of side effect of course every every (indiscernible)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	and he had to be given a shot, obviously if he drops his pants and allowed the shot to be administered, that would be fairly straightforward. If he rejected that, is that a painful shot? THE WITNESS: Well, the injections, we all have (indiscernible) injection. It is very much the same. But I have heard from many of my patients, with some of the antipsychotic medication, the pain may be a little bit more not necessarily more severe, but (indiscernible) they feel a little bit of more muscle contraction. THE COURT: Is this the kind of I assume
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE WITNESS: Risperdal. THE COURT: Does that drug have any negative interaction or is it contraindicated with other drugs or other street drugs or over-the-counter drugs? THE WITNESS: Overall, we don't recommend medication to be taken with elicit drugs, with alcohol, or others. But however, if it is a medication that is of lesser (indiscernible) when we look at a drug interaction. THE COURT: What side effects might it have? THE WITNESS: My main concern of side effect of course every every (indiscernible) taken to prevent any side effect.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	and he had to be given a shot, obviously if he drops his pants and allowed the shot to be administered, that would be fairly straightforward. If he rejected that, is that a painful shot? THE WITNESS: Well, the injections, we all have (indiscernible) injection. It is very much the same. But I have heard from many of my patients, with some of the antipsychotic medication, the pain may be a little bit more not necessarily more severe, but (indiscernible) they feel a little bit of more muscle contraction. THE COURT: Is this the kind of I assume it has to be typically done in the buttocks, the large
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE WITNESS: Risperdal. THE COURT: Does that drug have any negative interaction or is it contraindicated with other drugs or other street drugs or over-the-counter drugs? THE WITNESS: Overall, we don't recommend medication to be taken with elicit drugs, with alcohol, or others. But however, if it is a medication that is of lesser (indiscernible) when we look at a drug interaction. THE COURT: What side effects might it have? THE WITNESS: My main concern of side effect of course every every (indiscernible) taken to prevent any side effect. And the next step is try to consider at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	and he had to be given a shot, obviously if he drops his pants and allowed the shot to be administered, that would be fairly straightforward. If he rejected that, is that a painful shot? THE WITNESS: Well, the injections, we all have (indiscernible) injection. It is very much the same. But I have heard from many of my patients, with some of the antipsychotic medication, the pain may be a little bit more not necessarily more severe, but (indiscernible) they feel a little bit of more muscle contraction. THE COURT: Is this the kind of I assume it has to be typically done in the buttocks, the large muscles?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE WITNESS: Risperdal. THE COURT: Does that drug have any negative interaction or is it contraindicated with other drugs or other street drugs or over-the-counter drugs? THE WITNESS: Overall, we don't recommend medication to be taken with elicit drugs, with alcohol, or others. But however, if it is a medication that is of lesser (indiscernible) when we look at a drug interaction. THE COURT: What side effects might it have? THE WITNESS: My main concern of side effect of course every every (indiscernible) taken to prevent any side effect. And the next step is try to consider at least to have the least experience of the side effect.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	and he had to be given a shot, obviously if he drops his pants and allowed the shot to be administered, that would be fairly straightforward. If he rejected that, is that a painful shot? THE WITNESS: Well, the injections, we all have (indiscernible) injection. It is very much the same. But I have heard from many of my patients, with some of the antipsychotic medication, the pain may be a little bit more not necessarily more severe, but (indiscernible) they feel a little bit of more muscle contraction. THE COURT: Is this the kind of I assume it has to be typically done in the buttocks, the large muscles? THE WITNESS: Yeah.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE WITNESS: Risperdal. THE COURT: Does that drug have any negative interaction or is it contraindicated with other drugs or other street drugs or over-the-counter drugs? THE WITNESS: Overall, we don't recommend medication to be taken with elicit drugs, with alcohol, or others. But however, if it is a medication that is of lesser (indiscernible) when we look at a drug interaction. THE COURT: What side effects might it have? THE WITNESS: My main concern of side effect of course every every (indiscernible) taken to prevent any side effect. And the next step is try to consider at least to have the least experience of the side effect. And every side effect can be can be disturbing.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	and he had to be given a shot, obviously if he drops his pants and allowed the shot to be administered, that would be fairly straightforward. If he rejected that, is that a painful shot? THE WITNESS: Well, the injections, we all have (indiscernible) injection. It is very much the same. But I have heard from many of my patients, with some of the antipsychotic medication, the pain may be a little bit more not necessarily more severe, but (indiscernible) they feel a little bit of more muscle contraction. THE COURT: Is this the kind of I assume it has to be typically done in the buttocks, the large muscles? THE WITNESS: Yeah. THE COURT: And is it a deep injection, as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE WITNESS: Risperdal. THE COURT: Does that drug have any negative interaction or is it contraindicated with other drugs or other street drugs or over-the-counter drugs? THE WITNESS: Overall, we don't recommend medication to be taken with elicit drugs, with alcohol, or others. But however, if it is a medication that is of lesser (indiscernible) when we look at a drug interaction. THE COURT: What side effects might it have? THE WITNESS: My main concern of side effect of course every every (indiscernible) taken to prevent any side effect. And the next step is try to consider at least to have the least experience of the side effect. And every side effect can be can be disturbing. But the main significant side effect that I would be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	and he had to be given a shot, obviously if he drops his pants and allowed the shot to be administered, that would be fairly straightforward. If he rejected that, is that a painful shot? THE WITNESS: Well, the injections, we all have (indiscernible) injection. It is very much the same. But I have heard from many of my patients, with some of the antipsychotic medication, the pain may be a little bit more not necessarily more severe, but (indiscernible) they feel a little bit of more muscle contraction. THE COURT: Is this the kind of I assume it has to be typically done in the buttocks, the large muscles? THE WITNESS: Yeah. THE COURT: And is it a deep injection, as these things go
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE WITNESS: Risperdal. THE COURT: Does that drug have any negative interaction or is it contraindicated with other drugs or other street drugs or over-the-counter drugs? THE WITNESS: Overall, we don't recommend medication to be taken with elicit drugs, with alcohol, or others. But however, if it is a medication that is of lesser (indiscernible) when we look at a drug interaction. THE COURT: What side effects might it have? THE WITNESS: My main concern of side effect of course every every (indiscernible) taken to prevent any side effect. And the next step is try to consider at least to have the least experience of the side effect. And every side effect can be can be disturbing. But the main significant side effect that I would be concerned about is weight gain, which in this case it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	and he had to be given a shot, obviously if he drops his pants and allowed the shot to be administered, that would be fairly straightforward. If he rejected that, is that a painful shot? THE WITNESS: Well, the injections, we all have (indiscernible) injection. It is very much the same. But I have heard from many of my patients, with some of the antipsychotic medication, the pain may be a little bit more not necessarily more severe, but (indiscernible) they feel a little bit of more muscle contraction. THE COURT: Is this the kind of I assume it has to be typically done in the buttocks, the large muscles? THE WITNESS: Yeah. THE COURT: And is it a deep injection, as these things go THE WITNESS: No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	THE WITNESS: Risperdal. THE COURT: Does that drug have any negative interaction or is it contraindicated with other drugs or other street drugs or over-the-counter drugs? THE WITNESS: Overall, we don't recommend medication to be taken with elicit drugs, with alcohol, or others. But however, if it is a medication that is of lesser (indiscernible) when we look at a drug interaction. THE COURT: What side effects might it have? THE WITNESS: My main concern of side effect of course every every (indiscernible) taken to prevent any side effect. And the next step is try to consider at least to have the least experience of the side effect. And every side effect can be can be disturbing. But the main significant side effect that I would be concerned about is weight gain, which in this case it may benefit him. Also (indiscernible) hypertension.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	and he had to be given a shot, obviously if he drops his pants and allowed the shot to be administered, that would be fairly straightforward. If he rejected that, is that a painful shot? THE WITNESS: Well, the injections, we all have (indiscernible) injection. It is very much the same. But I have heard from many of my patients, with some of the antipsychotic medication, the pain may be a little bit more not necessarily more severe, but (indiscernible) they feel a little bit of more muscle contraction. THE COURT: Is this the kind of I assume it has to be typically done in the buttocks, the large muscles? THE WITNESS: Yeah. THE COURT: And is it a deep injection, as these things go THE WITNESS: No. THE COURT: relatively, the needle the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE WITNESS: Risperdal. THE COURT: Does that drug have any negative interaction or is it contraindicated with other drugs or other street drugs or over-the-counter drugs? THE WITNESS: Overall, we don't recommend medication to be taken with elicit drugs, with alcohol, or others. But however, if it is a medication that is of lesser (indiscernible) when we look at a drug interaction. THE COURT: What side effects might it have? THE WITNESS: My main concern of side effect of course every every (indiscernible) taken to prevent any side effect. And the next step is try to consider at least to have the least experience of the side effect. And every side effect can be can be disturbing. But the main significant side effect that I would be concerned about is weight gain, which in this case it may benefit him. Also (indiscernible) hypertension. THE COURT: Say that again.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	and he had to be given a shot, obviously if he drops his pants and allowed the shot to be administered, that would be fairly straightforward. If he rejected that, is that a painful shot? THE WITNESS: Well, the injections, we all have (indiscernible) injection. It is very much the same. But I have heard from many of my patients, with some of the antipsychotic medication, the pain may be a little bit more not necessarily more severe, but (indiscernible) they feel a little bit of more muscle contraction. THE COURT: Is this the kind of I assume it has to be typically done in the buttocks, the large muscles? THE WITNESS: Yeah. THE COURT: And is it a deep injection, as these things go THE WITNESS: No. THE COURT: relatively, the needle the insertion of the needle is relatively (indiscernible)?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE WITNESS: Risperdal. THE COURT: Does that drug have any negative interaction or is it contraindicated with other drugs or other street drugs or over-the-counter drugs? THE WITNESS: Overall, we don't recommend medication to be taken with elicit drugs, with alcohol, or others. But however, if it is a medication that is of lesser (indiscernible) when we look at a drug interaction. THE COURT: What side effects might it have? THE WITNESS: My main concern of side effect of course every every (indiscernible) taken to prevent any side effect. And the next step is try to consider at least to have the least experience of the side effect. And every side effect can be can be disturbing. But the main significant side effect that I would be concerned about is weight gain, which in this case it may benefit him. Also (indiscernible) hypertension. THE COURT: Say that again. THE WITNESS: Also static hypertension.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	and he had to be given a shot, obviously if he drops his pants and allowed the shot to be administered, that would be fairly straightforward. If he rejected that, is that a painful shot? THE WITNESS: Well, the injections, we all have (indiscernible) injection. It is very much the same. But I have heard from many of my patients, with some of the antipsychotic medication, the pain may be a little bit more not necessarily more severe, but (indiscernible) they feel a little bit of more muscle contraction. THE COURT: Is this the kind of I assume it has to be typically done in the buttocks, the large muscles? THE WITNESS: Yeah. THE COURT: And is it a deep injection, as these things go THE WITNESS: No. THE COURT: relatively, the needle the insertion of the needle is relatively (indiscernible)? THE WITNESS: Yes. But it's deeper than
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE WITNESS: Risperdal. THE COURT: Does that drug have any negative interaction or is it contraindicated with other drugs or other street drugs or over-the-counter drugs? THE WITNESS: Overall, we don't recommend medication to be taken with elicit drugs, with alcohol, or others. But however, if it is a medication that is of lesser (indiscernible) when we look at a drug interaction. THE COURT: What side effects might it have? THE WITNESS: My main concern of side effect of course every every (indiscernible) taken to prevent any side effect. And the next step is try to consider at least to have the least experience of the side effect. And every side effect can be can be disturbing. But the main significant side effect that I would be concerned about is weight gain, which in this case it may benefit him. Also (indiscernible) hypertension. THE COURT: Say that again. THE WITNESS: Also static hypertension. THE COURT: What does that mean?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	and he had to be given a shot, obviously if he drops his pants and allowed the shot to be administered, that would be fairly straightforward. If he rejected that, is that a painful shot? THE WITNESS: Well, the injections, we all have (indiscernible) injection. It is very much the same. But I have heard from many of my patients, with some of the antipsychotic medication, the pain may be a little bit more not necessarily more severe, but (indiscernible) they feel a little bit of more muscle contraction. THE COURT: Is this the kind of I assume it has to be typically done in the buttocks, the large muscles? THE WITNESS: Yeah. THE COURT: And is it a deep injection, as these things go THE WITNESS: No. THE COURT: relatively, the needle the insertion of the needle is relatively (indiscernible)? THE WITNESS: Yes. But it's deeper than (indiscernible) when we get our blood or something
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE WITNESS: Risperdal. THE COURT: Does that drug have any negative interaction or is it contraindicated with other drugs or other street drugs or over-the-counter drugs? THE WITNESS: Overall, we don't recommend medication to be taken with elicit drugs, with alcohol, or others. But however, if it is a medication that is of lesser (indiscernible) when we look at a drug interaction. THE COURT: What side effects might it have? THE WITNESS: My main concern of side effect of course every every (indiscernible) taken to prevent any side effect. And the next step is try to consider at least to have the least experience of the side effect. And every side effect can be can be disturbing. But the main significant side effect that I would be concerned about is weight gain, which in this case it may benefit him. Also (indiscernible) hypertension. THE COURT: Say that again. THE WITNESS: Also static hypertension. THE COURT: What does that mean? THE WITNESS: It is when an individual, they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	and he had to be given a shot, obviously if he drops his pants and allowed the shot to be administered, that would be fairly straightforward. If he rejected that, is that a painful shot? THE WITNESS: Well, the injections, we all have (indiscernible) injection. It is very much the same. But I have heard from many of my patients, with some of the antipsychotic medication, the pain may be a little bit more not necessarily more severe, but (indiscernible) they feel a little bit of more muscle contraction. THE COURT: Is this the kind of I assume it has to be typically done in the buttocks, the large muscles? THE WITNESS: Yeah. THE COURT: And is it a deep injection, as these things go THE WITNESS: No. THE COURT: relatively, the needle the insertion of the needle is relatively (indiscernible)? THE WITNESS: Yes. But it's deeper than (indiscernible) when we get our blood or something like that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE WITNESS: Risperdal. THE COURT: Does that drug have any negative interaction or is it contraindicated with other drugs or other street drugs or over-the-counter drugs? THE WITNESS: Overall, we don't recommend medication to be taken with elicit drugs, with alcohol, or others. But however, if it is a medication that is of lesser (indiscernible) when we look at a drug interaction. THE COURT: What side effects might it have? THE WITNESS: My main concern of side effect of course every every (indiscernible) taken to prevent any side effect. And the next step is try to consider at least to have the least experience of the side effect. And every side effect can be can be disturbing. But the main significant side effect that I would be concerned about is weight gain, which in this case it may benefit him. Also (indiscernible) hypertension. THE COURT: Say that again. THE WITNESS: Also static hypertension. THE COURT: What does that mean?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	and he had to be given a shot, obviously if he drops his pants and allowed the shot to be administered, that would be fairly straightforward. If he rejected that, is that a painful shot? THE WITNESS: Well, the injections, we all have (indiscernible) injection. It is very much the same. But I have heard from many of my patients, with some of the antipsychotic medication, the pain may be a little bit more not necessarily more severe, but (indiscernible) they feel a little bit of more muscle contraction. THE COURT: Is this the kind of I assume it has to be typically done in the buttocks, the large muscles? THE WITNESS: Yeah. THE COURT: And is it a deep injection, as these things go THE WITNESS: No. THE COURT: relatively, the needle the insertion of the needle is relatively (indiscernible)? THE WITNESS: Yes. But it's deeper than (indiscernible) when we get our blood or something

1 risperidone, when the patient when the 1 oral form, and try to work with him to less traumatize 2 individual if the Court decides to grant the 1 oral form, and try to work with him to less traumatize 4 ultimately court grant to say that if they only if they only if they only 6 if discrimible) right efform, in the risperidone, we is mode actions in the risperidone, we is mode actions in the risperidone, we 6 if and the IM medication if they only if they only if they only 7 And the IM medication from, and if that patient if or only form, heat may the oral form, and if that patient if or only medication in oral medication if the rook is, that's begrave to an only we-because we 1 or the key ont wates we do not have - because we is to reach the state that be could have some level of 1 stability and does not meet the criteria for him to be is mode indiscrimible if the count derive. 1 if the took is, that'd be grave. if the could have to go to the two-week injection and - 1 if the could have to go to the two-week injection and - if the medication was administered and he is slowly 1 if the could have to go to the two-week injection and - if the medicatin have andit is slowly <		Page 178		Page 180
2individual - if the Court decides to grant the medication and he refuses his oral medication, and ultimately court grant to say that if they only2them and give a chance for the medication to work. as yould oblep, in terms of how long it takes for thim to get to where you think (indiscernible) ingoritorin, in the risperidone, we could give the mode form medication. The tork it, that the we could give an IM medication to give medication in oral form, and if that patter to give medication in oral form, and if that patter to give medication in oral form, and if that patter to give medication in oral form, and if that patter to give medication in oral form, and if that patter to give medication in oral form, and if that patter to give medication in oral form, and if that patter to give medication in oral form, and if that patter to give medication in oral form, and if that patter to give medication in oral form, and if that patter to give medication in oral form, and if that patter to give medication in oral form, and if that patter to give medication in oral form, and if that patter to give medication in oral form, and if that patter to give medication in oral form, and if that patter to give medication is administration. Then you would start with the oral. THE COURT: So if I was to permit the the would have to go to the tow-week injection and - a dif I - (indiscernible) previously, you would be gitting better, that he would give to anticipate the would allow to go to the two-week injection and - a give permission, my long-term goal would be to put him the in with is every two weeks. It takes several weeks the oral dose. In that case, I will say if the patter the sould dose. But heavy refuse the undi lead in start dose. It there medication is gradually getting system (indiscernible), and just - the undi lead bave tor go to there medicati	1	risperidone, when the natient when the	1	oral form and try to work with him to less traumatize
3 THÉ COURT: And let's assume it works as well 4 utimately court grant to say that if they only 3 THÉ COURT: And let's assume it works as well 6 indiscernible) insperidone, in the risperidone, we is any our would hope, in terms of how long it takes for 7 And the IM medication in the whave is in the ie medication in oral form, medication want on walks are that's clear. 10 torget medication is oral form, and if that patient if medication form, because we do on thave - because we 13 do not have the short-acting IM medication. I Is hould have hin out - out of the hospital, for him 14 undivide respond well to it by eight weeks or ter weeks, 1 Is hould have hin out - out of the hospital, for him 15 THE COURT: So if I was to permit the 13 stability and does not meet the criteria for him to be 16 building him up orally preferably anyway? 1 1 1 11 building him up orally preferably anyway? 1 1 1 21 1 - my goal would be indiscernible) in ware and any fire any any any in the oral dose. In that case, I will say if the oral dose. In that case, I will say if the oral dose. But hem any refuse is the oral dose. But hem any refuse is the oral dose. But hem any refuse is working for him?				-
4 ultimately court grant to say that if they only 4 is you would hope, in terms of how log it takes for 5 (indiscernible) respectione, we 5 him to get to where you think (indiscernible) ikkely 6 have carl form medication met to would how legt. Would you think that he 7 And the IM medication flaw the we could give would remain at API for another - how long? 8 (indiscernible) long acting IM medication 10 12 offferent form, because we do not have - because we 10 13 offferent form, because we do not have - because we 11 14 want o make sure that's clear. 11 14 want o make sure that's clear. 12 to reach the state that he could have some level of 15 THE COURT: So if I was to permit the 16 16 normally the DOC forensic unit. Ho's there because of 14 he would have to go to the two-week injection and - 16 in the medication was administered and he is slowly 15 THE WITNESS: Yes. When I initially when 11 is tabling und or osly perforeshy awy? 14 He would have to go to the two-week injection and - 16 in the me		-		-
5 Indiscernible) insperidone, in the risperidone, we 5 Indiscernible) indiscernible) likely 6 have oral form medication 6 Itim to get to where you think (miscernible) likely 7 And the IM medication that we have is in the 7 would remain at API for another – how long? 8 (indiscernible) long acting. That means we could give 7 THE WITNESS: I would are show would respond well to i by eight weeks or ten weeks, 10 to give medication in oral form, medication. 1 I should have bin out - out of the hospital, for him 11 refuses, then we need to give an IM medication. 1 I should have bin out - out of the hospital, for him 12 to reach the state that he could have some level of 1 1 13 do not have the short acting IM medication. 1 1 14 held in a hospital. 1 1 1 15 THE COURT: So if I was to permit the 16 1 1 16 administration, then you would be timble; previously, you would be 16 1 1 1 16 held in seconible; motiling there previsors, you would be 1 1 1 1 12 1				
6 have oral form medication i the M medication that we have is in the i the medication on the we wave is in the 7 And the IM medication that we have is in the would remain at API for another - how long? 8 every two weeks. But however, when the Court permit would respond well to it by eight weeks. So I would expect that he 11 refuses, then we need to give an IM medication 1 it have to sol to have - because wet 12 different form, because we do not have - because wet it have to sol to have - because wet it have to sol to the two-week intig IM medication. 13 to not have the short-acting IM medication. 11 Ishould have have to sol to the two-week intig permit the 14 administration, then you would stat with the oral. 11 It EC OURT: I understand the Taku unit is 14 he would have to go to the two-week injection and- 12 It EC OURT: I understand the is slowly 15 THE WITNESS: Yes. When I - initially when 12 It medication was administered and he is slowly 14 permission, will ong-terms gold would be to pot in two would be to pot in the slowly 12 It HE WITNESS: I would a base to a pot tweet he 14 hord also start oral dose. But he may refuse 16 in dinicscernible), and (indiscer				
7 And the IM medication that we have is in the 7 would remain at API for another - how long? 8 (indiscernible) long acting. That means we could give 7 the Would respond well to it by eight weeks or ten weeks, 10 to give medication in oral form, and if that patient 7 would respond well to it by eight weeks or ten weeks, 11 refuses, then we need to give an IM medication. 1 1 should have bim out - out of the hospital, for him 12 different form, hecause we do not have - because we would respond well to it by eight weeks or ten weeks, 13 do not have the short-acting IM medication. 1 1 should have bim out of the hospital, for him 14 want to make sure that's clear. 1 1 should have bim out of the should you expect 15 THE COURT: So if I was to permit the 15 THE COURT: I understand the Taku unit is 16 administration, then you would be 15 if the book it, that'd be great If he didn't take it, 18 he would have to go to the two-week injection and - 15 THE WITNESS: Yes. When I - initially when 12 Ime go all would be (indiscernible) if the Court 20 would ceme off of Taku and go to another unit? 21 Ime yoad would be (indiscernible) if the				
8 (indiscernible) long acting. That means we could give 9 Page 110 9 every two weeks. But however, when the Court permits 11 to give medication in or alform, and if that patient 12 to reach the state that be could have some the hospital, for him 12 to reach the state that be could have some the level of 13 14 want to make sure that's clear. 14 stability and does not meet the criteria for him to be 14 15 THE COURT: So if I was to permit the 16 administration, then you would start with the oral. 16 16 the took it, that'd be great. If he didn't take it, 18 he would have to go to the two-week injection and - 19 in the COURT: So if I was to permit the 16 in the could have some the criteria for him to be 17 17 If he took it, that'd be great. If he didn't take it, 18 he would have to go to the two-week injection and - 10 in the court in the solway or expect 18 if the medication was administered and he is slowly 19 21 I - my goal would be (indiscernible), previously, you would be too 10 the would alose. In that case, livel 13 with weak 12 in the would get to a point where he 13 22 I - my goal would be (indiscernible), in the way refuse 14 medication in was administered and he is slowly 19 getting stema, stable patient 10 23 Mad alos start oral dose. But he may refuse 14 for dinkesernible), and (indiscernible),				
9 every two weeks. But however, when the Court permit 9 ike about eight weeks. So I would expect that he 10 to give medication in oral form, and if that patient 1 is about eight weeks. So I would expect that he 11 Is bould have bins on thave - because we 1 Is hould have bins out - out of the hospital, for him 12 data was that we the short-acting IM medication. I just 1 1 Is hould have bins out - out of the hospital, for him 13 stability and does not meet the criteria for him to be 1 1 1 14 want to make sure that's clear. 11 1 1 1 15 THE COURT: So if I was to permit the 16 16 16 16 16 administration, then you would start with the oral. 17 1 16 16 17 16 16 16 16 17 16 16 17 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16				-
10 to give medication in oral form, and if that patient 10 would respond well to it by eight weeks or ten weeks, 11 refuses, then we need to give an IM medication 11 Ishould have him out out fuch shows ome level of 13 do not have the short-acting IM medication. I just 13 stability and does not meet the criteria for him to be 14 want to make sure that's clear. 14 he would have to go to the two-week injection and 16 administration, then you would start with the oral. 15 THE COURT: I understand the Taku unit is 16 he would have to go to the two-week injection and 16 if the took it, that'd be great. If he didn't take it, 19 and if I - (indiscernible) previously, you would be 16 normally the DOC fornsic unit. It's there because of 21 THE WITNESS: Yes. When I initially when 21 THE WITNESS: I would be indication as administered and he is slowly 22 give permission, my long-term goal would be to put have 12 the will wuid con'f he clanny could manage to 23 give permission, my long-term goal would be to put have 12 the will wuid con'f he clanny could manage to 24 net (indiscernible), risperidone (indiscernible), 23 the dia n hosyretase again, astable patient <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>				
11 refuses, then we need to give an IM medication 11 1 should have him out out of the hospital, for him 12 different form, because we do not have - because we 12 to reach the state that he could have some level of 13 stability and dees not meet the criteria for him to be 14 want to make sure that's clear. 14 15 THE COURT: So if I was to permit the 15 16 administration, then you would start with the oral. 16 17 If he took it, that'd be great. If he didn't take it, 16 18 if the medication was administered and he is slowly 12 19 and if I - (indiscernible) previously, you would be 18 if the medication was administered and he is slowly 21 THE WITNESS: Yes. When I - initially when 12 the would heave to go to the universe 22 in the (indiscernible), insperidone (indiscernible) 17 THE WITNESS: We would be to put him 23 give permission, my long-term goal would be to put him 24 is not (indiscernible), was of meal; as table patient 24 is not (indiscernible), insperidone (indiscernible) 14 toda slo start oral dose. But he may refuse 25 built he dif a labor slow or acting,				
12 different form, because we do not have because we 12 to reach the state that he could have some level of 13 do not have the short-acting IM medication. I just stability and does not meet the criteria for him to be 14 what to make sure that's clear. 14 15 THE COURT: So if I was to permit the 15 16 administration, then you would start with the oral. 17 17 he took it, that'd be great. If he didn't take it, 17 18 he would have to go to the two-week injection and 18 19 and if I (indiscernible) previously, you would be 19 21 I my goal would be (indiscernible), and preferably anyway? 21 21 THE WITNESS: Yes. When I ininially when 21 23 on the (indiscernible), risperidone (indiscernible). 22 that. What I would do if he calmly could manage to 23 which is every two weeks. It takes several weeks 25 But however, usually that unit, there is a 24 he oral dose. In that case, I will say if the 1 lot of stimuli. I would still have a concerm. So I 2 And also start oral dose. But he may refuse 1 lot of stimuli. I would still have any orbours, you know. <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td></td>		-		
13 do not have the short-acting IM medication. I just 13 stability and does not meet the criteria for him to be 14 want to make sure that's clear. 14 held in a hospital. 15 THE COURT: So if I was to permit the 15 administration, then you would start with the oral. 16 17 If he took it, that'd be great. If he didn't take it, 16 16 16 17 If he took it, that'd be great. If he didn't take it, 16 17 17 17 17 17 17 16		<u> </u>		-
14 want to make sure that's clear. 14 held in a hospital. 15 THE COURT: So if I was to permit the administration, then you would start with the oral. 15 17 If he took it, that'd be great. If he didn't take it, 16 normally the DOC forensic unit. He's there because of 18 he would performable, previously, you would be 16 in bis behavior problems on occasion. Would you expect 19 and if I - (indiscernible) previously, you would be 18 if the modication was administered and he is slowly 21 I - mig yoal would be (indiscernible) 12 THE WITNESS: I would be to puth im 23 23 give permission, my long-term goal would be to put him 24 is not (indiscernible). Nould of if he calmly could manage to 24 on the (indiscernible), risperidone (indiscernible), 22 But however, usually that unit, there is a 24 And also start oral dose. But he may refuse 1 lot of stimuli. I would still have a concerm. So I 2 And also start oral dose. But he may refuse 1 lot of stimuli. I would still have a but that 4 patient refuse the offer of medication is 1 lot of stimuli. I would still have a but that 4 theoray leader the weed weed w				
15 THE COURT: So if I was to permit the 15 THE COURT: I understand the Taku unit is 16 administration, then you would start with the oral. 16 normally the DOC forensic unit. He's there because of 18 he would have to go to the two-week injection and 18 if the medication was administered and he is slowly 19 and if I (indiscernible) previously, you would be 19 if the medication was administered and he is slowly 21 THE WITNESS: Yes. When I initially when 21 THE WITNESS: I would like to anticipate 22 1 my goal would be (indiscernible), if the Court 23 get (indiscernible). Because again, a stable patient 23 give permission, my long-term goal would be to put him 23 get (indiscernible) to be the forensis setting. 24 on the (indiscernible), risperidone (indiscernible), 25 But however, usually that unit, there is a 7 before we get to that level. 25 But however, usually that unit, there is a 8 the oral dose. In that case, I will say if the 1 1 to d stimuli. I would still have a concern. So 1 9 THE COURT: We may be making (indiscernible). 4 And the other medication is available to -at the bospital very generoxusly.				-
16 administration, then you would start with the oral. 16 normally the DOC forensic unit. He's there because of 17 If he took it, that'd be great. If he didn't take it, 17 is behavior problems on occasion. Would you expect 18 he would have to go to the two-week injection and 18 if the medication was administred and he is slowly 21 normally the DOC forensic unit. He's there because of 17 his behavior problems on occasion. Would you expect 22 and if 1 - (indiscermible) previously, you would be 18 if the medication was administred and he is slowly 23 give permission, my long-term goal would be toput him 20 would come off of Taku and go to another unit? 24 on the (indiscermible), risperidone (indiscermible), 25 that. What I would do if he callmy could manage to 25 which is every two weeks. It takes sveral weeks 25 But however, usually that I would do if he callmy could manage to 26 And also start oral dose. But he may refuse 1 I ot of stimuli. I would still have a concern. So I 2 And also start oral dose. But he may refuse 1 how fourchows within aday or hours, you know. 3 the oral II feel like the other medication is 7 Solud have as averago leal or start and so				-
17 If he took it, that db e great. If he didn't take it, 17 his behavior problems on occasion. Would you expect 18 he would have to go to the two-week injection and 18 if the medication was administered and he is slowly 19 and if 1- (indiscernible) previously, you would be 19 getting better, that he would get to a point where he 20 building him up orally preferably anyway? 20 would come off of Taku and go to another unit? 21 T-HE WITNESS: Yes. When 1 initially when 21 THE WITNESS: Yes. When 1 initially when 22 I - my goal would be (indiscernible), isperidone (indiscernible). 22 that. What I would do if he calmly could manage to 23 which is every two weeks. It takes several weeks 25 But however, usually that unit, there is a 24 And also start oral dose. But he may refuse 1 lot of stimuli, I would still have a concern. So I 2 And also start oral dose. But he may refuse 1 lot of stimuli, I would such approach, try to see 3 the oral dose. In that case, I will say if the 1 lot of stimuli, aday or hours, you know. 4 patient refuse the ofter of medication is 7 shouldn't be any problem, because our staff are 8 grad		-		
18 he would have to go to the two-week injection and 18 if the medication was administered and he is slowly 19 and if 1 - (indiscernible) previously, you would be 19 getting better, that he would get to a point where he 21 THE WITNESS: Yes. When 1 initially when 21 THE WITNESS: 1 would be it on aticipate 22 1 my goal would be (indiscernible), insperidone (indiscernible), 21 THE WITNESS: 1 would be it on anticipate 23 give permission, my long-term goal would be to put him 23 get (indiscernible), estation the (indiscernible), insperidone (indiscernible), and also start oral dose. But he may refuse 24 is not (indiscernible), is a peridone (indiscernible), and also start oral dose. But he may refuse 25 But however, usually that unit, there is a 1 before we get to that level. 1 lot of stimuli. I would still have a concern. So I 2 And also start oral dose. But he may refuse 1 lot of stimuli. I would still have, a concern. So I 4 patient refuse the offer of medication, then to give 1 lot of stimuli. I would still have a concern. So I 5 the until feel like the other medication is 1 lot of stimuli. I would still have a concern. So I 6 (indiscernible), nutil (indiscernible), and just 7				-
19 and if I - (indiscernible) previously, you would be 19 getting better, that he would get to a point where he 20 Would come off of Taku and go to another unit? 21 THE WITNESS: Yes. When I initially when 23 give permission, my long-term goal would be to put him 24 on the (indiscernible), risperidone (indiscernible), 25 which is every two weeks. It takes several weeks 26 before we get to that level. 21 And also start oral dose. But he may refuse 3 the oral dose. In that case, I will say if the 4 patient refuse the offer of medication, then to give 5 him IM of a short-acting, like Haloperidol or 6 (indiscernible), until (indiscernible), and just 9 THE COURT: We may be making (indiscernible). 10 Can you give me a sense of I realize it 11 takes a period of time that you described to get to 12 therapeutic levels. Does this wort clear up the 13 use of that term. Does that mean that most people, 14 it's effective after two weeks, but for an individual 15 (indiscernible) monitoring to see whether or not it's 16 working		-		· · ·
 building him up orally preferably anyway? THE WITNESS: Yes. When I initially when I my goal would be (indiscernible) if the Court give permission, my long-term goal would be to put him on the (indiscernible), risperidone (indiscernible), which is every two weeks. It takes several weeks before we get to that level. And also start oral dose. But he may refuse the oral dose. In that case, I will say if the patient refuse the offer of medication, then to give him IM of a short-acting, like Haloperidol or (indiscernible), nutil (indiscernible), and just THE COURT: We may be making (indiscernible), therapeutic levels. Does this won't clear up the use of that term. Does that mean that most people, the range to shim? Would it take him longer for it to the working for him? Would it may that him longer for it to the rane sabout eight levis say four injection, four times two, eight weeks to show its effect. four times two, eight weeks to show its effect. presentation that he's been having, like yesterday and today, I would I would type times 		C C		-
21 THE WITNESS: Yes. When I initially when 21 THE WITNESS: I would like to anticipate 22 I my goal would be (indiscernible) if the Court 22 get (indiscernible). Because again, a stable patient 23 give permission, my long-term goal would be to put him 23 get (indiscernible). Because again, a stable patient 24 on the (indiscernible), risperidone (indiscernible), 25 But however, usually that unit, there is a 25 which is every two weeks. It takes several weeks 25 But however, usually that unit, there is a 26 And also start oral dose. But he may refuse 1 lot of stimuli. I would still have a concern. So I 2 And also start oral dose. But he may refuse 2 would see how I could take that approach, try to see 3 the oral dose. In that case, I will say if the 3 how he functions within a day or hours, you know. 4 patient refuse the offer of medication, then to give 4 And the other aspect is, he is the 5 5 him IM of a short-acting, like Haloperidol or 6 (indiscernible) also a very good relationship with our 6 (indiscernible), ourgi (indiscernible), and just 7 shouldn't be any problem, because our staff are avavailable to at the hospita				
22 I my goal would be (indiscernible) if the Court 22 that. What I would do if he calmly could manage to 23 give permission, my long-term goal would be to put him 23 get (indiscernible). Because again, a stable patient 24 on the (indiscernible), risperidone (indiscernible), 24 is not (indiscernible). Because again, a stable patient 25 which is every two weeks. It takes several weeks 25 But however, usually that unit, there is a 26 And also start oral dose. But he may refuse 1 lot of stimuli. I would still have a concern. So I 2 And also start oral dose. But he may refuse 1 lot of stimuli. I would still have a concern. So I 3 would see how I could take that approach, try to see 3 how he functions within a day or hours, you know. 4 patient refuse the offer of medication is 1 is of discernible) noniting (indiscernible). 4 7 then until I feel like the other medication is 7 shouldn't be any problem, because our staff are 8 gradually getting system (indiscernible), and just 9 THE COURT: We may be making (indiscernible). 10 therapeutic levels. Does this won't clear up the 12 THE WITNESS: I believe 14				Ū.
23 give permission, my long-term goal would be to put him 23 get (indiscernible). Because again, a stable patient 24 on the (indiscernible), risperidone (indiscernible), 24 is not (indiscernible) to be the forensic setting. 25 which is every two weeks. It takes several weeks 25 But however, usually that unit, there is a 26 Page 179 Page 181 27 And also start oral dose. But he may refuse 1 lot of stimuli. I would still have a concern. So I 28 would see thow I could take that approach, try to see how be functions within a day or hours, you know. 4 patient refuse the offer of medication, then to give 1 lot of stimuli. I would still have a concern. So I 4 would see how I could take that approach, try to see how be functions within a day or hours, you know. 4 patient refuse the offer of medication, then to give 4 And the other aspect is, he is the 5 him IM of a short-acting, like Haloperidol or 6 (indiscernible) also a very good relationship with our 6 (indiscernible), and just 9 THE COURT: We may be making (indiscernible). 9 10 Can you give me a sense of I realize it takes a period of time that you described to get to		-		-
24 on the (indiscernible), risperidone (indiscernible), 24 is not (indiscernible) to be the forensic setting. 25 which is every two weeks. It takes several weeks 25 But however, usually that unit, there is a Page 179 Page 179 Page 179 Page 181 1 before we get to that level. 1 lot of stimuli. I would still have a concern. So I 2 And also start oral dose. But he may refuse 3 would see how I could take that approach, try to see 3 the oral dose. In that case, I will say if the 3 how he functions within a day or hours, you know. 4 patient refuse the offer of medication, then to give 4 And the other aspect is, he is the 6 (indiscernible), until (indiscernible) for a week, 6 (indiscernible) also a very good relationship with our 6 (indiscernible), until (indiscernible), and just 9 THE COURT: We may be making (indiscernible). 9 THE COURT: We may be making (indiscernible). 9 THE COURT: In terms of the physical setting. 12 therapeutic levels. Does this won't clear up the 12 THE WITNESS: We have two (indiscernible) and 13		•••		
25 which is every two weeks. It takes several weeks 25 But however, usually that unit, there is a Page 179 Page 181 1 before we get to that level. 1 lot of stimuli. I would still have a concern. So I 2 And also start oral dose. But he may refuse 2 would see how I could take that approach, try to see 3 the oral dose. In that case, I will say if the 3 how he functions within a day or hours, you know. 4 patient refuse the offer of medication, then to give 4 And the other aspect is, he is the 5 him IM of a short-acting, like Haloperiod or 6 (indiscernible) also a very good relationship with our 6 (indiscernible), until (indiscernible), and just 8 available to - at the hospital very generously. 9 THE COURT: We may be making (indiscernible). 9 THE COURT: In terms of the physical setting, 10 takes a period of time that you described to get to 11 would like to take him to? 11 takes a period of time that you described to get to 11 would like to take him to? 12 therapeutic levels. Does this won't clear up the 12 THE WITNESS: We have two (indiscernible) and 13 use of that term. Does that mean that most people, 13 Katmai. I believe 14 THE WITNESS: I believ				
Page 179Page 1811before we get to that level.1lot of stimuli. I would still have a concern. So I2And also start oral dose. But he may refuse1lot of stimuli. I would still have a concern. So I3the oral dose. In that case, I will say if the2would see how I could take that approach, try to see4patient refuse the offer of medication, then to give4And the other aspect is, he is the5him IM of a short-acting, like Haloperidol or6(indiscernible) also a very good relationship with our6(indiscernible), until (indiscernible), and just8shouldn't be any problem, because our staff are7shouldn't be any problem, because our staff areavailable to at the hospital very generously.9THE COURT: We may be making (indiscernible).9THE COURT: In terms of the physical setting,10Can you give me a sense of I realize it10if he moves out of Taku, is there another unit you11takes a period of time that you described to get to11would like to take him to?12therapeutic levels. Does this won't clear up the12THE WITNESS: We have two (indiscernible) and13use of that term. Does that mean that most people,14THE COURT: Go ahead.14it's effective after two weeks, but for an individual14THE WITNESS: I believe that he mostly was in16working for him? Would it take him longer for it to16Now, are the is the physical setting of18THE WITNESS: I would be more severe. But18<		-		
1before we get to that level.1lot of stimuli. I would still have a concern. So I2And also start oral dose. But he may refuse1lot of stimuli. I would still have a concern. So I3the oral dose. In that case, I will say if the2would see how I could take that approach, try to see4patient refuse the offer of medication, then to give4And the other aspect is, he is the5him IM of a short-acting, like Haloperidol or6(indiscernible) also a very good relationship with our6(indiscernible), until (indiscernible), and just8available to at the hospital very generously.9THE COURT: We may be making (indiscernible).9THE COURT: In terms of the physical setting,10Can you give me a sense of I realize it10if he moves out of Taku, is there another unit you11takes a period of time that you described to get to11would like to take him to?12therapeutic levels. Does this won't clear up the12THE WITNESS: We have two (indiscernible) and13use of that term. Does that mean that most people,13Katmai. I believe14it's effective after two weeks, but for an individual14THE COURT: Go ahead.15(indiscernible) monitoring to see whether or not it's16the unit Katmai. I would attempt to go to the same16be effective than the average fellow?17unit17in average, we say it takes three to four injection,19Now, are the is the physical setting of18THE WITNE				
2And also start oral dose. But he may refuse2would see how I could take that approach, try to see3the oral dose. In that case, I will say if the3how he functions within a day or hours, you know.4patient refuse the offer of medication, then to give4And the other aspect is, he is the5him IM of a short-acting, like Haloperidol or5(indiscernible) also a very good relationship with our6(indiscernible), until (indiscernible), for a week,6(indiscernible) Rich. So if that also but that7then until I feel like the other medication is7shouldn't be any problem, because our staff are8gradually getting system (indiscernible), and just9THE COURT: In terms of the physical setting,10Can you give me a sense of I realize it10if he moves out of Taku, is there another unit you11takes a period of time that you described to get to11would like to take him to?12therapeutic levels. Does this won't clear up the12THE WITNESS: We have two (indiscernible) and13use of that term. Does that mean that most people,13Katmai. I believe14it's effective after two weeks, but for an individual14THE COURT: Go ahead.15THE WITNESS: I twould be more severe. But16the unit Katmai. I would attempt to go to the same17be effective than the average fellow?17unit18THE WITNESS: I takes three to four injections,19Now, are the is the physical setting of20In				
3the oral dose. In that case, I will say if the patient refuse the offer of medication, then to give bim IM of a short-acting, like Haloperidol or (indiscernible), until (indiscernible) for a week, then until I feel like the other medication is gradually getting system (indiscernible), and just 93how he functions within a day or hours, you know.4And the other aspect is, he is the (indiscernible) also a very good relationship with our (indiscernible), until I feel like the other medication is gradually getting system (indiscernible), and just 95(indiscernible) Rich. So if that also but that (indiscernible) Rich. So if that also but that 97THE COURT: We may be making (indiscernible). Can you give me a sense of I realize it therapeutic levels. Does this won't clear up the 128available to at the hospital very generously. 910Can you give me a sense of I realize it therapeutic levels. Does this won't clear up the 1310if he moves out of Taku, is there another unit you 1112therapeutic levels. Does this won't clear up the it's effective after two weeks, but for an individual 1414THE COURT: Go ahead.15(indiscernible) monitoring to see whether or not it's in average, we say it takes three to four injections, 1014THE COURT: Be quiet. It's my turn to talk. Now, are the is the physical setting of the unit Katmai. I would attempt to go to the same?16In order if Bill maintains the 2223MR. GOTTSTEIN: Taku and Katmai.24today, I would I would prefer not to give him too24THE COURT: Taku and Katmai.	1		1	
4patient refuse the offer of medication, then to give4And the other aspect is, he is the5him IM of a short-acting, like Haloperidol or(indiscernible), until (indiscernible) for a week,6(indiscernible) also a very good relationship with our6(indiscernible), until (indiscernible) for a week,6(indiscernible) Rich. So if that also but that7then until I feel like the other medication is7shouldn' be any problem, because our staff are8gradually getting system (indiscernible), and just8available to at the hospital very generously.9THE COURT: We may be making (indiscernible).9THE COURT: In terms of the physical setting,10Can you give me a sense of I realize it10if he moves out of Taku, is there another unit you11takes a period of time that you described to get to11would like to take him to?12therapeutic levels. Does this won't clear up the12THE WITNESS: We have two (indiscernible) and13use of that term. Does that mean that most people,13Katmai. I believe14it's effective after two weeks, but for an individual14THE COURT: Go ahead.15THE WITNESS: I beliout at take him longer for it to16the unit Katmai. I would attempt to go to the same17be effective than the average fellow?18THE COURT: Be quiet. It's my turn to talk.19in average, we say it takes three to four injections,19Now, are the is the physical setting of20In order if Bill maintains the <t< td=""><td></td><td>before we get to that level.</td><td></td><td>lot of stimuli. I would still have a concern. So I</td></t<>		before we get to that level.		lot of stimuli. I would still have a concern. So I
5him IM of a short-acting, like Haloperidol or5(indiscernible) also a very good relationship with our6(indiscernible), until (indiscernible) for a week,6(indiscernible) Rich. So if that also but that7then until I feel like the other medication is7shouldn't be any problem, because our staff are8gradually getting system (indiscernible), and just8available to at the hospital very generously.9THE COURT: We may be making (indiscernible).9THE COURT: In terms of the physical setting,10Can you give me a sense of I realize it10if he moves out of Taku, is there another unit you11takes a period of time that you described to get to11would like to take him to?12therapeutic levels. Does this won't clear up the12THE WITNESS: We have two (indiscernible) and13use of that term. Does that mean that most people,13Katmai. I believe14it's effective after two weeks, but for an individual14THE COURT: Go ahead.15THE WITNESS: I would it take him longer for it to16the unit Katmai. I would attempt to go to the same17be effective than the average fellow?17unit18THE WITNESS: It would be more severe. But18THE COURT: Be quiet. It's my turn to talk.19in average, we say it takes three to four injections,19Now, are the is the physical setting of20that means about eight let's say four injection,21THE WITNESS: Yes, they are just the same.22 <td>2</td> <td>before we get to that level. And also start oral dose. But he may refuse</td> <td>2</td> <td>lot of stimuli. I would still have a concern. So I would see how I could take that approach, try to see</td>	2	before we get to that level. And also start oral dose. But he may refuse	2	lot of stimuli. I would still have a concern. So I would see how I could take that approach, try to see
6(indiscernible), until (indiscernible) for a week, then until I feel like the other medication is gradually getting system (indiscernible), and just 96(indiscernible) Rich. So if that also but that 	2 3	before we get to that level. And also start oral dose. But he may refuse the oral dose. In that case, I will say if the	2 3	lot of stimuli. I would still have a concern. So I would see how I could take that approach, try to see how he functions within a day or hours, you know.
7then until I feel like the other medication is7shouldn't be any problem, because our staff are8gradually getting system (indiscernible), and just8available to at the hospital very generously.9THE COURT: We may be making (indiscernible).9THE COURT: In terms of the physical setting,10Can you give me a sense of I realize it10if he moves out of Taku, is there another unit you11takes a period of time that you described to get to11would like to take him to?12therapeutic levels. Does this won't clear up the12THE WITNESS: We have two (indiscernible) and13use of that term. Does that mean that most people,13Katmai. I believe14it's effective after two weeks, but for an individual14THE COURT: Go ahead.15(indiscernible) monitoring to see whether or not it's15THE WITNESS: I believe that he mostly was in16working for him? Would it take him longer for it to16the unit Katmai. I would attempt to go to the same17be effective than the average fellow?17unit18THE WITNESS: It would be more severe. But18THE COURT: Be quiet. It's my turn to talk.19in average, we say it takes three to four injection,20Katmai versus Taku, are they essentially the same?21four times two, eight weeks to show its effect.21THE WITNESS: Yes, they are just the same.22In order if Bill maintains the22Yes, they are the same.23presentation that he's been ha	2 3 4	before we get to that level. And also start oral dose. But he may refuse the oral dose. In that case, I will say if the patient refuse the offer of medication, then to give	2 3 4	lot of stimuli. I would still have a concern. So I would see how I could take that approach, try to see how he functions within a day or hours, you know. And the other aspect is, he is the
8gradually getting system (indiscernible), and just8available to at the hospital very generously.9THE COURT: We may be making (indiscernible).9THE COURT: In terms of the physical setting,10Can you give me a sense of I realize it10if he moves out of Taku, is there another unit you11takes a period of time that you described to get to11would like to take him to?12therapeutic levels. Does this won't clear up the12THE WITNESS: We have two (indiscernible) and13use of that term. Does that mean that most people,13Katmai. I believe14it's effective after two weeks, but for an individual14THE COURT: Go ahead.15(indiscernible) monitoring to see whether or not it's15THE WITNESS: I believe that he mostly was in16working for him? Would it take him longer for it to16the unit Katmai. I would attempt to go to the same17be effective than the average fellow?17unit18THE WITNESS: It would be more severe. But18THE COURT: Be quiet. It's my turn to talk.19in average, we say it takes three to four injections,20Katmai versus Taku, are they essentially the same?21four times two, eight weeks to show its effect.21THE WITNESS: Yes, they are just the same.23presentation that he's been having, like yesterday and23MR. GOTTSTEIN: Taku and Katmai.24today, I would I would prefer not to give him too24THE COURT: Taku and Katmai.	2 3 4 5	before we get to that level. And also start oral dose. But he may refuse the oral dose. In that case, I will say if the patient refuse the offer of medication, then to give him IM of a short-acting, like Haloperidol or	2 3 4 5	lot of stimuli. I would still have a concern. So I would see how I could take that approach, try to see how he functions within a day or hours, you know. And the other aspect is, he is the (indiscernible) also a very good relationship with our
9THE COURT: We may be making (indiscernible). Can you give me a sense of I realize it takes a period of time that you described to get to 119THE COURT: In terms of the physical setting, if he moves out of Taku, is there another unit you11takes a period of time that you described to get to therapeutic levels. Does this won't clear up the 1310if he moves out of Taku, is there another unit you12therapeutic levels. Does this won't clear up the 1312THE WITNESS: We have two (indiscernible) and13use of that term. Does that mean that most people, 1414THE COURT: Go ahead.15(indiscernible) monitoring to see whether or not it's to working for him? Would it take him longer for it to 1616the unit Katmai. I would attempt to go to the same17be effective than the average fellow? in average, we say it takes three to four injections, 2017unit18THE WITNESS: It would be more severe. But in average, we say it takes three to four injection, 2118THE COURT: Be quiet. It's my turn to talk.19Now, are the is the physical setting of that means about eight let's say four injection, 2221THE WITNESS: Yes, they are just the same?23presentation that he's been having, like yesterday and 2423MR. GOTTSTEIN: Taku24today, I would I would prefer not to give him too24THE COURT: Taku and Katmai.	2 3 4 5 6	before we get to that level. And also start oral dose. But he may refuse the oral dose. In that case, I will say if the patient refuse the offer of medication, then to give him IM of a short-acting, like Haloperidol or (indiscernible), until (indiscernible) for a week,	2 3 4 5 6	lot of stimuli. I would still have a concern. So I would see how I could take that approach, try to see how he functions within a day or hours, you know. And the other aspect is, he is the (indiscernible) also a very good relationship with our (indiscernible) Rich. So if that also but that
10Can you give me a sense of I realize it10if he moves out of Taku, is there another unit you11takes a period of time that you described to get to11would like to take him to?12therapeutic levels. Does this won't clear up the12THE WITNESS: We have two (indiscernible) and13use of that term. Does that mean that most people,14THE WITNESS: We have two (indiscernible) and14it's effective after two weeks, but for an individual14THE COURT: Go ahead.15(indiscernible) monitoring to see whether or not it's15THE WITNESS: I believe that he mostly was in16working for him? Would it take him longer for it to16the unit Katmai. I would attempt to go to the same17be effective than the average fellow?17unit18THE WITNESS: It would be more severe. But18THE COURT: Be quiet. It's my turn to talk.19in average, we say it takes three to four injection,19Now, are the is the physical setting of21four times two, eight weeks to show its effect.21THE WITNESS: Yes, they are just the same.23presentation that he's been having, like yesterday and23MR. GOTTSTEIN: Taku24today, I would I would prefer not to give him too24THE COURT: Taku and Katmai.	2 3 4 5 6 7	before we get to that level. And also start oral dose. But he may refuse the oral dose. In that case, I will say if the patient refuse the offer of medication, then to give him IM of a short-acting, like Haloperidol or (indiscernible), until (indiscernible) for a week, then until I feel like the other medication is	2 3 4 5 6 7	lot of stimuli. I would still have a concern. So I would see how I could take that approach, try to see how he functions within a day or hours, you know. And the other aspect is, he is the (indiscernible) also a very good relationship with our (indiscernible) Rich. So if that also but that shouldn't be any problem, because our staff are
11takes a period of time that you described to get to11would like to take him to?12therapeutic levels. Does this won't clear up the12THE WITNESS: We have two (indiscernible) and13use of that term. Does that mean that most people,13Katmai. I believe14it's effective after two weeks, but for an individual14THE COURT: Go ahead.15(indiscernible) monitoring to see whether or not it's15THE WITNESS: I believe that he mostly was in16working for him? Would it take him longer for it to16the unit Katmai. I would attempt to go to the same17be effective than the average fellow?17unit18THE WITNESS: It would be more severe. But18THE COURT: Be quiet. It's my turn to talk.19in average, we say it takes three to four injection,19Now, are the is the physical setting of20that means about eight let's say four injection,20Katmai versus Taku, are they essentially the same?21four times two, eight weeks to show its effect.21THE WITNESS: Yes, they are just the same.23presentation that he's been having, like yesterday and23MR. GOTTSTEIN: Taku24today, I would I would prefer not to give him too24THE COURT: Taku and Katmai.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	before we get to that level. And also start oral dose. But he may refuse the oral dose. In that case, I will say if the patient refuse the offer of medication, then to give him IM of a short-acting, like Haloperidol or (indiscernible), until (indiscernible) for a week, then until I feel like the other medication is gradually getting system (indiscernible), and just	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	lot of stimuli. I would still have a concern. So I would see how I could take that approach, try to see how he functions within a day or hours, you know. And the other aspect is, he is the (indiscernible) also a very good relationship with our (indiscernible) Rich. So if that also but that shouldn't be any problem, because our staff are available to at the hospital very generously.
12therapeutic levels. Does this won't clear up the12THE WITNESS: We have two (indiscernible) and13use of that term. Does that mean that most people,13Katmai. I believe14it's effective after two weeks, but for an individual14THE COURT: Go ahead.15(indiscernible) monitoring to see whether or not it's14THE WITNESS: I believe that he mostly was in16working for him? Would it take him longer for it to16the unit Katmai. I would attempt to go to the same17be effective than the average fellow?17unit18THE WITNESS: It would be more severe. But18THE COURT: Be quiet. It's my turn to talk.19in average, we say it takes three to four injections,19Now, are the is the physical setting of20that means about eight let's say four injection,20Katmai versus Taku, are they essentially the same?21In order if Bill maintains the22Yes, they are the same.23presentation that he's been having, like yesterday and23MR. GOTTSTEIN: Taku24today, I would I would prefer not to give him too24THE COURT: Taku and Katmai.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	before we get to that level. And also start oral dose. But he may refuse the oral dose. In that case, I will say if the patient refuse the offer of medication, then to give him IM of a short-acting, like Haloperidol or (indiscernible), until (indiscernible) for a week, then until I feel like the other medication is gradually getting system (indiscernible), and just THE COURT: We may be making (indiscernible).	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	lot of stimuli. I would still have a concern. So I would see how I could take that approach, try to see how he functions within a day or hours, you know. And the other aspect is, he is the (indiscernible) also a very good relationship with our (indiscernible) Rich. So if that also but that shouldn't be any problem, because our staff are available to at the hospital very generously. THE COURT: In terms of the physical setting,
13use of that term. Does that mean that most people,14it's effective after two weeks, but for an individual15(indiscernible) monitoring to see whether or not it's16working for him? Would it take him longer for it to17be effective than the average fellow?18THE WITNESS: It would be more severe. But19in average, we say it takes three to four injections,20that means about eight let's say four injection,21four times two, eight weeks to show its effect.22In order if Bill maintains the23presentation that he's been having, like yesterday and24today, I would I would prefer not to give him too	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	before we get to that level. And also start oral dose. But he may refuse the oral dose. In that case, I will say if the patient refuse the offer of medication, then to give him IM of a short-acting, like Haloperidol or (indiscernible), until (indiscernible) for a week, then until I feel like the other medication is gradually getting system (indiscernible), and just THE COURT: We may be making (indiscernible). Can you give me a sense of I realize it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	lot of stimuli. I would still have a concern. So I would see how I could take that approach, try to see how he functions within a day or hours, you know. And the other aspect is, he is the (indiscernible) also a very good relationship with our (indiscernible) Rich. So if that also but that shouldn't be any problem, because our staff are available to at the hospital very generously. THE COURT: In terms of the physical setting, if he moves out of Taku, is there another unit you
14it's effective after two weeks, but for an individual14THE COURT: Go ahead.15(indiscernible) monitoring to see whether or not it's14THE COURT: Go ahead.16working for him? Would it take him longer for it to15THE WITNESS: I believe that he mostly was in17be effective than the average fellow?16the unit Katmai. I would attempt to go to the same18THE WITNESS: It would be more severe. But18THE COURT: Be quiet. It's my turn to talk.19in average, we say it takes three to four injections,19Now, are the is the physical setting of20that means about eight let's say four injection,20Katmai versus Taku, are they essentially the same?21four times two, eight weeks to show its effect.21THE WITNESS: Yes, they are just the same.23presentation that he's been having, like yesterday and23MR. GOTTSTEIN: Taku24today, I would I would prefer not to give him too24THE COURT: Taku and Katmai.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	before we get to that level. And also start oral dose. But he may refuse the oral dose. In that case, I will say if the patient refuse the offer of medication, then to give him IM of a short-acting, like Haloperidol or (indiscernible), until (indiscernible) for a week, then until I feel like the other medication is gradually getting system (indiscernible), and just THE COURT: We may be making (indiscernible). Can you give me a sense of I realize it takes a period of time that you described to get to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	lot of stimuli. I would still have a concern. So I would see how I could take that approach, try to see how he functions within a day or hours, you know. And the other aspect is, he is the (indiscernible) also a very good relationship with our (indiscernible) Rich. So if that also but that shouldn't be any problem, because our staff are available to at the hospital very generously. THE COURT: In terms of the physical setting, if he moves out of Taku, is there another unit you would like to take him to?
 15 (indiscernible) monitoring to see whether or not it's working for him? Would it take him longer for it to be effective than the average fellow? THE WITNESS: It would be more severe. But in average, we say it takes three to four injections, that means about eight let's say four injection, four times two, eight weeks to show its effect. In order if Bill maintains the presentation that he's been having, like yesterday and today, I would I would prefer not to give him too 15 THE WITNESS: I believe that he mostly was in 16 the unit Katmai. I would attempt to go to the same 17 unit 18 THE COURT: Be quiet. It's my turn to talk. 19 Now, are the is the physical setting of 20 Katmai versus Taku, are they essentially the same? 21 THE WITNESS: Yes, they are just the same. 22 Yes, they are the same. 23 MR. GOTTSTEIN: Taku 24 THE COURT: Taku and Katmai. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	before we get to that level. And also start oral dose. But he may refuse the oral dose. In that case, I will say if the patient refuse the offer of medication, then to give him IM of a short-acting, like Haloperidol or (indiscernible), until (indiscernible) for a week, then until I feel like the other medication is gradually getting system (indiscernible), and just THE COURT: We may be making (indiscernible). Can you give me a sense of I realize it takes a period of time that you described to get to therapeutic levels. Does this won't clear up the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	lot of stimuli. I would still have a concern. So I would see how I could take that approach, try to see how he functions within a day or hours, you know. And the other aspect is, he is the (indiscernible) also a very good relationship with our (indiscernible) Rich. So if that also but that shouldn't be any problem, because our staff are available to at the hospital very generously. THE COURT: In terms of the physical setting, if he moves out of Taku, is there another unit you would like to take him to? THE WITNESS: We have two (indiscernible) and
16working for him? Would it take him longer for it to16the unit Katmai. I would attempt to go to the same17be effective than the average fellow?17unit18THE WITNESS: It would be more severe. But18THE COURT: Be quiet. It's my turn to talk.19in average, we say it takes three to four injections,18THE COURT: Be quiet. It's my turn to talk.19in average, we say it takes three to four injection,10Now, are the is the physical setting of20that means about eight let's say four injection,20Katmai versus Taku, are they essentially the same?21four times two, eight weeks to show its effect.21THE WITNESS: Yes, they are just the same.22In order if Bill maintains the22Yes, they are the same.23presentation that he's been having, like yesterday and23MR. GOTTSTEIN: Taku24today, I would I would prefer not to give him too24THE COURT: Taku and Katmai.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	before we get to that level. And also start oral dose. But he may refuse the oral dose. In that case, I will say if the patient refuse the offer of medication, then to give him IM of a short-acting, like Haloperidol or (indiscernible), until (indiscernible) for a week, then until I feel like the other medication is gradually getting system (indiscernible), and just THE COURT: We may be making (indiscernible). Can you give me a sense of I realize it takes a period of time that you described to get to therapeutic levels. Does this won't clear up the use of that term. Does that mean that most people,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	lot of stimuli. I would still have a concern. So I would see how I could take that approach, try to see how he functions within a day or hours, you know. And the other aspect is, he is the (indiscernible) also a very good relationship with our (indiscernible) Rich. So if that also but that shouldn't be any problem, because our staff are available to at the hospital very generously. THE COURT: In terms of the physical setting, if he moves out of Taku, is there another unit you would like to take him to? THE WITNESS: We have two (indiscernible) and Katmai. I believe
17be effective than the average fellow?17unit18THE WITNESS: It would be more severe. But18THE COURT: Be quiet. It's my turn to talk.19in average, we say it takes three to four injections,19Now, are the is the physical setting of20that means about eight let's say four injection,20Katmai versus Taku, are they essentially the same?21four times two, eight weeks to show its effect.21THE WITNESS: Yes, they are just the same.22In order if Bill maintains the22Yes, they are the same.23presentation that he's been having, like yesterday and23MR. GOTTSTEIN: Taku24today, I would I would prefer not to give him too24THE COURT: Taku and Katmai.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	before we get to that level. And also start oral dose. But he may refuse the oral dose. In that case, I will say if the patient refuse the offer of medication, then to give him IM of a short-acting, like Haloperidol or (indiscernible), until (indiscernible) for a week, then until I feel like the other medication is gradually getting system (indiscernible), and just THE COURT: We may be making (indiscernible). Can you give me a sense of I realize it takes a period of time that you described to get to therapeutic levels. Does this won't clear up the use of that term. Does that mean that most people, it's effective after two weeks, but for an individual	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	lot of stimuli. I would still have a concern. So I would see how I could take that approach, try to see how he functions within a day or hours, you know. And the other aspect is, he is the (indiscernible) also a very good relationship with our (indiscernible) Rich. So if that also but that shouldn't be any problem, because our staff are available to at the hospital very generously. THE COURT: In terms of the physical setting, if he moves out of Taku, is there another unit you would like to take him to? THE WITNESS: We have two (indiscernible) and Katmai. I believe THE COURT: Go ahead.
18THE WITNESS: It would be more severe. But18THE COURT: Be quiet. It's my turn to talk.19in average, we say it takes three to four injections,19Now, are the is the physical setting of20that means about eight let's say four injection,20Katmai versus Taku, are they essentially the same?21four times two, eight weeks to show its effect.21THE WITNESS: Yes, they are just the same.22In order if Bill maintains the22Yes, they are the same.23presentation that he's been having, like yesterday and23MR. GOTTSTEIN: Taku24today, I would I would prefer not to give him too24THE COURT: Taku and Katmai.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	before we get to that level. And also start oral dose. But he may refuse the oral dose. In that case, I will say if the patient refuse the offer of medication, then to give him IM of a short-acting, like Haloperidol or (indiscernible), until (indiscernible) for a week, then until I feel like the other medication is gradually getting system (indiscernible), and just THE COURT: We may be making (indiscernible). Can you give me a sense of I realize it takes a period of time that you described to get to therapeutic levels. Does this won't clear up the use of that term. Does that mean that most people, it's effective after two weeks, but for an individual (indiscernible) monitoring to see whether or not it's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	lot of stimuli. I would still have a concern. So I would see how I could take that approach, try to see how he functions within a day or hours, you know. And the other aspect is, he is the (indiscernible) also a very good relationship with our (indiscernible) Rich. So if that also but that shouldn't be any problem, because our staff are available to at the hospital very generously. THE COURT: In terms of the physical setting, if he moves out of Taku, is there another unit you would like to take him to? THE WITNESS: We have two (indiscernible) and Katmai. I believe THE COURT: Go ahead. THE WITNESS: I believe that he mostly was in
19in average, we say it takes three to four injections,19Now, are the is the physical setting of20that means about eight let's say four injection,20Katmai versus Taku, are they essentially the same?21four times two, eight weeks to show its effect.21THE WITNESS: Yes, they are just the same.22In order if Bill maintains the22Yes, they are the same.23presentation that he's been having, like yesterday and23MR. GOTTSTEIN: Taku24today, I would I would prefer not to give him too24THE COURT: Taku and Katmai.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	before we get to that level. And also start oral dose. But he may refuse the oral dose. In that case, I will say if the patient refuse the offer of medication, then to give him IM of a short-acting, like Haloperidol or (indiscernible), until (indiscernible) for a week, then until I feel like the other medication is gradually getting system (indiscernible), and just THE COURT: We may be making (indiscernible). Can you give me a sense of I realize it takes a period of time that you described to get to therapeutic levels. Does this won't clear up the use of that term. Does that mean that most people, it's effective after two weeks, but for an individual (indiscernible) monitoring to see whether or not it's working for him? Would it take him longer for it to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	lot of stimuli. I would still have a concern. So I would see how I could take that approach, try to see how he functions within a day or hours, you know. And the other aspect is, he is the (indiscernible) also a very good relationship with our (indiscernible) Rich. So if that also but that shouldn't be any problem, because our staff are available to at the hospital very generously. THE COURT: In terms of the physical setting, if he moves out of Taku, is there another unit you would like to take him to? THE WITNESS: We have two (indiscernible) and Katmai. I believe THE COURT: Go ahead. THE WITNESS: I believe that he mostly was in the unit Katmai. I would attempt to go to the same
 that means about eight let's say four injection, four times two, eight weeks to show its effect. In order if Bill maintains the presentation that he's been having, like yesterday and today, I would I would prefer not to give him too Katmai versus Taku, are they essentially the same? THE WITNESS: Yes, they are just the same. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Taku THE COURT: Taku and Katmai. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	before we get to that level. And also start oral dose. But he may refuse the oral dose. In that case, I will say if the patient refuse the offer of medication, then to give him IM of a short-acting, like Haloperidol or (indiscernible), until (indiscernible) for a week, then until I feel like the other medication is gradually getting system (indiscernible), and just THE COURT: We may be making (indiscernible). Can you give me a sense of I realize it takes a period of time that you described to get to therapeutic levels. Does this won't clear up the use of that term. Does that mean that most people, it's effective after two weeks, but for an individual (indiscernible) monitoring to see whether or not it's working for him? Would it take him longer for it to be effective than the average fellow?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	lot of stimuli. I would still have a concern. So I would see how I could take that approach, try to see how he functions within a day or hours, you know. And the other aspect is, he is the (indiscernible) also a very good relationship with our (indiscernible) Rich. So if that also but that shouldn't be any problem, because our staff are available to at the hospital very generously. THE COURT: In terms of the physical setting, if he moves out of Taku, is there another unit you would like to take him to? THE WITNESS: We have two (indiscernible) and Katmai. I believe THE COURT: Go ahead. THE WITNESS: I believe that he mostly was in the unit Katmai. I would attempt to go to the same unit
21four times two, eight weeks to show its effect.21THE WITNESS: Yes, they are just the same.22In order if Bill maintains the22Yes, they are the same.23presentation that he's been having, like yesterday and23MR. GOTTSTEIN: Taku24today, I would I would prefer not to give him too24THE COURT: Taku and Katmai.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	before we get to that level. And also start oral dose. But he may refuse the oral dose. In that case, I will say if the patient refuse the offer of medication, then to give him IM of a short-acting, like Haloperidol or (indiscernible), until (indiscernible) for a week, then until I feel like the other medication is gradually getting system (indiscernible), and just THE COURT: We may be making (indiscernible). Can you give me a sense of I realize it takes a period of time that you described to get to therapeutic levels. Does this won't clear up the use of that term. Does that mean that most people, it's effective after two weeks, but for an individual (indiscernible) monitoring to see whether or not it's working for him? Would it take him longer for it to be effective than the average fellow? THE WITNESS: It would be more severe. But	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	lot of stimuli. I would still have a concern. So I would see how I could take that approach, try to see how he functions within a day or hours, you know. And the other aspect is, he is the (indiscernible) also a very good relationship with our (indiscernible) Rich. So if that also but that shouldn't be any problem, because our staff are available to at the hospital very generously. THE COURT: In terms of the physical setting, if he moves out of Taku, is there another unit you would like to take him to? THE WITNESS: We have two (indiscernible) and Katmai. I believe THE COURT: Go ahead. THE WITNESS: I believe that he mostly was in the unit Katmai. I would attempt to go to the same unit THE COURT: Be quiet. It's my turn to talk.
22In order if Bill maintains the22Yes, they are the same.23presentation that he's been having, like yesterday and23MR. GOTTSTEIN: Taku24today, I would I would prefer not to give him too24THE COURT: Taku and Katmai.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	before we get to that level. And also start oral dose. But he may refuse the oral dose. In that case, I will say if the patient refuse the offer of medication, then to give him IM of a short-acting, like Haloperidol or (indiscernible), until (indiscernible) for a week, then until I feel like the other medication is gradually getting system (indiscernible), and just THE COURT: We may be making (indiscernible). Can you give me a sense of I realize it takes a period of time that you described to get to therapeutic levels. Does this won't clear up the use of that term. Does that mean that most people, it's effective after two weeks, but for an individual (indiscernible) monitoring to see whether or not it's working for him? Would it take him longer for it to be effective than the average fellow? THE WITNESS: It would be more severe. But in average, we say it takes three to four injections,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	lot of stimuli. I would still have a concern. So I would see how I could take that approach, try to see how he functions within a day or hours, you know. And the other aspect is, he is the (indiscernible) also a very good relationship with our (indiscernible) Rich. So if that also but that shouldn't be any problem, because our staff are available to at the hospital very generously. THE COURT: In terms of the physical setting, if he moves out of Taku, is there another unit you would like to take him to? THE WITNESS: We have two (indiscernible) and Katmai. I believe THE COURT: Go ahead. THE WITNESS: I believe that he mostly was in the unit Katmai. I would attempt to go to the same unit THE COURT: Be quiet. It's my turn to talk. Now, are the is the physical setting of
24today, I would I would prefer not to give him too24THE COURT: Taku and Katmai.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	before we get to that level. And also start oral dose. But he may refuse the oral dose. In that case, I will say if the patient refuse the offer of medication, then to give him IM of a short-acting, like Haloperidol or (indiscernible), until (indiscernible) for a week, then until I feel like the other medication is gradually getting system (indiscernible), and just THE COURT: We may be making (indiscernible). Can you give me a sense of I realize it takes a period of time that you described to get to therapeutic levels. Does this won't clear up the use of that term. Does that mean that most people, it's effective after two weeks, but for an individual (indiscernible) monitoring to see whether or not it's working for him? Would it take him longer for it to be effective than the average fellow? THE WITNESS: It would be more severe. But in average, we say it takes three to four injections, that means about eight let's say four injection,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	lot of stimuli. I would still have a concern. So I would see how I could take that approach, try to see how he functions within a day or hours, you know. And the other aspect is, he is the (indiscernible) also a very good relationship with our (indiscernible) Rich. So if that also but that shouldn't be any problem, because our staff are available to at the hospital very generously. THE COURT: In terms of the physical setting, if he moves out of Taku, is there another unit you would like to take him to? THE WITNESS: We have two (indiscernible) and Katmai. I believe THE COURT: Go ahead. THE WITNESS: I believe that he mostly was in the unit Katmai. I would attempt to go to the same unit THE COURT: Be quiet. It's my turn to talk. Now, are the is the physical setting of Katmai versus Taku, are they essentially the same?
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	before we get to that level. And also start oral dose. But he may refuse the oral dose. In that case, I will say if the patient refuse the offer of medication, then to give him IM of a short-acting, like Haloperidol or (indiscernible), until (indiscernible) for a week, then until I feel like the other medication is gradually getting system (indiscernible), and just THE COURT: We may be making (indiscernible). Can you give me a sense of I realize it takes a period of time that you described to get to therapeutic levels. Does this won't clear up the use of that term. Does that mean that most people, it's effective after two weeks, but for an individual (indiscernible) monitoring to see whether or not it's working for him? Would it take him longer for it to be effective than the average fellow? THE WITNESS: It would be more severe. But in average, we say it takes three to four injections, that means about eight let's say four injection, four times two, eight weeks to show its effect.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	lot of stimuli. I would still have a concern. So I would see how I could take that approach, try to see how he functions within a day or hours, you know. And the other aspect is, he is the (indiscernible) also a very good relationship with our (indiscernible) Rich. So if that also but that shouldn't be any problem, because our staff are available to at the hospital very generously. THE COURT: In terms of the physical setting, if he moves out of Taku, is there another unit you would like to take him to? THE WITNESS: We have two (indiscernible) and Katmai. I believe THE COURT: Go ahead. THE WITNESS: I believe that he mostly was in the unit Katmai. I would attempt to go to the same unit THE COURT: Be quiet. It's my turn to talk. Now, are the is the physical setting of Katmai versus Taku, are they essentially the same? THE WITNESS: Yes, they are just the same.
25 many injection of other kind, even if he refused the 25 THE WITNESS. Oh Taku no Katmai is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	before we get to that level. And also start oral dose. But he may refuse the oral dose. In that case, I will say if the patient refuse the offer of medication, then to give him IM of a short-acting, like Haloperidol or (indiscernible), until (indiscernible) for a week, then until I feel like the other medication is gradually getting system (indiscernible), and just THE COURT: We may be making (indiscernible). Can you give me a sense of I realize it takes a period of time that you described to get to therapeutic levels. Does this won't clear up the use of that term. Does that mean that most people, it's effective after two weeks, but for an individual (indiscernible) monitoring to see whether or not it's working for him? Would it take him longer for it to be effective than the average fellow? THE WITNESS: It would be more severe. But in average, we say it takes three to four injections, that means about eight let's say four injection, four times two, eight weeks to show its effect. In order if Bill maintains the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	lot of stimuli. I would still have a concern. So I would see how I could take that approach, try to see how he functions within a day or hours, you know. And the other aspect is, he is the (indiscernible) also a very good relationship with our (indiscernible) Rich. So if that also but that shouldn't be any problem, because our staff are available to at the hospital very generously. THE COURT: In terms of the physical setting, if he moves out of Taku, is there another unit you would like to take him to? THE WITNESS: We have two (indiscernible) and Katmai. I believe THE COURT: Go ahead. THE WITNESS: I believe that he mostly was in the unit Katmai. I would attempt to go to the same unit THE COURT: Be quiet. It's my turn to talk. Now, are the is the physical setting of Katmai versus Taku, are they essentially the same? THE WITNESS: Yes, they are just the same. Yes, they are the same.
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	before we get to that level. And also start oral dose. But he may refuse the oral dose. In that case, I will say if the patient refuse the offer of medication, then to give him IM of a short-acting, like Haloperidol or (indiscernible), until (indiscernible) for a week, then until I feel like the other medication is gradually getting system (indiscernible), and just THE COURT: We may be making (indiscernible). Can you give me a sense of I realize it takes a period of time that you described to get to therapeutic levels. Does this won't clear up the use of that term. Does that mean that most people, it's effective after two weeks, but for an individual (indiscernible) monitoring to see whether or not it's working for him? Would it take him longer for it to be effective than the average fellow? THE WITNESS: It would be more severe. But in average, we say it takes three to four injections, that means about eight let's say four injection, four times two, eight weeks to show its effect. In order if Bill maintains the presentation that he's been having, like yesterday and today, I would I would prefer not to give him too	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	lot of stimuli. I would still have a concern. So I would see how I could take that approach, try to see how he functions within a day or hours, you know. And the other aspect is, he is the (indiscernible) also a very good relationship with our (indiscernible) Rich. So if that also but that shouldn't be any problem, because our staff are available to at the hospital very generously. THE COURT: In terms of the physical setting, if he moves out of Taku, is there another unit you would like to take him to? THE WITNESS: We have two (indiscernible) and Katmai. I believe THE COURT: Go ahead. THE WITNESS: I believe that he mostly was in the unit Katmai. I would attempt to go to the same unit THE COURT: Be quiet. It's my turn to talk. Now, are the is the physical setting of Katmai versus Taku, are they essentially the same? THE WITNESS: Yes, they are just the same. Yes, they are the same. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Taku THE COURT: Taku and Katmai.

46 (Pages 178 to 181)

	Page 182		Page 184
1	(indiscernible). They are both actually	1	that are have more challenges, either from the
2	(indiscernible).	2	placement or from level of mental illness.
3	THE COURT: Compare Katmai with Taku.	3	And when they do get discharged, even though
4	THE WITNESS: Well, Katmai has 24 beds	4	they are discharged, we don't consider that totally
5	yeah, 24 beds. And so that is and some of the beds	5	legally, they are discharged. They are not under care
6	are shared by not every patient gets a private	6	of API. But my staff and my social worker, we work
7	room. And so that (indiscernible) more stimuli, more	7	closely from that aspect. We try to see how they are
8	number of the patient, less attention.	8	doing.
9	And (indiscernible) Taku is more structured.	9	We contact the place they are staying,
10	Every individual has their own private room and	10	contact their guardian, and then if some situation
11	THE COURT: Taku, they have their own private	11	actually, one patient that I recently discharged who
12	room?	12	was very paranoid did not trust any other facility.
13	THE WITNESS: They have their own private	13	We have been able to agree for him to come to take one
14	room. And there is less stimuli, there is more	14	of his medication here.
15	structure. The ratio of the staff to the patient is	15	And in some cases, (indiscernible) that
16	more beneficial for the patient.	16	happened in one of the occasion that I had breakfast
17	THE COURT: And is that that higher	17	with Bill, I would go or my social worker would go out
18	staff-patient ratio is it's a product of the	18	of the hospital and go and check with them to see how
19	likelihood the DOC folks are going to be behavioral	19	they are doing.
20	problems?	20	THE COURT: I assume it would be preferable
21	THE WITNESS: That's one of the main reasons,	21	for him, almost necessary, that he have medical
22	yes.	22	supervision available to him upon discharge, so that
23	THE COURT: And can I assume that if the	23	even though API lost legal control over him, they
24	medications are successful and you believe that he was	24	would be assured that he would be monitored?
25	ready to be discharged in ten weeks (indiscernible),	25	THE WITNESS: Well, from the monitoring, API
25	ready to be discharged in ten weeks (indiscerimole),		THE WITTLESS. Wen, from the monitoring, 74 f
	Dago 192		Dago 195
1	Page 183	1	Page 185
1	that the long-term plan would be that he would be	1	is not outpatient provider, so when he get he does
2	that the long-term plan would be that he would be continue to receive case management and	2	is not outpatient provider, so when he get he does get connect with (indiscernible), they are trying to
2 3	that the long-term plan would be that he would be continue to receive case management and (indiscernible) services, and we would need to find	2 3	is not outpatient provider, so when he get he does get connect with (indiscernible), they are trying to engage with him.
2 3 4	that the long-term plan would be that he would be continue to receive case management and (indiscernible) services, and we would need to find suitable housing for him, given whatever	2 3 4	is not outpatient provider, so when he get he does get connect with (indiscernible), they are trying to engage with him. If he gets stable enough that he is able to
2 3 4 5	that the long-term plan would be that he would be continue to receive case management and (indiscernible) services, and we would need to find suitable housing for him, given whatever (indiscernible)?	2 3 4 5	is not outpatient provider, so when he get he does get connect with (indiscernible), they are trying to engage with him. If he gets stable enough that he is able to agree to work with them and (indiscernible) has, you
2 3 4 5 6	that the long-term plan would be that he would be continue to receive case management and (indiscernible) services, and we would need to find suitable housing for him, given whatever (indiscernible)? THE WITNESS: But this is always in every	2 3 4 5 6	is not outpatient provider, so when he get he does get connect with (indiscernible), they are trying to engage with him. If he gets stable enough that he is able to agree to work with them and (indiscernible) has, you know, case management, has a clinician, and they look
2 3 4 5 6 7	that the long-term plan would be that he would be continue to receive case management and (indiscernible) services, and we would need to find suitable housing for him, given whatever (indiscernible)? THE WITNESS: But this is always in every discharge, when we discharge the patient, especially	2 3 4 5 6 7	is not outpatient provider, so when he get he does get connect with (indiscernible), they are trying to engage with him. If he gets stable enough that he is able to agree to work with them and (indiscernible) has, you know, case management, has a clinician, and they look at the whole global aspect of his needs.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	that the long-term plan would be that he would be continue to receive case management and (indiscernible) services, and we would need to find suitable housing for him, given whatever (indiscernible)? THE WITNESS: But this is always in every discharge, when we discharge the patient, especially somebody in the setting like Bill, we do believe that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	is not outpatient provider, so when he get he does get connect with (indiscernible), they are trying to engage with him. If he gets stable enough that he is able to agree to work with them and (indiscernible) has, you know, case management, has a clinician, and they look at the whole global aspect of his needs. THE COURT: I don't have any other questions.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that the long-term plan would be that he would be continue to receive case management and (indiscernible) services, and we would need to find suitable housing for him, given whatever (indiscernible)? THE WITNESS: But this is always in every discharge, when we discharge the patient, especially somebody in the setting like Bill, we do believe that he will benefit from a place that provides a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	is not outpatient provider, so when he get he does get connect with (indiscernible), they are trying to engage with him. If he gets stable enough that he is able to agree to work with them and (indiscernible) has, you know, case management, has a clinician, and they look at the whole global aspect of his needs. THE COURT: I don't have any other questions. I don't know (indiscernible) with your redirect
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	that the long-term plan would be that he would be continue to receive case management and (indiscernible) services, and we would need to find suitable housing for him, given whatever (indiscernible)? THE WITNESS: But this is always in every discharge, when we discharge the patient, especially somebody in the setting like Bill, we do believe that he will benefit from a place that provides a placement that there is structure from extensive	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	is not outpatient provider, so when he get he does get connect with (indiscernible), they are trying to engage with him. If he gets stable enough that he is able to agree to work with them and (indiscernible) has, you know, case management, has a clinician, and they look at the whole global aspect of his needs. THE COURT: I don't have any other questions. I don't know (indiscernible) with your redirect (indiscernible) cross.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	that the long-term plan would be that he would be continue to receive case management and (indiscernible) services, and we would need to find suitable housing for him, given whatever (indiscernible)? THE WITNESS: But this is always in every discharge, when we discharge the patient, especially somebody in the setting like Bill, we do believe that he will benefit from a place that provides a placement that there is structure from extensive outpatient care, like case management, clinician,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	is not outpatient provider, so when he get he does get connect with (indiscernible), they are trying to engage with him. If he gets stable enough that he is able to agree to work with them and (indiscernible) has, you know, case management, has a clinician, and they look at the whole global aspect of his needs. THE COURT: I don't have any other questions. I don't know (indiscernible) with your redirect (indiscernible) cross. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Yes. Very short short.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	that the long-term plan would be that he would be continue to receive case management and (indiscernible) services, and we would need to find suitable housing for him, given whatever (indiscernible)? THE WITNESS: But this is always in every discharge, when we discharge the patient, especially somebody in the setting like Bill, we do believe that he will benefit from a place that provides a placement that there is structure from extensive outpatient care, like case management, clinician, guardian involvement, and community support.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	is not outpatient provider, so when he get he does get connect with (indiscernible), they are trying to engage with him. If he gets stable enough that he is able to agree to work with them and (indiscernible) has, you know, case management, has a clinician, and they look at the whole global aspect of his needs. THE COURT: I don't have any other questions. I don't know (indiscernible) with your redirect (indiscernible) cross. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Yes. Very short short. KAHNAZ KHARI, MD
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that the long-term plan would be that he would be continue to receive case management and (indiscernible) services, and we would need to find suitable housing for him, given whatever (indiscernible)? THE WITNESS: But this is always in every discharge, when we discharge the patient, especially somebody in the setting like Bill, we do believe that he will benefit from a place that provides a placement that there is structure from extensive outpatient care, like case management, clinician, guardian involvement, and community support. THE COURT: Let's assume he has now been	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	is not outpatient provider, so when he get he does get connect with (indiscernible), they are trying to engage with him. If he gets stable enough that he is able to agree to work with them and (indiscernible) has, you know, case management, has a clinician, and they look at the whole global aspect of his needs. THE COURT: I don't have any other questions. I don't know (indiscernible) with your redirect (indiscernible) cross. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Yes. Very short short. KAHNAZ KHARI, MD testified as follows on:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that the long-term plan would be that he would be continue to receive case management and (indiscernible) services, and we would need to find suitable housing for him, given whatever (indiscernible)? THE WITNESS: But this is always in every discharge, when we discharge the patient, especially somebody in the setting like Bill, we do believe that he will benefit from a place that provides a placement that there is structure from extensive outpatient care, like case management, clinician, guardian involvement, and community support. THE COURT: Let's assume he has now been medicated, he is about to be discharged, and the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	is not outpatient provider, so when he get he does get connect with (indiscernible), they are trying to engage with him. If he gets stable enough that he is able to agree to work with them and (indiscernible) has, you know, case management, has a clinician, and they look at the whole global aspect of his needs. THE COURT: I don't have any other questions. I don't know (indiscernible) with your redirect (indiscernible) cross. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Yes. Very short short. KAHNAZ KHARI, MD testified as follows on: RECROSS EXAMINATION
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that the long-term plan would be that he would be continue to receive case management and (indiscernible) services, and we would need to find suitable housing for him, given whatever (indiscernible)? THE WITNESS: But this is always in every discharge, when we discharge the patient, especially somebody in the setting like Bill, we do believe that he will benefit from a place that provides a placement that there is structure from extensive outpatient care, like case management, clinician, guardian involvement, and community support. THE COURT: Let's assume he has now been medicated, he is about to be discharged, and the and some housing and case management is set up,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	is not outpatient provider, so when he get he does get connect with (indiscernible), they are trying to engage with him. If he gets stable enough that he is able to agree to work with them and (indiscernible) has, you know, case management, has a clinician, and they look at the whole global aspect of his needs. THE COURT: I don't have any other questions. I don't know (indiscernible) with your redirect (indiscernible) cross. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Yes. Very short short. KAHNAZ KHARI, MD testified as follows on: RECROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. GOTTSTEIN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that the long-term plan would be that he would be continue to receive case management and (indiscernible) services, and we would need to find suitable housing for him, given whatever (indiscernible)? THE WITNESS: But this is always in every discharge, when we discharge the patient, especially somebody in the setting like Bill, we do believe that he will benefit from a place that provides a placement that there is structure from extensive outpatient care, like case management, clinician, guardian involvement, and community support. THE COURT: Let's assume he has now been medicated, he is about to be discharged, and the and some housing and case management is set up, however the team thinks it's appropriate, what will	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	is not outpatient provider, so when he get he does get connect with (indiscernible), they are trying to engage with him. If he gets stable enough that he is able to agree to work with them and (indiscernible) has, you know, case management, has a clinician, and they look at the whole global aspect of his needs. THE COURT: I don't have any other questions. I don't know (indiscernible) with your redirect (indiscernible) cross. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Yes. Very short short. KAHNAZ KHARI, MD testified as follows on: RECROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Didn't you say that he's always offered to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that the long-term plan would be that he would be continue to receive case management and (indiscernible) services, and we would need to find suitable housing for him, given whatever (indiscernible)? THE WITNESS: But this is always in every discharge, when we discharge the patient, especially somebody in the setting like Bill, we do believe that he will benefit from a place that provides a placement that there is structure from extensive outpatient care, like case management, clinician, guardian involvement, and community support. THE COURT: Let's assume he has now been medicated, he is about to be discharged, and the and some housing and case management is set up, however the team thinks it's appropriate, what will you be looking for? What criteria, what behavior will	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	is not outpatient provider, so when he get he does get connect with (indiscernible), they are trying to engage with him. If he gets stable enough that he is able to agree to work with them and (indiscernible) has, you know, case management, has a clinician, and they look at the whole global aspect of his needs. THE COURT: I don't have any other questions. I don't know (indiscernible) with your redirect (indiscernible) cross. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Yes. Very short short. KAHNAZ KHARI, MD testified as follows on: RECROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Didn't you say that he's always offered to stay longer?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that the long-term plan would be that he would be continue to receive case management and (indiscernible) services, and we would need to find suitable housing for him, given whatever (indiscernible)? THE WITNESS: But this is always in every discharge, when we discharge the patient, especially somebody in the setting like Bill, we do believe that he will benefit from a place that provides a placement that there is structure from extensive outpatient care, like case management, clinician, guardian involvement, and community support. THE COURT: Let's assume he has now been medicated, he is about to be discharged, and the and some housing and case management is set up, however the team thinks it's appropriate, what will you be looking for? What criteria, what behavior will you be looking for after his discharge to evaluate how	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	is not outpatient provider, so when he get he does get connect with (indiscernible), they are trying to engage with him. If he gets stable enough that he is able to agree to work with them and (indiscernible) has, you know, case management, has a clinician, and they look at the whole global aspect of his needs. THE COURT: I don't have any other questions. I don't know (indiscernible) with your redirect (indiscernible) cross. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Yes. Very short short. KAHNAZ KHARI, MD testified as follows on: RECROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Didn't you say that he's always offered to stay longer? A Every time, yes. I did offer him to stay
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	that the long-term plan would be that he would be continue to receive case management and (indiscernible) services, and we would need to find suitable housing for him, given whatever (indiscernible)? THE WITNESS: But this is always in every discharge, when we discharge the patient, especially somebody in the setting like Bill, we do believe that he will benefit from a place that provides a placement that there is structure from extensive outpatient care, like case management, clinician, guardian involvement, and community support. THE COURT: Let's assume he has now been medicated, he is about to be discharged, and the and some housing and case management is set up, however the team thinks it's appropriate, what will you be looking for? What criteria, what behavior will you be looking for after his discharge to evaluate how well he is doing?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	is not outpatient provider, so when he get he does get connect with (indiscernible), they are trying to engage with him. If he gets stable enough that he is able to agree to work with them and (indiscernible) has, you know, case management, has a clinician, and they look at the whole global aspect of his needs. THE COURT: I don't have any other questions. I don't know (indiscernible) with your redirect (indiscernible) cross. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Yes. Very short short. KAHNAZ KHARI, MD testified as follows on: RECROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Didn't you say that he's always offered to stay longer? A Every time, yes. I did offer him to stay longer.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that the long-term plan would be that he would be continue to receive case management and (indiscernible) services, and we would need to find suitable housing for him, given whatever (indiscernible)? THE WITNESS: But this is always in every discharge, when we discharge the patient, especially somebody in the setting like Bill, we do believe that he will benefit from a place that provides a placement that there is structure from extensive outpatient care, like case management, clinician, guardian involvement, and community support. THE COURT: Let's assume he has now been medicated, he is about to be discharged, and the and some housing and case management is set up, however the team thinks it's appropriate, what will you be looking for? What criteria, what behavior will you be looking for after his discharge to evaluate how well he is doing? And I am guessing (indiscernible) police	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>is not outpatient provider, so when he get he does get connect with (indiscernible), they are trying to engage with him.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that the long-term plan would be that he would be continue to receive case management and (indiscernible) services, and we would need to find suitable housing for him, given whatever (indiscernible)? THE WITNESS: But this is always in every discharge, when we discharge the patient, especially somebody in the setting like Bill, we do believe that he will benefit from a place that provides a placement that there is structure from extensive outpatient care, like case management, clinician, guardian involvement, and community support. THE COURT: Let's assume he has now been medicated, he is about to be discharged, and the and some housing and case management is set up, however the team thinks it's appropriate, what will you be looking for? What criteria, what behavior will you be looking for after his discharge to evaluate how well he is doing? And I am guessing (indiscernible) police contacts, among other things. Is there anything else	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	is not outpatient provider, so when he get he does get connect with (indiscernible), they are trying to engage with him. If he gets stable enough that he is able to agree to work with them and (indiscernible) has, you know, case management, has a clinician, and they look at the whole global aspect of his needs. THE COURT: I don't have any other questions. I don't know (indiscernible) with your redirect (indiscernible) cross. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Yes. Very short short. KAHNAZ KHARI, MD testified as follows on: RECROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Didn't you say that he's always offered to stay longer? A Every time, yes. I did offer him to stay longer. Q So why why won't you let him come when he needs to if he wants to?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that the long-term plan would be that he would be continue to receive case management and (indiscernible) services, and we would need to find suitable housing for him, given whatever (indiscernible)? THE WITNESS: But this is always in every discharge, when we discharge the patient, especially somebody in the setting like Bill, we do believe that he will benefit from a place that provides a placement that there is structure from extensive outpatient care, like case management, clinician, guardian involvement, and community support. THE COURT: Let's assume he has now been medicated, he is about to be discharged, and the and some housing and case management is set up, however the team thinks it's appropriate, what will you be looking for? What criteria, what behavior will you be looking for after his discharge to evaluate how well he is doing? And I am guessing (indiscernible) police contacts, among other things. Is there anything else that you'd be looking at (indiscernible)?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	is not outpatient provider, so when he get he does get connect with (indiscernible), they are trying to engage with him. If he gets stable enough that he is able to agree to work with them and (indiscernible) has, you know, case management, has a clinician, and they look at the whole global aspect of his needs. THE COURT: I don't have any other questions. I don't know (indiscernible) with your redirect (indiscernible) cross. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Yes. Very short short. KAHNAZ KHARI, MD testified as follows on: RECROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Didn't you say that he's always offered to stay longer? A Every time, yes. I did offer him to stay longer. Q So why why won't you let him come when he needs to if he wants to? A Well, again, I want to remind you, this is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that the long-term plan would be that he would be continue to receive case management and (indiscernible) services, and we would need to find suitable housing for him, given whatever (indiscernible)? THE WITNESS: But this is always in every discharge, when we discharge the patient, especially somebody in the setting like Bill, we do believe that he will benefit from a place that provides a placement that there is structure from extensive outpatient care, like case management, clinician, guardian involvement, and community support. THE COURT: Let's assume he has now been medicated, he is about to be discharged, and the and some housing and case management is set up, however the team thinks it's appropriate, what will you be looking for? What criteria, what behavior will you be looking for after his discharge to evaluate how well he is doing? And I am guessing (indiscernible) police contacts, among other things. Is there anything else that you'd be looking at (indiscernible)? THE WITNESS: With some of my patient I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 is not outpatient provider, so when he get he does get connect with (indiscernible), they are trying to engage with him. If he gets stable enough that he is able to agree to work with them and (indiscernible) has, you know, case management, has a clinician, and they look at the whole global aspect of his needs. THE COURT: I don't have any other questions. I don't know (indiscernible) with your redirect (indiscernible) cross. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Yes. Very short short. KAHNAZ KHARI, MD testified as follows on: RECROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Didn't you say that he's always offered to stay longer? A Every time, yes. I did offer him to stay longer. Q So why why won't you let him come when he needs to if he wants to? A Well, again, I want to remind you, this is acute care facility. It is not a (indiscernible)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that the long-term plan would be that he would be continue to receive case management and (indiscernible) services, and we would need to find suitable housing for him, given whatever (indiscernible)? THE WITNESS: But this is always in every discharge, when we discharge the patient, especially somebody in the setting like Bill, we do believe that he will benefit from a place that provides a placement that there is structure from extensive outpatient care, like case management, clinician, guardian involvement, and community support. THE COURT: Let's assume he has now been medicated, he is about to be discharged, and the and some housing and case management is set up, however the team thinks it's appropriate, what will you be looking for? What criteria, what behavior will you be looking for after his discharge to evaluate how well he is doing? And I am guessing (indiscernible) police contacts, among other things. Is there anything else that you'd be looking at (indiscernible)?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	is not outpatient provider, so when he get he does get connect with (indiscernible), they are trying to engage with him. If he gets stable enough that he is able to agree to work with them and (indiscernible) has, you know, case management, has a clinician, and they look at the whole global aspect of his needs. THE COURT: I don't have any other questions. I don't know (indiscernible) with your redirect (indiscernible) cross. MR. GOTTSTEIN: Yes. Very short short. KAHNAZ KHARI, MD testified as follows on: RECROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q Didn't you say that he's always offered to stay longer? A Every time, yes. I did offer him to stay longer. Q So why why won't you let him come when he needs to if he wants to? A Well, again, I want to remind you, this is

47 (Pages 182 to 185)

	Page 186		Page 188
1	if he when you got to the point you thought he was	1	how he could get it, and part of it is the medication.
2	dischargeable and should be discharged, and you	2	He may agree to stay compliant and not get off
3	offered him a voluntary commitment, and he said yes,	3	medication.
4	that doesn't mean he gets to stay as long as he feels	4	Q But you testified you didn't know why he
5	like it. I assume I assume at some point, the	5	what the circumstances were for why he quit taking it
6	offer his voluntary admission is no longer	6	back then?
7	acceptable?	7	A But I wouldn't mind it if he stopped if he
8	THE WITNESS: But he every case is	8	decided to stop. But no, I wasn't his clinician and I
9	different. For Bill, because we didn't know the state	9	did not look at the chart for that specific
10	of the degree that he is experiencing, where	10	(indiscernible).
11	actually he stayed longer, we are totally open to it.	11	Q So you you don't know that there was a
12	For example, when he	12	THE COURT: If she doesn't know, she doesn't
13	THE COURT: But at some point, doesn't API	13	know.
14	say enough is enough and you have to leave now?	14	BY MR. GOTTSTEIN:
15	THE WITNESS: In Bill's case, usually he says	15	Q Isn't he still delusional, lacking insight,
16	before we say. But with some patient, yes, when they	16	poor judgment on the medication?
17	get stable and if they are trying they feel like	17	MS. DERRY: Objection, asked and answered.
18	they could function outside. Because having an	18	THE COURT: Overruled.
19	institutionalized life also is not the best benefit of	19	THE WITNESS: Well, individual like Bill,
20 21	the patient.	20	when they have such a severe mental illness, the
21 22	So if some individual, if they have improved,	21 22	medication improves the clinical symptoms, but it may
22	they are doing good, they are in a voluntary state, they don't meet the criteria, of course the hospital	22 23	not clear I believe that based on my evaluation from Bill, I do not expect him to be free of his
23 24	is going to encourage them to get discharged.	24	delusion. He would continue probably high
25	But however, we will take a situation	25	probability he will continue to experience those
23		25	
	Page 187		Page 189
-		-	
1	different from the aspect that (indiscernible) think	1	delusional contents.
2	that he would benefit from more even though this is	2	But the difference what it makes, it takes
2 3	that he would benefit from more even though this is not a house and it is not a placement, he would	2 3	But the difference what it makes, it takes that edge away, gives him some degree of insight, and
2 3 4	that he would benefit from more even though this is not a house and it is not a placement, he would benefit.	2 3 4	But the difference what it makes, it takes that edge away, gives him some degree of insight, and for him even though he has delusions, he wouldn't
2 3 4 5	that he would benefit from more even though this is not a house and it is not a placement, he would benefit. If he is asking to leave, he wants to leave,	2 3 4 5	But the difference what it makes, it takes that edge away, gives him some degree of insight, and for him even though he has delusions, he wouldn't act on it. He wouldn't continually be in the
2 3 4 5 6	that he would benefit from more even though this is not a house and it is not a placement, he would benefit.If he is asking to leave, he wants to leave, and we think that he would benefit as he shows, like,	2 3 4 5 6	But the difference what it makes, it takes that edge away, gives him some degree of insight, and for him even though he has delusions, he wouldn't act on it. He wouldn't continually be in the correctional facility, threatening people, and keeping
2 3 4 5 6 7	that he would benefit from more even though this is not a house and it is not a placement, he would benefit. If he is asking to leave, he wants to leave, and we think that he would benefit as he shows, like, for example, (indiscernible) just being in a	2 3 4 5 6 7	But the difference what it makes, it takes that edge away, gives him some degree of insight, and for him even though he has delusions, he wouldn't act on it. He wouldn't continually be in the correctional facility, threatening people, and keeping self in unstable situation. So that is what I am
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	that he would benefit from more even though this is not a house and it is not a placement, he would benefit. If he is asking to leave, he wants to leave, and we think that he would benefit as he shows, like, for example, (indiscernible) just being in a structured facility, receiving that care, he is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	But the difference what it makes, it takes that edge away, gives him some degree of insight, and for him even though he has delusions, he wouldn't act on it. He wouldn't continually be in the correctional facility, threatening people, and keeping self in unstable situation. So that is what I am hoping to achieve with medication.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that he would benefit from more even though this is not a house and it is not a placement, he would benefit. If he is asking to leave, he wants to leave, and we think that he would benefit as he shows, like, for example, (indiscernible) just being in a structured facility, receiving that care, he is getting that treatment and hoping that, again, with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	But the difference what it makes, it takes that edge away, gives him some degree of insight, and for him even though he has delusions, he wouldn't act on it. He wouldn't continually be in the correctional facility, threatening people, and keeping self in unstable situation. So that is what I am hoping to achieve with medication. Q So isn't it true basically that this approach
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	that he would benefit from more even though this is not a house and it is not a placement, he would benefit. If he is asking to leave, he wants to leave, and we think that he would benefit as he shows, like, for example, (indiscernible) just being in a structured facility, receiving that care, he is getting that treatment and hoping that, again, with the hope that he gets to some level perhaps does	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	But the difference what it makes, it takes that edge away, gives him some degree of insight, and for him even though he has delusions, he wouldn't act on it. He wouldn't continually be in the correctional facility, threatening people, and keeping self in unstable situation. So that is what I am hoping to achieve with medication. Q So isn't it true basically that this approach hasn't worked for 28 years and 80 admissions?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that he would benefit from more even though this is not a house and it is not a placement, he would benefit. If he is asking to leave, he wants to leave, and we think that he would benefit as he shows, like, for example, (indiscernible) just being in a structured facility, receiving that care, he is getting that treatment and hoping that, again, with the hope that he gets to some level perhaps does come, agreed to see what the treatment can offer and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	But the difference what it makes, it takes that edge away, gives him some degree of insight, and for him even though he has delusions, he wouldn't act on it. He wouldn't continually be in the correctional facility, threatening people, and keeping self in unstable situation. So that is what I am hoping to achieve with medication. Q So isn't it true basically that this approach hasn't worked for 28 years and 80 admissions? A Actually, I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	that he would benefit from more even though this is not a house and it is not a placement, he would benefit. If he is asking to leave, he wants to leave, and we think that he would benefit as he shows, like, for example, (indiscernible) just being in a structured facility, receiving that care, he is getting that treatment and hoping that, again, with the hope that he gets to some level perhaps does	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	But the difference what it makes, it takes that edge away, gives him some degree of insight, and for him even though he has delusions, he wouldn't act on it. He wouldn't continually be in the correctional facility, threatening people, and keeping self in unstable situation. So that is what I am hoping to achieve with medication. Q So isn't it true basically that this approach hasn't worked for 28 years and 80 admissions?
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	that he would benefit from more even though this is not a house and it is not a placement, he would benefit. If he is asking to leave, he wants to leave, and we think that he would benefit as he shows, like, for example, (indiscernible) just being in a structured facility, receiving that care, he is getting that treatment and hoping that, again, with the hope that he gets to some level perhaps does come, agreed to see what the treatment can offer and he could stay compliant with that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	But the difference what it makes, it takes that edge away, gives him some degree of insight, and for him even though he has delusions, he wouldn't act on it. He wouldn't continually be in the correctional facility, threatening people, and keeping self in unstable situation. So that is what I am hoping to achieve with medication. Q So isn't it true basically that this approach hasn't worked for 28 years and 80 admissions? A Actually, I MS. DERRY: Objection. I am objecting to his
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that he would benefit from more even though this is not a house and it is not a placement, he would benefit. If he is asking to leave, he wants to leave, and we think that he would benefit as he shows, like, for example, (indiscernible) just being in a structured facility, receiving that care, he is getting that treatment and hoping that, again, with the hope that he gets to some level perhaps does come, agreed to see what the treatment can offer and he could stay compliant with that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	But the difference what it makes, it takes that edge away, gives him some degree of insight, and for him even though he has delusions, he wouldn't act on it. He wouldn't continually be in the correctional facility, threatening people, and keeping self in unstable situation. So that is what I am hoping to achieve with medication. Q So isn't it true basically that this approach hasn't worked for 28 years and 80 admissions? A Actually, I MS. DERRY: Objection. I am objecting to his assuming facts not in evidence.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 that he would benefit from more even though this is not a house and it is not a placement, he would benefit. If he is asking to leave, he wants to leave, and we think that he would benefit as he shows, like, for example, (indiscernible) just being in a structured facility, receiving that care, he is getting that treatment and hoping that, again, with the hope that he gets to some level perhaps does come, agreed to see what the treatment can offer and he could stay compliant with that. THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q But don't you assume that once he's 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	But the difference what it makes, it takes that edge away, gives him some degree of insight, and for him even though he has delusions, he wouldn't act on it. He wouldn't continually be in the correctional facility, threatening people, and keeping self in unstable situation. So that is what I am hoping to achieve with medication. Q So isn't it true basically that this approach hasn't worked for 28 years and 80 admissions? A Actually, I MS. DERRY: Objection. I am objecting to his assuming facts not in evidence. THE COURT: Overruled.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 that he would benefit from more even though this is not a house and it is not a placement, he would benefit. If he is asking to leave, he wants to leave, and we think that he would benefit as he shows, like, for example, (indiscernible) just being in a structured facility, receiving that care, he is getting that treatment and hoping that, again, with the hope that he gets to some level perhaps does come, agreed to see what the treatment can offer and he could stay compliant with that. THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q But don't you assume that once he's discharged, he's going to quit taking the medication? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	But the difference what it makes, it takes that edge away, gives him some degree of insight, and for him even though he has delusions, he wouldn't act on it. He wouldn't continually be in the correctional facility, threatening people, and keeping self in unstable situation. So that is what I am hoping to achieve with medication. Q So isn't it true basically that this approach hasn't worked for 28 years and 80 admissions? A Actually, I MS. DERRY: Objection. I am objecting to his assuming facts not in evidence. THE COURT: Overruled. THE WITNESS: I'm actually I'm glad you did ask the question. I had a patient that has been institutionalized for years with a very severe active
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 that he would benefit from more even though this is not a house and it is not a placement, he would benefit. If he is asking to leave, he wants to leave, and we think that he would benefit as he shows, like, for example, (indiscernible) just being in a structured facility, receiving that care, he is getting that treatment and hoping that, again, with the hope that he gets to some level perhaps does come, agreed to see what the treatment can offer and he could stay compliant with that. THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q But don't you assume that once he's discharged, he's going to quit taking the medication? A Every patient is different. Every state of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	But the difference what it makes, it takes that edge away, gives him some degree of insight, and for him even though he has delusions, he wouldn't act on it. He wouldn't continually be in the correctional facility, threatening people, and keeping self in unstable situation. So that is what I am hoping to achieve with medication. Q So isn't it true basically that this approach hasn't worked for 28 years and 80 admissions? A Actually, I MS. DERRY: Objection. I am objecting to his assuming facts not in evidence. THE COURT: Overruled. THE WITNESS: I'm actually I'm glad you did ask the question. I had a patient that has been institutionalized for years with a very severe active psychosis, actually more prominent than what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 that he would benefit from more even though this is not a house and it is not a placement, he would benefit. If he is asking to leave, he wants to leave, and we think that he would benefit as he shows, like, for example, (indiscernible) just being in a structured facility, receiving that care, he is getting that treatment and hoping that, again, with the hope that he gets to some level perhaps does come, agreed to see what the treatment can offer and he could stay compliant with that. THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q But don't you assume that once he's discharged, he's going to quit taking the medication? A Every patient is different. Every state of mind different. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	But the difference what it makes, it takes that edge away, gives him some degree of insight, and for him even though he has delusions, he wouldn't act on it. He wouldn't continually be in the correctional facility, threatening people, and keeping self in unstable situation. So that is what I am hoping to achieve with medication. Q So isn't it true basically that this approach hasn't worked for 28 years and 80 admissions? A Actually, I MS. DERRY: Objection. I am objecting to his assuming facts not in evidence. THE COURT: Overruled. THE WITNESS: I'm actually I'm glad you did ask the question. I had a patient that has been institutionalized for years with a very severe active psychosis, actually more prominent than what (indiscernible) with Bill.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 that he would benefit from more even though this is not a house and it is not a placement, he would benefit. If he is asking to leave, he wants to leave, and we think that he would benefit as he shows, like, for example, (indiscernible) just being in a structured facility, receiving that care, he is getting that treatment and hoping that, again, with the hope that he gets to some level perhaps does come, agreed to see what the treatment can offer and he could stay compliant with that. THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q But don't you assume that once he's discharged, he's going to quit taking the medication? A Every patient is different. Every state of mind different. For Bill, right now he has shown that he is 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	But the difference what it makes, it takes that edge away, gives him some degree of insight, and for him even though he has delusions, he wouldn't act on it. He wouldn't continually be in the correctional facility, threatening people, and keeping self in unstable situation. So that is what I am hoping to achieve with medication. Q So isn't it true basically that this approach hasn't worked for 28 years and 80 admissions? A Actually, I MS. DERRY: Objection. I am objecting to his assuming facts not in evidence. THE COURT: Overruled. THE WITNESS: I'm actually I'm glad you did ask the question. I had a patient that has been institutionalized for years with a very severe active psychosis, actually more prominent than what (indiscernible) with Bill. Even though he continues, even to today he
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 that he would benefit from more even though this is not a house and it is not a placement, he would benefit. If he is asking to leave, he wants to leave, and we think that he would benefit as he shows, like, for example, (indiscernible) just being in a structured facility, receiving that care, he is getting that treatment and hoping that, again, with the hope that he gets to some level perhaps does come, agreed to see what the treatment can offer and he could stay compliant with that. THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q But don't you assume that once he's discharged, he's going to quit taking the medication? A Every patient is different. Every state of mind different. For Bill, right now he has shown that he is not taking the medication. But again, based on the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	But the difference what it makes, it takes that edge away, gives him some degree of insight, and for him even though he has delusions, he wouldn't act on it. He wouldn't continually be in the correctional facility, threatening people, and keeping self in unstable situation. So that is what I am hoping to achieve with medication. Q So isn't it true basically that this approach hasn't worked for 28 years and 80 admissions? A Actually, I MS. DERRY: Objection. I am objecting to his assuming facts not in evidence. THE COURT: Overruled. THE WITNESS: I'm actually I'm glad you did ask the question. I had a patient that has been institutionalized for years with a very severe active psychosis, actually more prominent than what (indiscernible) with Bill. Even though he continues, even to today he continues to have active psychosis, but the medication
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 that he would benefit from more even though this is not a house and it is not a placement, he would benefit. If he is asking to leave, he wants to leave, and we think that he would benefit as he shows, like, for example, (indiscernible) just being in a structured facility, receiving that care, he is getting that treatment and hoping that, again, with the hope that he gets to some level perhaps does come, agreed to see what the treatment can offer and he could stay compliant with that. THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q But don't you assume that once he's discharged, he's going to quit taking the medication? A Every patient is different. Every state of mind different. For Bill, right now he has shown that he is not taking the medication. But again, based on the testimony we have and the chart shows, about two years 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	But the difference what it makes, it takes that edge away, gives him some degree of insight, and for him even though he has delusions, he wouldn't act on it. He wouldn't continually be in the correctional facility, threatening people, and keeping self in unstable situation. So that is what I am hoping to achieve with medication. Q So isn't it true basically that this approach hasn't worked for 28 years and 80 admissions? A Actually, I MS. DERRY: Objection. I am objecting to his assuming facts not in evidence. THE COURT: Overruled. THE WITNESS: I'm actually I'm glad you did ask the question. I had a patient that has been institutionalized for years with a very severe active psychosis, actually more prominent than what (indiscernible) with Bill. Even though he continues, even to today he continues to have active psychosis, but the medication has stabilized him enough that I would say a year and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 that he would benefit from more even though this is not a house and it is not a placement, he would benefit. If he is asking to leave, he wants to leave, and we think that he would benefit as he shows, like, for example, (indiscernible) just being in a structured facility, receiving that care, he is getting that treatment and hoping that, again, with the hope that he gets to some level perhaps does come, agreed to see what the treatment can offer and he could stay compliant with that. THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q But don't you assume that once he's discharged, he's going to quit taking the medication? A Every patient is different. Every state of mind different. For Bill, right now he has shown that he is not taking the medication. But again, based on the testimony we have and the chart shows, about two years ago, when he was discharged, he was coming willingly 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	But the difference what it makes, it takes that edge away, gives him some degree of insight, and for him even though he has delusions, he wouldn't act on it. He wouldn't continually be in the correctional facility, threatening people, and keeping self in unstable situation. So that is what I am hoping to achieve with medication. Q So isn't it true basically that this approach hasn't worked for 28 years and 80 admissions? A Actually, I MS. DERRY: Objection. I am objecting to his assuming facts not in evidence. THE COURT: Overruled. THE WITNESS: I'm actually I'm glad you did ask the question. I had a patient that has been institutionalized for years with a very severe active psychosis, actually more prominent than what (indiscernible) with Bill. Even though he continues, even to today he continues to have active psychosis, but the medication has stabilized him enough that I would say a year and a half, more than a year, he's been in the community
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 that he would benefit from more even though this is not a house and it is not a placement, he would benefit. If he is asking to leave, he wants to leave, and we think that he would benefit as he shows, like, for example, (indiscernible) just being in a structured facility, receiving that care, he is getting that treatment and hoping that, again, with the hope that he gets to some level perhaps does come, agreed to see what the treatment can offer and he could stay compliant with that. THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead. BY MR. GOTTSTEIN: Q But don't you assume that once he's discharged, he's going to quit taking the medication? A Every patient is different. Every state of mind different. For Bill, right now he has shown that he is not taking the medication. But again, based on the testimony we have and the chart shows, about two years 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	But the difference what it makes, it takes that edge away, gives him some degree of insight, and for him even though he has delusions, he wouldn't act on it. He wouldn't continually be in the correctional facility, threatening people, and keeping self in unstable situation. So that is what I am hoping to achieve with medication. Q So isn't it true basically that this approach hasn't worked for 28 years and 80 admissions? A Actually, I MS. DERRY: Objection. I am objecting to his assuming facts not in evidence. THE COURT: Overruled. THE WITNESS: I'm actually I'm glad you did ask the question. I had a patient that has been institutionalized for years with a very severe active psychosis, actually more prominent than what (indiscernible) with Bill. Even though he continues, even to today he continues to have active psychosis, but the medication has stabilized him enough that I would say a year and

	Page 190			Page 192
1	is living, the (indiscernible) he is getting, just is	1		TRANSCRIBER'S CERTIFICATE
2	amazing how much you know, that the fact that he is	2	Ι	, Jeanette Blalock, hereby certify that the
3	not living in institutionalized and he is valuing and	3		ing pages numbered 1 through 191 are a true,
4	appreciating that.	4	-	te, and complete transcript of proceedings in
5	Q Don't you think he should be given a chance	5		Io. 3AN-08-1252 PR, In the Matter of the
6	to be successful given supports in the community	6		ity for the Hospitalization of William S.
7	to it without having to be required to take	7	Bigley	, Hearing held on November 6, 2008, transcribed
8	drugs?	8	by me	from a copy of the electronic sound recording,
9	MS. DERRY: Objection, asked and answered in	9	to the	best of my knowledge and ability.
10	your initial cross.	10		
11	THE COURT: Sustained.	11		
12	BY MR. GOTTSTEIN:	12		
13	Q Now, isn't Taku basically I mean, isn't it		Date	Jeanette Blalock, Transcriber
14	basically a jail?	13		
15	A No, it is not a jail.	14		
16	Q Isn't it set up as a jail?	15		
17	A It is a most restricted unit. It is a unit	16 17		
18	where we take (indiscernible) forensic criminal cases.			
19	But every patient is treated as equally like	18 19		
20	any other patient in other other units. As I said,	20		
21	I have two units. I do not the services and care	21		
22	that has been provided in both units is equal.	22		
23	Q No, no. But I'm talking about the unit	23		
24	itself. Isn't it basically treated as part of	24		
25	corrections (indiscernible) jail?	25		
	Page 191			
1				
1	A I have never (indiscernible).			
2	MS. DERRY: Asked and answered.			
3 4	THE COURT: Overruled. THE WITNESS: I have never worked in the			
4 5	correctional facility, so I really don't I cannot			
6				
7	answer that. MR. GOTTSTEIN: No further questions.			
8	THE COURT: Thank you. We will be in recess			
9	until 8:30 Monday morning.			
10	(Off record.)			
11	1:34:14			
12	1.77.17			
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				