Ė

- WITTMAN, P. 1939. A psychological study of the mental confusion following metrazol therapy. Pap. Elpin State Hosp. 3: 67-71.
- CRONHOLM, B. & A. LAGI-RGREN. 1959. Memory disturbances after electroconvulsive therapy: an experimental study of retrograde amnesia after electroconvulsive shock. Acta Psychiatr. Scand. 34: 283–310.
- 42. American Psychiatric Association. 1980. Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders. 3rd edit. APA Press. Washington, D.C.

See THE

shows grain warm was in bilities

Memory Functions as Affected by Electroconvulsive Therapy

LARRY R. SQUIRE

Psychology Service
Veterans Administration Medical Center
3350 La Jolla Village Drive
San Diego, California 92161
and
Department of Psychiatry
University of California School of Medicine
La Jolla, California 92093

There are some issues that invite continuing disagreement. For example, ocrtain empirical questions about electroconvulsive therapy (ECT) that seem at first glance rather simple to answer by experiment are still widely debated. Does ECT permanently impair memory? Memory impairment is a weighty issue because it is central to all discussions of adverse effects of ECT. From the patient's point of view, memory impairment is the most prominent and troublesome adverse effect of ECT.

The purpose of this chapter is to summarize what has been learned about ECT and memory loss. Specifically, how severe is the memory impairment, and how long does it last? Readers are invited to consult a number of recent reviews that consider these issues in somewhat more detail. The discussion here focuses in turn on anterograde amnesia (loss of the ability to accomplish new learning), retrograde amnesia (loss of memory for events that occurred before ECT), and memory complaints. Pertinent information will also be reviewed concerning the difference between bilateral and unilateral treatment. The discussion concerns the effects of a typical course of treatment, i.e., 6-12 treatments, and it is based primarily on studies in which ECT was given with a device delivering sine-wave current. Recently, it has been reported that stimulation instead of sine-wave stimulation (see References 5 and 6). This issue will be touched on at the end of the paper.

MEMORY LOSS FOLLOWING ECT IS A SELECTIVE NEUROPSYCHOLOGICAL IMPAIRMENT

It has been known for a long time that injury in either of two areas of the brain leads to an amnesic syndrome. The medial temporal region, including the hippocampal formation and the amygdala, and the diencephalic midline, including the dorsomedial nucleus of the thalamus and the mammillary bodies, have been most often implicated in the disorder. Amnesia is a circumscribed deficit that includes both anterograde and retrograde amnesia, in the absence of other defects of cognitive function. For

^aSupported by the Medical Research Service of the Veterans Administration and by National Institute of Mental Health Grant MH24600.

example, amnesic patients can have intact intelligence test scores, intact language and praxic functions, and normal premorbid personality.

After the initial confusional period lasting approximately 30 minutes after each scizure, the memory loss associated with ECT resembles this classical picture of amnesia. It can be severe, and it occurs against a background of good performance on many cognitive tests that tap areas of function other than memory. The amnesia is particularly well circumscribed during the first several treatments, because other cognitive impairment can appear later in the treatment course. ^{3,10} For these reasons, it seems reasonable to think that ECT is having a particularly strong impact on the brain structures that have been linked to amnesia. This same point has been made previously, ¹¹ with emphasis on the medial temporal region and the fact that the hippocampal formation has a very low seizure threshold.

ANTEROGRADE AMNESIA

The anterograde amnesia associated with ECT diminishes between treatments and cumulates across treatments. During the first few hours after each treatment, and particularly after a few treatments have already been given, the anterograde amnesia can be as severe after ECT as in other conditions of amnesia—for example, in the amnesia associated with the alcoholic Korsakoff syndrome. In a test of paired-associate learning, which asks subjects to learn 10 new associations (e.g., army-table), normal control subjects remembered an average of about 5 of the pairs after one presentation of the list and 8 to 10 of the pairs after three presentations. In contrast, amnesic patients, including patients tested two hours after the fourth bilateral ECT, had great difficulty remembering any of the pairs and averaged about 2 pairs correct after three presentations of the list.

It is easy to document the recovery of new learning ability that occurs between each treatment. In one study, lists of 10 words were presented to patients at each of four intervals (45 minutes, 65 minutes, 85 minutes, and nine hours) after the fourth or fifth treatment. Memory was tested 15 minutes after each list presentation by multiple-choice method. Over the intervals tested, performance improved from an initial level that was no better than would have been achieved by chance to a level of about 8 correct words out of 10. This marked initial deficit was observed only for patients receiving bilateral ECT. Patients receiving right unilateral ECT achieved 8 to 9 words correct at all test intervals. A group of depressed patients not receiving ECT averaged 9.5 words correct.

Although the verbal memory impairment associated with right unilateral ECT is considerably less than that associated with bilateral ECT, the advantage of right unilateral ECT is not so great when so-called nonverbal memory tests are used. These tests assess the learning and retention of faces, nonsense shapes, spatial layouts, and other material that is difficult to encode in words. It is known that memory for such material depends on the integrity of the right temporal lobe. Memory for this material is also affected by right unilateral ECT more than verbal material is affected.^{6,13}

Once the course of ECT is completed, the capacity for new learning begins to recover. The point at which new learning ability reaches normal levels is difficult to identify exactly, and estimates will vary depending on the sensitivity of the test used to assess memory. One reviewer, considering a large number of studies, found an average return to baseline functions after 72 days. There are two ways to determine baseline. One way is to obtain pre-ECT performance scores. These scores, however, might have

heen lowered by the depressive illness for which ECT was prescribed, and follow-up scores might therefore have to exceed this baseline by some unknown amount before they can be called normal. Another way to estimate baseline functions is to compare patients who have received ECT.

In the past several years, studies have used both these methods. Testing instruments have included sensitive delayed-recall tests, whereby subjects are asked to produce, without the help of cues, information that had been presented to them up to two weeks earlier. One test asked subjects to recall information that had been presented to them incidentally two weeks earlier, and which they had not been told would be later tested for. The results from these studies (cf. References 2, 5, 16, and 17) have been quite consistent. Those patients with anterograde amnesia following bilateral ECT seem to have recovered by six months after treatment, and there is no good evidence that new learning ability is still deficient at this time. Presumably, once treatment is completed, recovery occurs gradually in a negatively accelerated fashion over a period of many weeks.

RETROGRADE AMNESIA

memory for past television programs was not affected at all in patients prescribed unilateral ECT, even as early as one hour after the fifth treatment. Autobiographical memory after ECT was first evaluated systematically by Janis asked for details about the programs, patients receiving bilateral ECT initially events that has been useful in evaluating the effects of ECT has been to ask about information can be obtained from single subjects. One method for assessing public often difficult to verify, but the method has the advantage that a large amount of were in the news, or by tests that ask about past autobiographical events. The latter are tests that ask questions about public events, which are verifiable because the events detectable six months later.19 Right unilateral ECT has considerably less effect than previously, but memory was unaffected for programs that had appeared longer ago exhibited a temporally limited gradient of retrograde amnesia. That is, shortly after 15 years. 18 When tested by multiple-choice methods or by sensitive recall methods that bilateral ECT on remote memory. As measured by the multiple-choice method the fifth treatment memory was lost for programs that had appeared 1 to 3 years former television programs that had been broadcast for only one season during the past This impairment gradually subsided during the weeks after treatment and was not Remote memory for events that occurred before ECT can be evaluated either by

Autobiographical memory after ECT was first evaluated systematically by Janis and co-workers in the early 1950s. ^{21,27} In his studies, patients who received 20 bilateral treatments later seemed to forget autobiographical information that had been reported successfully by the patients before treatment. This retrograde amnesia was present at 4 weeks after the treatment course, and was still present at 10–14 weeks after treatment in a subgroup of five patients who were followed further. In view of the fact that the severity of retrograde amnesia following ECT is related to the recency of the to-be-remembered event, it seemed important to replicate the study by Janis and to include measures of the time period to which the tested material belonged.

In our study patients prescribed bilateral ECT were asked 10 questions about their personal history, which covered the period from elementary school (name the teachers personal history, which covered the period from elementary school (name the teachers in your first six grades), to the period just prior to hospitalization (tell me everything you can remember about the day you came to the hospital for your present admission). The latter question concerned an event that had occurred from 2 to 36 days before the first ECT (mean - 11 days). These questions were given before ECT,

Ξ

ECT: A group of 7 hospitalized depressed patients not prescribed ECT were also tested shortly after ECT, and seven months after ECT to 10 patients prescribed bilatera

no indication for a persisting deficit in remote memory. follow-up period, seven months later, the ECT and control patients once again 58 details for each ECT patient and 62 details for each control patient). Following reported a large number of autobiographical details on their first testing session (about performed similarly. Thus, when all 10 questions were considered together, there was ECT there was a sharp reduction in the number of facts that could be recalled. At the The results were that both the patients prescribed ECT and the control patients

about these same three events (about 16 details per person). However, 7 months later they forgot much of what they had previously reported and now recalled only 7 details average of about 13 details concerning these three events at the time of the pre-ECT event that had occurred 14 to 19 months before ECT. Control subjects recalled an event that had occurred 6 to 37 months before ECT, and a third question concerned an an event that had occurred 11 days before treatment, another question concerned an about relatively recent events. One of them, the hospital admission question, concerned per person. The great majority of this forgetting applied to the most recent event, i.e., test and recalled almost as much information 7 months later, without intervening ECT. The ECT patients initially recalled a little more information than the control patients apparent when the results were tallied separately for 3 of the 10 questions that asked the question about hospital admission. However, a persisting impairment was present nonetheless, and this became

rodents, which shows that retorgrade amnesia is temporally graded and that the most recent events are the most vulnerable.²³ Although these retrograde effects have spaced ECS are given.24 0.5 detail per person from all the other questions together. These results provide evidence that information about recent events can be lost for a long time, possibly control patients. The information that was not recognized by ECT patients after the reminding procedure belonged mostly to recent time periods. Thus approximately 3.5 amnesia in mice can affect memories acquired one to three weeks previously when four typically extended only to the seconds or minutes preceding a (single) ECS, retrograde literature concerning the effects of electroconvulsive shock (ECS) on memory in permanently, after bilateral ECT. These data are also compatible with a large details per person from the hospital admission question were regarded as unfamiliar at familiar. This procedure was 71% effective for ECT patients and 100% effective for the omitted detail was given to them and they were asked whether or not it was the follow-up test, 1.0 detail per person from the other two recent-event questions, and When patients did not volunteer information that they had recalled before ECT,

encountered item are determined in part by a subject's "response bias," quite obtained was always accurate. If it was not accurate before ECT, of course, subsequent these pre-ECT reports, so it is not certain that the autobiographical information even remote events that had occurred many years ago. Specifically, 5 of the 10 persons It is not yet clear how to evaluate the finding that at seven months after treatment persons who had received bilateral ECT occasionally failed to recognize as familiar independently of the strength of that item in memory. Perhaps ECT patients were these data. It is well known that subjects' reports of familiarity about a previously patients to report inaccurate information. There is also another complicating aspect of On the other hand, it is not clear why ECT patients would be more likely than control failure to recognize the material as familiar cannot be taken as evidence for forgetting facts before ECT, seven months earlier. Unfortunately, it was not possible to verify in our sample denied familiarity to a total of 18 remote events that they had reported as

SQUIRE MEMORY FUNCTIONS

after ECT for information acquired in the distant past. verified material to determine whether long lasting memory loss can actually occur more cautious than control patients. Future studies could use multiple-choice tests and

MEMORY COMPLAINTS

interval. Second, the quality of the memory complaints seven months after ECT suggested that the patients were interpreting their memory abilities just as they had experienced them shortly after ECT. That is, there could have been continuing doubts evaluated with a self-rating scale,25 two important points emerged about the nature of memory loss does occur for events that immediately preceded the treatment course. events that occurred during the period of anterograde amnesia. In addition, persisting patients recover from anterograde amnesia, they do not recover the memories for lacuna in memory that exists for the time period immediately around the treatment. As memory to the ECT. It is also possible that the complaints refer, at least in part, to the that memory had fully recovered and a tendency to refer even normal failures were viridical, because it is known that memory functions do improve during this months after bilateral ECT than one week after treatment. In this respect, the reports memory complaints. First, memory functions were rated considerably better six memory problem to the ECT experience. 25-27 When these reports of poor memory were treatment that their memory is not as good as it used to be, and they attribute their Many patients who have received ECT continue to report even several months after 9

and how much to the depression that led up to the ECT. The same patients, three years may also be accurate, but it is not clear how much of it should be related to the ECT appears to be an accurate assessment of missing memories. The retrograde amnesia amnesia of six months. The anterograde amnesia is presumably related to the ECT and The median response was an anterograde amnesia of two months and a retrograde In a later study, 31 patients who had received bilateral ECT were asked three years later to indicate the portions of past time period that they had trouble remembering. 36 directed toward the lost time period around the treatment months. These results show directly that persisting memory complaints are in part earlier, had indicated before ECT that it was difficult to remember the previous five

memory is more impaired after treatment than before. However, depressed patients one week after treatment, patients prescribed unilateral ECT do not report that anterograde and retrograde amnesia, is also reflected in the self-ratings. In contrast to complaints. A study involving randomized assignment to ECT and non-ECT groups, during this same interval, so it is possible that unilateral ECT also causes memory patients prescribed bilateral ECT, who report markedly reduced memory functions where the role of preexisting group differences could be controlled, would be required who had not received ECT actually reported significantly improved memory functions The difference between bilateral and unilateral ECT, noted in formal tests of

DISCUSSION

memory tests as they performed before treatment and as well as other patients who months after treatment, they perform as well on new learning tests and on remote Patients who receive ECT have impaired memory. Eventually, and certainly by six The effects of ECT on memory functions can be summarized in the following way.

amnesia. 28.29 As recently as 1982, 3 review articles of ECT could fairly conclude that waveform was probably not an important factor in determining the severity of memory advantage. Interestingly, two previous studies of memory and ECS in animals failed to demonstrate any role for total electrical energy in determining the severity of An important new finding is that the use of brief-pulse stimulation instead of sine-wave stimulation can reduce the memory impairment still further, beyond the electrical energy (e.g., about 22 joules vs. about 60 joules) probably accounts for its electrical energy produced by conventional sine-wave current, and this difference in wave. Brief-pulse stimulation can elicit a seizure with approximately one-third the reduction achieved by using right unilateral sine wave instead of bilateral ECT sine

machine to obtain the full energy advantage without missing seizures or otherwise seizure threshold among patients, special care may be needed when using the MECTA stimulation over conventional sine-wave stimulation is lost. Since the parameters must brief-pulse stimulation (2.0 seconds duration and 1.5 mseconds pulse width), the total number of joules increases from 22 to 70, and the energy advantage of pulse hand. Weiner and his colleagues report good efficacy with parameters set, on average, as follows: frequency = 60; pulse width = 0.75 msecond; pulse duration = 1.25 seconds for a total of about 22 joules of energy. If maximum dial settings are used to deliver compromising efficacy. be selected individually for each patient, and since there is considerable variability in seizure threshold as possible, did not achieve good therapeutic efficacy.6 On the other carefully titrated course of right unilateral, brief-pulse ECT, designed to be as close to threshold by too much. On the one hand, Sackeim and colleagues report that a tion, are set correctly, i.e., so as to optimize seizure induction, but not to exceed seizure MECTA machine, the most common device in use that delivers brief-pulse stimulastimulation may be expected to occur only when the available parameters on the It is worth emphasizing that reduction of memory impairment by using pulse

treatment combinations, but the severity of the effects on memory and the time needed with bilateral sine-wave treatment, presumably apply as well to each of the other the general conclusions summarized here, i.e., regarding the impairment associated treatment combinations can be given in order of increasing memory impairment: unilateral pulse, unilateral sine wave, bilateral pulse, bilateral sine wave. Moreover, impairment associated with brief-pulse stimulation. The relative rankings of the four with bilateral sine wave. It is not yet certain how to characterize the level of memory impairment than with right unilateral sine wave and markedly less impairment than of right unilateral ECT using brief-pulse stimulation; and to produce less memory for recovery are different for each combination. In any case, it does now seem possible to deliver a therapeutically effective course

problems in remembering events that occurred close to the time of treatment. The persisting report by patients of memory difficulty after ECT refers entirely to yet devised. Alternatively, a sense of continuing memory problems might occur functions, but one that would show up only on a test instrument more sensitive than any perception of memory difficulty could in part refer to a subtle compromise of memory have received large numbers of ECT (e.g., more than 50). It is not known whether the It is not known whether a similar or different story must be told for patients who

SQUIRE MEMORY FUNCTIONS

course of ECT? It is not known to what extent persons in this circumstance could be when memory failures are normal and when they might be attributable to a previous because persons who have made a gradual recovery from amnesia tend to doubt that they are fully recovered. We all occasionally have faulty memories. How can we know of ECT. These and other questions remain, but science can address them all. perception would be so resistant to change that it must be considered an important cost helped by continued consultation or reality testing after ECT, or whether such a

REFERENCES

- SQUIRE, L. R. 1984. ECT and memory dysfunction. In Basic Mechanisms of ECT. B. Lerer, R. D. Weiner & R. H. Belmaker, Eds.: 156-163. John Libby & Co., Ltd. London. England
- WEINER, R. D. 1984. Does electroconvulsive therapy cause brain damage? Behav. Brain
- PRICE, T. R. P. 1982. Short- and long-term cognitive effects of ECT. I. Effects on memory Psychopharmacol. Bull. 18: 81-91.
- TAYLOR, J. R., R. TOMPKINS, R. DEMERS & D. ANDERSON. 1982. Electroconvulsive therapy and memory dysfunction: is there evidence for prolonged defects? Biol. Psychiatry 17(10) 1169-1193.
- Weiner, R. D., H. J. ROGERS, J. R. T. DAVIDSON & L. R. SQUIRE. 1986. Effects of stimulus parameters on cognitive side effects. Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci. (This volume.) SACKHEIM, H. A., P. NEELEY, B. L. STEIF, P. DECINA, S. PORTNOY & S. MALITZ. 1986.
- Cognitive consequences of low dosage electroconvulsive therapy. Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci (This volume.)
- BRIERLEY, J. B. 1977. Neuropathology of amnesic states. In Amnesia. C. W. M. Whitty & O. L. Zangwill, Eds. 2nd edit.: 199-223. Butterworth. London, England.
- 9 SQUIRE, L. R. 1982. The neuropsychology of human memory. Annu. Rev. Neurosci MISHKIN, M. 1982. A memory system in the monkey. Phil. Trans. R. Soc. London 298: 85-95.
- FINK, M. 1979. Convulsive Therapy: Theory and Practice. Raven Press. New York, N.Y.
- INGLIS, J. 1970. Shock, surgery, and cerebral symmetry. Br. J. Psychiatry 117: 143-148. Squire, L. R. & S. Zola-Morgan, 1983. The neurology of memory: the case for
- correspondence between the findings for man and non-human primate. In Physiological Basis of Memory. J. A. Deutsch, Ed. 2nd edit.: 200-268. Academic Press, Inc. New York.
- 13. Squire, L. R., A. Shimamura & P. Graf. 1985. Independence of recognition memory and priming effects: a neuropsychological analysis. J. Exp. Psychol. Learning Memory Cognition 11: 37-44.
- 4 MILNER, B. 1974. Hemispheric specialization: scope and limits. In The Neurosciences Third Study Program. F. O. Schmitt & F. G. Worden, Eds.: 75-89. MIT Press. Cambridge.
- 15 SQUIRE, L. R. & P. C. SLATER. 1978. Bilateral and unilateral ECT: effects on verbal and nonverbal memory. Am. J. Psychiatry 11: 1316-1320.
- 16. WEEKS, D., C. P. L. FREEMAN & R. E. KENDELL. 1980. ECT. III. Enduring cognitive
- 17. SQUIRE, L. R. & P. M. CHACE. 1975. Memory functions six to nine months after electroconvulsive therapy. Arch. Gen. Psychiatry 32: 1557-1564. deficits? Br. J. Psychiatry. 137: 26-37.
- 8 Squire, L. R. & M. M. Fox. 1980. Assessment of remote memory: validation of the television test by repeated testing during a seven-year period. Behav. Res. Methods Instrum. 12: 583-586.
- SQUIRE, L. R., P. C. SLATER & P. L. MILLER. 1981. Retrograde amnesia and bilateral electroconvulsive therapy: long-term follow-up. Arch. Gen. Psychiatry 38: 89-95.
- SQUIRE, L. R., P. C. SLATER & P. M. CHACE. 1975. Retrograde amnesia: temporal gradient in very long-term memory following electroconvulsive therapy. Science 187: 77-79.