IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ALASKA THIRD JUDICIAL DISTRICT AT ANCHORAGE

IN THE MATTER OF THE NECESSITY)

FOR THE HOSPITALIZATION OF)

WILLIAM S. BIGLEY,)

Respondent.)

Case No. 3AN-08-1252 PR

DEPOSITION OF RON ADLER

Tuesday, November 4, 2008 9:00 a.m.

Taken by Counsel for William S. Bigley at

The Offices of Law Project for Psychiatric Rights
406 G Street, Suite 206
Anchorage, Alaska

	D 2		Pa 4
1	Page 2		Page 4
1 A-P-P-E-A-R-A-N-0	C-E-S	1 2	ANCHORAGE, ALASKA; NOVEMBER 4, 2008 9:00 A.M.
3 For William S. Bigley:	3 For William S. Bigley:		9:00 A.M. -00o-
	4 James B. Gottstein		RON ADLER,
5 406 G Street, Suite 206	LAW PROJECT FOR PSYCHIATRIC RIGHTS 406 G Street, Suite 206		deponent herein, being sworn on oath,
Anchorage, Alaska 99501			was examined and testified as follows:
6 (907) 274-7686	6 (907) 274-7686		MS. POHLAND: The state would like to make
7 8 For The State of Alaska:		7 8	an objection. The state does not believe that discovery
9 Laura Derry			is permissible in this matter.
Erin Pohland		9	The state will be filing a motion for
10 Attorney General's Office 1031 West Fourth Avenue, Suite 200		11	reconsideration on its motion to quash, and,
11 Anchorage, Alaska 99501		12	additionally, file a motion for protective order to keep
(907) 269-5140		13	these deposition transcripts confidential.
12 13 Court Reporter:		14	The state also believes that notice was
14 Sonja L. Reeves, RPR		15	improper in these depositions.
PACIFIC RIM REPORTING		16	EXAMINATION
15 711 M Street, Suite 4 Anchorage, Alaska 99501		17	BY MR. GOTTSTEIN:
16		18	Q. I noticed you gave me first off, have you ever
17		19	had your deposition taken before?
18 19		20	A. With you, no.
20		21	Q. Does that mean you have otherwise? So you know
21		22	what a deposition is all about?
22		23	A. Yes.
23 24		24	MR. GOTTSTEIN: And then before we get
25		25	going, will you accept subpoenas for API employees at
	Page 3		Page 5
1 I-N-D-E-X		1	this point?
2		2	MS. DERRY: No, it's not the practice of the
3 EXAMINATION BY	PAGE	3	human services department, the division.
4 Mr. Gottstein	4	4	MR. GOTTSTEIN: So that's a no.
5 Ms. Derry 6	14	5	BY MR. GOTTSTEIN:
7		6	Q. And you handed me, Mr. Adler, a copy of is
8 EXHIBITS		7	this your resume?
9 A Resume (3 pgs.)	5	8	A. Yes, sir.
10 B Subpoena (2 pgs.)	6	9	MR. GOTTSTEIN: Could we mark this as
11 C API Policy and Proce		10	Exhibit A?
Number Index (14 pgs	.)	11	(Exhibit A marked.)
12	Latina d'a Madiaira de 12	12	Q. You were also ordered to bring a copy of the
D API Emergency Psyc 13 for Forensic Patients (2)		13	training materials relating to emergency drugging,
for Forensic Patients (2)	2 pgs.)	14	weren't you?
15		15 16	A. I don't understand. I don't know what the word
16		17	"emergency drugging" means. Do you want to refer to these questions per the
17		18	statute and the law?
18		19	Q. Sure. This is a copy of the subpoena that was
19		20	served on you, wasn't it?
20		21	A. Uh-huh.
21 22		22	Q. And on the other side, this was an attachment to
23		23	it?
24		24	A. Uh-huh.
25		25	MR. GOTTSTEIN: Could we mark this as

Page 6 Page 8

1 Exhibit B?

2

3

10

13

(Exhibit B marked.)

Q. So what it is is basically paragraph two here.

MS. DERRY: I object to the subpoena demand because it was not timely noticed. Mr. Adler wouldn't have had time to prepare that amazing amount of documents from January 1st, 2007 to date, and then saying that in September 2007 the policy may have changed.

And Mr. Adler isn't responsible for creating any discovery for you. Because of the timeliness of the notice, he wasn't able to prepare those documents for

14 BY MR. GOTTSTEIN:

15 Q. Do you understand what question two was asking

16 for, or what item two, I guess, was asking for?

A. It's my understanding that you already have

18 these.

1

7

18

vou.

Q. No, I don't have them. I mean, if I do, I don't

20 know that I do. So can you -- can you provide those to

1 me within the next day or so?

A. I will be glad to provide them to our legal

23 counsel who can then make arrangements to have them

delivered to you.

Q. All right. Well --

Page 7

MS. POHLAND: The notice has to be proper.

The request has to be proper. If you would like to file a document request or a request for production, the

4 state would be happy to reply as it sees fit, whether it

5 be objection or through the proper documentation.

MR. GOTTSTEIN: Well, luckily, we're not holding a hearing on this tomorrow, so we have a little

time, but you can take your reason for not complying

9 with the subpoena to the judge.

10 BY MR. GOTTSTEIN:

Q. Okay. So who does training in emergency drugging procedures? Do you have anybody that does training?

MS. DERRY: Objection; relevance. I'm also going to make a second objection that Mr. Adler has

5 asked you to refer to the administration of emergency

medications as per the statute and to not continue to

17 call them "forced drugging".

MR. GOTTSTEIN: Objection noted.

19 BY MR. GOTTSTEIN:

Q. Do you have someone who trains your personnel on

21 drugging under AS 47.38.38?

22 A. Yes.

O. Who is that?

A. In the past, it has been our -- a combination of

our medical director and the assistant attorney general

1 from the Alaska Department of Law who is assigned to

2 API.

15

Q. Can you give me the names of those people from

4 January 1st, 2007 to date?

MS. POHLAND: Can I make a continuing

6 objection as to relevance? I'm not sure what the

7 drugging under 838 has to do with the hearing at hand,

8 which is for court-ordered medication.

9 MR. GOTTSTEIN: You can have a continuing 10 objection.

A. Previously, it was Dr. R. Dwayne Hobson, who is

12 no longer with the hospital. And I would have to go

13 back and research who the assistant attorney general was

14 who was providing us consultation on this.

I just -- I have to go back and look at our

16 medical staff minutes.

Q. Does Elizabeth Russo, Tim Twomey and now Ms.

18 Derry sound right?

19 A. Pardon me?

20 Q. Was Elizabeth Russo doing it initially?

21 A. I have to go back and read -- I don't attend

22 every medical staff meeting, so I would have to go back

23 and read the minutes.

Q. So can you provide that to me?

A. I will provide anything you request through our

Page 9

1 attorneys.

2 Q. All right. Well, this deposition is for you to

3 do that. Now, my understanding is that the policy

4 changed sometime after September of 2007; is that

5 correct?

6 A. Again, I don't have all of the dates and

7 timelines. I would have to research that.

8 Q. Okay. Well, what I'm looking for is just kind of

9 a chronology or at least something that will let me

10 understand the policy and how people were getting

11 trained in it before September of 2007, and then I

12 understand that policy changed after 2007.

MS. POHLAND: Object to form. Objection;

14 asked and answered.

Q. I'm trying to explain what I'm looking for.

16 Okay.

20

And then how that -- as I understand that, it did

18 change after September of 2007, and then so what I want

19 is what the policy was before that.

And then, you know, I actually do have -- excuse

21 me. I have an old one. I have one from when I was on

22 the mental health board from -- when was it I got off

23 the board? In 2003 or something like that.

You know, maybe that was the one before 2007. I

25 don't know. Although, frankly, I didn't see one.

Page 10

Page 12

- 1 MR. GOTTSTEIN: Can we go off record for a 2 minute?
- 3 (There was a short break.)
- 4 Q. Sorry about the delay. We have got Exhibits A 5 and B, right? I'm going to show you a copy of what
- 6 looks like the table of contents of your policies and
- 7 procedures; is that right?
- 8 A. Uh-huh.
- 9 Q. I'm not going to hold you -- I mean, that's just
- 10 -- I mean, that's what I had.
- MR. GOTTSTEIN: So could we mark this as
- 12 Exhibit C?
- 13 (Exhibit C marked.)
- A. Just for the record, can I read that once again?
- 15 Q. It's out of date.
- 16 A. Yeah. I just wanted to make sure that it's noted
- 17 in this hearing that this is probably very much out of
- 18 date.
- Q. That's why I didn't -- you know, when you said
- 20 you thought I had them, I didn't think I did, but this
- 21 is what I have.
- 22 A. Okay.
- Q. What I did then is go through and find really --
- 24 could you identify that?
- MS. DERRY: I object to this. This is
 - Page 11
 - dangerous testimony because this is so out of date.
 - 2 This one is dated effective 8/17/2000.
 - 3 And we do know that the policy not only has
 - 4 changed, but also since the statute has changed since5 the Myers and Weatherhorn cases that any testimony that
 - 6 Mr. Adler gives on this could be conceived as something
 - 7 that isn't actually going to prove any of the substance
- 8 of what's at hand in the case.
- 9 MR. GOTTSTEIN: This was --
- MS. DERRY: Can we move on away from the old
- 11 policy manuals?
- 12 BY MR. GOTTSTEIN:
- Q. Does this look like a copy of the policy, what
- 14 date, like, was it 2000?
- 15 A. Jim, you and I know each other. Why are you
- 16 giving me Title 12 stuff when we're talking about a
- 17 Title 47 hearing?
- 18 Q. Here is what I did --
- 19 A. I mean --
- 20 Q. I'm just trying to -- here is what I did is I
- 21 looked through the --
- A. Are there things substantial that you want to get
- 23 to that we can just go right to the --
- Q. I'm trying to. All I'm saying is that this is
- 25 the only thing that I identified on emergency medication

- 1 in this policy, so what I'm looking for is the current
- 2 policies as they existed.
- 3 A. We will get that to you.
- 4 MR. GOTTSTEIN: So let's mark this as
- 5 Exhibit D.

10

- 6 (Exhibit D marked.)
- 7 BY MR. GOTTSTEIN:
- Q. Now, what's the current daily rate that gets
- 9 charged out for patients at API?
 - MS. POHLAND: Objection; relevance.
- 11 A. I don't know.
- 12 Q. Who would know?
- 13 A. I would have to look that up.
- Q. Could you get that to me?
- 15 A. Do you want the Medicaid rate? You have to be
- 16 clearer, Jim.
- Do you want our established Medicaid rate or do
- 18 you want the cost because you know that we're an IMD and
- 19 we don't bill for the population 22 through 65, so, once
- 20 again, this doesn't have any relevance to the --
- Q. I don't understand. 22 through 65, what is that?
- A. Well, we are classified as an Institution for
- 23 Mental Disease, and as an IMD, we have a certain
- 24 exclusion according to CMS.
- 25 And we are --

- Page 13
- Q. Could you say what "CMS" means?
- 2 A. The Center for Medical Services. It's a branch
- 3 of the United States Government.
 - And we're not allowed to bill for Medicaid
- 5 patients between the ages of 21 and 65, so --
- 6 Q. But my understanding is that there is a daily
- 7 rate?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And that's what I'm asking for.
- 10 A. Okay.
- 11 Q. And that -- my understanding is that actually
- patients get a bill and then you, of course, don't
- collect on most of them, but don't you actually send out
- 14 bills?
- A. Once again, we are required by law to make a good
- 16 faith effort to make a collection.
- Q. So that's the rate we're talking about?
- 18 A. On that rate, yes.
- Q. So that's just what I'm looking for.
- A. I can tell you it is approximately \$1,018, and
- 21 that is approximate, Mr. Gottstein.
- Q. And my last question is, you know, I made a
- 23 number of attempts to try and sit down and talk to you
- about working things out with respect to Mr. Bigley.
- And I am just wondering why you never agreed to

	Page 14		Page 16
1	do that.	1	CERTIFICATE
2	MS. POHLAND: Objection to form. Objection;	2	03.11.1
3	relevance.	3	I, SONJA L. REEVES, Registered Professional Reporter
4	MS. DERRY: Don't answer that question. Do	4	and Notary Public in and for the State of Alaska, do
5			hereby certify that the witness in the foregoing
6	-		proceedings was duly sworn; that the proceedings were
7	as well.	7	then taken before me at the time and place herein set
8	THE WITNESS: Can we go off the record so	8	forth; that the testimony and proceedings were reported
9	that I may meet with my legal counsel?	9	stenographically by me and later transcribed by computer
10	MR. GOTTSTEIN: Absolutely.	10	transcription; that the foregoing is a true record of
11			the testimony and proceedings taken at that time; and
12			that I am not a party to nor have I any interest in the
13			outcome of the action herein contained.
14			IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and
15			affixed my seal this 4th day of November 2008.
16			
17	EXAMINATION	17	
18	BY MS. DERRY:	18	
19	Q. Mr. Adler, do you have anything to do with	19	SONJA L. REEVES, RPR
20	whether or not Bill Bigley gets medicated?	20	My Commission Expires 8/7/11
21	A. No.	21	
22	MS. DERRY: No further questions.	22	
23	MS. POHLAND: At this time, the State of	23	
24	Alaska would like to move to strike the deposition	24	
25	testimony as irrelevant as pertains to the hearing which	25	
	Page 15		Page 17
1	is taking place tomorrow, which is exclusively on the	1	WITNESS CERTIFICATE
2	subject matter of court-ordered medication for	2	RE: IN THE MATTER OF THE NECESSITY FOR THE HOSPITALIZATION OF WILLIAM S. BIGLEY
3	Mr. Bigley.	3	CASE NO. 3AN-08-1252 PR DEPOSITION OF: RON ADLER
4	MR. GOTTSTEIN: You can take it up with the	4 5	DATE TAKEN: NOVEMBER 4, 2008 I hereby certify that I have read the foregoing
5	judge.	6	deposition and accept it as true and correct, with the following exceptions:
6	(Proceedings concluded at 9:25 a.m.)	7	Page Line Description/Reason for Change
7	(Signature reserved.)	8	======================================
8	-000-	0	
9		10	
10		10	
11		11	
12		12	
13		13	
14		14	
15		15	
16		16	
17		17	
18		18	
19		19	
20		20	
21		21	
22		22	SIGNATURE DATE
23		23	Please sign your name and date it on the above line. As
24		24	needed, use additional paper to note corrections, dating and signing each page.
25		25	(SLR)