1	IN THE SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE STATE OF ALASKA
2	THIRD JUDICIAL DISTRICT AT ANCHORAGE
3	IN THE MATTER OF:
4	BRET BYRON BOHN,
5	An Incapacitated Person. ) Case No. 3AN-13-2737 PR
6	
7	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
8	November 14, 2013 - Pages 2 through 17
9	December 9, 2013 - Pages 18 through 63
10	
11	

METRO COURT REPORTING 405 West 36th Avenue, Suite 201 Anchorage, Alaska 99503 (907) 276-3876

ORIGINAL

1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	EMERGENCY GUAR	DIANSHIP HEARING
8	BEFORE THE HONORABLE UNA S. GANDBHIR Magistrate	
9		Anchorage, Alaska
10		November 14, 2013 11:34 o'clock a.m.
11	APPEARANCES:	
12	CT1 III	
13	FOR THE STATE OF ALASKA:	MS. NEVHIZ CALIK-RUSSELL Assistant Attorney General
14		1031 West 4th Avenue Suite 200 Anchorage, Alaska
15		
16	FOR MR. BOHN:	MS. CAROLYN A. PERKINS Office of Public Advocacy
17		900 West 5th Avenue Suite 525
18		Anchorage, Alaska
19	COURT VISITOR:	MS. COLLENE BRADY-DRAGOMIR Office of Public Advocacy
20		900 West 5th Avenue Suite 525
21		Anchorage, Alaska
22	FOR ADULT PROTECTIVE SERVICES:	MR. BOVE
23		Alaska Adult Protective Services
24		550 West Eighth Avenue Anchorage, Alaska 99501
25		

# METRO COURT REPORTING

	APPEARANCES (COIL.):		
2	PROPOSED GUARDIAN AT I	.TTPEM •	MR. SCHNEIDER
3	GOARDIAN AT I	n	Office of Public Advocacy 900 West 5th Avenue
4			Suite 525 Anchorage, Alaska
5			
6			
7			
8	•		
9			
LO			
L1			
L2			
L3			
L4			
L5			
16			
L7			
L8			
L9			
0 0			
21			
22			
23			
24			

25

METRO COURT REPORTING 405 West 36th Avenue, Suite 201 Anchorage, Alaska 99503 (907) 276-3876

# PROCEEDINGS

2 203

11:34:28

THE CLERK: Court is now in session before the Honorable Una Gandbhir residing.

THE COURT: You can all be seated. All right, this is case number 13-2737, this is an emergency guardianship hearing for Bret Bohn. And the petition was filed by Adult Protective Services and let me just make sure I know who's here. Ms. Russell is here on behalf of the -- on behalf of Adult Protective Services, Ms. Perkins is here on behalf of the respondent, the respondent's not present. Ms. Brady-Dragomir is here, the court visitor, and Mr. Schneider is here as the proposed guardian from the Office of Public Advocacy.

So Ms. Russell, I'm going to go ahead and ask you if anything, first of all, has changed since the petition was filed?

MS. RUSSELL: No, Your Honor, not from our point of view and Mr. Bove is here too. But I think other parties have visited with Mr. Bohn so they can provide probably more updated information if the court needs it.

THE COURT: All right.

MS. RUSSELL: And we're still asking for a temporary guardianship.

THE COURT: I'm sti -- and when you said that Mr. Bohn,

# METRO COURT REPORTING

you're the.... Mr. Bove is here. MS. RUSSELL: 2 MR. BOVE: Bove. 3 THE COURT: Oh, Mr. Bove. 4 Yeah. MS. RUSSELL: 5 THE COURT: Okay. Just want to be clear because it 6 doesn't look like there's any family of the respondent present 7 right now is that correct? MS. RUSSELL: And we did try to -- we sent him a notice, Your Honor, the notice returned back to our office. We 10 sent a courier out there yesterday, they -- the name on the 11 door did not match to the address that was given to us, but 12 that was the only address we had and..... 13 THE COURT: And this was for the mother? 14 MS. RUSSELL: Yeah, for -- I think the respondent used 15 to live there as well, so there's only one home that we know 16 17 of. THE COURT: All right. 18 MS. RUSSELL: So we left the notice there and then Mr. 19 Bove left a message this morning as well with the time and the 20 date of the hearing too. 21 And the other question I had is if THE COURT: Okay. 22 by any chance you have a copy of the power of attorney..... 23 MS. RUSSELL: Yes, Your Honor, I do. 24 THE COURT: ....that's at issue and if I could get a

# METRO COURT REPORTING

25

copy of that.

(Whispered comment)

MS. RUSSELL: I also distributed some correspondence that the mother has been sending to the hospital that cc'd Mr. Bove on it to the parties so that if....

THE COURT: All right. And everyone's received a copy of that?

MR. SCHNEIDER: Well I....

MS. RUSSELL: I think Mr. Schneider didn't but I didn't know that he was going to be covering for Mrs. Dart (ph) today so. I just saw it, I don't know where it is.

THE COURT: All right. Well you -- yeah, you can just go ahead and look for that and provide it when you can find it.

MS. RUSSELL: All right.

THE COURT: And let me ask Ms. Perkins, does your -- have you had a chance to meet with your client and does he have a position at this time?

MS. PERKINS: Your Honor, I was able to meet with him last week in the hospital and I did attempt to talk with him and he's in a -- he's in -- where he's at right now is he's not able to finish sentences and he sometimes -- he couldn't -- he couldn't really talk -- he couldn't really talk to me. So today I'm asking to be converted to guardian ad litem and I would say it's in his best interest to have OPA appointed as guardian. I -- if -- for Your Honor's information I did talk

# METRO COURT REPORTING

to the social workers there, there was some disturbing things that Bret's mother was doing to -- one to Bret and two talking about having him leave the hospital and suicide, which there's no way my client could form a thought to commit suicide at this point. I mean, he's -- we know he's -- he can say words and he -- I think Mr. Bove was able in an hour to get some ideas from him and communicate, but for me in the time I was there he wasn't able to communicate and it's clear he didn't understand the quardianship.

But there's -- so the concern is for what his mother and father are doing or what they would do and that's why I'm not saying they should be appointed his -- as his guardian today, I'm saying OPA should be appointed as the guardian.

Also the hospital has limited their -- the mother -- the family's visits to supervised visits and I think it's an hour a day only, only.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Uh-huh (affirmative).

MR. BOVE: Yes.

MS. PERKINS: Because of the anxiety they're causing and the potential danger to Bret. So I am recommending that OPA be appointed temporary guardian today.

THE COURT: All right. And do you -- I don't know if you have the chance to look into this or maybe Ms. Brady-Dragomir did, did you have a chance to determine whether he's likely -- his condition's likely to improve, has this been an

# METRO COURT REPORTING

ongoing situation with him or is this.....

MS. PERKINS: I think what we're learning and Mr. Bove has too is they don't know the cause of it. They don't -- and so they -- and, you know, what I heard from the social workers is it's -- that he'd had an MRI and it was clear. So you're not talking about a stroke or, you know, they couldn't pinpoint, and I think.....

THE COURT: And there's no history of mental illness?

MS. PERKINS: No.

MR. BOVE: Unh-unh (negative). No.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. RUSSELL: And they don't -- I think what we assumed -- what they're telling us or at least they told Ms. Brady-Dragomir is that they think it's not really actually a mental illness and they're planning to send him possibly to the University of Washington Medical Center because they -- they kind of exhausted the, you know, tests that they could do up here. And that's another reason that we wanted to get a temporary guardian so that if there's going to be any kind of a change in where he's going to be getting treatment at that decision needs to be made by somebody so.

THE COURT: All right. So, yeah, it doesn't sound like at this time there was any ongoing medical situation prior to what just transpired and it sounds like from what I'm seeing in the petition and from what both parties are saying that the

#### METRO COURT REPORTING

mother's actively interfering in what would be appropriate 1 2 medical treatment, and I do see a letter here from the social 3 worker, is this who you spoke to Ms. Perkins, Sarah Blanning 4 (ph)? 5 MS. PERKINS: I think there was two, yeah, the.... The social worker? THE COURT: 6 7 MR. BOVE: Uh-huh (affirmative). 8 MS. RUSSELL: There was two. 9 THE COURT: Okay. It looks like there's Pete 10 Abraham.... (Whispered comment) 11 12 MS. RUSSELL: Yeah. THE COURT: ....and there's a resident there and a --13 and then Sarah Blanning? 14 15 MS. PERKINS: Uh-huh (affirmative). 16 THE COURT: All right. And Ms. Brady-Dragomir, have you had a chance to investigate? 17 18 MS. BRADY: I have, I did go to the hospital, I met with Mr. Bove there. I haven't met with the family or spoke to 19 20 the family actually. I just got this case two days ago but I 21 did meet with him and I obviously did meet with the hospital 22 personnel and they are as mentioned trying to figure out what 23 this issue is, they're trying to tease out if it's a mental 24 illness. If it was that they are able to identify that it is a

# METRO COURT REPORTING

mental illness then their plan would be to send him to API for

25

treatment. But at this point in time they're thinking it's probably not mental illness. They're also running whole toxology screens and panels to try to figure out if he was poisoned.

Munchausen by proxy disorder that I'm sure everybody's familiar with. But they're kind of trying -- they're thinking that they're going to rule that potentially out too because he's been in a secure environment under supervised care and he still continues to demonstrate the same level of cognition that he has. So they really do not know. As mentioned they were thinking about the University of Washington to try to figure out what's going on here.

When I met with him he was ambulatory, he was able to walk around, he's in good physical shape, he just is -- he's not able to -- he's very -- and you can tell he gets very frustrated when you talk to him because he like grasps, like he leans toward you like he really tries to understand, and he'll say one word and then it gets lost and he can't complete a sentence and he can't nod yes or no, it doesn't seem like he's tracking the conversation.

THE COURT: All right. So it is your recommendation at this time that Office of Public Advocacy be appointed?

MS. BRADY: Yes, absolutely.

THE COURT: All right.

#### METRO COURT REPORTING

MS. BRADY: And I would recommend that a temporary, you know, because, you know, we could -- it gives us the opportunity to tease this out a little bit more and talk to the family and try to figure it out.

THE COURT: Yeah, and I was going to mention it looks like it was filed on an expedited basis, but since we don't know what's going on with the family and since there's this power of attorney issue, I think it probably makes sense to do it as a full temporary guardianship and conservatorship at this time. We don't know anything about assets or anything like that....

MS. BRADY: No....

THE COURT: .....I'm assuming right now. Or whether he's going to need any kind of application for public assistance.....

MS. BRADY: Correct.

THE COURT: .....or if he has resources to pay for care. So, all right. Let me go ahead first, Ms. Perkins, I forgot I didn't address your issue. I'm going to go ahead and convert your appointment to that of a guardian ad litem with the understanding that if he does recover to the point where he's able to communicate with you more meaningfully you can resume acting as an attorney in that capacity. But for now it sounds like he's not able to interact with you in any meaningful way so we'll go ahead and switch that appointment to

# METRO COURT REPORTING

that of a GAL. And did you happen to find the power of 2 attorney? Yes, Your Honor, and I also have the MS. PERKINS: 3 proposed order so. 4 So I just That's wonderful. Oh, okay. THE COURT: 5 want to take a look at the power of attorney and see what it 6 7 is. MS. PERKINS: And I'm just going to give the acceptance 8 to Mr. Schneider (ph - low speaking). 9 MR. BOVE: And mom never did produce a -- the actual 10 original document. 11 MS. RUSSELL: Okay. 12 MR. BOVE: It's just the copy. 13 (Pause) 14 Is -- so there's a power of attorney THE COURT: Okay. 15 for health care, is there a -- do you know which page, is it 16 the second -- it must be this one. Okay, the pages are all 17 This looks like a duplicate page. All right. mixed up so. 18 And are these your copies or..... 19 MS. PERKINS: Yeah, that's -- but I can get another 20 copy from my client, Your Honor. 21 THE COURT: Okay. Because what I'm going to do is I'm 22 going to enter a temporary -- I'm going to recommend that a 23 temporary guardianship and conservatorship be put in place and 24 I'm going to suspend the power of attorney, I'm not going to

# METRO COURT REPORTING

25

terminate it at this time, but I'm going to suspend the 1 authority of the agent to make decisions under the power of 2 3 attorney pending, you know, further -- other further court orders at the next hearing when we know more. 4 I don't think it's appropriate to terminate it since we don't have any of the 5 family members here to discuss the power of attorney and what's 6 going on. But it is a springing (ph) power of -- it looks like 7 8 it's a springing power of attorney for health care. So -- and I'm not really clear, it looks like maybe somebody else filled 9 10 it out -- all out..... 11 MS. PERKINS: Yeah. THE COURT: .....and he just signed it but I can't 12

THE COURT: .....and he just signed it but I can't really tell. So if you can get me -- you know, if you can get the court a copy of this from the other parties.....

MS. PERKINS: Okay.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: ....that would be great. And I'm going to -- actually, you know what, I'm going to have the clerk make copies so I can refer to it specifically in the order, unless you have something in the order stating that it's suspended.

MS. PERKINS: No, Your Honor, I didn't make any.....

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. PERKINS: I can give you the order, I think Mister....

THE COURT: Okay. I'm just going to go ahead and write then in there just to make it clear that the hospital doesn't

#### METRO COURT REPORTING

have to try to honor the power of attorney or anything like that. And then Mr. Schneider, you're willing to serve as the guardian I assume?

MR. SCHNEIDER: Yes, yes our office is willing to serve.

THE COURT: All right.

(Pause)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

(Whispered comments)

I'm just going to write in here under THE COURT: paragraph 2 the power of attorney currently -- any powers of attorney currently in place are suspended at this time. it looks like you already have the acceptance here and everything else that we need to sign, so I'm going to go ahead and make some findings. I'm going to find that the court has jurisdiction, that venue is appropriate, that Mr. Bohn is in need of the services of a temporary guardian and conservator at this time to protect his -- both his health and financial interests. That the parents who I believe -- he doesn't have any children, he's not married, so the parents who are the people that have priority to serve are not appropriate parties to serve at this time due to the nature of the allegations and therefore we're going to override the statutory priority and appoint Office of Public Advocacy at this time as temporary quardian and conservator.

And that we'll go ahead and schedule a status or -- a

# METRO COURT REPORTING

status hearing and what time frame do you think would be appropriate, Ms. Russell, do you think....

MS. RUSSELL: I would think the usual six months, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Six months is.....

MS. RUSSELL: And if anything comes up we can always request a hearing earlier.

THE COURT: All right.

MS. RUSSELL: Yeah.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: So we'll go ahead and schedule a status hearing in six months on a permanent guardian -- or we'll schedule the permanent guardianship hearing, I guess not instead of a status hearing, in six months and if something changes the parties can come back to court, the parents can come to court if they want to and explain their position if they have a position. And otherwise -- and if -- if Mr. Bohn's health situation changes and he's able to communicate with Ms. Perkins more effectively about what he wants then that can be expressed, but I do have concerns about the way the agent is acting. And so -- and it sounds like counsel for -- or the GAL at this point does too, so I think that needs to be carefully considered if he gets better and can revoke the power of attorney if he feels that that's appropriate, then that's another option. But we'll just have to see how he does. And then the court visitor will have a chance to look into

#### METRO COURT REPORTING

everything and file her report and the temporary guardian can 1 2 hopefully try to figure out what's going on and if he can get 3 the help he needs. 4 So I'm going to go ahead and recommend entry of the temporary guardianship and conservatorship orders and if 5 6 there's nothing else then that'll be the findings of the court 7 and we can be in recess. Is there anything else the parties need to address? 8 MS. RUSSELL: 9 Your Honor, I just wanted to ask how soon 10 do you think the orders will be able to make it? 11 I will go ahead and recommend them today THE COURT: 12 and it's assigned to Judge Marston, is it -- it sounds like 13 it's kind of a, you know, emergency situation so I'll go ahead and have them walked up to chambers and see if we can get them 14 15 out as soon as we can. Is -- let me ask you, is the hospital aware that this is happening and that ..... 16 17 MS. RUSSELL: Yes, Your Honor, that's.... 18 THE COURT: Okay. 19

MS. RUSSELL: .....why we attached the letter and they're just waiting on it so.....

THE COURT: Okay. So but....

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. RUSSELL: Because this is very unusual for them not to comply with a durable power of attorney that's I think what they were concerned about.

THE COURT: Yeah. Yeah, but it does sound, I mean,

# METRO COURT REPORTING

Munchausen, I don't know, that was -- occurred to me when I was reading it but I -- that's just, you know,.... MS. RUSSELL: Yeah. THE COURT: .....speculation at this point but it sounds like they have good reason to be worried about it. I'll go ahead and do my best to get it signed as soon as we And do you want a call when they're done? MS. RUSSELL: Ye -- that'll be fine, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. MS. RUSSELL: And we can come and get it. THE COURT: Okay. All right, that sounds good, then that's what we'll do and we can be in recess at this time, thanks. THE CLERK: Court stands in recess. 11:51:07 

METRO COURT REPORTING
405 West 36th Avenue, Suite 201
Anchorage, Alaska 99503
(907) 276-3876

-		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	MOTION	HEARING
7	BEFORE THE HONORABLE UNA S. GANDBHIR	
8	Magis	trate
9		Anchorage, Alaska December 9, 2013 10:30 o'clock a.m.
10		10.50 0 616671 47
11	APPEARANCES:	
12	FOR THE STATE OF ALASKA:	MS. NEVHIZ E. CALIK-RUSSELL Assistant Attorney General
13		1031 West Fourth Avenue Suite 200
14		Anchorage, Alaska 99501
15	FOR THE PETITIONER:	MS. ELIZABETH RUSSO MS. MARY STARK
16 17		Office of Public Advocacy 900 West Fifth Avenue
18		Suite 525 Anchorage, Alaska 99501
19		MR. MARIO L. BIRD MR. NICK LEWIS
20		Ross & Miner 327 East Fireweed Lane
21		Suite 201 Anchorage, Alaska 99503
22		
23		
24		
25		

# METRO COURT REPORTING

i i		
1	APPEARANCES (cont.):	
2	FOR MR. BOHN:	MS. CAROLYN A. PERKINS Office of Public Advocacy
3		900 West Fifth Avenue Suite 525
4		Anchorage, Alaska 99501
5	FOR ADULT PROTECTIVE SERVICES:	MR. TONY BOVE
6		Alaska Adult Protective Services
7		550 West Eighth Avenue Anchorage, Alaska 99501
8		
9	COURT VISITOR: (telephonically)	MS. COLLEEN BRADY-DRAGOMIR Office Of Public Advocacy
10	(celephonically)	900 West Fifth Avenue Suite 525
11		Anchorage, Alaska 99501
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		

METRO COURT REPORTING 405 West 36th Avenue, Suite 201 Anchorage, Alaska 99503 (907) 276-3876

24

25

PROCEEDINGS 1 500 2 3 10:30:00 THE CLERK: Pardon? 4 5 THE COURT: Is there anyone on the phone? THE CLERK: Colleen Brady-Dragomir. 6 7 THE CLERK: Oh, okay. THE CLERK: Yes, I..... 8 9 THE COURT: Ms. Brady-Dragomir, are you there? MS. BRADY-DRAGOMIR: Yes, Your Honor. 10 11 THE COURT: All right. Just making sure. All right. This is case number 13-2737 and I'm just 12 We are on record. going to make sure I know and that everyone else knows who all 13 I know there are several witnesses here and 14 the parties are. 15 we have a limited amount of time today so I'm going to kind of 16 outline what we're going to be addressing and see if the 17 parties have any input as to the best way to address those because there are several motions pending, there were several 18 19 issues raised in those motions. So let me go through and just 20 make sure I know who's here. I see Ms. Calik-Russell is here 21 on behalf of the State and you, sir, are..... 22 MR. BOVE: Tony Bove for APS. 23 THE COURT: Okay. You're Mr. Bove from APS? Okay.

THE COURT: Okay. You're Mr. Bove from APS? Okay. I don't think I've met you before and let me see....

24

25

MR. BIRD: Judge, I'm Mr. Bird for Glenn Bohn and

#### METRO COURT REPORTING

Lorraine Phillips and..... 1 2 THE COURT: All right. 3 MR. BIRD: .....Brianne Bohn and my clients are here and this is Nick Lewis who's also an attorney at Ross and Miner. 4 5 THE COURT: Okay. And your clients are where? MR. BIRD: Glenn Bohn, Lorraine Phillips..... 6 7 THE COURT: Hi. 8 MR. BIRD: .....and Brianne Bohn. 9 THE COURT: Okay. Thanks. And Ms. Brady-Dragomir, the 10 court visitor, on the phone and I see, Ms. Perkins, you're 11 stuck in the back. Do you have a chair? 12 MS. PERKINS: I don't have a chair, Your Honor, and I 13 would like these proceedings to be confidential for ..... 14 THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to address that in a 15 minute. 16 MS. PERKINS: Okay. 17 THE COURT: So.... MS. PERKINS: I do have a chair but I don't have a 18 19 table. It's just my..... 20 THE COURT: I understand. This is not the most comfortable room to be in for proceedings like this. All 21 22 Is there anyone else who is a party or a purported 23 party to the case here? Oh, yes, and, of course, we have the 24 guardian. Sorry about that. Ms. Stark and Ms. Russo is here

#### METRO COURT REPORTING

from Office of Public Advocacy.

25

So I am going to start with addressing Ms. Perkins' concern that these proceedings are technically confidential which means that parties who are not part of the case are not allowed to be in the room unless there are -- unless there's consent by all the parties involved. I understand there's a number of witnesses. I take it that everybody on this side is a witness and what I'm going to do is I'm going to ask that those witnesses step out until we can address some of the issues that are going on and see if we can narrow down what we're actually going to be addressing today sort of on a priority basis because, having reviewed the motions, I think there are some things that are more pressing than others in terms of issues. So -- and then once we determine that, you can -- it is determined whether your witnesses are all here on, you know, the same issue and how we can address that.

MR. BIRD: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: So is that all right?

MR. BIRD: Yes, Your Honor, that's fine.

THE COURT: All right. So if I could ask you all just to -- anyone who's a witness here to step out at this time and I am going to actually discuss a couple of the motions that are pending and I'm going to just let everybody go out that -- all right. So I realize we have a lot of issues pending and my intent was to treat this as a sort of status review hearing because I'm not sure where things stand today with Bret and

# METRO COURT REPORTING

also as an evidentiary hearing to the extent that evidence needs to be heard on any issues that haven't been resolved. We basically have an -- we had an hour. I realize we started a little late getting everyone settled so I'm willing to go a little longer and -- but if the parties think that you need more than two hours, we'll have to schedule this in front of Judge Marston. I just want to let you know.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So let me just go through and outline based on my review of the case what I think the issues are at this point and make sure the parties are on board with that. One issue -and I think this is resolved but I do want to just make it clear is whether Bret's parents are parties to this and I think, based on both Alaska Statutes and the fact that they are -- they have filed their powers of attorney, I believe they are parties and entitled to participate. And a corollary to that is whether they should be given access to confidential records. Obviously, the parties are entitled to whatever's in the court Whether there's other confidential records that are in dispute, I don't know and I haven't heard from the State other than an opposition. I believe there was an opposition to expedited consideration on the motion for that issue but I haven't heard from the State on whether there's an actual opposition to providing any other confidential records that are sought.

The next issue, I believe, is who should be appointed

# METRO COURT REPORTING

as guardian and that's where there's a dispute about the power of attorney and the need for the State to be the guardian versus the parents. I do want to just point out that I review -- you know, reviewing the power of attorney, that Mr. Bohn is not -- he's nominated as the guardian, he's not appointed as the guardian because a guardianship is a court appointment. So your nomination in the paperwork does not mean that you are automatically a court-appointed guardian. I just want to clarify that. It's a nomination and, as such, it's entitled to weight in the proceeding but a guardianship is technically a court appointment. So I just want to make sure that terminology is clear.

And then there's a question of whether the injunctive relief should be granted as requested and I want to hear from the parties on that and with regard to the administration of psychotropic medication, I'm pretty familiar with the law there and an understanding of that but I do want to hear from the parties. And then the last issue that I just saw today unless there's something new in these exhibits that were filed is whether Providence should be joined as a party to the case and that is something that needs to be addressed also.

What I'd like to start with is what Bret's current condition is and whether anything has changed to the point where he's able to participate meaningfully in this process in which case I believe he has the absolute right to participate

# METRO COURT REPORTING

as long as he does have capacity. And at the last hearing, 1 2 things were pretty unclear about what was actually going on. 3 So I'd actually like to hear first from the court visitor on So, Ms. Brady-Dragomir, do you have any -- do you want 4 5 to have -- give any update on that if you're aware and then.... 6 7 MS. BRADY-DRAGOMIR: I'm sorry, Your Honor, I have no 8

I haven't been involved in this case except for in the initial proceeding when we had that hearing.

THE COURT: Okay. Let me ask Ms. Perkins then if you've had a chance to meet with your client because I know that you were switched over to a GAL at the last proceeding. Has there been any -- have you had a chance to meet with them again?

MS. PERKINS: Not prior to the service of all these motions. I think that the -- adult protective services can give us an update....

THE COURT: Okay.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. PERKINS: .....but as far as not prior to the service of all -- no, I met with my client prior to the guardian -- may I approach, Your Honor?

THE COURT: Yeah, absolutely. I know this room is.....

MS. PERKINS: I met with my client prior to the quardianship hearing and at this point, I don't have any new information for the court and I don't have any information that his condition has changed.

# METRO COURT REPORTING

1	THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Mr. Bove, do
2	you
3	MS. PERKINS: We hadn't seen Your Honor since here on
4	the 14th
5	THE COURT: All right.
6	MS. PERKINS:here.
7	THE COURT: Okay. And I know that the parents do have
8	some concerns about that.
9	MS. PERKINS: Your Honor, we have the temporary guardian
10	as well so
11	THE COURT: Right. So let me ask the guardian then.
12	MS. PERKINS: Yeah.
13	THE COURT: Is your client in the same position that he
14	was when you were appointed? Have things improved?
15	MS. STARK: Your Honor, they I think he's the same.
16	They haven't come out with a diagnosis. I did see him on
17	Saturday. There didn't seem to be any change in his condition,
18	just they just don't know what's wrong with him.
19	THE COURT: Okay. And Mister I apologize, I'm I
20	need to write names down or wait, I have them on this sheet
21	of paper here or I thought I did. Okay. I'm sorry, what
22	was your name again?
23	MR. BIRD: Mr. Bird.
24	THE COURT: Mr. Bird.
25	MR. BIRD: Sure, no problem.

# METRO COURT REPORTING

THE COURT: Let me -- I will remember that now.

MR. BIRD: Oh no, no problem, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Yeah. So -- okay. So what's your client's position out there?

MR. BIRD: Well....

THE COURT: I understand they have a position on all of the issues I outlined but....

MR. BIRD: You are exactly right. May I approach, Your Honor?

THE COURT: Sure.

MR. BIRD: So, first of all, we see things exactly the same as the court as far as the four discrete issues that are before the court at this time which is no surprise because we've moved the court on all of those issues.

As far as change in Bret's status, we certainly can take testimony from the family regarding the change in status as applied to Mr. Bohn. I think it might be the best use of the court's time to particularly inquire into the visitation and the fact that that's now been restricted. I just find it overwhelming that nobody in this courtroom has visited this young man since Providence totally restricted him from seeing any visitors, not even the court visitor. That's just unheard of. I -- I'm just -- I'm aghast and I think that if anything comes out of this hearing, this court needs to deal with a young man who has unilaterally been deprived of his family and

# METRO COURT REPORTING

friends, much less his guardian or court visitor but they can speak to that and give you their explanations as to why they have not been able to pay Mr. Bohn a visit to find out his status since the last hearing.

As far as what this court wants to deal with today, I think, as I said, the most important things on my client's list is an injunction stopping psychotropic medication, reinstating visitation privileges and making sure that Mr. Bohn is not moved out of state either to John's Hopkins or to the Mayo Clinic without an order from this court. My client's position is that Providence is unilaterally using their powers of being Bret's physicians to determine what the legal ramifications of his treatment may be which includes the visitation from friends and family and possibly now moving out of state. So I think the court needs to hear testimony on that. We're ready to provide testimony.

I would also like to bring to the court's attention because Ms. Stark did not do so that I believe the State's position regarding guardianship is that there is a duly-executed power of attorney for health care decisions that this court has a copy of. We have the original here and Ms. Russo can speak to this if I speak inappropriately but my understanding is their position is guardianship can go back to the parents. That's where it was intended to be when Bret executed the document on May 3rd, 2007. That's where it should

# METRO COURT REPORTING

have been when my clients gave that power of attorney to Providence. You can take testimony from them on that. got documented proof, certified mailings that went to Providence showing that that power of attorney was in their hands. We have documented proof that Mr. Bove who is here in this courtroom received that power of attorney document and did nothing with it except give it to this court without giving any sort of hearing to my clients. That is just uncalled for. The laws of the State of Alaska do not provide for this sort of lack of notice, particularly with people who are on the top of the list when it comes to quardianship preference. named as the agent and the nominated quardian and they had no notice of the hearing. So they can give testimony on that but I think the court needs to be aware of the fact that the State is willing now to yield that quardianship back to where it should have been in the first place where Providence should have honored it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And that brings us to the last portion of our motions before this court. The joinder of Providence may or may not be necessary depending on what Your Honor does and what Judge Marston does with your recommendations. If guardianship is returned to the parents, my guess is that a court order to that effect will nullify any of our concerns that the joinder of Providence is necessary to this case but that's all up to the court. And I apologize, I'm a little flushed but I just feel

# METRO COURT REPORTING

that justice needs to be done in this case and I am grateful to this court for granting us a hearing that should have occurred three weeks ago. Thank you.

about people visiting, one of the findings that was made at that initial hearing was that this was a temporary guardianship where a status hearing would be scheduled in six months. And so there was -- you know, I don't believe that anybody other than the guardian necessarily felt that there was a need to see him. I don't know, I was actually gone in the week that a lot of this paperwork was filed and it's kind of a flurry, as you know. And so let me ask Ms. Russo if what Mr. Bird is representing is the case because that would either lead me to believe that a lot of these issues would be resolved or that mediation may be an appropriate way to resolve it.

MS. RUSSO: Your Honor, I think it -- I know that Mr. Bird hasn't done so many guardianship proceedings so our position, as the State, is basically different than APS's position as the State and different than Mr. Bird's attorn -- or GAL's position as his state-appointed guardian ad litem.

THE COURT: Oh, understood.

MS. RUSSO: The public guardian's position in this case at the present time is that it appears that there is somebody

-- there have always been the parents but there is also Mr.

Bird -- or, excuse me, Mr. Bohn did nominate somebody to be his

# METRO COURT REPORTING

court-appointed guardian. He nominated his father. The court can hear evidence on that and go with a different priority but if there's now new evidence that the family has presented that shows this nomination not just a parental family preference but that Mr. Bohn did make this nomination, the court needs to consider that evidence and needs to consider the evidence of the petitioner in this case if they're asking for somebody different because the court can certainly always make -- excuse me, court can always make a finding based on the best interest of the individual. That is the court's responsibility in these proceedings. So.....

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. RUSSO: ....that's the public guardian's position. We're not -- that's what it is.

THE COURT: All right.

MS. RUSSO: Okay?

THE COURT: I think I understand that and, yes, and you're correct, the court -- and that is why I found that the parents were parties to this case, because they're the parents. They're listed in the priorities scheme under the statute and they have a power of attorney that would give them priority although the court is free to override that if evidence is presented that a different appointment would be in the best interest of the ward. And at the emergency hearing, that evidence was presented and I found it to be clear and

# METRO COURT REPORTING

convincing and compelling given what I was -- what I heard at that time. So -- and, yeah, Mr. Bird, yeah, it is a little confusing because there is a state-appointed guardian. There is also a -- an Office of Public Advocacy attorney appointed separately to represent Mr. Bohn as the ward and then there is the State of Alaska Adult Protective Services and, technically, those are three separate -- though the State is not one -- of one clear entity and position. And, having heard Ms. Russo's position and the position of the guardian, I guess the next question, Ms. Calik-Russell, is what Adult Protective Services' position is at this time.

MS. CALIK-RUSSELL: Your Honor, we think -- first of all, we're not ready to proceed today. I didn't know that this was going to be a full-blown evidentiary hearing. I didn't actually receive the exhibits until I walked in this morning.....

MS. RUSSO: No, I did not.....

MS. CALIK-RUSSELL: .....and then I -- you know, I have no witness lists from the other side and I think this hearing was set by a Superior Court Judge basically calling our office through the clerk and they just set it on. So if there's going to be a hearing, we would like it to be a longer hearing and for us to have some time to prepare for it. It's -- I don't know how many witnesses they're going to call. I'm assuming we're going to have a couple witnesses at least from the

# METRO COURT REPORTING

hospital for our side so I don't think that we're going to -- I don't think that we can be done in two hours to let you know -- because you were saying that if this needs to be more than two hours, we need to be in front of Superior Court. That might be the case.

In terms of the -- we are of the opinion that -- we will be contesting the appointment of the parents at this point. We are not -- and I think we agree with the Office of Public Advocacy that if needs be, the court has to make some findings if -- since they have the priority under statute but we don't believe that their appointment will be in the best interest of Mr. Bohn at this point.

In terms of the injunctive relief, Your Honor, and the psychotropic medications, Office -- the Adult Protective

Services sought the appointment of a guardian and we asked for the Office of Public Advocacy to be appointed. We did not really -- we do not have any authority in terms of the treatment plan for Mr. Bohn and we are not in the -- we have no authority, actually, to consent in terms of the visitations or what medications he's going to be on or anything of those issues. So to the extent that we need to take a position on that, I don't think we can.

The other thing is that in terms of Providence joining this case, I think that motion -- we will have some -- a little bit of a -- or a limited opposition in that because I think

METRO COURT REPORTING
405 West 36th Avenue, Suite 201
Anchorage, Alaska 99503
(907) 276-3876

there's a misunderstanding as to what Providence is doing as 1 maybe State mandated or there's a State relationship with 2 3 Providence that's taken away and we would have to provide evidence to that because we don't really have a relationship 4 with Providence. Providence is a mandatory reporter. 5 6 report to Adult Protective Services and then -- and that's how 7 we take the actions. So to that extent, we wouldn't really 8 have a position, I guess, on that part.

We also have numerous affidavits filed and I think now there's two CD's filed with the court in terms of what actions Mr. Bove or Adult Protective Services have taken. We would definitely need time to look into those and file affidavits and provide other evidence as well into what we believe has happened in terms of the facts.

So I don't know if that answers all your questions.

THE COURT: I think it does. Let me just go through and make sure that I.....

MS. CALIK-RUSSELL: Sure.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: .....have my.....

MS. CALIK-RUSSELL: And, Your Honor, I'm sorry, we also have not had a court visitor report in this case.

THE COURT: Oh, do -- yes, no, that is correct and I would need to hear from the court visitor in more detail because even at the last hearing, there was not time for her to have filed a full report and we were not sure how things would

# METRO COURT REPORTING

or how things were going to go. Do you have a position on the 2 access to records? 3 MS. RUSSO: If they're a party, Your Honor, they are --4 they have access to the court records. 5 THE COURT: The court records, yes, but I believe you're 6 asking for medical records, right? 7 MS. RUSSO: They have not -- I don't think anybody has 8 made a request APS records in this case so we will look into 9 I don't know what they're looking for in terms of the APS 10 records so..... 11 THE COURT: All right. 12 MS. RUSSO: .....but we can talk to Mr. Bird and 13 14 just.... THE COURT: All right. 15 MS. RUSSO: Yeah. 16 THE COURT: Ms. Perkins, did you..... 17 MS. PERKINS: Yes, Your Honor, I'd like to be heard on 18 the issues too. 19 THE COURT: Yes, absolutely. 20 MS. PERKINS: Mr. Bird had accused Ms. -- the court 21 visitor and I not -- of not doing our job and I think there's a 22 fundamental understanding of what my job is and what the court 23 visitor is and your -- you explained it to him but I want to go 24 through a couple of the issues.

progress with -- if Mr. Bohn was just temporarily incapacitated

1

25

METRO COURT REPORTING 405 West 36th Avenue, Suite 201 Anchorage, Alaska 99503 (907) 276-3876

So my job was to represent what Mr. Bohn wanted, if he wanted a quardianship. If he didn't understand quardianship proceedings, I was to speak on his behalf. I agreed with Ms. Russell at the hearing that his parents were not the appropriate quardians at the time because the hospital had very serious concerns about their conduct, especially the mother's conduct in the hospital. They are preferred. I mean, you'd rather have the family involved with him but at this stage, nothing has changed to indicate that it should be different and if Your Honor's going to hold a hearing on that, I'd like to be able to present evidence as well including my client. may be a way I can get a video conferencing in because I think some of the issues too are what the -- whether he's communicat -- my client's communicating to them something different than he's communicating to us. In fact, he's not communicating to us and so I think, Your Honor, if you're going to make a decision on who should be his quardian, needs to see my client and if we can't physically get him here, then we'll try with video conferencing.

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So my -- that was my job. Then we got this flurry of motions and stuff and my job would be to see my client prior to a hearing set on who's going to be the guardian. Okay. So the visitation privileges has no business being in a guardianship hearing. We're only here for the guardianship. This is a guardianship case, who should be Bret Bohn's guardian. So when

# METRO COURT REPORTING

Mr. Bird's asking for Nevis, us, Your Honor to allow visits at Providence Hospital, Providence Hospital's not a party and Providence Hospital is not here and should not -- it's not even an issue for guardianship. That's something that they need to take up with Providence.

3

4

5

6

7

8

1.0

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Sorry, I'm getting over a cold. I do believe they should be parties and should get all the information that we have and, ideally, the family would be involved in the quardianship. As far as -- but visitation, there is a great concern -- so now we have the State as guardian -- as to their not wanting Mr. Bohn to go out of state. The reason the hospital wants him to go out of state is because they don't know what's wrong with him so they want him to go to a better hospital, a more -- you know, to do even more tests to figure out what's wrong with him and so I am concerned that they're asking you to enjoin the hospital to stop him from going -from them taking him out of state but, again, not an issue for the quardianship unless Your Honor changes who the quardian is. But right now, the decision would be with the Office of Public Advocacy and I don't think that we've had enough time to prepare or, you know, I don't think that decision should be changed today. And I am greatly concerned about their not wanting him to go out of state. I mean, that -- because we know, all of us living in Anchorage, that sometimes that has to happen for better hospitals.

> METRO COURT REPORTING 405 West 36th Avenue, Suite 201 Anchorage, Alaska 99503 (907) 276-3876

The other issue, I think, was so Your Honor -- oh, joining Providence as a party. No, this is a quardianship Providence -- they need to sue Providence separately if they -- so Providence has limited their visitation out of concern for Mr. Bohn. Is that right or wrong? That needs to be in a -- their lawsuit against Providence, not in our quardianship case. The only issue before the court would be a review hearing as to who should be the quardian and they could present on what witnesses -- we can present witnesses. At the first guardianship hearing, there was enough evidence to appoint OPA and I don't think that should be changed today. I certainly would like to prepare for a bigger hearing as to who should change and, ideally, it would be parents but right now, we're dealing with the hospital who believes that they are a concern to Bret. But Providence should not be joined. have nothing to do with the quardianship case. The parties to the guardianship case are the individuals sitting here, OPA, Nevis and the potential parents and family members. nothing to do with Providence Hospital. Their injunctive relie -- whatever they're seeking from Providence needs to be filed in a separate lawsuit outside of the quardianship proceedings.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And on behalf of my client, I can't change my position today because I still think he can't communicate although they're saying they've got -- I think they have evidence that they have some video that he is but I'm not sure -- I -- we

#### METRO COURT REPORTING

need to have him come testify for the court or appear by video conference before any decision about changing guardianship is made.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Ms. Perkins.

MR. BIRD: May I respond to that?

THE COURT: Yes, you can, Mr. Bird. And I do -- oh, no, go ahead and then I'll ask you my question.

MR. BIRD: I'm sorry. Well, just on the issue that Ms. Perkins touched on that this court doesn't have or shouldn't maybe draw in Providence, two points on that. Number one, obviously, everybody is in the dark about what in the world is going on with Mr. Bohn. I've heard from OPA and the guardian and Ms. Perkins who represents -- forgive me, Ms. Perkins, the ward, right?

MS. PERKINS: Yeah.

MR. BIRD: All of them don't have a clear idea of what Providence is doing as far as restricting visitation. I've got evidence that that visitation has been completely obliterated. This young man is now no longer allowed to see any friends or family and if we have the entire panoply of State participants that don't have a clear idea and are saying Providence knows, we don't but then doesn't -- they don't want to bring Providence in, that just doesn't seem to make sense to me. Who's going to find out what's going on with the kid?

The second issue is -- sorry, Your Honor.

# METRO COURT REPORTING

well, not -- by the State, I mean Adult Protective Services is intending to call witnesses from Providence to testify in that regard and I think that would probably be the most helpful. I think there's no doubt that having witnesses from Providence would be helpful. Whether the -- generally, the court's position is not to micro-manage what's going on with the guardian although, you know, limited guardianships or sort of specific appointments to the guardian for a specific purpose do happen but, for the most part, it makes -- it doesn't make sense to limit a guardianship when you don't know what's going on. However, I do believe it would be helpful to hear from Providence. I don't know that that means that joining them as a party is necessary and it sounds like that could happen.

MR. BIRD: Can I address that, Your Honor? THE COURT: Yes, you can.

MR. BIRD: So -- and the second point I was going to make was under the state guardianship statutes -- this is 13.52.140 -- the -- this court has equitable powers available to it and so that is why we pled that in our injunction.

That's why we're pleading that here today. This is a case that is, unfortunately, defying most cookie cutter guardianship cases, from what I can tell, and, in large part, it's because there's a valid, executed power of attorney for health decisions that has been ignored or who knows what to do with it

### METRO COURT REPORTING

at this point. I know that this court has suspended it but my clients weren't heard on that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And I'd like to conclude by saying about the hearing concerns that I think all of the State attorneys have brought Yes, there have been a flurry of motions and, yes, in any other circumstance, I would be prepared to concede and go to They need the notice. Not in this circumstance. bat for them. My clients didn't have the notice. Because my clients didn't have the notice, that power of attorney was deprived from them. They were not able to come in and make their case to you, Your Honor, of why they were fit to be and continue to be fit to be Mr. Bohn's quardian and his attorney- in-fact for health care Because there is a deprivation of due process to begin with, I cannot agree to what Ms. Calik-Russell and what Ms. Perkins say, that they need more time. Time is of the essence to this whole thing. Mr. Bohn has not had visitors for almost a week now. We don't have any clear knowledge of what sort of medication is being given to him. My clients are ready to testify that that medication goes right to the heart of what Article I, Section 22, of our State Constitution says, the right to privacy entitles any person in this state to a hearing, to notice of that hearing, to be able to review medical records and then the burden on the State is clear and convincing evidence that psychotropic medication is the only available route and there is no less intrusive alternative.

# METRO COURT REPORTING

That simply hasn't happened here.

THE COURT: All right. Let me -- I just want to address one issue there because that is an issue that I reviewed fairly thoroughly. In a -- and I'm familiar with the cases you've cited, Bigley and the other cases that are on point with regard to psychotropic medication. The difference there that I would point out is that those cases apply in a civil commitment proceeding where somebody has been involuntarily committed and I realize that the family may feel like that is essentially what's going on here but those cases apply to a situation where a court has ordered that somebody be civilly committed to a mental health facility and at that point, the guardian no longer has the authority to make a decision regarding psychotropic medication because the psychotropic -- the forced medication has to be through a petition to the court and a court order.

However, we're not in the position of a commitment proceeding and, under those circumstances, the guardian, like the power of attorney would have if they were the power of attorney, does have the authority to consent or withhold consent to medication including psychotropic medication. And it sounds like your clients are asserting that they would have the right to make that decision as well if they were in the position of guardian or power of attorney. So those -- I would distinguish those cases as applying to civil commitment

#### METRO COURT REPORTING

proceedings but I understand the concern. I do -- let me ask the parties because there's just not any possibility that we're going to get through witnesses and I don't know what the witnesses are going to be addressing. I have not had a chance to look at these exhibits. The Clerk, Madam Clerk has contacted Judge Marston's chambers and -- or his judicial assistant and we can have a hearing in front of him -- or you all can have a hearing in front of him on the 13th at 8:30 if -- I don't know if that's enough time. I don't know how much time is needed but we can get a hearing in front of Judge Marston. I think this is probably going to take a lot more than two hours.

MS. PERKINS: And I have some information that would, I think, help the parents and make them feel -- they are planning on stopping the psychotropic medications. They're tech -- this is what I've heard from the....

MS. STARK: No, I -- Your Honor, I can....

THE COURT: Go ahead, Ms. Stark, why don't you just come up?

MS. STARK: I can address the medications.

THE COURT: All right. And, you know, I think -- I don't know what, if any, communication's been going on but that may be helpful as well. If we need to do it here, we can do it here so if you want to go ahead and address that?

MS. STARK: Your Honor, I did speak to Mr. Bohn on the

#### METRO COURT REPORTING

telephone I believe on Friday. The medication, the Risperdal, was being tapered off at 0.2 milligrams twice a day and he was on Melatonin for sleeping, three to six milligrams in the p.m. and those are the only two medications that he is currently taking at this point. And their plan is to taper him off so he's not really on any psychotropics. They're taking him down off of it.

THE COURT: All right.

MS. STARK: And I have informed Mr. Bohn of that on the phone, given that information and....

THE COURT: All right.

MS. STARK: ....they continue to test him because they don't know. They're trying to figure things out so they're still working on -- the Melatonin they'll continue to give him because he's not sleeping but he is being informed and asked if he wants to take the medications. He's being told that what he's getting with the dosages and he is consenting. So.....

THE COURT: All right. Let me go back to the question I asked initially. How much time do the parties thing they would need? I saw you had about eight witnesses here, is that right?

MR. BIRD: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And that doesn't include your clients who I'm assuming will want to testify. I believe the State has -- will have witnesses as well and so it seems to me that this may be a full day hearing. By a full day, I mean two four-hour

# METRO COURT REPORTING

blocks unless you all think you could get done sooner.

MS. STARK: Did you have a date? Did.....

THE COURT: Well, Judge Marston has the 13th available from 8:30 to 12:00. I'm not sure that's enough time for everybody to get everything that they need but that's a -- you know, since I agree that time is of the essence on some of these issues, I think.....

MS. PERKINS: Your Honor, I'm actually going to be on medical leave so -- from the 11th through the 13th and possibly the 16th as well so that won't work for me.

THE COURT: Okay. We can see what the next available dates are.

MS. PERKINS: And I don't think anybody else really can cover this case for me from my office.

THE COURT: No, I un -- okay. And that may be too short a time frame if we need more than one day. So do you have an estimate of how long you think your witnesses will take and what you're going to address? And the other thing I think would be extremely helpful is if Ms. Perkins is able to figure out a way to have her client participate if that's possible, video conferencing, and it -- you know, if we're not in this room, other courtrooms might be better equipped to have that kind of participation and I think that would be really key because he's obviously the reason that this proceeding is occurring. So do you have.....

# METRO COURT REPORTING 405 West 36th Avenue, Suite 201 Anchorage, Alaska 99503 (907) 276-3876

MR. BIRD: You want me to address that?

THE COURT: Yeah, if you would.

MR. BIRD: Okay. So I would simply say that pending whether or not they -- I don't know who would have discretion among our three state entities to concede that we can look at the medical records to have our own expert look at the medical records but if they were to concede that, that would certainly allow us to be better prepared for any hearing that we would be addressing, both the dosage and the course of psychotropic medication and also the reasonableness of the parents in objecting to it. I think probably everybody in this room would agree that my clients are not experts when it comes to medication.

THE COURT: I don't mean to cut you off but I -- I'm just -- I don't think there is any objection. Let me just ask both Ms. Russo and Ms. Calik-Russell.

MS. PERKINS: We just got medical records from Providence. We could give it to Mr. Bird or we can talk to Providence and have -- deliver these for them to receive so that's....

THE COURT: All right. I don't think there's any objection....

MR. BIRD: Okay.

THE COURT: .....and I would be inclined to rule that way if I were considering it but since the parties are agreeing

# METRO COURT REPORTING

to that, I think the parents have a right to review that and 1 2 look at it. MS. PERKINS: And I'd just ask all parties get a copy of 3 these so.... 4 Yes, Your Honor, whatever is least..... 5 UNKNOWN: THE COURT: Yeah, including the court visitor. Ms. --6 7 oh, gosh, I'm blanking out. There's so many people here. MR. BIRD: Ms. Brady-Dragomir? 8 9 THE COURT: Ms. Brady-Dragomir. Sorry about that. 10 you want -- we're going to go ahead and have you served with a copy of the records. Is there any -- what -- let me ask you 11 what time frame -- are you out of town, Ms. Brady-Dragomir? 12 MS. BRADY-DRAGOMIR: No, I am covering, as you know, 13 14 probably for the entire month of December API. 15 THE COURT: Right. MS. BRADY-DRAGOMIR: So I am not available to just come 16 on quick notice to hearings, unfortunately. 17 18 THE COURT: Understood. Are you -- okay. So because they -- well, generally, since that's in the afternoon. 19 Are 20 you available mornings for a hearing with notice? MS. BRADY-DRAGOMIR: With notice. 21 22 THE COURT: Okay. And would you be able to get a court 23 -- some type of a report into the court? 24 MS. BRADY-DRAGOMIR: Yeah, but I have 50 reports due the month of December and I also have 30 due in January so it 25

# METRO COURT REPORTING

depends on how soon we need that report but I would do my best.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, I think if Judge Marston were
-- because he has not been following -- you know, I mean,
it.....

MS. BRADY-DRAGOMIR: But the one thing I want to be really clear on is that I am not a medical expert and if it's about, you know, a debate of medications, that would not be an appropriate area for me to explore.

THE COURT: No, and that's not what I would need a report on because we'll have medical records and ex -- and witnesses testifying as to that but a report on the status of things generally so that.....

MS. BRADY-DRAGOMIR: Yes.

THE COURT: .....because, as you know, you know, the judicial officers rely on that just for a summary of the proceedings and that may be helpful to Judge Marston in this case.

MS. BRADY-DRAGOMIR: Yes, absolutely. It's no problem giving an update to the court.

THE COURT: All right. And then these -- the exhibits that you filed is -- I have not had a chance to go over them. It looks like some of them are things that were filed but some are not and so have all the parties received that -- those exhibits? Okay. So those are not being accepted into evidence at this time but I'm assuming you'll be presenting them at the

# METRO COURT REPORTING

hearing and, Ms. Calik-Russell, do you have any idea how much time you would expect to take?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. CALIK-RUSSELL: Yes, Your Honor, I actually would like to have some type of a schedule or something to respond to these motions because there's a bunch of motions, you know, with a motion for expedited considerations so I kind of want to have some kind of a deadlines on those.....

THE COURT: All right. Well, let's go through this.

MS. CALIK-RUSSELL: .....and then a witnesses deadline and the exhibit list deadline. I don't know -- for all of us and then maybe we can give a deadline to Ms. Brady-Dragomir in terms of her report and also I kind of want some -- to set some parameters as to what the APS, as the petitioner, has to prove in this case are we have to prove incapacity for the long-term guardianship and also we have to prove, you know, our position is that the parents are not in the be -- their appointment is not in the best interest of the client. To the extent that the psychotropic medications and the consent issue, I don't know how deep it's going to be getting into, Your Honor, you know, in terms of like a -- because the civil commitment statutes now are being referenced. So I just want to make sure that the case is just limited to the guardianship statutes and those that are burdens. That's what I wanted to say.

THE COURT: Right. Let me find out. I think before I can give you any deadlines....

#### METRO COURT REPORTING

MS. CALIK-RUSSELL: Sure.

when we can have the hearing and let's just go through the outstanding motions. There's a motion for the preliminary injunction. There was a -- kind of a motion to address the issue of whether the parents were parties. I think we've addressed that. We've addressed the motion for disclosure of confidential records. Essentially, the parties are agreeing that those can be exchanged. Is that correct?

MR. BIRD: Yes, Your Honor, for most....

THE COURT: The -- because that was your motion, the motion for expedited consideration of the records. So, effectively, the outstanding motions would be for the preliminary injunction and then there is, of course, the issue of the parents having priority as guardian and the evidence that you would be presenting in opposition.

MS. CALIK-RUSSELL: There's also the motion for joinder, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Oh, and the motion for joinder and are you going to be presenting evidence on that or is that....

MR. BIRD: For joinder, Your Honor?

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. BIRD: Well, again, I think we're at a point where everybody wants to know what Providence is thinking and doing with Mr. Bohn and nobody knows without somebody from Providence

#### METRO COURT REPORTING

being here. I've heard that the State is -- excuse me, APS is 1 going to call and Ms. Perkins will also call Providence 2 employees as witnesses but one of the reasons that I would like 3 4 from this order a court -- not only for the injunction but even for the medical records is that my clients have not been able 5 to get medical records even upon producing the power of 6 attorney before it was suspended. That's -- that was part of 7 our exhibits for today. We need a court order because, for 8 9 whatever reason, Providence is not willing to give us the 10 records. 11 THE COURT: All right. I am -- let me just take a look at your order and how it was worded. And I am willing..... 12 13 MS. RUSSO: Your.... THE COURT: Yeah. 14 15 MS. RUSSO: I would -- I mean, the quardian can get the 16 records and we've agreed that the guardian will give them the records. Providence was never served with the motion for 17 joinder at Providence and I don't think that you're..... 18 19 THE COURT: I think that just -- yeah, that was just filed today, right? 20 So.... 21 MS. PERKINS: No.

MS. RUSSO: No, it was filed last week.....

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Oh, was it? Yeah, I just got it today.

MS. RUSSO: And I don't think you're -- I don't think this court has any authority over Providence Hospital, what

### METRO COURT REPORTING

they're asking of Providence. What we have auth -- what you have authority of is we can -- whatever records that the guardian gets goes to all the parties and we're all agreeing to that but....

THE COURT: Well, right, and I think that's part of the discovery, the exhibit lists.

MS. RUSSO: But what counsel has asked for Your Honor can't issue an order to Providence. I mean, this is a guardianship case regarding who should be the guardian and is my client incapacitated. It's not a case -- they -- the -- I hear their -- and it's really sad that they have been completely denied visits but I think they need to go into a different court and file a civil lawsuit against Providence to allow them to have visits. That's a whole different proceeding and I think they can seek injunctive re -- or they could seek emergency relief in that civil court but it doesn't have to do with our guardianship case.

THE COURT: No, I think that's correct. I believe that there's been an agreement with the parties and there should be an exchange of any documents that are going to be used in the proceeding.

MS. RUSSO: Right.

THE COURT: So I don't know that there needs to be injunctive relief with regard to that and I don't know that that's -- that this court can compel Providence to -- if it --

#### METRO COURT REPORTING

it doesn't sound like it's the guardian saying I'm not allowing visits at this point, is that correct? It's Providence, right?

MR. BOVE: Yes, Your Honor, it's Providence.

THE COURT: So the guardian is not -- I mean, if it were the guardian doing that, I could enjoin the guardian from doing that but it doesn't sound like that's the situation.

MR. BIRD: And I think Your Honor hits right on the nail -- the nail on the head as to why we want to join

Providence to this and I respectfully differ with Ms. Perkins.

I think they are -- they can be joined under the civil rules and under the equitable relief contemplated by that particular provision in the guardianship statutes.

THE COURT: Well, given that it sounds like APS is calling them as a witness, I think Judge Marston can decide at that, based on what they say, whether it makes sense to bring them into the proceeding in some way, shape or form but at this time, I think we need to probably hear from them before and it sounds like they'll be called as witnesses. So somebody from there and we can find out what the position is on why they are taking the actions that they're taking. So at this time, I'm not going to make a finding on that.....

MR. BIRD: Okay.

THE COURT: .....but there is a -- so there's -- the next available date is the 19th at from 8:30 to 1:30 in front of Judge Marston.

METRO COURT REPORTING 405 West 36th Avenue, Suite 201 Anchorage, Alaska 99503 (907) 276-3876 MR. BIRD: Excuse me, Your Honor, did you rule on the injunction or no?

THE COURT: I am going to -- well, I need to -- I haven't had a chance to revisit it in light of what we talked about here because I think your injunctive -- your motion included both -- included some requests, the -- you had a motion for a preliminary injunction regarding the -- stopping the administration of psychotropic medication, is that right? Is that the one you're talking about?

MR. BIRD: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. And then are you talking separately about....

MR. BIRD: We moved for, I believe, four provisions of relief, the stopping of the psychotropic medication, the reinstatement of visitation privileges, an order forbidding Mr. Bohn to be moved out of state without a court order and the restoration of the guardianship to my clients. I think what I'm hearing from the court is that that guardianship issue will be dealt with at the hearing but my question to the court is whether you will be ruling on the injunctive relief today because if that relief is not granted, I can -- my guess is my clients will want to file an appeal for -- a petition for review and ask for a stay of any sort of administration of psychotropic medication until they have a chance to review the case, until hearing occurs and there's evidence taken because

# METRO COURT REPORTING

we have evidence that he continues to receive medication. And I understand we don't have time to see it today but I've pled that and that's what my clients want the court to address, I think, more than anything else.

THE COURT: All right. As far as moving Mr. Bohn before -- let me ask you if there's any plan to move him before this hearing because I do believe he has the right to be at the hearing and if he can be, we'd like to -- I think it makes sense to have him at the hearing.

MS. STARK: There's no plan, Your Honor.....

THE COURT: All right.

MS. STARK: .....to move him immediately. If contemplated, the consulting with Duke and John's Hopkins trying to determine -- they will accept him not -- I -- they didn't tell me that they will accept him but removing him, they need to inform me when they plan to move him and they have not informed me.

THE COURT: All right. So what I am -- based on the -- based on having heard all the evidence but also recognizing that there's going to be a hearing, hopefully, very shortly before Judge Marston, what I'm inclined to do is deny the motion for injunctive relief but do a separate recommendation that -- based on Mr. Bohn not being moved prior to that hearing, that -- recommending that he not be flown out of state prior to an evidentiary hearing on that issue of -- on all the

# METRO COURT REPORTING

issues that we're discussing, who should be the quardian, what 1 2 should be his -- you know, what should be the plan of care with 3 regard to psychotropic medication which, as I stated, I believe the quardian does have the discretion to address. But it 4 5 sounds like that issue is not as pressing as it may have been when these motions were filed because he's being taken off 6 7 those medications. And so I'm going to deny this motion for 8 injunctive -- for the preliminary injunction and do a separate 9 recommendation to have -- send up to the judge on those 10 particular issues.

MR. BIRD: Will Your Honor have that out by the end of the day or....

THE COURT: I will make every attempt to do so.

MR. BIRD: Okay.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: I have -- yeah, I -- hopefully, my calendar this afternoon will allow me to have the time to do that and then if you want to file an appeal, hopefully, get the recommendations out and that'll go up to the judge for signature so.....

MR. BIRD: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: As far as a calendar, if we are agreed on this hearing date, then we can have Judge Marston issue a....

MS. PERKINS: What was the date and time?

THE COURT: The 19th -- was it the 19th at -- oh, what did I do with the other little note there. The 19th from 8:30

### METRO COURT REPORTING

to 1:30?

THE CLERK: December 19th, yeah.

MS. RUSSO: 8:30 to 1:30?

THE COURT: Yes.

MS. RUSSO: And, Your Honor, it does provide it that Providence -- I mean, I don't know what my -- availability of my witnesses are. They might know but I don't so.....

THE COURT: Well, and you had a number of witnesses. I don't know what their availability -- you know, what their availability would be but it would be -- but also I'd like to give Ms. Perkins time to have her -- make arrangements for her client to appear telephonically or video, by video conference, if possible. Does that date work for your clients and for you?

MR. BIRD: December 19th at 8:30 is that....

THE COURT: 8:30 to 1:30 and that'll be in front of Judge Marston.

MR. BIRD: Yeah, I would just simply say, you know, it's going to be hard for me to explain to my clients why the panoply of the State attorneys were able to put on evidence when they weren't here and now they've assembled all of their friends and family who are still restricted from seeing Mr. Bohn and they're here to give evidence. That's just before the court and I realize that's Your Honor's decision to make but I think that needs to be in the forefront of the court's mind and to the resolution of this hearing.

### METRO COURT REPORTING

1	THE COURT: That is understood. However, I believe even
2	if we'd started, we would not have gotten through everything
3	today and I don't think that it makes sense to hear half the
4	issues and not be able to make a decision. I think it makes
5	far more sense for Judge Marston to hear it all and to make a
6	decision that addresses the issues globally because I think
7	there is there's a set of issues that, if addressed, may get
8	rid of other issues. For example, if after that hearing it's
9	determined that the parents should be the guardian, then the
0	bulk of the other issues are resolved by default. If a
1	different finding is made, then, you know, those issues may
2	need to each be resolved individually. So I'm not inclined to
3	make a half sort of a half informed finding today. I
1	haven't had a chance to look through these exhibits and I
5	understand I and their position. As you know, the court
5	calendar is what it is and I'm not able to have more than tow-
,	hour evidentiary hearings though. Ms. Perkins?

MS. PERKINS: And not one of us has anything to do with their visitation like at Providence.

THE COURT: No, no, and that -- yeah.

MS. PERKINS: I mean, not -- and not even Your Honor -like it has nothing to do with us. I mean....

THE COURT: Right. No, and I think there are -- you un -- I mean, that's been explained. I hope that's what's.....

MR. BIRD: I understand your.....

# METRO COURT REPORTING

THE COURT: But it's -- but I'm not saying the visitation is the primar -- his -- that's not....

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. BIRD: That is one of a -- our primary reasons for coming to this hearing and pleading injunctive relief. realize Your Honor has now ruled on two of the provisions of injunctive relief and possibly a third. You've ruled that you're not going -- you're going to deny our recommen -- or deny our injunctive relief for the psychotropic medication. You're going to deny guardianship to the parents. You're going to deny but make recommendations regarding shipping out of state but our fourth pleading for injunctive relief was reinstatement of visitation. I'm hearing from all three of the State participants that they have no power over visitation. certainly know my clients don't have any power over visitation. I am arguing that this court has equitable powers to issue an order that my clients can go into Providence and show whoever is restricting them on the fifth floor that they have a court order that visitation privileges are reinstated. That's what I'm asking for in that particular provision, Your Honor.

THE COURT: In order to -- I believe that in order to grant that, I would have to hear from Providence and I understand you -- that's what you were maybe attempting to do by.....

MR. BIRD: Joining them.

THE COURT: ....joining them as party -- as a party but

### METRO COURT REPORTING

even if we did that today, they're not here. I need to hear from them on what is going on and why that visitation is an issue. Short of the guardian being the one to do that in some way or one of the parties being, you know, involved in that, I just don't see how I can, without knowing what's going on there, issue an order and just say this is what you need to do, Providence.

MR. BIRD: Thank you, Your Honor.

MS. CALIK-RUSSELL: Your Honor, will that.....

THE COURT: I think -- I don't -- I mean, and, that said, you know, I don't know whether the parties have talked to the guardian about going in together and having -- I mean, I'm not going to mediate the situation but I think there are options short of a court order that may resolve that issue in a more productive manner. Ms. Calik-Russell?

MS. CALIK-RUSSELL: Yeah, I just wanted to see if we can check with the court because they're just -- to see if, you know, December 19th's a feasible time to expect some type of a report from her at all.

THE COURT: Yeah, let me ask you, Ms. Brady-Dragomir, is that a -- is it reasonable to have something from you by the 19th? And, like I said, I'm understand -- I understand that that -- that's not going to be a detailed analysis of any medical situation but just, you know, a preliminary report as to the status at this time and your recommendations.

# METRO COURT REPORTING

MS. BRADY-DRAGOMIR: Yes, I mean, I certainly can file something with the court. I will bring to court's attention though on Thursday, the 19th, I am scheduled to be at API at 12:00 o'clock that day pending med cases, as you know, so.....

THE COURT: All right.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. BRADY-DRAGOMIR: .....if that is the only time and that certainly works for the rest of the parties, then I would just ask that I be excused after maybe 10:30 or so.

THE COURT: I don't think that will be an issue if you bring that to the court's attention at the hearing or prior to the hearing or even in your report. Then it will probably make sense for Judge Marston to hear from you first anyway.

MS. BRADY-DRAGOMIR: Okay.

THE COURT: All right. And so if that hearing date works, then I'm going to go ahead and confirm that with Judge Marston's chambers and we will get a calendaring order out regarding expedited exchange of witness lists and exhibit lists. And, the court visitor, if you -- do you think you would be able to file that report the day before the hearing at least, Ms. Brady-Dragomir?

MS. BRADY-DRAGOMIR: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. So that everyone has a chance to review it so they can ask any questions they need to at the hearing.

MS. BRADY-DRAGOMIR: Very good.

METRO COURT REPORTING 405 West 36th Avenue, Suite 201 Anchorage, Alaska 99503

61

(907) 276-3876

THE COURT: All right. Thanks. So that -- the visitor's report will be in on -- by the 18th and I will have Judge Marston's chambers issue a calendaring order for everything else. And let me just see -- all right. The other thing I want to make clear to the parties is that the power of attorney was suspended. It is not -- it has not been revoked in any way by the court so that is not -- that finding was specifically made that the power of attorney is suspended. Ιf a permanent quardianship is put in place, then the power of attorney is generally considered revoked but at this time, it was suspended and so let me just go through and make sure I addressed all the issues that I had listed here. So it's clear that Mister -- that the parents are parties, that they are going to be given access to confidential records. hearing, it's going to be addressed the issue of who should be appointed as guardian and whether the guardianship needs to be changed at this time. I'm leaving that in place as is.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

With regard to the injunctive relief, I'm going to be denying the order that Mr. Bird submitted and issuing recommendations as I discussed today. And as far as joining Providence to this case, I'm going to leave that issue open for the court to decide after hearing from Providence what their position is and whether it makes sense to bring them in as a party in order for the court to be able to order them to comply with or do anything in any manner that seems appropriate if

#### METRO COURT REPORTING

that is an issue. Do the parties have anything else they want to address right now? All right. So we'll get an order out to everyone as soon as possible. I'm understanding that you're going to get those medical records to Mr. Bird and his clients as soon as you can. All right. If there's nothing further, we'll be off record at this time so I can start drafting my order. THE CLERK: Off record. (Off record) 11:36:00 END OF REQUESTED PORTION 

METRO COURT REPORTING 405 West 36th Avenue, Suite 201 Anchorage, Alaska 99503 (907) 276-3876