The Physiologic Response to Stress

Stressful experiences include major life events, trauma, and abuse and are sometimes related to the environment in the home, workplace, or neighborhood. Acute stress (in the sense of "fight or flight" or major life events) and chronic stress (the cumulative load of minor, day-to-day stresses) can both have long-term consequences. The effects of chronic stress may be exacerbated by a rich diet and the use of tobacco and alcohol and reduced by moderate exercise.

Genetic factors do not account for all the individual variability in sensitivity to stress, as evinced by the lack of concordance between identical twins in many disorders. Moreover, genetic factors do not explain the gradients of health across socioeconomic levels in Western societies. Two factors largely determine individual responses to potentially stressful situations: the way a person perceives a situation and a person's general state of physical health, which is determined not only by genetic factors but also by behavioral and lifestyle choices (Fig. 1). Whether one perceives a situation as a threat, either psychological or physical, is crucial in determining the behavioral response — whether it is fleeing, fighting, or cowering in fear — and the physiologic response — calmness or heart palpitations and elevated cortisol levels.

The ability to adjust or habituate to repeated stress is also determined by the way one perceives a situation. For example, most people react initially to the challenge of public speaking with activation of the HPA axis. After repeated public speaking, however, most people become habituated and their cortisol secretion no longer increases with the challenge. But approximately 10 percent of subjects continue to find public speaking stressful, and their cortisol secretion increases each time they speak in public. Others are prone to a cardiovascular stress response, as shown by a recent study of cardiovascular responses to a stressful arithmetic test. Blood-pressure responses to this experimental stress predicted elevated ambulatory blood pressure during periods of perceived stress in everyday life. Genetics may also have a role in susceptibility to cardiovascular stress; many people whose blood pressure remains elevated for several hours after the stress of an arithmetic test have a parent with hypertension.

One's physical condition has obvious implications for one's ability to mount an appropriate physiologic response to stressful stimuli, and there may be a genetic component to the response as well. In inbred
BioBreeding (BB) rats, an animal model of insulin-dependent diabetes, exposure to repeated stress increased the incidence of diabetes.\textsuperscript{11} In children, family instability increases the incidence and severity of insulin-dependent diabetes.\textsuperscript{12} Chronic stress, defined as feelings of fatigue, lack of energy, irritability, demoralization, and hostility, has been linked to the development of insulin resistance,\textsuperscript{13} a risk factor for non-insulin-dependent diabetes. Deposition of abdominal fat, a risk factor for coronary heart disease and diabetes,\textsuperscript{14} is increased by the psychosocial stress of colony reorganization in nonhuman primates\textsuperscript{15} and may also be increased by stress in humans.\textsuperscript{16}

ALLOSTASIS AND ALLOSTATIC LOAD

In contrast to homeostatic systems such as blood oxygen, blood pH, and body temperature, which must be maintained within narrow ranges, allostatic (adaptive) systems have much broader boundaries. Allostatic systems enable us to respond to our physical states (e.g., awake, asleep, supine, standing, exercising) and to cope with noise, crowding, isolation, hunger, extremes of temperature, danger, and microbial or parasitic infection.

The core of the body's response to a challenge—whether it is a dangerous situation, an infection, living in a crowded and unpleasant neighborhood, or a public-speaking test—is twofold, turning on an allostatic response that initiates a complex adaptive pathway, and then shutting off this response when the threat is past. The most common allostatic responses involve the sympathetic nervous systems and the HPA axis. For these systems, activation releases catecholamines from nerves and the adrenal medulla and leads to the secretion of corticotropin from the pituitary. The corticotropin, in turn, mediates the release of cortisol from the adrenal cortex. Figure 2 shows how catecholamines and glucocorticoids affect cellular events. Inactivation returns the systems to base-line levels of cortisol and catecholamine secretion, which normally happens when the danger is past, the infection is contained, the living environment is improved, or the speech has been given. However, if inactivation is inefficient (see below), there is overexposure to stress hormones. Over weeks, months, or years, exposure to increased secretion of stress hormones can result in allostatic load and its pathophysiologic consequences.

Four situations are associated with allostatic load (Fig. 3). The first and most obvious is frequent stress. For example, surges in blood pressure can trigger myocardial infarction in susceptible persons,\textsuperscript{17} and in primates repeated elevations of blood pressure over periods of weeks and months accelerate atherosclerosis,\textsuperscript{18} thereby increasing the risk of myocardial infarction.

In the second type of allostatic load (Fig. 3), adaptation to repeated stressors of the same type is lacking, resulting in prolonged exposure to stress hormones, as was the case for some of the people subjected to the repeated-public-speaking challenge.\textsuperscript{8}

In the third type of allostatic load (Fig. 3) there is an inability to shut off allostatic responses after a stress is terminated. As we have noted, the blood
pressure in some people fails to recover after the acute stress of an arithmetic test, and hypertension accelerates atherosclerosis. Women with a history of depressive illness have decreased bone mineral density, because the allostatic load of chronic, moderately elevated serum cortisol concentrations inhibits bone formation. Intense athletic training also induces allostatic load in the form of elevated sympathetic and HPA-axis activity, which results in weight loss,amenorrhea, and the often-related condition of anorexia nervosa.

The failure to turn off the HPA axis and sympathetic activity efficiently after stress is a feature of age-related functional decline in laboratory animals, but the evidence of this in humans is limited. Stress-induced secretion of cortisol and catecholamines returns to base line more slowly in some aging animals with other signs of accelerated aging, and the negative-feedback effects of cortisol are reduced in elderly humans. One other sign of age-related impairment in rats is that the hippocampus fails to turn off the release of excitatory amino acids after stress, and this may accelerate progressive structural damage and functional impairment (see below).

One speculation is that allostatic load over a lifetime may cause the allostatic systems to wear out or become exhausted. A vulnerable link in the regulation of the HPA axis and cognition is the hippocampal region. According to the "glucocorticoid cascade hypothesis," wear and tear on this region of the brain leads to dysregulation of the HPA axis and cognitive impairment. Indeed, some but not all aging rats have impairment of episodic, declarative, and spatial memory and hyperactivity of the HPA axis, all of which can be traced to hippocampal damage. Recent data suggest that similar events may occur in humans.

In the fourth type of allostatic load (Fig. 3), inadequate responses by some allostatic systems trigger compensatory increases in others. When one system does not respond adequately to a stressful stimulus, the activity of other systems increases, because the underactive system is not providing the usual counterregulation. For example, if cortisol secretion does not increase in response to stress, secretion of inflammatory cytokines (which are counterregulated by cortisol) increases. The negative consequences of an enhanced inflammatory response are seen, for example, in Lewis rats; these animals are very susceptible to autoimmune and inflammatory disturbances, because of a genetically determined hyporesponsiveness of the HPA axis.

In another model, rats that become subordinate in a psychosocial living situation called the "visible-burrow system" have a stress-induced state of HPA hyporesponsiveness. In these rats, the response to stresses applied by the experimenter is very limited, and concentrations of corticotropin-releasing
Figure 3. Three Types of Allostatic Load.
The top panel illustrates the normal allostatic response, in which a response is initiated by a stressor, sustained for an appropriate interval, and then turned off. The remaining panels illustrate four conditions that lead to allostatic load: repeated "hits" from multiple stressors; lack of adaptation; prolonged response due to delayed shutdown; and inadequate response that leads to compensatory hyperactivity of other mediators (e.g., inadequate secretion of glucocorticoids, resulting in increased concentrations of cytokines that are normally counterregulated by glucocorticoids).
hormone messenger RNA in the hypothalamus are abnormally low. Human counterparts with HPA hyporesponsiveness include adults with fibromyalgia33,34 and chronic fatigue syndrome35,36 and children with atopic dermatitis. In post-traumatic stress disorder, basal HPA activity is also low, although reactivity to stress may not be blunted.

Feelings of anticipation and worry can also contribute to allostatic load. Anticipation participates in the reflex that prevents us from blacking out when we get out of bed in the morning and is also part of worry, anxiety, and cognitive preparation for a threat. Anticipatory anxiety can drive the secretion of mediators like corticotropin, cortisol, and epinephrine, and for this reason, prolonged anxiety and anticipation are likely to result in allostatic load.

For example, salivary cortisol concentrations increase within 30 minutes after waking in people who are under considerable psychological stress due to work or family matters. In a related fashion, intrusive memories of a traumatic event (as in post-traumatic stress disorder) can produce a form of chronic stress and can drive physiologic responses. Allostasis and allostatic load are also affected by the consumption of tobacco and alcohol, dietary choices, and the amount of exercise (Fig. 1). These forms of behavior are integral to the overall notion of allostatic load – the way people cope with a challenge – and also contribute to allostatic load by known pathways (e.g., a high-fat diet accelerates atherosclerosis and progression to non-insulin-dependent diabetes by increasing cortisol secretion, leading to fat deposition and insulin resistance47) smoking elevates blood pressure and accelerates atherosclerosis48, and exercise protects against cardiovascular disease49).

EXAMPLES OF ALLOSTATIC LOAD

Cardiovascular and Metabolic Systems

The best-studied system of allostatic and allostatic load is the cardiovascular system and its links to obesity and hypertension. In nonhuman primates, the incidence of atherosclerosis is increased among the dominant males of unstable social hierarchies and in socially subordinate females. In humans, lack of control on the job increases the risk of coronary heart disease, and job strain (high psychological demands and lack of control) results in elevated ambulatory blood pressure at home and an increased left-ventricular mass index, as well as increased progression of atherosclerosis. Chronic stress (feelings of fatigue, lack of energy, irritability, and demoralization) and hostility are linked to increased reactivity of the fibrinogen system and of platelets, both of which increase the risk of myocardial infarction.

Quantifying allostatic load, a major challenge, has been attempted with the use of measures of metabolic and cardiovascular pathophysiology. In a recent analysis, data from the MacArthur Studies of Successful Aging were used to assess eight measures of increased activity of allostatic systems between 1988 and 1991. Allostatic load was approximated by determining the number of measures for which a person had values in the highest quartile from among the following: systolic blood pressure, overnight urinary cortisol and catecholamine excretion, the ratio of the waist to the hip measurement, the glycosylated hemoglobin value, and the ratio of serum high-density lipoprotein in the total serum cholesterol concentration; and the number of the following for which the person had values in the lowest quartile: serum concentration of dehydroepiandrosterone sulfate and serum concentration of high-density lipoprotein cholesterol. In cross-sectional analyses of baseline data, subjects with higher levels of physical and mental functioning had lower allostatic-load scores and a lower incidence of cardiovascular disease, hypertension, and diabetes. During the three years of follow-up (1988 to 1991), people in this higher functioning group with higher allostatic-load scores at base line were more likely to have incident cardiovascular disease and were significantly more likely to have declines in cognitive and physical functioning. Among women in this group, increased cortisol secretion predicted a decline in memory.

The Brain

Repeated stress affects brain function, especially in the hippocampus, which has high concentrations of cortisol receptors. The hippocampus participates in verbal memory and is particularly important for the memory of “context,” the time and place of events that have a strong emotional bias. Moreover, glucocorticoids are involved in remembering the context in which an emotionally laden event took place. Impairment of the hippocampus decreases the reliability and accuracy of contextual memories. This may exacerbate stress by preventing access to the information needed to decide that a situation is not a threat. The hippocampus also regulates the stress response and acts to inhibit the response of the HPA axis to stress.

The mechanism for stress-induced hippocampal dysfunction and memory impairment is twofold. First, acute stress increases cortisol secretion, which suppresses the mechanisms in the hippocampus and temporal lobe that subserve short-term memory.

Stress can impair memory in the short term, but fortunately these effects are reversible and relatively short-lived. Second, repeated stress causes the atrophy of dendrites of pyramidal neurons in the CA3 region of the hippocampus through a mechanism involving both glucocorticoids and excitatory amino acid neurotransmitters released during and after stress. This atrophy is reversible if the stress is short-
logic memory is of a pathogen or a tumor-cell, the result of stress is presumably beneficial. If, on the contrary, the immunologic memory leads to an autoimmune or allergic response, then stress is likely to exacerbate a pathologic state. When allostatic load is increased by repeated stress, the outcome is completely different; the delayed hypersensitivity response is substantially inhibited rather than enhanced. The consequences of suppressed cellular immunity resulting from chronic stress include increased severity of the common cold, accompanied by increased titers of cold-virus antibody. In laboratory animals, repeated stress also leads to recurrent endotoxemia, which decreases the reactivity of the HPA axis to a variety of stimuli and decreases production of the cytokine tumor necrosis factor-α.

Implications of Allostatic Load in Human Society

The gradients of health across the range of socioeconomic levels relate to a complex array of risk factors that are differentially distributed in human society. Perhaps the best example is offered by the Whitehall studies of the British civil service, in which mortality and morbidity were found to increase stepwise from the lowest to the highest of the six grades of the British civil service. Hypertension was a sensitive index of job stress, particularly among factory workers, other workers with repetitive jobs and time pressures, and workers whose jobs were unstable because of departmental privatization (Marmot MG; personal communication). Plasma fibrinogen concentrations, which predict an increased risk of death from coronary heart disease, are elevated among men in the lower British civil-service grades. In less stable societies, conflict and social instability have been found to accelerate pathophysiological processes and increase morbidity and mortality. For example, cardiovascular disease is a major contributor to the increase of almost 40 percent in the death rate among Russian men during the social collapse that followed the fall of Communism. Blood-pressure surges and sustained elevation are linked to accelerated atherosclerosis as well as to an increased risk of myocardial infarction.

Another stress-linked change is abdominal obesity (see above), measured as an increased waist-to-hip ratio. The waist-to-hip ratio is increased at the lower end of the socioeconomic-status gradient in Swedish men and in the lower civil-service grades in the Whitehall studies. Immune-system function is also a likely target of psychosocial stress, increasing vulnerability to such infections as the common cold.

Therapeutic Implications

A consideration of allostatic load is increasingly important in the diagnosis and treatment of many illnesses. Allostatic load is also important in illuminating the relation between disease and social insa-
bility, job loss, dangerous living environments, and other conditions that are chronically stressful. Medical illness itself is a source of stress, producing anxiety about prognosis, treatment, disability, and interference with social roles and relationships.

Physicians and other health care providers can help patients reduce allostatic load by helping them learn coping skills, recognize their own limitations, and relax. Patients should also be reminded of the interactions of a high-fat diet and stress in arteriosclerosis, the role of smoking in cardiovascular disease and cancer, and the beneficial effects of exercise. But the patients themselves must change their behavior patterns appropriately.

Beyond these obvious steps, other types of interventions must be considered. Two important causes of allostatic load appear to be isolation and lack of control in the work environment. Interventions that increase social support and enhance coping prolong the life spans of patients with breast cancer, lymphomas, and malignant melanoma. Interventions designed to increase a worker's control over his or her job, such as the reorganization of auto production at Volvo, have also improved health and attitudes toward work.

DISCUSSION

DR. JEFFREY ELIER: Is there any known correlation between lifelong stress (and therefore allostatic load) and Alzheimer’s disease?

DR. MCEWEN: There are a few anecdotes from admissions personnel at Veterans Affairs hospitals but nothing concrete. It is interesting, however, that education appears to have a “protective” role against the development of Alzheimer's disease. It is not clear, though, whether education protects against the disease or provides more redundancy in the brain, which delays the symptoms.

DR. BARBARA B. KAHN: What are the important differences between men and women in the biology of stress?

DR. MCEWEN: Estrogens appear to protect the cardiovascular system, and at menopause, women’s risk of cardiovascular disease increases to that of age-matched men. The decline in estrogen secretion at menopause also increases the activity of the HPA axis, a development that has been linked to greater cognitive decline among elderly women than among elderly men. A decline in androgen secretion in older men may affect HPA function, although to a lesser extent. In rats, castration increases HPA activity. Finally, there are structural and functional differences between the sexes in hippocampal formation in rodents and behavioral evidence suggests functional and possibly structural sex differences in humans, as well. We do not yet know whether these differences influence the vulnerability of the hippocampus to severe stress, although a number of studies now suggest that female rodents and primates may be less vulnerable than males.

DR. ELIER: Is there any evidence that humans are more susceptible to the effects of stress than animals because of the greater human capacity for cognition and insight, as well as the human ability to feel guilt?

DR. MCEWEN: I believe that humans are more at risk for allostatic load than animals, because of the enormous individual differences in stress responsiveness and aging among humans, which relate to life experiences, personality, and physiologic phenotype. However, stress responsiveness and aging also differ among rats, so I don’t think we can be definitive about the importance of cognition in our own species.

A PHYSICIAN: What mechanisms underlie the differences between immune responses to acute stress and those to chronic stress?

DR. MCEWEN: These mechanisms are just beginning to be understood. One key process is the redistribution, or trafficking, of immune cells. Acute stress enhances this response to delayed-type hypersensitivity. Chronic stress impairs delayed-type hypersensitivity, with the result that the blood is depleted of fewer lymphocytes. The greater the impairment of delayed-type hypersensitivity, the less the blood is depleted of lymphocytes (Dhabhar FS: unpublished data). Glucocorticoids are responsible for the trafficking of lymphocytes and for the stress enhancement of delayed-type hypersensitivity, but they do not act alone. Various cytokines function as more-local signals, emanating from a site of infection or challenge, and Dr. Firdaus Dhabhar at Rockefeller University is investigating their involvement. Beyond that, it is well known that stress hormones modulate immune function and influence the class of the immune response by their ability to increase the expression of some cytokines and decrease the expression of others.

I am indebted to the Health Program of the John D. and Catherine T. MacArthur Foundation and to the Rockefeller University for contributions to the concept discussed in this article, and to Dr. Firdaus Dhabhar for assistance with Figure 3.
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