# Forced interventions and institutionalization as torture/CIDT from perspective of people with disabilities

Tina Minkowitz

#### Nature of violations - 1

- Focus on nonconsensual psychiatric interventions and psychiatric detention
- Nonconsensual = without free and informed consent
  - Physical force, coercion, legal compulsion
  - Deception, misleading or no information
  - No attempt to seek or obtain consent

# Nature of violations - 2

- Neuroleptics and other mind-altering drugs
- Electroshock
- Psychosurgery
- Invasive technologies (e.g. implants)
- Restraints and isolation
- Indefinite detention
- Institutionalization

- Survivors; victims also who did not survive
- Mind-altering drugs and procedures:
- Immediate effects:
  - Fear/terror
  - Mind being controlled from outside
  - Dissociation from mind and body
  - Amnesia
  - Psychic agitation and physical restlessness
  - "Closed head injury"
  - Fractures; damage to various bodily organs and systems; death

- Long-term effects:
  - Habituation to altered state of consciousness
  - Brain damage (permanent memory loss, tardive dyskinesia, many less well-documented syndromes)
  - Illnesses such as diabetes, kidney disease
  - Cycle of repeated abuses
  - Vulnerability to all forms of abuse
  - Traumatic reactions, e.g. flashbacks, triggers

- Compound disability
  - Living with brain damage/ long-term physical and psychic injuries
  - Discrimination and silencing of survivors
  - Confrontation with evil/cruelty
  - Continuing danger of repetition of violations
    - Effects of violations interpreted as "mental illness" symptoms, e.g. anger, lethargy, social withdrawal

- Indefinite detention and institutionalization:
- Immediate effects:
  - Anxiety
  - Resistance and repression
  - Apathy
  - Loss/relinquishment of self-initiated acts, work
  - Invasion of privacy
  - Inability to defend oneself, secure basic needs
  - Acculturation/ institutionalization

- Long-term effects:
  - Challenges of regaining property,
    opportunities, re-establishing relationships,
    renewal of life in freedom
  - Flashbacks and triggers
  - Marginalized identity
  - Many never leave and suffer lifelong deprivation of all rights

#### Needs of survivors

- Social recognition of harm done and guarantees of non-repetition
- Respectful assistance to repair harm
  - Educational and economic empowerment
  - Coming off drugs; alternatives
  - Support in dealing with compound disability
    - E.g. nutritional, complementary medicine, peer support, user/survivor-led/informed research
  - Support to leave institution and establish life in freedom

#### Relevant norms - 1

- Freedom from torture and other cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment (CAT, ICCPR Art. 7, CRPD Art. 15, Inter-Am Conv, ECPT)
  - Nonconsensual medical and scientific experimentation
- Right to respect for physical and mental integrity (CRPD Art. 17, ACHR, EU Charter)
- Free and informed consent in health care and services (CRPD Art. 25, CESCR Gen. Com. 14, EU Charter)

#### Relevant norms - 2

- Legal capacity (CRPD Art. 12, CEDAW Art. 15, CRC Art. 12)
- Liberty and security of the person (ICCPR Art. 9, 10, CRPD Art. 14)
- Right to live independently in the community (CRPD Art. 19)

- Any medical intervention without free and informed consent of the person concerned violates the right to respect for physical and mental integrity
- Usefulness of gradations/distinctions?
- Severity of harm, public acquiescence, context, nature of intervention, intentionality, purpose and discrimination relevant to categorization as torture/CIDT

- Medical interventions on persons with disabilities against their will should be considered torture/CIDT
  - Discrimination
    - Seen as less worthy of respect for physical and mental integrity ("other")
    - Power inequality facilitates victimization
    - Medical model of disability suggests imperative to diagnose and cure through medical means
    - Experimentation

- Use of medical interventions against a person's will to control behavior should also be considered torture/CIDT
  - Nature and purpose
    - Violates integrity for coercive purpose (CAT)
    - Unethical use of medical knowledge
    - Comparable to corporal punishment
  - Context
    - Likely to be institutionalization

- Nonconsensual administration of mindaltering substances or procedures should be considered torture/CIDT
  - Nature
    - "Intended to obliterate the personality of the victim or diminish his or her physical or mental capacities" - Inter-Am Conv
    - Psychic apathy/turmoil; interruption of self
    - Dominance/subordination facilitated
  - Severity of harm
    - Mental anguish due to intentional interference with identity and integrity

- Indefinite detention violates the right to respect for integrity and should be considered CIDT
  - Arbitary/subjective (ICCPR Art. 9, CRPD Art. 14)
  - Culture of authoritarianism/compliance

- Disability-based institutionalization violates the right to respect for integrity and should be considered torture/CIDT
  - Nature/ discrimination/ severity of harm
    - Segregation affects feelings of self-worth
    - Power inequalities and likelihood of abuse and abusive conditions
    - Loss or deterioration of abilities
    - Human beings thrive in freedom

- Context and multiple factors in nonconsensual psychiatric interventions
  - Context of institutionalization, inferior legal status, legalized compulsion
  - Severity of harm (spectrum, but never trivial)
  - Discrimination (medical model especially egregious where no objective pathology; multiple discrimination)
  - Nature of intervention (mind-alteration)
  - Purpose (varies, may be coercion, intimidation, punishment, convenience of others, change personality/habits/beliefs/perceptions, disable will and resistance)

- Intent and knowledge
- Purpose not always evident
- "Lawful sanctions"
- Public/private
  - Public officials/ medical personnel/ third parties

- EG, who had been under order of compulsory treatment in the community, given geodon by injection, made her vomit continuously and she was in agony; doctor said purpose was to get her to take risperdal (another neuroleptic)
  - Coercive purpose, behavior control, discrimination, non-consensual use of mindaltering substance, context of detention and legal compulsion

- Judge granted court order to electroshock Paul Henri Thomas numerous times against his will, despite his protest that it was "torture and traumatization," public support and good lawyers
  - Lawyers managed to get him transferred to a different institution where electroshock not used
  - Mind-altering procedure, discrimination, severity of harm, possibly punishment, institutional context

- K, a lifelong nurse who had risen to supervisory position, wrote advance directive saying no haldol because of adverse effects; it was disregarded and she went into life-threatening coma as a result
  - Discrimination, mind-altering substance, severity of harm

- E was electroshocked as a teenager after experiencing unusual thoughts and obsessions and sharing them with others
  - Pathologizing of human experience (discrimination), mind-altering procedure, purpose to coerce change of personality/habits, youth as additional factor

- BT was institutionalized for 13 years before a friendly psychiatrist helped her to get out, and to address issues of child sexual abuse, also electroshock survivor
  - Institutionalization, failure to address genderrelated violence (discrimination), mind-altering procedure

- LA was electroshocked with her consent, but not informed of the likelihood of permanent memory loss, she lost memory of parts of her life and professional skills
  - Mind-altering procedure without full disclosure of nature and risks

- Provide remedies to persons with disabilities and others whose rights are violated by nonconsensual medical interventions and/or nonconsensual administration of mindaltering substances or procedures, and by indefinite detention and institutionalization
- Ensure that these violations are prohibited by law and that any laws which permit or regulate such practices are repealed

- Ensure free and informed consent of the person concerned in relation to all health matters, and use of mind-altering substances and procedures
- Ensure that people with disabilities have the same rights and opportunities as others to exercise legal capacity, providing support where needed
  - Support is free from conflict of interest and undue influence, and respects will and preferences of the person

- Investigate the prevalence, scope and continuation of violations, and monitor facilities and programs in which they may occur:
  - Psychiatric institutions
  - Social care facilities
  - Other institutions intended for people with disabilities
  - Institutions for older persons and youth
  - Prisons and other detention centers
  - Community mental health and CBR programs

 Ensure the involvement of survivors in all work on preventing torture/CIDT of people with disabilities, and that this work takes place with sensitivity to their needs and concerns