EXHIBIT 3

AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals

Seroque TM (Quetiapine)



Commercial Support Team - Technical Document (TD005)

CGI - Severity of Illness Meta-Analysis

This document is a confidential communication. Acceptance of it constitutes an agreement signed by the recipient that no unpublished information contained herein will be published or disclosed without prior written approval of the sponsor. 'Seroquel' is a trademark, property of Zeneca Limited.

Request From: Debbie Holdsworth	
Date Requested: Jan 2000	***************************************
Statistician/Statistical Programmer Responsible: Rob Hemming	 S

1 Source of Data

This document summarises initial findings into a meta-analysis of CGI - Severity of Illnes (SoI) scores taken from trials 5077IL/0013, 14, 50 and 52. As with all meta-analyses, care is required in choosing which combinations of trials can sensibly be interpreted. The data below comprises all our comparative data with Haloperidol (with the exception of trial 5077IL/0015 which assessed a significantly different patient population) and as such combines slightly different patient populations, inclusion / exclusion criterion, timings of endpoints, and doses of drug. This seems acceptable however, in order look for a general claim of superior efficacy for Seroquel over Haloperidol with regards CGI - SoI.

Any analysis of this data would be post-hoc.

2 Design of Trials/ Analysis Methods

2.1 Study Design

Table 1 gives a summary of the trials used and the pertinent design features from each trial.

Table 1

TRIAL	Treatments / Dose (# pats.)	Patient population	Inc/Exc criteria	Timing of endpoint
52 (PRIZE)	SER 600mg/day BD HAL 20mg/day BD (330 in total, 1:1 rand)	Schiz. pats. with history of partial response to trad anti-psychotic therapies	CGI, SoI >= 3	8 weeks after baseline
50 (ESTO)	SER up to 600mg/day BD HAL up to 20mg/day BD (190 in each tmt group)	Patients presenting with acute exacerbation of schiz. or schiz. disorder in last 3 years	CGI, SoI >= 4	12 weeks after baseline (also 24 and 52 weeks after baseline)
14	SER up to 800 mg/day BD HAL up to 16 mg/day BD (220 per group)	Acute exacerbation of subchronic or chronic schiz.	CGI, SoI >= 4	6 weeks after baseline
13	SER: 75, 150, 300, 600, 750 mg/day TD HAL 12 mg/day TD PLACEBO (50 pats. per arm)	Hosp. patients with acute exacerbation of chronic or sub-chronic schiz.	CGI, SoI >= 4	6 weeks after baseline

Points to note are:

- Differing doses of SER and HAL across the trials;

- Slightly different patient populations (especially 52);
 Differing times of endpoint assessment.
 Data from the 75mg/day group has been excluded from trial 0013 as it is not in the therapeutic dose range for Seroquel.

Seroquel Technical Document (TD005) Author: Rob Hemmings

2.2 Analysis Methods

Only descriptive summaries have been performed on this combined data. The only assumption made is that results can be sensibly interpreted when data from these trials are combined.

2.3 Details of SAS programs

Analysis programs from trials 13 and 14 are stored in the CDE under the CST directory (s:\d5077\filesm\CST) in two programs named TD5_G1 and TD5_G2. Analysis programs from trials 50 and 52 are in the CDE under the trial directory and are named as above.

3 Results

Before the data from these trials was considered for analysis, they were explored using standard summary statistics. The endpoints requested to be explored were: Change from baseline in Severity of Illness; and Proportion of patients with Severity of Illness ≤ 3 at endpoint.

Table T1 (Appendix A) shows the results of these summaries. Using either endpoint definition, it is clear that a claim of superiority for Seroquel over Haloperidol could not be generated using these data as the Haloperidol arm has a greater proportion of patients with lower CGI-SoI at endpoint and with greater reductions from baseline. It is noted, however, that a claim of 'equivalence' may be possible, given a prospective definition of clinical equivalence limits.

It was feared that messages from these trials may have been diluted by combining low and high doses of Seroquel. Therefore data from trials 13, 14, 15 and 50 were further explored, by taking only the following data:

Table 2 - Definition of 'High' doses of Seroquel for each of the trials

mg/day	
>= 600	i.e. ignoring the 75, 150 and 300 mg/day categories
>= 450	
>=450	
600	i.e. all available data
	>= 600 >= 450 >=450

Results from these additional explorations are summarised in table T2 Appendix A (in addition, dose response results from trial 13 is summarised in Appendix B below). They do not suggest any different conclusions to those described above, i.e. that a claim of superiority is highly unlikely using these definitions, whilst a claim of equivalence is not ruled out.

A final hypothesis examined was that the effect of Seroquel relative to Haloperidol may be larger in patients with severe disease at baseline. Tables T3 and T4 in Appendix A are repeats of table T1 but for patients with baseline severity of 3-5 and 6,7 respectively.

4 Conclusions

The intended claim of 'superiority versus Haloperidol' is highly unlikely using these data, however a claim of equivalence is not ruled out.

5 References

None

Appendix A: **Statistical Appendix**

Index of Tables Created

TABLE T1	Change from baseline and level of severity at endpoint in CGI-SoI scores
TABLE T2	Change from baseline and level of severity at endpoint in CGI-SoI scores (high doses of Seroquel only)
TABLE T3	Change from baseline and level of severity at endpoint in CGI-SoI scores (patients with baseline score of 3, 4 or 5)
TABLE T2	Change from baseline and level of severity at endpoint in CGI-SoI scores (patients with baseline score of 6 or 7)

Seroquel Technical Document (TD005) Author: Rob Hemmings

TABLE T1 Change from baseline and level of severity at endpoint in CGI-SoI scores

I	TRIAL	13*	TRIAL	14		TRIAL	50		TRIAL	52		TOTAL	n		TOTAL	%	
Change from baseline	SER	HAL	SER	HAL		SER	HAL										
in severity	n	п	n	D		п	п		n	n		п	п		. n	n	
-5	1	0	 2	0		0	0		0	0		3	0		0.4	0	l
-4	0	1	4	12		4	5		2	1		10	19	1	1.5	3.5	l
-3	9	2	20	25	1	17	18	ĺ	5	5		51	50		7.5	9.3	l
-2	22	8	44	53		26	33	İ	21	14		113	108		16.6	20.0	ı
-1	63	13	68	58		60	54		35	35		226	160		33,3	29.6	ı
0	82	22	49	55	l	30	39	İ	34	54		195	170		28.7	31.5	l
1	22	4	30	9		9	5		11	7		72	25		10.6	4.6	ı
2	5	0	2	7		0	0		1	1		8	В		1.1	1.5	
3	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	l	0	0		1	0		0.1	0	l
4	0	0	0	0		0	0		0	0		0	0		0	0	l
•						•	•		•		•	679	540		100.00	100.00	

Level of severity	ı	TRIAL SER	HAL	 TRIAL	HAL		TRIAL	HAL	TRIAL	HAL	TOTAL SER	HAL		TOTAL SER	HAL
at endpoint		n	n	 n	n	<u> </u>	р	n	 n	n	n	_ n_		n	n
1		2	0	5	8	l	6	4	3	3	16	15		2.4	2.8
2	İ	14	5	29	33	1	10	20	15	8	68	66	1	10.0	12.2
3		39	7	53	52	1	38	42	35	31	165	132		24.3	24.4
4		58	16	46	58	İ	55	44	36	46	185	164	1	27.2	30,4
5		44	14	42	36		26	36	10	21	122	107	١	18.0	19.8
6		47	5	35	28		9	7	9	7	100	47		14.7	8.7
7		10	3	10	4		2	1	1	1	23	9		3.4	1.7
		l									679	540		100.00	100.00

^{*} Doses of SER have been combined - 75mg group has been excluded

TABLE T2 Change from baseline and level of severity at endpoint in CGI-SoI scores (high doses of Seroquel only)

1	TR	IAL	13		TRIAL	14		TRIAL	50		TRIAL	52		TOTAL	n		TOTAL	%
Change from baseline	SE	R	HAL		SER	HAL]	SER	HAL		SER	HAL		SER	HAL		SER	HAL
in severity	I	1	n		n	n		n	n		п	n		n	n		n	n
-5	C)	0		0	0		0	0	Ī.	0	0		0	0		0	0
-4	- 0)	1		0	12		2	5	ļ [*]	2	1		4	19		1.3	3.5
-3	2	2	2	1	2	25		4	18	1	5	5		13	50		4.1	9.3
-2	1	3	8		14	53		5	33		21	14		53	108		16.9	20.0
-1	3.	4	13		20	58		12	54		35	35		101	160		32.2	29.6
0	4	D	22		17	55	l	11	39	Ì	34	54		102	170	ļ '	32.5	31.5
1	1.	4	4		10	9		3	5		11	7		38	25		12.1	4.6
2	2	2	0		0	7	ł	0	0		1	1		3	8		0.1	1.5
3	[0)	0		0	0	į .	0	0		0	0		e	0		0	0
4	0)	0		0	0	ł	0	0		0	0		0	0		0	0
•	•			•	•	,	•	•	•	•		•	,	314	540	•	100	100

	TRIAL	13	TRIAL	14		TRIAL	50	TRIAL	52		TOTAL	n		TOTAL	%
Level of severity	SER	HAL	SER	HAL		SER	HAL	SER	HAL		SER	HAL.		SER	HAL
at endpoint				п				n	п		n	n		α	n
1	0	0	0	8		2	4	3	3		5	15		1.6	2.8
2	8	5	2	33	l	2	20	15	8		27	66		8.6	12.2
3	20	7	19	52	1	8	42	35	31		82	132	,	26.1	24.4
4	24	16	13	58	ł	14	44	36	46		87	164		27.7	30.4
5	25	14	13	36	ł	7	36	10	21	,	55	107		17.5	19.8
6	22	5	14	28		3	7	9	7		48	47		15.3	8.7
7	6	3	2	4		1	1	1	1		10	9		3.2	1.7
											314	540		100	100

TABLE T3 Change from baseline and level of severity at endpoint in CGI-SoI scores (patients with baseline score of 3, 4 or 5)

Change from		TRIAL SER	13° HAL	l	TRIAL SER	14 HAL	I	TRIAL SER	50 HAL]	TRIAL SER	52 HAL]	TOTAL SER	n HAL	1	TOTAL SER	% HAL
baseline in severity		<u> </u>	n		n	n	 	n	n		n	n		n			n	п
-5		0	0		0	0		0	0		0	0		0	0	 	0	0
-4		0	Ð	1	2	5		3	4	1	2	1	ŀ	7	10		1.3	2.4
-3		7	1		16	14	1	7	9		4	5		34	29	1	6.5	6.9
-2		19	6	1	38	39	l	15	26		18	13		90	84		17.3	20.0
-l		48	9	1	56	46		49	44	1	32	31		185	130		35.6	30.9
. 0		51	17	1	30	37		24	33	ŀ	30	50		135	137	1	26.0	32.5
1		18	2		24	9		7	5		11	7		60	23		11.5	5.5
2	·	5	0		2	7		0	0		1	1		8	8		1.5	1.9
3		0	0	i '	1	0		0	0		0	0		1	0		0.2	0
4		0	0		0	0	[0	0		0	0		0	0		0	0
•		•					•	•						520	421		100.00	100.00

		TRIAL			TRIAL			TRIAL		TRIAL		 TOTAL		TOTAL	
Level of severity		SER	HAL		SER	HAL		SER	HAL	SER	HAL	SER	HAL	SER	HAL
at endpoint		п	n		n	n		n	n	n	п	n	n	n	n
]		1	0		3	8		6	4	3	3	13	15	2.5	3.6
2		14	4		27	26		9	19	15	8	65	57	12.5	13.5
3	1	37	6	İ	49	41		28	33	34	31	148	113	28.5	26.8
4		45	14		41	44		44	37	33	46	163	141	31.3	33.5
5	l	32	10	ł	29	25		15	26	7	16	83	77	16.0	18.3
6	l	18	1	l	19	10		3	2	6	4	46	15	8.8	3.6
7		1	0		1	3	1	0	0	0	0.	2	3	0.4	0.7
	j .						1					520	421	100.00	100.00

TABLE T4 Change from baseline and level of severity at endpoint in CGI-SoI scores (patients with baseline score of 6,7)

1	TRIA	. 13*	TI	RIAL	14	TRIAL	50		TRIAL	52		TOTAL	17	TOTAL	%
Change from baseline	SER	HAL	S	ER	HAL	SER	HAL		SER	HAL		SER	HAL	SER	HAL
in severity	n	n		n	п	n	n		n	п		n	n	D	n
-5	. 1	0		2	0	0	0		0	0		3	0	1.9	0
-4	0	1		2	7	1	1		0	0		3	9	1.9	7.6
-3	2	1		4	11	10	9		1	0		17	23	10.7	17.6
-2	3	2	1	6	14	31	7		3	1	·	23	24	14.5	20.2
-1	15	4		12	12	11	10		. 3	4		41	30	25.8	25.2
0	31	5	1 1	19	18	6	6		4	4		60	33	37.7	27.7
1	4	2		6	0	2	0		0	0		12	2	7.5	1.7
2	0	0		0	0	0	0		0	0		0	0	0	0
3	0	0		0	0	0	0		0	0		0	0	0	0
4	0	0		0	0	0	0		0	0		D	0	0	0
'	•	•	' '	•		•	•	•	•	. ,		159	119	100.00	100.0

		TRIAL	13*	TRIAL	14		TRIAL	50	TRIAL	52	TOTAL	n		TOTAL	%
Level of severity		SER	HAL	SER	HAL	· .	SER	HAL	SER	HAL	SER	HVT		SER	HAL
at endpoint]	п	n	п	n		п	n.	п	n	n	п		n	n
1		1	0	2	0		0	0	0	0	3	0		1.9	0
2		0	1	2	7		1 .	1	0	0	3	9		1.9	7.6
3		2	1	4	11		10	9	1	0	17	21		10.7	17.6
4		3	2	5	14		11	7	3	0	22	23		13.8	19.3
5		12	4	13 ·	11		12	10	3	5	39	30	1	24.5	25.2
6		29	4	16	18		6	5	3	3	54	30		34.0	25.2
7		9	3	9	1		2	1	1	1	2 i	6		13.2	5.0
											159	119		100.00	100.00

^{*} Dases of SER have been combined - 75mg group has been excluded

Appendix B: Supporting Presentations

TABLE T5 - CGI-SoI Trial 0013

			DOSE (mg/day)				
Level of severity	SER 150	SER 300	SER 600	SER 750	HAL 12	PLA	
at endpoint	n	n	n	n	n	n .	7
1	0	2	0	0	0	0	٦
2	1	5	7	1	5	1	1
3	14	5	8	12	7	3	
4	9	15	10	14	16	13	1
5	9	10	13	12	14	16	ı
6	13	12	8	14	5	12	1
7	2	2	5	1	3	8	1

				DOSE (mg/day)				
Change from baseline	SER 150	L	SER 300	SER 600	SER 750	HAL 12	PLA	
in severity	n		n	n	n	n	n	7
-5	0		1	0	0	0	0	7
-4	0		0	0	0	1	0	1
-3	3		4	2	0	2	0	1
-2	4		5	7	6	8	2	1
-1	13		16	14	20	13	7	1
0	23		19	19	21	22	24	1
1	3.		5	7	7	4	13	1
2	2		1	2	0	0	5	1
3	0		0	0	0	0	0	
4	0		0	0	0	0	0	

Seroquel Technical Document (TD005) Author: Rob Hemmings

Date Printed: 3/6/2000

AZ/SER 0747313

Technical Document (TD005)

Andrew Gorman Project Team Physician AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals Alderley Park Macclesfield, Cheshire SK10 4TG	
Signature	Date
Emma Westhead Senior Statistician AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals Alderley Park Macclesfield, Cheshire SK10 4TG	
Signature	Date

Seroquel Technical Document (TD005) Author: Rob Hemmings

Approved for issue by: